

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 LINDA K. SCHNEIDER
Senior Assistant Attorney General
3 LAURO A. PAREDES
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 254663
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2091
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
Attorneys for Complainant

8
9 **BEFORE THE**
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11
12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

13 **EMAD ATTALLA WASIF, OWNER,**
14 **DOING BUSINESS AS**
15 **EAGLE GLEN MOBIL**
2261 Eagle Glen Parkway
Corona, CA 92883

16 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration**
17 **No. ARD 241812**
18 **Smog Check-Test Only Station License**
19 **No. TC 241812**

20 **ANTONIO OROZCO**
3609 Kansas Ave
Riverside, CA 92507

21 **Smog Check Inspector License**
22 **No. EO 150767**

23 **Smog Check Repair Technician**
24 **NO. EI 150767 (formerly Advanced**
25 **Emission Specialist Technician License No.**
26 **EA 150767),**

27 Respondents.

28 ///

Case No. 79/15-89

ACCUSATION

(SMOG CHECK)

1 Complainant alleges:

2 **PARTIES**

3 1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as
4 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

5 2. On or about October 5, 2005, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive
6 Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 241812 to Emad Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil
7 (Respondent Wasif). The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at
8 all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2015, unless
9 renewed.

10 3. On or about February 1, 2006, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check-
11 Test Only Station License Number TC 241812 to Emad Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil
12 (Respondent Wasif). The Smog Check-Test Only Station License was in full force and effect at
13 all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2015, unless
14 renewed.

15 4. On October 31, 2013, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Advanced Emission
16 Specialist Technician License No. EA 150767 to Antonio Orozco (Respondent Orozco). The
17 license was cancelled on July 26, 2013. On that date, it was redesignated as Smog Check
18 Inspector License No. EO 150767 (Inspector License) and Smog Check Repair Technician
19 License No. EI 150767.¹ The Inspector and Repair Technician licenses were in full force and
20 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2015,
21 unless renewed.

22 **JURISDICTION**

23 5. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the
24 Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.

25
26 ¹ Effective August 1, 2012, California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3340.28,
27 3340.29, and 3340.30 were amended to implement a license restructure from the Advanced
28 Emission Specialist Technician (EA) license and Basic Area (EB) Technician license to Smog
Check Inspector (EO) license and/or Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) license.

1 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,
2 surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a
3 disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued
4 or reinstated.

5 7. Section 9884.13 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid
6 registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
7 proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration
8 temporarily or permanently.

9 8. Section 9884.20 of the Code states:

10 “All accusations against automotive repair dealers shall be filed within three years after the
11 performance of the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with
12 respect to an accusation alleging fraud or misrepresentation as a ground for disciplinary action,
13 the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery, by the bureau, of the alleged
14 facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation.”

15 9. Section 9884.22 of the Code states:

16 “(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the director may revoke, suspend, or deny
17 at any time any registration required by this article on any of the grounds for disciplinary action
18 provided in this article. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with
19 Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government
20 Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.

21 “...”

22 10. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the
23 Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing
24 the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

25 11. Section 44072 of the Health and Safety Code states:

26 “Any license issued under this chapter and the regulations adopted pursuant to it may be
27 suspended or revoked by the director. The director may refuse to issue a license to any applicant
28 for the reasons set forth in Section 44072.1. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted

1 in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2
2 of the Government Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.”

3 12. Section 44072.4 of the Health and Safety Code states:

4 “The director may take disciplinary action against any licensee after a hearing as provided
5 in this article by any of the following:

6 “(a) Imposing probation upon terms and conditions to be set forth by the director.

7 “(b) Suspending the license.

8 “(c) Revoking the license.”

9 13. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the
10 expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director
11 of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive
12 the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

13 14. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states:

14 "All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission
15 alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a
16 violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after
17 the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation
18 prohibited by that section."

19 15. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:

20 "When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any
21 additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked
22 or suspended by the director."

23 **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

24 16. Section 22 of the Code states:

25 "(a) 'Board' as used in any provisions of this Code, refers to the board in which the
26 administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include
27 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and
28 'agency.'

1 "(b) Whenever the regulatory program of a board that is subject to review by the Joint
2 Committee on Boards, Commissions, and Consumer Protection, as provided for in Division 1.2
3 (commencing with Section 473), is taken over by the department, that program shall be
4 designated as a 'bureau.'"

5 17. Section 23.7 of the Code states:

6 "Unless otherwise expressly provided, 'license' means license, certificate, registration, or
7 other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code or referred to in Section
8 1000 or 3600."

9 18. Section 9884.7 of the Code states:

10 "(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide
11 error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair
12 dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the
13 automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive
14 technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

15 "(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written
16 or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable
17 care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

18 "...

19 "(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

20 "...

21 "(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on
22 probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair
23 dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated
24 and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it."

25 19. Section 44072.2 of the Health and Safety Code states:

26 "The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as
27 provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the
28 following:

1 ///

2 ///

3 "(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health
4 and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the
5 licensed activities.

6 "...

7 "(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

8 "(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.

9 "..."

