•			
1	XAVIER BECERRA		
•	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
2	Senior Assistant Attorney General CHAR SACHSON	•	
- 3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 161032		
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
5	Telephone: (415) 510-3513 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
- 6	Attorneys for Complainant Bureau of Automotive Repair		
7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER		
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR		
. 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case Number: 79/17-12.02	
11	CHEVREM CORPORATION d.b.a CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION	ACCUSATION	
12	FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT/SECRETARY/ TREASURER		
13	2850 Crow Canyon Road		
14	San Ramon, CA 94583		
15	Automotive Repair Dealer No. ARD 241700 Smog Check, Test Only, License No. TC 241700		
16	FATIH TEKIN		
17	5200 Telegraph Avenue Oakland, CA 94609		
18	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 26524		
19	Mailing address:		
20	121 Diamond Court Hercules, CA 94547		
21	EFE YUSUF ERTAS	:	
22	1130 Detroit Avenue Concord, CA 94520	· · · · · ·	
23	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 634957		
24	SHAHZAD MARK		
25	5 The Trees Drive Concord, CA 94518		
26	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 136985		
27			
28	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
	1		
		ACCUSATION	

3725 S	UZUNSOY in Leandro Street d, CA 94601	
Smog (heck Inspector License No. EO 630349	
	Respondents.	
Complai	ant alleges:	
• •	PARTIES	
1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capa		
the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration – Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog		
Station		
2. In or about 2005, the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau) issued Automotive		
Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 241700 (Registration) to Chevrem Corporation, Fatih		
Tekin, President/Secretary/Treasurer d.b.a. Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station (Respondent		
Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station). The Registration was in full force and effect at all times		
relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2019, unless renewed.		
Smog Check Test Only Station License - Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog		
Station		
3. On or about February 16, 2006, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only, Station		
License Number TC 241700 to Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station. The License		
was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on		
Septembe	r 30, 2019, unless renewed.	
4.	On or about January 8, 2013, the Bureau issued a STAR Certificate to Respondent	
Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station. The STAR Certificate was in full force and effect at all		
times relevant to the charges brought herein and will remain active unless the Automotive Repai		
Dealer registration and/or Smog Check Station license is revoked, canceled, licenses become		
delinquent or certification is invalidated.		
	ĵ	

ACCUSATION

Smog Check Inspector Licenses

1

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

25

26

In 2003, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License 5. 2 Number EA 26524 to Fatih Tekin (Respondent Tekin). The Advanced Emission Specialist 3 Technician License expired on January 30, 2014. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title • 4 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e), the license was renewed, pursuant to Respondent's election, 5 as Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 26524, effective January 30, 2014.¹ The Smog б Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 7 herein and will expire on January 31, 2020, unless renewed.

On or about November 15, 2012, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License 9 6. Number EO 634957 to Efe Yusuf Ertas (Respondent Ertas). The Smog Check Inspector License 10 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on . 11 November 30, 2020, unless renewed. 12

In 2003, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License 7. Number EA 136985 to Shahzad Mark (Respondent Mark). The Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License expired on January 31, 2013. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e), the license was renewed, pursuant to Respondent's election, as Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 136985; effective January 31, 2013. The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2019, unless renewed.

8. On July 25, 2008, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician 20 License Number EA 630349 to Ugur Uzunsoy (Respondent Uzunsoy). The Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License was due to expire on July 31, 2012, however, the license was 22 cancelled on July 24, 2012. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, 23 subdivision (e), the license was renewed, pursuant to Respondent's election, as Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 630349; effective July 24, 2012. The Smog Check Inspector

¹ Effective August 1, 2012, California Code of Regulations, title 16, 3340.28, 3340.29 and 27 3340.30 were amended to implement a license restructure from the Advanced Emission Specialist Technician (EA) license and Basic Area (EB) Technician license to Smog Check Inspector (EO) 28 license and and/or Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) license.

License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2020, unless renewed.

3

5

. 6

9.

1

2

"Respondents" shall refer to Respondents Ertas, Mark, and Uzunsoy collectively.

JURISDICTION

10. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of ConsumerAffairs (Director) for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.

7 Business and Professions Code (Code) section 118, subdivision(b) states that "the 11. suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the 8 department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a . 9 court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period 10 in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to 11 institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by 12 law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action 13 against the licensee on any such ground." 14

15 12. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid
registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration
temporarily or permanently.

