$1 \parallel$	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General WILLIAM D. GARDNER	
	Deputy Attorney General	
4 ~	State Bar No. 244817 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2114	
5	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFOR	RETHE
		ONSUMER AFFAIRS AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
		ALIFORNIA
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/16-86
	DAVID RENE SANCHEZ and EDER	
ļ	PLASENCIA (aka EDER DE JESUS PLASENCIA), PARTNERS, dba SMOG	ACCUSATION
	TEST CENTER; 250 S. Towne Ave.	ACCUSATION Smog Check
	Pomona, CA 91766	
	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 276231	
,	Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TC 276231,	
;	and	
	EDER DE JESUS PLASENCIA	
	1665 Burma Ct. Pomona, CA 91766	
	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO	
	632822 Smog Check Repair Technician License No.	
	EI 632822 (formerly Advanced Emission Specialist Technician EA 632822)	
	Respondents.	
	///	
	///	
;	/// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		1

Complainant alleges:

1

2

3

4

PARTIES

1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

On or about April 14, 2014, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive
 Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 276231 to David Rene Sanchez and Eder Plasencia (aka
 Eder de Jesus Plasencia), partners, dba Smog Test Center. The Automotive Repair Dealer
 Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
 will expire on April 30, 2016, unless renewed.

On or about April 21, 2014, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check,
 Test Only, Station License Number TC 276231 to David Rene Sanchez and Eder Plasencia (aka
 Eder de Jesus Plasencia), partners, dba Smog Test Center (hereinafter, "Respondent Smog Test
 Center"). The Smog Check, Test Only, Station License was in full force and effect at all times
 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2016, unless renewed.

On January 31, 2011, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Advanced Emission
 Specialist Technician License EA 632822 to Eder de Jesus Plasencia (hereinafter, "Respondent
 Plasencia"). Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision
 (e), upon Respondent's election, said license was renewed as Smog Check Inspector License EO
 632822 and Smog Check Repair Technician License No. EI 632822, effective January 18, 2013.
 The licenses were in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
 will expire on January 31, 2017, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

5. Business and Professions Code ("BPC") section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part,
that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed
with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision
temporarily or permanently invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

28

27

22

|| ///

|||

(DAVID RENE SANCHEZ and EDER PLASENCIA (aka EDER DE JESUS PLASENCIA), PARTNERS, dba SMOG TEST CENTER) ACCUSATION

2

6. Section 9889.1 of the BPC provides, in pertinent part, that the Director may suspend
or revoke any license issued under Articles 5 and 6 (commencing with section 9887.1) of the
Automotive Repair Act.

7. Section 9889.7 of the BPC provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or
suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the Director or a court of
law, or the voluntary surrender of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to
proceed with any disciplinary proceedings.

8 8. Health and Safety Code ("HSC") section 44002 provides, in pertinent part, that the
9 Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing
10 the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

9. Section 44072.6 of the HSC provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or
 suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer
 Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director
 of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

15 16

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

10. Section 9884.7 of the BPC states, in pertinent part:

"(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide
error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an
automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the
business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any
automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading

(4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it."

11. Section 9884.9, subdivision (a), of the BPC mandates that an "automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job."

12. Section 9889.9 of the BPC states that "[w]hen any license has been revoked or
suspended following a hearing under the provisions of this article [Article 7 (commencing with
section 9889.1) of the Automotive Repair Act], any additional license issued under Articles 5 and
6 of this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the
director."

9 13. Section 44012 of the HSC provides, in pertinent part, that tests at smog check stations
10 shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

11 14. Section 44015, subdivision (b), of the HSC provides that a certificate of compliance
12 shall be issued if a vehicle meets the requirements of HSC section 40012.

13 15. Section 44032 of the HSC states, in pertinent part, that: (1) no person may perform
14 tests or repairs of emission control devices or systems of motor vehicles required by the Motor
15 Vehicle Inspection Program unless the person performing the test or repair is a licensed qualified
16 smog check technician; and (2) all tests must be conducted in accordance with section 44012 (i.e.
17 Motor Vehicle Inspection Program Requirements).

16. Section 44072.2 of the HSC states, in pertinent part:

"The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as
provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the
following:

"(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program
(Health and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which
related to the licensed activities

"(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter. "(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is

injured.