10 20. Section 44072.10 of the Health and Safety Code states:

11 "...

12 "(c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station
13 licensee who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent inspection of
14 vehicles. A fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

15 "(1) Clean piping, as defined by the department.

16 "(2) Tampering with a vehicle emission control system or test analyzer system.

17 "(3) Tampering with a vehicle in a manner that would cause the vehicle to falsely pass or
18 falsely fail an inspection.

19 "(4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure
20 of the department implementing this chapter."

21 **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

22 21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.1, states:

23 ...

24 "'Clean piping,' for the purposes of Health and Safety Code section 44072.10(c)(1), means
25 the use of a substitute exhaust emissions sample in place of the actual test vehicle's exhaust in
26 order to cause the EIS to issue a certificate of compliance for the test vehicle.

27 "..."

28

1 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog
2 certificate to a 1997 Toyota Corolla, CA license 6CCX662. Respondent Orozco used the “clean-
3 piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the 1997 Toyota Corolla
4 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen
5 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the 1997 Toyota Corolla was smog tested between 0901 and 0910
6 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe
7 inside the tail pipe of a Toyota Tundra pickup. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for
8 the Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the 1997 Toyota Corolla, which
9 was not at the shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified
10 to BAR that he had smog tested the 1997 Toyota Corolla and issued Smog Certificate
11 #YD871911C.

12 **C. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

13 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog
14 certificate to a 2005 Toyota Corolla, CA license 6MTA659. Respondent Orozco used the “clean-
15 piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the 2005 Toyota Corolla
16 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen
17 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the 2005 Toyota Corolla was smog tested between 0915 and 0924
18 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe
19 inside the tail pipe of a Toyota Tundra pickup. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for
20 the Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the 2005 Toyota Corolla, which
21 was not at the shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified
22 to BAR that he had smog tested the 2005 Toyota Corolla and issued Smog Certificate
23 #YD871912C.

24 **D. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

25 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog
26 certificate to a 2003 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup, CA license 6W67349. Respondent Orozco used
27 the “clean-piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the 2003 Dodge
28 Ram 1500 pickup which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR

1 by Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the 2003 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup was smog
2 tested between 0928 and 0934 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS
3 machines gas analyzer sample probe inside the tail pipe of a Toyota Tundra pickup. Respondent
4 Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for the Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from
5 the 2003 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup, which was not at the shop at the time of the test. After the
6 fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified to BAR that he had smog tested the 2003 Dodge
7 Ram 1500 and issued Smog Certificate #YD871913C.

8 **E. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

9 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog
10 certificate to a 1998 Nissan Maxima, CA license 4BLL336. Respondent Orozco used the “clean-
11 piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the 1998 Nissan Maxima
12 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen
13 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the 1998 Nissan Maxima was smog tested between 0938 and
14 0946 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample
15 probe inside the tail pipe of the Toyota Tundra. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for
16 the Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the 1998 Nissan Maxima, which
17 was not at the shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified
18 to BAR that he had smog tested the 1998 Nissan Maxima and issued Smog Certificate
19 #YD871914C.

20 **F. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

21 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog
22 certificate to a 1983 Jeep CJ7 Universal, CA license 2ATK868. Respondent Orozco used the
23 “clean-piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the Jeep CJ7 Universal
24 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen
25 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the Jeep CJ7 Universal was smog tested between 0951 and 0959
26 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe
27 inside the tail pipe of Toyota Tundra. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for the
28 Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the Jeep CJ7 Universal, which was not

1 at the shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified to BAR
2 that he had smog tested the Jeep CJ7 Universal and issued Smog Certificate #YD871915C.

3 **G. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

4 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog
5 certificate to a 1994 Honda Accord, CA license 6JLK194. Respondent Orozco used the “clean-
6 piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the Honda Accord which was
7 not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen Mobil and
8 Respondent Orozco, the Honda Accord was smog tested between 1003 and 1012 hours, on April
9 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe inside the tail
10 pipe of a Toyota Tundra. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for the Toyota Tundra
11 pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the Honda Accord, which was not at the shop at the
12 time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified to BAR that he had smog
13 tested the Honda Accord and issued Smog Certificate #YD871916C.

14 **H. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

15 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog
16 certificate to a 1989 Chevrolet S10 Pickup, CA license 12845E1. Respondent Orozco used the
17 “clean-piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the Chevrolet S10
18 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen
19 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the Chevrolet S10 was smog tested between 1017 and 1022
20 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe
21 inside the tail pipe of a Toyota Tundra. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for the
22 Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the Chevrolet S10, which was not at the
23 shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified to BAR that
24 he had smog tested the Chevrolet S10 and issued Smog Certificate # YD871917C.

25 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

26 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

27 25. Respondent Wasif’s Registration is subject to disciplinary action under section
28 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent Wasif made or authorized statements which

1 Respondent Wasif knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or
2 misleading as follows: Respondent Wasif certified that the eight vehicles described in paragraph
3 24 were properly inspected and passed their smog inspections, when in fact and in truth those
4 vehicles were not properly inspected.