19

20

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

13. Section 9884.7 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide
error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair
dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the
automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive
technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written
or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable
care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

4

ACCUSATION

(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

14. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15. Section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code, in pertinent part, states:

15 "The test at the smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures
16 prescribed by the department, pursuant to Section 44013, shall require, at a minimum, loaded
17 mode dynamometer testing in enhanced areas, and two-speed testing in all other program areas,
18 and shall ensure all of the following:

19

20

Ż1

22

23

24

28

"(f) A visual or functional check is made of emission control devices specified by the department, including the catalytic converter in those instances in which the department determines it to be necessary to meet the findings of Section 44001. The visual or functional check shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

16. Section 44015 of the Health and Safety Code, subdivision (b) provides that if a
vehicle meets the requirements of Section 44012, a smog check station licensed to issue
certificates shall issue a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.

| | |

Section 44032 of the Health and Safety Code, provides that no person shall perform. 17. - 1 for compensation, tests or repairs of emission control devices or systems of motor vehicles 2 required by this chapter unless the person performing the test or repair is a qualified smog check 3 . 4 technician and the test or repair is performed at a licensed smog check station. Qualified technicians shall perform tests of emission control devices and systems in accordance with 5 Section 44012. б Section 44059 of the Health and Safety Code states, in pertinent part: 18. 7 "The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material matter in any 8 oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or application form which is required 9

by this chapter or Chapter 20.3 (commencing with Section 9880) of Division 3 of the Business
and Professions Code, constitutes perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code."

12

19. Section 44072.2 of the Health and Safety Code states, in pertinent part:

13 "The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as
14 provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the
15 following:

"(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health
and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the
licensed activities.

ļ

19

20

21

22

"(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

"(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.

"(h) Violates or attempts to violate the provisions of this chapter relating to the particular
activity for which he or she is licensed."

25 20. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the
26 expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director
27 of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive
28 the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

21. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:

"When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director."

Section 44072.10, subdivision (c) of the Health and Safety Code states: 22.

"(c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station licensee who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent inspection of vehicles. A fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

(1) Clean piping, as defined by the department. . ."

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.24, subdivision (c) states: 23.

"(c) The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal action against a licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a certificate of compliance or a 12 certificate of noncompliance."

14

13

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.30, subdivision (a) states: 24.

"A licensed smog check inspector and/or repair technician shall comply with the following 15 16 requirements at all times while licensed;

"(a) Inspect, test and repair vehicles, as applicable, in accordance with section 44012 of the 17 Health and Safety Code, section 44035 of the Health and Safety Code, and section 3340.42 of this 18 article." 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.35, subdivision (c) states: 25. "(c) A licensed station shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly. The following conditions shall apply:

"(1) Customers shall be charged the same price for certificates as that paid by the licensed 2627 station; and

7

"(2) Sales tax shall not be assessed on the price of certificates.

26. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.41, subdivision (c) states:
"(c) No person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification
information or emission control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one
being tested. Nor shall any person knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false
information about the vehicle being tested."

27. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section **3340.42**, **subdivision** (b)(2), states, in pertinent part that "Smog check inspection methods are prescribed in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by section 3340.45."

(b) In addition to subsection (a), all vehicles subject to the smog check program shall
receive the following:

(1) A visual inspection of emission control components and systems to verify the vehicle's
emission control systems are properly installed.

(2) A functional inspection of emission control systems as specified in the Smog Check
Manual, referenced by section 3340.45, which may include an OBD test, to verify their proper
operation.

17

18

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

28. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.45, states:

(a) All Smog Check inspections shall be performed in accordance with requirements and
 procedures prescribed in the following:

(1) Smog Check Inspection Procedures Manual, dated August 2009, which is hereby incorporated by reference. This manual shall be in effect until subparagraph (2) is implemented.

(2) Smog Check Manual, dated 2013, which is hereby incorporated by reference. This
manual shall become effective on or after January 1, 2013.

25

29. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3373 states:

"No automotive repair dealer or individual in charge shall, in filling out an estimate,
invoice, or work order, or record required to be maintained by section 3340.15(e) of this chapter,
withhold thereform or insert therein any statement or information which will cause any such

document to be false or misleading, or where the tendency or effect thereby would be to mislead or deceive customers, prospective customers, or the public."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

<u>COSTS</u>

30. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

31. California's Smog Check Program requires the owners of most motor vehicles in
California to take and pass a Smog Check inspection and receive a Certificate of Compliance
every two years when renewing their registration and also when the vehicle's title is transferred.
These inspections are performed by Smog Check inspectors at Smog Check Stations, both of
which are licensed by the Bureau.