1

2

3

18

25

26

27

28

"(e) Has misrepresented a material fact in obtaining a license.

1	17. Section 44072.8 of the HSC states that when a license has been revoked or suspended
2	following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name
3	of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.
4	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
5	18. California Code of Regulations ("CCR"), title 16, section 3340.24, subdivision (c),
6	states:
7	"The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal action against a
8	licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a certificate of compliance or a
9	certificate of noncompliance."
10	19. CCR, title 16, section 3340.35, subdivision (c), states that a licensed smog check
11	station "shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any
12	vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of
13	this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and
14	functioning correctly."
15	20. CCR, title 16, section 3340.41, subdivision (c), states:
16	"No person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification
17	information or emission control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one being
18	tested. Nor shall any person knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false
19	information about the vehicle being tested."
20	21. CCR, title 16, section 3340.42, sets forth specific emissions test methods and
21	procedures which apply to all vehicles inspected in the State of California
22	22. CCR, title 16, section 3353, states, in pertinent part:
23	"No work for compensation shall be commenced and no charges shall accrue without
24	specific authorization from the customer in accordance with the following requirements:
25	(a) Estimate for Parts and Labor. Every dealer shall give to each customer a written
26	estimated price for parts and labor for a specific job."
27	
28	///
	5 (DAVID RENE SANCHEZ and EDER PLASENCIA (aka EDER DE JESUS PLASENCIA), PARTNERS, dba
	SMOG TEST CENTER) ACCUSATION

.

.

.

COST RECOVERY

23. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), of the BPC provides, in pertinent part, that a Board "may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case."

6

17

5

1

2

3

4

SUMMARY OF LOW PRESSURE FUEL EVAPORATIVE TEST (LPFET)

State law requires that smog inspections on vehicles of model year 1995 and older 24. 7 include a Low Pressure Fuel Evaporative Test ("LPFET"). The test is designed to ensure that the 8 vehicle's fuel evaporative system is not leaking impermissible amounts of gas fumes into the 9 atmosphere. To perform a LPFET, the inspector must install an adapter and hose to the vehicle's 10 fuel fill pipe in order to pressurize the fuel compartment with nitrogen. The LPFET test 11 equipment then measures the pressurized fuel compartment to determine whether there are any 12 impermissible leaks. Once the LPFET is performed, the inspector manually enters the test results 13 into the Bureau's Emission Inspection System ("EIS"), also known as BAR 97. If a vehicle is 14 unable to pass the LPFET, it fails the functional portion of the smog inspection, causing an 15 overall failure of the smog inspection and preventing the issuance of a certificate compliance. 16

UNDERCOVER VEHICLE #1

25. On April 8, 2015, a Bureau program representative working in one of the Bureau's 18 Forensic Documentation Laboratories inspected and documented the condition of the emissions 19 control systems on a 1991 Jeep used by the Bureau for undercover operations. After documenting 20 that the emissions control systems were properly functioning such that the vehicle would pass a 21 California smog inspection, the program representative replaced the vehicle's fuel tank vent hose 22 with a defective hose that was unable to maintain proper fuel evaporative pressure. As a result of 23 24 this introduced defect, the vehicle was no longer able to pass the LPFET, meaning that it would fail the functional portion of a smog inspection and be unable to obtain a certificate of 25 compliance. 26

27 26. On May 16, 2015, an undercover Bureau program representative took the
28 documented 1991 Jeep to Respondent Smog Test Center's station to obtain a smog inspection.

6

Respondent Plasencia agreed to perform the inspection and asked the undercover program 1 representative to sign a work order. The program representative signed the work order but was 2 not provided with a copy of it. The program representative witnessed Respondent Plasencia 3 perform the smog inspection and observed that at no time during the inspection did Respondent 4 5 Plasencia connect the LPFET test equipment to the vehicle. Nonetheless, Respondent Plasencia manually entered passing test results for the LPFET into the BAR 97 and, ultimately, issued a 6 certificate of compliance for the vehicle. Thereafter, the Bureau program representative who 7 originally documented the 1991 Jeep re-inspected the vehicle and further confirmed that 8 Respondents had issued the certificate of compliance in violation of state law. 9