5 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

6 **(Violations of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

7 26. Respondent Wasif's Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and
8 Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c), and 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent
9 Wasif failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

10 a. **Section 44012**: failed to perform the tests of the emission control systems and
11 devices on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, in accordance with
12 procedures prescribed by the Department.

13 b. **Section 44015**: issued certificates of compliance for the vehicles described in
14 paragraph 24 sections A through H, without properly testing and inspecting them to determine if
15 they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

16 c. **Section 44035**: failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for
17 qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform smog
18 inspections on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, or certifying that
19 such tests had been properly performed, when in fact they were not properly performed.

20 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Under the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

22 27. Respondent Wasif's Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and
23 Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent
24 Wasif failed to comply with the following sections of California Code of Regulations, title 16:

25 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Wasif failed to inspect and test
26 the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, in accordance with the procedures
27 specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to ensure that these vehicles had all the
28 required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.

1 ///

2 ///

3 c. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Wasif failed to conduct the required smog tests
4 on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, in accordance with the Bureau's
5 specifications.

6 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

8 28. Respondent Wasif's Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
9 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), and Respondent Wasif's Station License is subject to disciplinary
10 action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2, subdivision
11 (d), in that Respondent Wasif committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another
12 is injured by issuing smog inspection certificates for the vehicles described in paragraph 24
13 sections A through H, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices
14 and systems on them, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection
15 afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

16 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Clean Piping)**

18 29. Respondent Wasif's Station license is subject to disciplinary action for clean piping
19 under Health & Safety Code, § 44072.10, subdivision (c)(1), as defined in California Code of
20 Regulations, title 16, section 3340.1, in that Respondent Wasif used a substitute exhaust emission
21 sample of one vehicle in place of another vehicle's exhaust emission sample in order to cause the
22 Emissions Inspection System to issue certificates of compliance for the inspections described in
23 paragraph 24 sections A through H .

24 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

26 30. Respondent Orozco's Inspector License and Repair Technician License are subject to
27 disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2,
28

1 subdivision (a), in that Respondent Orozco failed to comply with the following sections of that
2 Code:

3 a. **Section 44012**: Respondent Orozco failed to perform the tests of the emission
4 control systems and devices on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, in
5 accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department.

6 b. **Section 44015**: Respondent Orozco issued a certificate of compliance for the
7 vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, without properly testing and inspecting
8 them to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

9 c. **Section 44035**: Respondent Orozco failed to meet or maintain the standards
10 prescribed for qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform
11 smog inspections on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, or certifying
12 that such tests had been properly performed, when in fact they were not properly performed.

13 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Under Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

15 31. Respondent Orozco's Inspector License and Repair Technician License are subject to
16 disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2,
17 subdivision (a) in that Respondent Orozco failed to comply with the following sections of
18 California Code of Regulations, title 16:

19 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Orozco failed to inspect and test
20 the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H in accordance with the procedures
21 specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to ensure that these vehicles had all the
22 required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.

23 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Orozco knowingly entered into
24 the Emissions Inspection System false information about the vehicles described in paragraph 24
25 sections A through H, providing passing results for smog inspections which were not properly
26 performed.

1 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Orozco failed to conduct the required smog tests
2 on all the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H in accordance with the
3 Bureau's specifications.

4 ///

5 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

6 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

7 32. Respondent Orozco's Inspector License and Repair Technician License are subject to
8 disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2,
9 subdivision (d), in that Respondent Orozco committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts
10 whereby another is injured by issuing smog inspection certificates for the vehicles described in
11 paragraph 24 sections A through H, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission
12 control devices and systems on them, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of
13 the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

14 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

15 **(Clean-Piping)**

16 33. Respondent Orozco's Inspector License and Repair Technician License are subject to
17 disciplinary action for clean piping under Health & Safety Code, § 44072.10, subdivision (c)(1),
18 as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.1, in that he used a substitute
19 exhaust emission sample of one vehicle in place of another vehicle's exhaust emission sample in
20 order to cause the Emissions Inspection System to issue certificates of compliance for the
21 inspections of the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H.

22 **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS**

23 34. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Emad
24 Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil, Complainant alleges that on or about October 6, 2010 in a
25 prior action, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Citation Number C2011-0406 and ordered
26 Respondent to pay a fine of \$1,000. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as
27 if fully set forth

28

1 2. Revoking or suspending Smog Check-Test Only Station License Number TC 241812,
2 issued to Emad Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil;

3 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 150767(formerly
4 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 150767), issued to Antonio Orozco;

5 4. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Repair Technician License No. EI 150767
6 (formerly Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 150767), issued to Antonio
7 Orozco;

8 5. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health
9 and Safety Code in the name of Emad Attalla Wasif;

10 6. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health
11 and Safety Code in the name of Antonio Orozco;

12 7. Ordering Emad Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil and Antonio Orozco to jointly
13 and severally pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and
14 enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

15 8. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

16
17 DATED: January 16, 2015 Patrick Dorais

18 PATRICK DORAIS
19 Chief
20 Bureau of Automotive Repair
21 Department of Consumer Affairs
22 State of California
23 *Complainant*

22 SD2014707743
23 70969372.doc