The Smog Check inspection in certain Enhanced areas of the state is an Acceleration 32. 16 Simulation Mode (ASM) test performed using an Emission Inspection System (EIS), also known 17 as a BAR 97. This is a computer based five-gas analyzer that measures hydrocarbons, carbon 18^{-1} 19 monoxide, oxides of nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and oxygen. The inspection involves a test of the vehicle's tailpipe emissions on a dynamometer. In Basic areas of the state, or depending on a 20 vehicle's configuration (all-wheel drive, traction control issue), a similar test called a Two Speed 21Idle (TSI) test is performed, but instead of applying a load to the vehicle's drive wheels with a 22 dynamometer, the EIS measures the emissions at idle as well as 2500 revolutions per minute 23(RPM). 24

33. The inspector also performs visual and functional tests on the vehicle as outlined in
the Smog Check Manual. The visual inspection of the emission control components verifies the
required emission control devices are present and properly connected. Functional tests are also
performed which, depending on the vehicle, may include checking the ignition timing,

malfunction indicator light (MIL), Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) system, a low pressure test of the evaporative emissions controls (LPFET), a visible smoke test, and a pressure test of the gas cap.

34. On March 9, 2015, the Bureau implemented a statewide regulatory change requiring the use of the On Board Diagnostic Inspection System (BAR-OIS) instead of the Emission Inspection System (EIS) for the smog testing of 2000 model year and newer gas powered and 1998 and newer diesel vehicles. Most older vehicles require the ASM or TSI test on the EIS.

35. The new BAR-OIS smog inspection uses a Data Acquisition Device (DAD), a
computer, a bar code scanner, and printer. The DAD is a scan tool that retrieves data from a
vehicle's On Board Diagnostic-generation II (OBD II) computer. The DAD connects the BAR
OIS computer to the vehicle's diagnostic link connector (DLC) to retrieve the data from the
vehicle. The bar code scanner is used to input technician information, the vehicle identification
number (VIN), and DMV renewal information. The printer is used to print Vehicle Inspection
Reports.

36. Data retrieved and recorded during a BAR-OIS smog check includes: the eVIN,
which is the digitally stored VIN programmed into the vehicle's Powertrain Control Module
(PCM); the communication protocol, which is the manufacturer/vehicle's specific "language" the
PCM uses to relay information; and the number of Parameter Identifications (PIDs), which is the
number of specific data values each PCM uses related to emissions controls.

As part of the BAR-OIS smog inspections, the technician also performs a visual and 37. 20functional test on the vehicle being inspected. The visual inspection of the emission control 21 components verifies the required emission control devices are present and properly connected and 22 a functional test is performed of the malfunction indicator light (MIL). The BAR-OIS software 23 makes the determination whether or not the vehicle passes the inspection based on the results of 24 the OBD, visual and functional tests. If the vehicle passes the inspection a certificate of 25 compliance is issued. The information from the smog inspection is then transmitted to the 26Vehicle Information Data (VID). 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

|||

38. The Bureau can access the VID to view test data on smog check inspections performed at any Smog Check Station, or search for, retrieve, and print a test record for a particular vehicle which has been tested.

39. The Bureau has become aware of methods some Smog Check stations and Smog
Check inspectors use to fraudulently issue smog certificates to vehicles that will not pass a Smog
Check test on their own, or in some instances, are not even present during the time the test is
performed.

40. One method is known as "clean plugging." Clean plugging is the act of using one
vehicle's properly functioning OBDII system, or another source, to generate passing data readings
or diagnostic information for the purpose or fraudulently issue a smog certificate of compliance to
a vehicle that is not in smog compliance and/or not present for testing. The vehicle being tested is
not the one being issued the certificate of compliance.