10

UNDERCOVER VEHICLE #2

27. On June 25, 2015, a Bureau program representative working in one of the Bureau's 11 Forensic Documentation Laboratories inspected and documented the condition of the emissions 12 control systems on a 1990 Toyota used by the Bureau for undercover operations. After 13 documenting that the emissions control systems were properly functioning such that the vehicle 14 would pass a California smog inspection, the program representative replaced the vehicle's fuel 15 tank vent hose with a defective hose that was unable to maintain proper fuel evaporative pressure. 16 As a result of this introduced defect, the vehicle was no longer able to pass the LPFET, meaning 17that it would fail the functional portion of a smog inspection and be unable to obtain a certificate 18 of compliance. 19

28. On June 30, 2015, an undercover Bureau program representative took the 20 documented 1990 Toyota to Respondent Smog Test Center's station to obtain a smog inspection. 21 Respondent Plasencia agreed to perform the inspection and asked the undercover program 22 representative to sign a work order. The program representative signed the work order but was 23 24 not provided with a copy of it. During the inspection, the program representative observed that when the LPFET test equipment was connected to the vehicle it "beeped" and a red light was 25 26 illuminated on the control panel. The program representative then witnessed Respondent Plasencia disconnect the LPFET hose from the vehicle and connect it to a "test port" on the 27 LPFET itself. The program representative then observed another "beep" and saw that now a 28

1	green light was illuminated on LPFET control panel. Respondent Plasencia manually entered	
2	passing test results for the LPFET into the BAR 97 and, ultimately, issued a certificate of	
3	compliance for the vehicle. Thereafter, the Bureau program representative who originally	
4	documented the 1990 Toyota re-inspected the vehicle and further confirmed that Respondents had	
5	issued the certificate of compliance in violation of state law.	
6	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
7	(Misleading Statements)	
8	29. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration of Respondent Smog Test Center is	
9	subject to discipline under BPC section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made	
10	statements that were known, or which by exercise of reasonable care should have been known, to	
11	be untrue or misleading in that Respondent issued electronic certificates of compliance for the	
12	Bureau's 1991 Jeep and 1990 Toyota, certifying that those vehicles were in compliance with	
13	applicable laws and regulations when, in fact, those vehicles had not been so inspected.	
14	Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in	
15	paragraphs 24 through 28, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.	
16	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
17	(Fraud)	
18	30. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration of Respondent Smog Test Center is	
19	subject to discipline under BPC section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed	
20	acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic certificates of compliance for the Bureau's 1991	
21	Jeep and 1990 Toyota, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices	
22	and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the	
23	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this	
24	reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 24 through 28, inclusive, as	
25	though fully set forth herein.	
26		
27	///	
28	///	
		+
	(DAVID RENE SANCHEZ and EDER PLASENCIA (aka EDER DE JESUS PLASENCIA), PARTNERS, dba SMOG TEST CENTER) ACCUSATION	

.

.

.

`,

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act)
3	31. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration of Respondent Smog Test Center is
4	subject to discipline under BPC section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed in a
5	"material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to
6	it" by issuing electronic certificates of compliance for the Bureau's 1991 Jeep and 1990 Toyota,
7	without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those
8	vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
9	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the
10	allegations set forth above in paragraphs 24 through 28, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
11	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
13	32. The station license of Respondent Smog Test Center is subject to discipline under
14	HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that, with respect to the Bureau's 1991 Jeep and 1990
15 ⁻	Toyota, Respondent violated the following sections of the HSC:
16	a. Section 44012: Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
17	performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
18	b. Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent issued electronic certificates of
19	compliance without properly testing and inspecting the vehicles to determine if they were in
20	compliance with section 44012 of the HSC.
21	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
23	33. The station license of Respondent Smog Test Center is subject to discipline under
24	HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that, with respect to the Bureau's 1991 Jeep and 1990
25	Toyota, Respondent violated the following sections of title 16 of the CCR:
26	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent falsely and/or fraudulently issued
27	certificates of compliance;
28	
	9 (DAVID RENE SANCHEZ and EDER PLASENCIA (aka EDER DE JESUS PLASENCIA), PARTNERS, dba
	SMOG TEST CENTER) ACCUSATION

b. Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent issued electronic certificates of 1 compliance even though those vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section 2 3340.42 of the HSC. 3 c. Section 3340.42: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and 4 inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 5 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 6 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 7 The station license of Respondent Smog Test Center is subject discipline under HSC 34. 8 section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that, with respect to the Bureau's 1991 Jeep and 1990 Toyota, 9 Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by 10 issuing electronic certificates of compliance for those vehicles without performing bona fide 11 inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the 12 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection 13 Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth 14 above in paragraphs 24 through 28, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein. 15 SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 16 (Failure to Give Customer Copy of Signed Document) 17 35. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration license of Respondent Smog Test 18 Center is subject discipline pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that 19 Respondent failed to give to a customer a copy of a document requiring the customer's signature 20as soon as the customer signed the document. Complainant refers to, and by this reference 21 incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 26 and 28, inclusive, as though set forth fully 22 23 herein. EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 24 (Violation of Automotive Repair Act Provisions and Regulations) 25 36, Res The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration license of Respondent Smog Test 26 Center is subject discipline pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that 27 Respondent failed to comply with the requirement of Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), and 28 10 (DAVID RENE SANCHEZ and EDER PLASENCIA (aka EDER DE JESUS PLASENCIA), PARTNERS, dba SMOG TEST CENTER) ACCUSATION

1	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3353, subdivisions (a) and (c). Complainant
2	refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 and 27,
3	inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.
4	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5.	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6	37. Respondent Plasencia has subjected his smog check inspector and smog check repair
7	technician licenses to discipline under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that he violated
8	the following sections of the HSC with respect to his inspections of the Bureau's 1991 Jeep and
9	1990 Toyota:
10	a. Section 44012: Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
11	performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
12	b. Section 44032: Respondent failed to perform tests of the emission control devices
13	and systems on those vehicles in accordance with section 44012 of the HSC, in that LPFET tests
14	on the vehicles were not properly performed.
15	c. Section 44059: Respondent willfully made false entries for the electronic certificates
16	of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when, in fact, they
17	had not.
18	TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
20	38. Respondent Plasencia has subjected his smog check inspector and smog check repair
21	technician licenses to discipline under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that he violated
22	the following sections of the CCR, title 16, with respect to his inspections of the Bureau's 1991
23	Jeep and 1990 Toyota:
24	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent falsely and/or fraudulently issued
25	certificates of compliance;
26	b. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to inspect and test those
27	vehicles in accordance with HSC section 44012.
28	///
	11
	(DAVID RENE SANCHEZ and EDER PLASENCIA (aka EDER DE JESUS PLASENCIA), PARTNERS, dba SMOG TEST CENTER) ACCUSATION

.

•

c. Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent entered false information into the EIS about the vehicles being tested.

d. Section 3340.42: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

39. Respondent Plasencia has subjected his smog check inspector and smog check 7 repair technician licenses to discipline under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he 8 committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing 9 electronic certificates of compliance for the Bureau's 1991 Jeep and 1990 Toyota without 10 performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, 11 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor 12 Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the 13 allegations set forth above in paragraphs 24 through 28, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein. 14 PRAYER 15 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 16 and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision: 17 Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 18 1. 276231, issued to David Rene Sanchez and Eder Plasencia (aka Eder de Jesus Plasencia), 19 partners, dba Smog Test Center; 20 Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only, Station License Number TC 2. 21 276231, issued to David Rene Sanchez and Eder Plasencia (aka Eder de Jesus Plasencia), 22 partners, dba Smog Test Center; 23

24 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 632822, issued
25 to Eder de Jesus Plasencia

26 4. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Repair Technician License Number EI 632822,
27 issued to Eder de Jesus Plasencia;

28 || ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

1	5. Ordering David Rene Sanchez and Eder De Jesus Plasencia to pay the Bureau of	
2	Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case,	
3	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;	
4	6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
5		ŀ
6	DATED: 3-11-16 PAIR DURAS Ly DUJER	4
7	PATRICK DORAIS Chief Down (, Bistatt	
8	Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs	
9	State of California Complainant LA2015604259	
0	LA2015604259 51996958.doc	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	·	
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
:3 :4		
5		
5 6		
7		
8		
0	13	