Complaint Case Study

Bill Thomas Field Operations and Enforcement Division April 24, 2025



ARD Timeline of Transaction (1 of 2)

- December 27 vehicle presented for repair at ARD selected by registered vehicle owner
- December 30 ARD informs insurer vehicle is a total loss
- December 31 ARD advises insurance adjuster (at facility to inspect different vehicle) vehicle is a total loss
- January 2 adjuster confirms assignment to claim via email

ARD Timeline of Transaction (2 of 2)

- January 6 adjuster confirms total loss determination via email
- January 10 insurer requests registered owner release vehicle
- January 13 registered owner signs "repair order" at ARD
- January 18 insurer disputes total loss fees as represented on repair order

ARD Itemized Charges on Repair Order

Vehicle Diagnostics

- Pre-Scan: \$225.00
- Collision Inspection: \$450.00
- Inspect Headrest/Steering Column: \$112.50
- Inspect Seatbelts: \$112.50

Storage

December 30 to January 8; January 9 to January 13: \$3,250.00

Total

\$4,150.00

ARD Charges Presented to Insurer

Vehicle Diagnostics

- o Pre-Scan: \$225.00
- Collision Inspection: \$450.00
- Inspect Headrest/Steering Column: \$112.50
- Inspect Seatbelts: \$112.50

Storage

December 30 to January 15: \$4,250.00

Lien Charge

\$250.00

Tax

\$19.38

Total Presented

\$5,419.38

Insurer Timeline of Transaction

- December 26 date of loss
- December 27 loss reported and DRP facility identified
- January 2 insurer advised of vehicle location
- January 2 adjuster assigned to inspect vehicle by January 9th
- January 6 vehicle inspected and classified as potential total loss
- January 9 total loss handling, last date of storage set at January 13, and charges requested from the ARD
- January 14 second request for charges to ARD

Insurer Response to ARD Presented Charges (1 of 2)

Vehicle Diagnostics

- o Pre-Scan: \$225.00
 - > Insurer reduced diagnostic time in half and only approved \$112.50
- Collision Inspection: \$450.00
 - > Insurer reduced to \$0.00 claiming no evidence of repairs during their inspection
- Inspect Headrest/Steering Column: \$112.50
 - > Insurer reduced to \$0.00 claiming no evidence of repairs during their inspection
- Inspect Seatbelts: \$112.50
 - > Insurer reduced to \$0.00 claiming no evidence of repairs during their inspection

Storage

- December 30 to January 15: \$4,250
 - > Insurer reduced to \$100 per day for January 6-20 and only approved \$1,500.00

Insurer Response to ARD Presented Charges (2 of 2)

Lien Charge

- o \$250.00
 - ➤ Insurer reduced to \$0.00 unless ARD provided DMV lien document

Tax

- \$19.38
 - > Insurer reduced to \$0.00

Total Presented

- o \$5,419.38
 - ➤ Insurer only approved charges totaling \$1,612.50

BAR Analysis of Transaction (1 of 2)

- This case is an example of and speaks to storage workshop discussions of an ARD's intent to repair a vehicle
- Draft storage regulations presented at the BAR workshop on October 17, 2024 created a mechanism for 'market storage rates' to be charged in these circumstances
 - Even if the consumer ultimately decides not to repair the vehicle based on a total loss determination by their insurer

BAR Analysis of Transaction (2 of 2)

- However, knowingly entering into a repair transaction for a vehicle that is not economically feasible to repair may fall under Business and Professions Code 9884.7(e)
 - Properly documented consumer requested repairs to the vehicle (e.g., sentimental value) is acceptable
- BAR supports and encourages ARDs to earn and collect appropriate storage and/or repair charges
- Improper charges equate to consumer harm and potential enforcement action

Contact Information

Bill Thomas

Bill.Thomas@dca.ca.gov

www.bar.ca.gov