41. The Bureau initiated an investigation of Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog 13 Station. Beginning on or about December 19, 2014 and continuing until about May 21, 2017 14 Respondents² were found to have performed five fraudulent smog inspections using clean-15 plugging methods. Clean-plugging is the practice of testing one OBD II system (a system that 16 would pass the smog inspection), for the purpose of fraudulently issuing a smog certificate of 17 compliance to another vehicle that would not pass the smog inspection and/or is not present for 18 testing. The vehicle receiving the certificate of compliance is not actually tested during the smog 19 inspection. 20

42. A Bureau representative reviewed data for five clean plugged vehicles inspected by
Respondents Ertas, Mark, and Uzunsoy and certified by Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog
Station. The data revealed that the five vehicles that were purportedly tested by Respondents were
not and could not have been connected to the DAD when they were being certified because the
OBD-II data purportedly transmitted by those vehicles could not have been transmitted by those
vehicles.

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

- ² Respondents Ertas, Mark and Uzunsoy performed the smog tests on behalf of Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station.
 - 11

Respondents clean plugged and issued fraudulent certificates of compliance to the 43. 1 following five vehicles: 2 Clean Plug# 1 - 2006 Toyota Corolla CE/LE/S, VIN:1NXBR32E26Z609693, CA a) 3 license number 5RZN981, performed by Respondent Ertas on December 19, 2014: 4 5 Certificate # eVIN Protocol PID count б PM825731C 47/17 Fraudulent 4T1BK3EK6AU104593 ICAN11bt5 Passing 7 Inspection (12/19/2014) 8 Expected 1NXBR32E26Z609693 1914 <u>18</u> **OBDII** Value 9 10 Clean Plug #2 - 2007 Honda Civic LX, VIN:1HGFA16597L119109, CA license b) 11 number 5ZMT067, performed by Respondent Ertas on April 12, 2015: 12 13 Certificate # eVIN Protocol PID count PO694856C 14 1HGCP3F86CA038159 ICAN29bt5 44 Fraudulent . 15 Passing Inspection -(04/12/2015) 16 Expected 1HGFA16597L119109 ICAN29bt5 39/19 **OBDII** Value 17 18 Clean Plug #3 - 2002 Toyota Sienna LE, VIN: 4T3ZF13C82U430570, CA license _ c) 19 number 4VGA193, performed by Respondent Mark on December 31, 2016: 20 21 Certificate # eVIN Protocol PID count ZN230261C 22 JTHBJ46G382195429 ICAN11bt5 46/17 Fraudulent Passing 23 Inspection (12/31/2016)24 Expected Not Reported 1914 20 OBDII Value 2526 111 27 111 28 12

ACCUSATION

Clean Plug #4 - 2007 Honda Accord EX, VIN: 1HGCM56797A067155. CA d) 1 license number 5ZKG114, performed by Respondent Mark on March 20, 2017: 2 3 Certificate # eVIN Protocol PID count ZR579623C 4 5FNYF4H58EB031868 ICAN29bt5 Fraudulent 45/20 5 Passing Inspection (03/20/2017)6 1HGCM56797A067155 1914 Expected <u>21</u> 7 **OBDII** Value 8 Clean Plug #5 - 2011 Toyota Sienna LE, VIN: 5TDKK3DC8BS157705, CA e) : 9 10 license number 6SDS232, performed by Respondent Uzunsoy on May 21, 2017: 11 eVIN Protocol Certificate # PID count ZV511688C 12 JTNBE46K873128503 ICAN11bt5 Fraudulent 39 Passing 13 Inspection (05/21/2017) 14 Expected 5TDKK3DC8BS157705 ICAN11bt5 47/17 or 47/20 **OBDII** Value 15 16 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 17 (Misleading Statements - Registration) .18 Respondent Clear Blue Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station has subjected its 44. 19 registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that it made statements 20 which it knew or which by exercise of reasonable care it should have known were untrue or 21 misleading, as set forth above in paragraphs 41-43, above. Respondents fraudulently purported to 22 test the five vehicles, and certified that the five vehicles passed inspection and were in 23 compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondents conducted the inspections 24 on those vehicles using clean-plugging methods. 25 111 26 111 27111 . 28 13

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Fraud - Registration)

45. Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station has subjected its registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that it committed acts which constitute fraud, as set forth above in paragraphs 41-43, above.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

· 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Provisions of the Automotive Repair Act)

46. Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station has subjected its registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that it failed in a material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted, as set forth above in paragraphs 41-43, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program – Smog Check Station License)
 47. Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station has subjected its station license to
 discipline under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivisions (a) and (c),
 in that it violated sections of that Code and applicable regulations, as set forth above in
 paragraphs 41-43, as follows:

a. Section 44012: Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station failed to ensure that
the emission control tests were performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures
prescribed by the department.

b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station
 failed to perform a visual or functional check of emission control devices on those vehicles in
 accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

c. Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station
issued electronic smog certificates of compliance but failed to ensure that the vehicles were
properly tested and inspected to determine if the emission control tests performed on those
vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

d. Section 44059: Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station willfully made false
entries for the electronic certificates of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been
inspected as required when, in fact, they had not.

e. Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

f. Section 3340.42, subdivision (b)(2): Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station failed to conduct a functional inspection of emission control systems for vehicles as specified in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.45.

g. Section 3340.45, subdivision (a)(2): Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station
 failed to perform smog check inspections in accordance with requirements and procedures
 prescribed in the Smog Check Inspection Manual.

h. Section 3373: Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station created a false or misleading work order by issuing the fraudulent certificates of compliance for the five vehicles.

<u>FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISICPLINE</u> (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit – Smog Check Station License)

48. Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station subjected its station license to discipline under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that it committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit, whereby another was injured by issuing electronic certificates of compliance for vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program, as set forth above in paragraphs 41-43, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program: Smog Check Inspector License - Ertas)

49. Respondent Ertas has subjected his Smog Check Inspector license to discipline under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that he violated sections of that Code and applicable regulations, as set forth above in paragraphs 41-43, as follows:

- 11 [

1

· 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 44012: Respondent Ertas failed to ensure that the emission control tests were a. performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department,

1

2

3

4

5

. 7

24

28

b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Ertas failed to perform a visual or functional check of emission control devices on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

c. Section 44032: Respondent Ertas failed to perform tests of emission control devices 6 and systems on those vehicles in accordance with Section 44012.

d. Section 44059: Respondent Ertas willfully made false entries for the electronic 8 certificates of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when, 9 in fact, they had not. 10

Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Ertas failed to inspect and test those 11 e. vehicles in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012 and California Code of 12 Regulations title 16, section 3340.42. 13

Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Erta's entered vehicle identification f. 14 information for a vehicle other than the one being tested into the emissions inspection system. 15 Respondent Ertas knowingly entered false information about the vehicle being tested into the 16 emissions inspection system. 17

Section 3340.42, subdivision (b)(2): Respondent Ertas failed to conduct a functional g, 18 inspection of emission control systems for vehicles as specified in the Smog Check Manual, 19 referenced by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.45. 20

Section 3340.45, subdivision (a)(2): Respondent Ertas failed to perform smog check h. 21 inspections in accordance with requirements and procedures prescribed in the Smog Check 22 Inspection Manual. 23

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit - Smog Check Inspector License)

25 Respondent Ertas subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under 50. 26 Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he committed acts 27 involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit, whereby another was injured by issuing electronic

16

certificates of compliance for vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program, as set forth above in paragraphs 41-43.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE .

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program; **Smog Check Inspector License - Mark)**

Respondent Mark has subjected his Smog Check Inspector license to discipline under 51. Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that he violated sections of that Code and applicable regulations, as set forth above in paragraphs 41-43. as follows:

11 a. Section 44012: Respondent Mark failed to ensure that the emission control tests were performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. 12

Ь. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Mark failed to perform a visual or functional check of emission control devices on those vehicles in accordance with procedures 15 prescribed by the department.

16 Section 44032: Respondent Mark failed to perform tests of emission control devices C. 17 and systems on those vehicles in accordance with Section 44012.

18. d. Section 44059: Respondent Mark willfully made false entries for the electronic 19 certificates of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when, 20 in fact, they had not.

21 Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Mark failed to inspect and test those e. 22 vehicles in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012 and California Code of 23 Regulations title 16, section 3340.42.

24 Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Mark entered vehicle identification f. 25 information for a vehicle other than the one being tested into the emissions inspection system. 26 Respondent Mark knowingly entered false information about the vehicle being tested into the 27emissions inspection system.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

g. Section 3340.42, subdivision (b)(2): Respondent Mark failed to conduct a functional
 inspection of emission control systems for vehicles as specified in the Smog Check Manual,
 referenced by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.45.

h. Section 3340.45, subdivision (a)(2): Respondent Mark failed to perform smog check
inspections in accordance with requirements and procedures prescribed in the Smog Check
Inspection Manual.

.7

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit – Smog Check Inspector License)

52. Respondent Mark subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under
Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he committed acts
involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit, whereby another was injured by issuing electronic
certificates of compliance for vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the emission
control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of
California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program, as set forth above
in paragraphs 41-43.

15

16

21

22

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program: Smog Check Inspector License - Uzunsoy)

Respondent Uzunsoy has subjected his Smog Check Inspector license to discipline
 under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that he
 violated sections of that Code and applicable regulations, as set forth above in paragraphs 41-43,
 as follows:

a. **Section 44012**: Respondent Uzunsoy failed to ensure that the emission control tests were performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Uzunsoy failed to perform a visual or
 functional check of emission control devices on those vehicles in accordance with procedures
 prescribed by the department.

26 c. Section 44032: Respondent Uzunsoy failed to perform tests of emission control
 27 devices and systems on those vehicles in accordance with Section 44012.

Section 44059: Respondent Uzunsoy willfully made false entries for the electronic d. certificates of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when. in fact, they had not.

Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Uzunsoy failed to inspect and test those vehicles in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012 and California Code of Regulations title 16, section 3340.42.

f. Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Uzunsoy entered vehicle 7 identification information for a vehicle other than the one being tested into the emissions 8 inspection system. Respondent Uzunsoy knowingly entered false information about the vehicle 9 being tested into the emissions inspection system. 10

Section 3340.42, subdivision (b)(2): Respondent Uzunsoy failed to conduct a 11 g. functional inspection of emission control systems for vehicles as specified in the Smog Check 12 13 Manual, referenced by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.45.

Section 3340.45, subdivision (a)(2): Respondent Uzunsoy failed to perform smog h. 14 check inspections in accordance with requirements and procedures prescribed in the Smog Check -Inspection Manual. 16

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud or Déceit - Smog Check Inspector License)

18 54. Respondent Uzunsoy subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under 19 Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he committed acts 20involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit, whereby another was injured by issuing electronic 21certificates of compliance for vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the emission 22 control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of 23 California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program, as set forth above 24 in paragraphs 41-43.

·25

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

15

17

OTHER MATTERS

26 55. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may suspend, revoke, 27 or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by

Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station, upon a finding that Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

56. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station's Station License is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

57. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent Tekin's Smog Check Inspector License is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

58. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent Ertas's Smog Check Inspector License is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

16 59. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent Mark's Smog
17 Check Inspector License is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5
18 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of said licensee may be
19 likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

20 60. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent Uzunsoy's Smog
21 Check Inspector License is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5
22 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of said licensee may be
23 likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

61. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Clear
Blue Test Only Smog Station, Complainant alleges that on or about June 27, 2003, in a prior
action, an accusation was filed on Gurnur International Corporation and its President, Fatih
Tekin, d.b.a. GIC Smog Station for causing the issuance of 13 fraudulent Smog Check Inspection

Certificates of Compliance to be issued. The business name for GIC Smog Station was changed to Walnut Creek Star Smog and ownership of the facility is under Chevrem Corporation with Fatih Tekin as President. On December 6, 2004, the Department of Consumer Affairs adopted a decision in the matter of Accusation 79/04-00 which revoked GIC Smog Station's Smog Check Station licenses and Automotive Repair Dealer registrations. However, the revocations were stayed and the stations were placed on three years probation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Clear 7 62. 8 Blue Test Only Smog Station, Complainant alleges that on or about September 21, 2005, in a prior action, Chevrem Corporation and its President, Fatih Tekin, d.b.a. Clear Blue Test Only 9 10 Smog Station applied for an Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and a Smog Check Station License. The parties stipulated that Accusation 79/04-00 was deemed to constitute a Statement of 11 Issues for the purpose of the denial of Respondent's application. The parties entered into a 12 stipulation and agreement which was adopted as a decision and order only to the Statement of 13 Issues against Fatih Tekin. On January 30, 2006, the Director of the Department of Consumer 14 Affairs adopted a decision and order issuing an Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and a 15 Smog Check Station License to Respondent and immediately revoking the licenses, with the 16 revocations stayed, following the terms and conditions of probation as set forth in Accusation 17 79/04-00. 18

To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Clear 63. 19 Blue Test Only Smog Station, Complainant alleges that on or about September 15, 2008, in a 20 prior action, an accusation was filed on Chevrem Corporation and its President, Fatih Tekin, 21 d.b.a. Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station, Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station 2, Clear Blue Test 22 Only Station 3, Test Only Smog Station II, and GIC Smog Station for causing the issuance of 13 23 fraudulent Smog Check Inspection Certificates of Compliance. On April 6, 2009, the Department 24 of Consumer Affairs adopted a decision in the matter of Accusation 79/09-21 which revoked all 25 Smog Check Station licenses and Automotive Repair Dealer registrations for each facility, 26 However, the revocations were stayed and the stations were placed on five years probation. In 27addition, each station was suspended anywhere from 5 to 45 days. 28

64. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station, Complainant alleges that on or about September 14, 2015, in a prior action, the Bureau issued Citation Number C2015-2133 and ordered Respondent to pay a \$1,500.00 fine. The citation was based on Respondent's issuance of a certificate of compliance to a vehicle using the BAR97 procedure when an OBD Inspection System was required. The citation was modified to an Order of Abatement. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

65. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Ertas, Complainant alleges that on or about July 10, 2015, in a prior action, the Bureau issued Citation Number M2015-1701 directing him to complete an 8-hour BAR certified training course in that he issued a certificate of compliance to a Bureau of Automotive Repair undercover vehicle with a defective fuel evaporative canister. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

66. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Ertas,
Complainant alleges that on or about December 15, 2016, in a prior action, the Bureau issued
Citation Number M2016-2352 in that he issued a certificate of compliance to a vehicle using the
BAR97 procedure when an OBD Inspection System was required. That Citation is now final and
is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

19 67. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Mark,
20 Complainant alleges that on or about January 13, 2010, in a prior action, the Bureau issued
21 Citation Number M2010-0668 for violating Health and Safety Code section 44032 in that he
22 issued a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with the ignition timing
23 adjusted beyond specifications. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if
24 fully set forth.

68. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Mark,
Complainant alleges that on or about October 27, 2014, in a prior action, the Bureau issued
Citation Number M2014-1317 directing him to complete an 8-hour BAR certified training course
in that he issued a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with a missing Pulsed

Secondary Air Injection (PAIR) System. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

69. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Uzunsoy, Complainant alleges that on or about August 4, 2015, in a prior action, the Bureau issued Citation Number M2015-1842. The citation ordered Respondent to cease and desist from violating Health and Safety Code section 44032 in that he issued a certificate of compliance using the BAR97 method when an OBD Inspection System (OIS) is required. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

13 1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
 14 241700, issued to Chevrem Corporation, Fatih Tekin, President, doing business as Clear Blue
 15 Test Only Smog Station;

16
2. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Test Only Station License Number TC
17
241700, issued to Chevrem Corporation, Fatih Tekin, President, doing business as Clear Blue
18
Test Only Smog Station;

Revoking or suspending any additional Automotive Repair Dealer Registration,
 Smog Check Station License, Smog Check Inspector License, or Smog Check Repair Technician
 license, issued to Chevrem Corporation;

4. Ordering Chevrem Corporation to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the
reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and
Professions Code section 125.3;

25 5. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License EO 26524 issued to Fatih
26 Tekin;

27 6. Revoking or suspending any additional Smog Check Station License, Smog Check
28 Inspector License, or Smog Check Repair Technician License, issued to Fatih Tekin;

7. Ordering Fatih Tekin to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs
 of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
 section 125.3;

8. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License EO 634957 issued to Efe
5 Yusuf Ertas;

9. Revoking or suspending any additional Smog Check Station License, Smog Check
7 Inspector License, or Smog Check Repair Technician License, issued to Efe Yusuf Ertas;

8 10. Ordering Efe Yusuf Ertas to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable
9 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
10 Code section 125.3;

11 11. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License EO 136985 issued to
 12 Shahzad Mark;

13 12. Revoking or suspending any additional Smog Check Station License, Smog Check
14 Inspector License, or Smog Check Repair Technician License, issued to Shahzad Mark;

15 13. Ordering Shahzad Mark to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable
16 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
17 Code section 125.3;

18 14. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License EO 630349 issued to
19 Ugur Uzunsoy;

2015.Revoking or suspending any additional Smog Check Station License, Smog Check21Inspector License, or Smog Check Repair Technician License, issued to Ugur Uzunsoy;

16. Ordering Ugur Uzunsoy to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable
costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
Code section 125.3;

25

26

27

111

111

111

28

17. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. r DATED: 12-28-18 PATRICK DORA Chief IS 舟 v Lr Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant