BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION 2850 Crow Canyon Road San Ramon, California 94583 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 241700 Smog Check, Test Only Station License No. TC 241700,

HARPREET SINGH CHHINA

2680 Cherry Blossom Way Union City, California 94587 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 152180

CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION 2 3790 Hopyard Road Pleasanton, California 94588 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 244942

Smog Check, Test Only Station License No. TC 244942,

CHRISTOPHER BRYAN HARRISON

143 Roxanne Court, #2 Walnut Creek, California 94597 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 151176

CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION 3 898 A Street Hayward, California 94541 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 248804 Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 248804

11

Case No. 79/09-21

DECISION AND ORDER

[as to FATIH TEKIN and associated

licenses only]

WINCHESTER SIBUMA ORDONEZ

2210 Grove Way Castro Valley, California 94546 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 144440

CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA TEST ONLY SMOG STATION II

5200 Telegraph Avenue Oakland, California 94609 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 236306 Smog Check, Test Only Station No. TC 236306

BRYON LEONARD SCHAUB

46410 Briarplace Fremont, California 94539 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 152893

NUSRET B. TOPCU

2427 Byron Street Berkeley, California 94702 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 147911

MATTHEW JARED PHELPS

916 Magnolia Drive Alameda, California 94502 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 151026

CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA G I C SMOG STATION

690 Ygnacio Valley Road, #3 Walnut Creek, California 94596 **FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT** Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 221700 Smog Check, Test Only Station License No. TC 221700

NUSRET B. TOPCU

2427 Byron Street Berkeley, California 94702 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 147911

Respondents.

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (as to FAITH TEKIN and associated licenses only] is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs in the above-entitled matter only as to respondent Chevrem Corporation, Fatih Tekin, President, relating to the following licenses:

dba Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 241700 Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 241700

dba Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station 2 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 244942 Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 244942

dba Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station 3 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 248804 Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 248804

dba Test Only Smog Station II Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 236306 Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 236306

dba GIC Smog Station Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 221700 Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 221700

The registrations/licenses shall be suspended as follows:

License No.	Suspension
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 241700	10 days
Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 241700	10 days
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 244942	5 days //
Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 244942	5 days //
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 248804	10 days - /
Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 248804	10 days - /
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 236306 Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 226306	10 days
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 221700	45 days
Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 221700	45 days

The suspension of the above registrations/licenses shall commence on the effective date of this Decision.

This Decision shall become effective ____

:4/6/09

DATED: February 27, 2009

PATRICIA HARRIS Deputy Director, Board/Bureau Support Department of Consumer Affairs

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General	
2	of the State of California WILBERT E. BENNETT	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIM M. SETTLES, State Bar No. 116945	
4	Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20 th Floor	
5	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550	
	Telephone: (510) 622-2138	
6	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE DEPARTMENT OF CO	NSUMER AFFAIRS
9	FOR THE BUREAU OF AI STATE OF CA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/09-21
12	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG	
13	STATION	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14	2850 Crow Canyon Road San Ramon, California 94583	
15	FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT Automotive Repair Dealer Registration	[as to FATIH TEKIN and associated
16	No. ARD 241700 Smog Check, Test Only Station License	licenses only]
17	No. TC 241700,	
18	HARPREET SINGH CHHINA 2680 Cherry Blossom Way	
19	Union City, California 94587 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician	
20	License No. EA 152180	
21	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG	
22	STATION 2 3790 Hopyard Road	
23	Pleasanton, California 94588 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT	
24	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 244942	
25	Smog Check, Test Only Station License No. TC 244942,	
26	CHRISTOPHER BRYAN HARRISON	
20	143 Roxanne Court, #2	
27 28	Walnut Creek, California 94597 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 151176	
	1	
•	•	

1	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG
2	STATION 3 898 A Street
3	Hayward, California 94541 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT
4	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 248804
5	Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 248804
6	
7	WINCHESTER SIBUMA ORDONEZ 2210 Grove Way Castro Valley, California 94546
8	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 144440
9	
10	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA TEST ONLY SMOG STATION II
11	5200 Telegraph Avenue Oakland, California 94609
12	FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 236306
13	Smog Check, Test Only Station No. TC 236306
14	
15	BRYON LEONARD SCHAUB 46410 Briarplace
16	Fremont, California 94539 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 152893
17	
18	NUSRET B. TOPCU 2427 Byron Street Parkalay, California, 04702
19	Berkeley, California 94702 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 147911
20	
21	MATTHEW JARED PHELPS 916 Magnolia Drive
22	Alameda, California 94502 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 151026
23	
24	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA G I C SMOG STATION
25	690 Ygnacio Valley Road, #3 Walnut Creek, California 94596
26	FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 221700
27	Smog Check, Test Only Station License No. TC 221700
28	///

1 2	NUSRET B. TOPCU 2427 Byron Street Berkeley, California 94702
3	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 147911
4	Respondents.
5	
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the
7	above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:
8	PARTIES
9	1. Sherry Mehl (Complainant) is the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive
10	Repair. She brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this matter by
11	Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Kim M. Settles, Deputy
12	Attorney General.
13	2. Respondent, FATIH TEKIN (Respondent) is represented by Merrill
14	Schwartz, whose address is 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1520, Oakland, CA 94612-4703.
15	LICENSE HISTORY
16	RESPONDENT NO. 1
17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
18	3. On or about February 3, 2006, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair
19	Dealer Registration Number ARD 241700 ("registration") to CHEVREM CORPORATION,
20	doing business as CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION ("Respondent No. 1") with
21	FATIH TEKIN as president. The registration will expire on September 30, 2008, unless
22	renewed.
23	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
24	4. On or about February 16, 2006, the Bureau issued SMOG CHECK, TEST
25	ONLY STATION License Number TC 241700 to Respondent No. 1. The registration will expire
26	on September 30, 2008, unless renewed.
27	//
28	//
	3

1	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
2	5. On or about December 21, 2006, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
3	Specialist Technician License Number EA 152180 ("technician license") to HARPREET SINGH
4	CHHINA ("Respondent CHHINA"). The technician license will expire on June 30, 2010, unless
5	renewed.
6	RESPONDENT NO. 2
7	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
8	6. On or about July 11, 2006, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer
9	Registration Number ARD 244942 ("registration") to CHEVREM CORPORATION, doing
10	business as CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION 2 ("Respondent No. 2") with FATIH
11	TEKIN as president. The registration will expire on April 30, 2009, unless renewed.
12	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
13	7. On or about November 20, 2006, the Bureau issued SMOG CHECK,
14	TEST ONLY STATION License Number TC 244942 ("station license") to Respondent No. 2.
15	The registration will expire on April 30, 2009, unless renewed.
16	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
17	8. On or about March 23, 2005, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
18	Specialist Technician License Number EA 151176 ("technician license") to CHRISTOPHER
19	BRYAN HARRISON ("Respondent HARRISON"). The technician license will expire on
20	July 31, 2009, unless renewed.
21	RESPONDENT NO. 3
22	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
23	9. On or about February 14, 2007, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair
24	Dealer Registration Number ARD 248804 ("registration") to CHEVREM CORPORATION,
25	doing business as CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION 3 ("Respondent No. 3") with
26	FATIH TEKIN as president. The registration will expire on January 31, 2009, unless renewed.
27	//
28	//
1	

1	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
2	10. On or about March 2, 2007, the Bureau issued SMOG CHECK, TEST
3	ONLY STATION License Number TC 248804 to Respondent No. 3. The station license will
4	expire on January 31, 2009, unless renewed.
5	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
6	11. On or about May 13, 2002, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
. 7	Specialist Technician License Number EA 144440 ("technician license") to WINCHESTER
8	SIBUMA ORDONEZ ("Respondent ORDONEZ"). The technician license will expire on
9	October 31, 2010, unless renewed.
10	RESPONDENT NO. 4
11	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
12	12. On or about February 9, 2005, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair
13	Dealer Registration Number ARD 236306 ("registration") to CHEVREM CORPORATION,
14	doing business as TEST ONLY SMOG STATION II ("Respondent No. 4") with FATIH TEKIN
15	as president. The registration will expire on October 31, 2008, unless renewed.
16	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
17	13. On or about February 14, 2005, the Bureau issued Smog Check, TEST
18	ONLY STATION License Number TC 236306 ("station license") to Respondent No. 4. The
19	station license will expire on October 31, 2008, unless renewed.
20	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
21	14. On or about July 7, 2006, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission Specialist
22	Technician License Number EA 152893 ("technician license") to BRYAN LEONARD
23	SCHAUB ("Respondent SCHAUB"). The technician license will expire on September 30, 2010,
24	unless renewed.
25	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
26	15. On or about November 26, 2003, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
27	Specialist Technician License Number EA 147911 ("technician license") to NUSRET B.
28	TOPCU ("Respondent Topcu"). The technician license expired on October 31, 2007.

1	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
2	16. On or about February 18, 2005, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
3	Specialist Technician License Number EA 151026 ("technician license") to MATTHEW JARED
4	PHELPS ("Respondent PHELPS"). The technician license will expire on December 31, 2008,
5	unless renewed.
6	RESPONDENT NO. 5
.7	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
8	17. On or about June 12, 2002, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer
9	Registration Number ARD 221700 ("registration") to CHEVREM CORPORATION, doing
10	business as G I C SMOG STATION ("Respondent No. 5") with FATIH TEKIN as president.
11	The registration will expire on May 31, 2009, unless renewed.
12	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
13	18. On or about June 21, 2002, the Bureau issued SMOG CHECK, TEST
14	ONLY STATION License Number TC 221700 ("station license") to Respondent No. 5. The
15	station license will expire on May 31, 2009, unless renewed.
16	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
17	19. On or about November 26, 2003, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
18	Specialist Technician License Number EA 147911 ("technician license") to NUSRET B.
19	TOPCU ("Respondent TOPCU"). The technician license will expire on October 31, 2008,
20	unless renewed.
21	JURISDICTION
22	20. Accusation No. 79/08-21 was filed before the Department of Consumer
23	Affairs (Director), for the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau), and is currently pending
24	against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly
25	served on Respondent on September 24, 2008. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense
26	contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 79/08-21 is attached as Exhibit A and
27	incorporated herein by reference.
28	//

1	ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS
2	21. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations
3	in Accusation No. 79/08-21. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of
4	this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
5	22. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the
6	right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by
7	counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him;
8	the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of
9	subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to
10	reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the
11	California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
12	23. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up
13	each and every right set forth above.
14	CULPABILITY
15	24. Respondent admits the truth of every charge and allegation contained in
16	Accusation No. 79/08-21.
17	25. Respondent agrees that his Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
18	Number ARD 241700, Smog Check Station License Number TC 241700, Automotive Repair
19	Dealer Registration Number ARD 244942, Smog Check Station License Number TC 244942,
20	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number 248804, Smog Check Station License Number
21	TC 248804, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 236306, Smog Check Station
22	License Number TC 236306, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 221700, and
23	Smog Check Station License TC 221700, are subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by
24	the Director's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
25	CONTINGENCY
26	26. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated
27	Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same
28	force and effect as the originals.

l

l	
1	27. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation, he is enabling the
2	Director to issue her order as set forth below, regarding respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer
3	Registration Number ARD 241700, Smog Check Station License Number TC 241700,
4	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 244942, Smog Check Station License
5	Number TC 244942, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number 248804, Smog Check
6	Station License Number TC 248804, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
7	236306, Smog Check Station License Number TC 236306, Automotive Repair Dealer
8	Registration Number ARD 221700, and Smog Check Station License TC 221700. In the event
9	this stipulation is rejected for any reason by the Director, it will be of no force or effect for either
10	party. The Director will not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of her
11	consideration of this stipulation.
12	28. The parties hereto stipulate that in the event that this Stipulated Settlement
13	is not adopted by the Director, nothing herein recited shall be construed as a waiver of
14	Respondent's right to a hearing or as an admission of the truth of any of the matters charged in
15	the Accusation.
16	29. The parties acknowledge that based on the foregoing recitals, IT IS
17	HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Director of Consumer Affairs may issue the
18	following Disciplinary Order:
19	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number
21	ARD 241700 and Smog Check Station License Number TC 241700 issued to Respondent
22	FATIH TEKIN are revoked. However, the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and Smog
23	Check Station License revocations are stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5)
24	years on the following terms and conditions.
25	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
26	Number ARD 244942 and Smog Check Station License Number TC 244942 issued to
27	Respondent FATIH TEKIN are revoked. However, the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
28	and Smog Check Station License revocations are stayed and Respondent is placed on probation
	8
	n · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

2	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
3	Number ARD 248804 and Smog Check Station License Number TC 248804 issued to
4	Respondent FATIH TEKIN are revoked. However, the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
5	and Smog Check Station License revocations are stayed and Respondent is placed on probation
6	for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
8	Number ARD 236306 and Smog Check Station License Number TC 236306 issued to
9	Respondent FATIH TEKIN are revoked. However, the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
10	and Smog Check Station License revocations are stayed and Respondent is placed on probation
11	for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
13	Number ARD 221700 and Smog Check Station License Number TC 221700 issued to
14	Respondent FATIH TEKIN are revoked. However, the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
15	and Smog Check Station License revocations are stayed and Respondent is placed on probation
16	for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.
17	1. Actual Suspension. ARD Registration Number ARD 241700 and Smog
18	Check Station License Number TC 241700 issued to Respondent, FATIH TEKIN, Owner,
19	CHEVREM CORPORATION, d.b.a. CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION is
20	suspended for ten (10) days.
21	ARD Registration Number 244942 and Smog Check Station License Number TC
22	244942 issued to Respondent, FATIH TEKIN, Owner, CHEVREM CORPORATION, d.b.a.
23	CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION 2 is suspended for five (5) days.
24	ARD Registration Number 244804 and Smog Check Station License Number TC
25	248804 issued to Respondent, FATIH TEKIN, Owner, CHEVREM CORPORATION, d.b.a.
26	CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION 3, issued to Respondent, FATIH TEKIN,
27	Owner, CHEVREM CORPORATION, d.b.a. CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG STATION 3
28	is suspended for ten (10) days.
	8

9

1	
1	ARD Registration Number ARD 236306 and Smog Check Station License
2	Number TC 236306 issued to Respondent, FATIH TEKIN, Owner, CHEVREM
3	CORPORATION, d.b.a. TEST ONLY SMOG STATION II is suspended for ten (10) days.
4	ARD Registration Number ARD 221700 and Smog Check Station License
5	Number TC 221700 issued to Respondent, FATIH TEKIN, Owner, CHEVREM
6	CORPORATION, d.b.a. GIC SMOG STATION is suspended for forty-five (45) days.
7	2. Obey All Laws. Comply with all statutes, regulations and rules governing
8	automotive inspections, estimates and repairs.
9	3. Post Sign. Post a prominent sign, provided by the Bureau, indicating the
10	beginning and ending dates of the above-referenced suspensions and indicating the reason for the
11	suspension. The sign shall be conspicuously displayed in a location open to and frequented by
12	customers and shall remain posted during the entire period of actual suspension.
13	4. Reporting. Respondent or Respondent's authorized representative must
14	report in person or in writing as prescribed by the Bureau of Automotive Repair, on a schedule
15	set by the Bureau, but no more frequently than each quarter, on the methods used and success
16	achieved in maintaining compliance with the terms and conditions of probation.
17	5. Report Financial Interest. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of
18	this action, report any financial interest which any partners, officers, or owners of the Respondent
19	facility may have in any other business required to be registered pursuant to Business and
20	Professions Code section 9884.6.
21	6. Jurisdiction. If an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed
22	against Respondent during the term of probation, the Director of Consumer Affairs shall have
23	continuing jurisdiction over this matter until the final decision on the accusation and/or petition
24	to revoke probation, and the period of probation shall be extended until such decision.
25	7. Violation of Probation. Should the Director of Consumer Affairs
26	determine that Respondent has failed to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, the
27	Director may, after giving notice and opportunity to be heard, suspend or revoke Respondent's
28	licenses.
	10
	II A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT.

1	
1	8. Cost Recovery. Payment to the Bureau of the full amount of cost
2	recovery in the amount of \$25,000.00 shall be received no later that six (6) months of the
3	effective date of this decision. Failure to complete payment of cost recovery within this time
4	frame shall constitute a violation of probation which may subject Respondent's above-referenced
5	ARD Registrations and Smog Check Station Licenses to outright revocation; however, the
6	Director or the Director's Bureau of Automotive Repair designee may elect to continue probation
7	until such time as reimbursement of the entire cost recovery amount has been made to the
8	Bureau.
9	ACCEPTANCE
10	I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I
11	understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Automotive Repair Dealer
12	Registrations and Smog Check Station Licenses. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and
13	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the
14	Decision and Order of the Director of Consumer Affairs.
15	DATED: $1.27.2009$.
16	EATH All Clu
17	Respondent
18	
19	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent FATIH TEKIN the terms and
20	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
21	Order. I approve of its form.
22	DATED: 1-27-09
23	Menel for
24	MERRILL SCHWARTZ, ESQ.) Attorney for Respondent
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11
	11

1	PNDODSEMENT
2	ENDORSEMENT
	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
3	submitted for consideration by the Director of Consumer Affairs.
4 5	DATED: 12809
6	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General
7	of the State of California
8	WILBERT E. BENNETT Supervising Deputy Attorney General
9	
10	Kim M. Settles
11	KIM M. SETTLES Deputy Attorney General
12	Attorneys for Complainant
13	
14	03562110SF2008400135
15	90103830.wpd
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
}	12

.

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 79/09-21

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney Genera	al	
2	of the State of California WILBERT E. BENNETT		
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIM M. SETTLES, State Bar No. 116945		
3	Deputy Attorney General		
4	1515 Clay Street, 20 th Floor P.O. Box 70550		
5	Oakland, CA 94612-0550		
6	Telephone: (510) 622-2138 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEF	ORE THE	
	DEPARTMENT OF	F CONSUMER AFFAIRS OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR	
9		F CALIFORNIA	
10			
11.	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/09/21	
12	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG	ACCUSATION	
13	STATION 2850 Crow Canyon Road		
14	San Ramon, California 94583	[SMOG CHECK]	
15	FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 241700		
16	Smog Check, Test Only Station License		
17	No. TC 241700,		
18	HARPREET SINGH CHHINA 2680 Cherry Blossom Way		•.
	Union City, California 94587		•
19	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 152180		2
20	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA		
21	CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG		
22	STATION 2 3790 Hopyard Road		
23	Pleasanton, California 94588 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT		
- 24	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 244942		
	Smog Check, Test Only Station License		
25	No. TC 244942,		
26	CHRISTOPHER BRYAN HARRISON 143 Roxanne Court, #2		
27	Walnut Creek, California 94597		
28	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 151176		
		1	
	H		

. 1	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA CLEAR BLUE TEST ONLY SMOG
2	STATION 3 898 A Street
3	Hayward, California 94541 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT
4	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
5	No. ARD 248804 Smog Check Test Only Station License
6	No. TC 248804
7	WINCHESTER SIBUMA ORDONEZ ' 2210 Grove Way
8	Castro Valley, California 94546 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
.9	License No. EA 144440
10	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA TEST ONLY SMOG STATION II
11	5200 Telegraph Avenue Oakland, California 94609
12	FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
13	No. ARD 236306 Smog Check, Test Only Station
14	No. TC 236306
15	BRYON LEONARD SCHAUB 46410 Briarplace
16	Fremont, California 94539 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
17	License No. EA 152893
18	NUSRET B. TOPCU 2427 Byron Street
19	Berkeley, California 94702 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
20	License No. EA 147911
21	MATTHEW JARED PHELPS 916 Magnolia Drive
22	Alameda, California 94502 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
23	License No. EA 151026
24	CHEVREM CORPORATION, DBA G I C SMOG STATION
24	690 Ygnacio Valley Road, #3
	Walnut Creek, California 94596 FATIH TEKIN, PRESIDENT
26	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 221700
27	Smog Check, Test Only Station License No. TC 221700
28	///

. . .

2

.

1	NUSRET B. TOPCU 2427 Byron Street
2	Berkeley, California 94702
3	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 147911
4	Respondents,
5	
6	Sherry Mehl ("Complainant") alleges:
7	PARTIES
.8	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the
9	Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
10	LICENSE HISTORY
11	RESPONDENT NO. 1
12	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
13	2. On or about February 3, 2006, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair
14	Dealer Registration Number ARD 241700 ("registration") to Chevrem Corporation, doing
15	business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station ("Respondent No. 1") with Fatih Tekin as
16	president. The registration will expire on September 30, 2008, unless renewed.
17	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
18	3. On or about February 16, 2006, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only
19	Station License Number TC 241700 to Respondent No. 1. The registration will expire on
20	September 30, 2008, unless renewed.
21	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
22	4. On or about December 21, 2006, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
23	Specialist Technician License Number EA 152180 ("technician license") to Harpreet Singh
24	Chhina ("Respondent Chhina"). The technician license will expire on June 30, 2010, unless
25	renewed.
26	///
27	
28	///
	3

1	RESPONDENT NO. 2
. 2	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
3	5. On or about July 11, 2006, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer
4	Registration Number ARD 244942 ("registration") to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as
5	Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station 2 ("Respondent No. 2") with Fatih Tekin as president. The
6	registration will expire on April 30, 2009, unless renewed.
7	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
8	6. On or about November 20, 2006, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test
9	Only Station License Number TC 244942 ("station license") to Respondent No. 2. The
10	registration will expire on April 30, 2009, unless renewed.
11	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
12	7. On or about March 23, 2005, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
13	Specialist Technician License Number EA 151176 ("technician license") to Christopher Bryan
14	Harrison ("Respondent Harrison"). The technician license will expire on July 31, 2009, unless
15	renewed.
16	RESPONDENT NO. 3
. 17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
18	8. On or about February 14, 2007, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair
19	Dealer Registration Number ARD 248804 ("registration") to Chevrem Corporation, doing
20	business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station 3 ("Respondent No. 3") with Fatih Tekin as
21	president. The registration will expire on January 31, 2009, unless renewed.
22	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
23	9. On or about March 2, 2007, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only
24	Station License Number TC 248804 to Respondent No. 3. The station license will expire on
25	January 31, 2009, unless renewed.
26	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
27	10. On or about May 13, 2002, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
28	Specialist Technician License Number EA 144440 ("technician license") to Winchester Sibuma
	4
1	

: 7

	Ordonez ("Respondent Ordonez"). The technician license will expire on October 31, 2010
2	unless renewed.
3	RESPONDENT NO. 4
4	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
.5	11. On or about February 9, 2005, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair
6	Dealer Registration Number ARD 236306 ("registration") to Chevrem Corporation, doing
7	business as Test Only Smog Station II ("Respondent No. 4") with Fatih Tekin as president. The
8	registration will expire on October 31, 2008, unless renewed.
9	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
10	12. On or about February 14, 2005, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only
11	Station License Number TC 236306 ("station license") to Respondent No. 4. The station license
12	will expire on October 31, 2008, unless renewed.
13	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
14	13. On or about July 7, 2006, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission Specialist
15	Technician License Number EA 152893 ("technician license") to Bryan Leonard Schaub
16	("Respondent Schaub"). The technician license will expire on September 30, 2010, unless
17	renewed.
18	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
19	14. On or about November 26, 2003, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
20	Specialist Technician License Number EA 147911 ("technician license") to Nusret B. Topcu
21	("Respondent Topcu"). The technician license expired on October 31, 2007.
22	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
23	15. On or about February 18, 2005, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
24	Specialist Technician License Number EA 151026 ("technician license") to Matthew Jared
. 25	Phelps ("Respondent Phelps"). The technician license will expire on December 31, 2008, unless
26	renewed.
27	
28	///
	5

1	RESPONDENT NO. 5
2	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
3	16. On or about June 12, 2002, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer
4	Registration Number ARD 221700 ("registration") to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as
5	G I C Smog Station ("Respondent No. 5") with Fatih Tekin as president. The registration will
6	expire on May 31, 2009, unless renewed.
7	Smog Check, Test Only Station License
8	17. On or about June 21, 2002, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only
9	Station License Number TC 221700 ("station license") to Respondent No. 5. The station license
10	will expire on May 31, 2009, unless renewed.
11	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
12	18. On or about November 26, 2003, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission
13	Specialist Technician License Number EA 147911 ("technician license") to Nusret B. Topcu
. 14	("Respondent Topcu"). The technician license will expire on October 31, 2008, unless renewed.
15	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
16	19. Section 9884.7 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") states, in
. 17	pertinent part:
18	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was
19	a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the
20	following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any
21	automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
22	(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any
23	statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or
24	misleading.
25	(2) Causing or allowing a customer to sign any work order that does not state the repairs requested by the customer or the automobile's odometer reading
26	at the time of repair.
27	(3) Failing or refusing to give to a customer a copy of any document requiring his or her signature, as soon as the customer signs the document.
28	(4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.
	6

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter [the Automotive Repair Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, 9880, et seq.)] or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

(b) Except as provided for in subdivision (c), if an automotive repair dealer operates more than one place of business in this state, the director pursuant to subdivision (a) shall only invalidate temporarily or permanently the registration of the specific place of business which has violated any of the provisions of this chapter. This violation, or action by the director, shall not affect in any manner the right of the automotive repair dealer to operate his or her other places of business.

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

20. Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), states:

(a) The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission from the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed by an automotive repair dealer if an authorization or consent for an increase in the original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission. If that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work order of the date, time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs and telephone number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional parts and labor and the total additional cost, and shall do either of the following:

(1) Make a notation on the invoice of the same facts set forth in the notation on the work order.

(2) Upon completion of the repairs, obtain the customer's signature or initials to an acknowledgment of notice and consent, if there is an oral consent of the customer to additional repairs, in the following language:

"I acknowledge notice and oral approval of an increase in the original estimated price.

(signature or initials)"

Nothing in this section shall be construed as requiring an automotive repair dealer to give a written estimated price if the dealer does not agree to perform the requested repair.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

21. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a

28 || valid registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a

1	disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a
2	registration temporarily or permanently.
3	22. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" includes
4	"bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee,"
5	"program," and "agency." "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage
6	in a business or profession regulated by the Code.
7	23. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part,
8	that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for
9	enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
. 10	24. Section 44072.2 of the Health and Safety Code states, in pertinent part:
-11	The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or
12	director thereof, does any of the following:
13 14	(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.
15	(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this
16	chapter.
17	(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.
18	25. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part,
19	that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the
20	Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall
21	not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.
22	26. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:
23	"When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this
24	article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be
25	likewise revoked or suspended by the director."
26	REGULATORY PROVISION
27	27. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356, subdivision (a)(1),
28	states:
	8

ł

(a) All invoices for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in Section 9884.8 of the Business and Professions Code, shall comply with the following:

(1) The invoice shall show the automotive repair dealer's registration number and the corresponding business name and address as shown in the Bureau's records.

COST RECOVERY

Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request
the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
and enforcement of the case.

RESPONDENT NO. 1

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - JUNE 26, 2007

29. On June 26, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias 12 Ron Picard ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1992 Toyota, California License Plate No. 13 4S12688, to Respondent No. 1's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not pass a 14 smog inspection because the vehicle's air suction ("AS") system was missing. Respondent 15 Chhina performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. 16 MS616778, certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1992 Toyota and that the vehicle was 17 18 in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed 19 the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's AS system was missing.

20 21

1

2

3

4

.5

10

11

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

30. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about June 26, 2007, it made or
authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known
to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 for the
1992 Toyota, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.
In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the
vehicle's AS system was missing.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant 31. 3 to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about June 26, 2007, it committed acts 4 which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 for the 1992 Toyota without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

32. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action 11 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about 12 June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, it failed to comply with the following sections of that 13 14 Code:

Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent No.1 failed to determine 15 a. that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning 16 17 correctly in accordance with test procedures.

18 b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent No. 1 failed to perform 19 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. 20 c. Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent No. 1 issued electronic 21 Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting 22 the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

23 d. Section 44059: Respondent No. 1 willfully made false entries for 24 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 by certifying that the vehicle had been 25 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.

26 ///

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

27 ///

28 ///

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

33. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action
pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, it failed to comply with provisions of California Code
of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent No. 1 falsely or
fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 for the vehicle, in that
the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's AS
system was missing.

b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent No. 1 issued electronic
Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been
inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

c. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent No. 1 failed to conduct the required smog
tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

17

1

2

3

. 18

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

19 34. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action 20 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 21 June 26, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by 22 issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 for the 1992 Toyota without 23 performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle. 24 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor 25 Vehicle Inspection Program. 26 /// 27 ///

28 ///

1	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	35. Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
4	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
5	June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he violated the following sections of that Code:
6	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent Chhina failed to determine
7	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
8	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
9	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent Chhina failed to perform
10	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
11	c. <u>Section 44032</u> : Respondent Chhina failed to perform tests of the
12	emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that
13	Code.
14	d. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent Chhina entered false information for
15	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 by certifying that the vehicle had been
16	inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.
17	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
19	
20	36. Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
21	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
22	June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he violated the following sections of the California
23	Code of Regulations, title 16:
24	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent Chhina falsely or
25	fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS616778 for the vehicle, in that
26	the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's AS
27	system was missing.
28	
	12

b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent Chhina failed to inspect
 and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent Chhina entered false
information into the Emission Inspection System ("EIS") by entering "Pass" for the visual
portion of the smog test when, in fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the
smog inspection because the vehicle's AS system was missing.

7 d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent Chhina failed to conduct the required smog
8 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 10 Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 37. 11 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 12 13 June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or 14 deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. 15 MS616778 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control 16 devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 17 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

18

9

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - JULY 25, 2007

On July 25, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias 19 38. 20 Steve Palmer ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1994 Toyota Camry, California License 21 Plate No. 3FLD508, to Respondent No. 1's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not 22 pass the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was 23 adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications. Respondent Chhina performed the smog 24 inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610, certifying that he had 25 tested and inspected the 1994 Toyota Camry and that the vehicle was in compliance with 26 applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion 27 of the smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the 28 manufacturer's specifications.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

39. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant 3 to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about July 25, 2007, it made or 4 authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known 5 to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 for 6 the 1994 Toyota Camry, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and 7 regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog 8 9 inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications. 10 TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 11 (Fraud) 12 40. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant 13 to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 25, 2007, it committed acts 14 which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 for the 15 16 1994 Toyota Camry without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 17 18 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 19 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 20 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 21 41. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action 22 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about 23 July 25, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, it failed to comply with the following sections of that Code: 24 25 a. Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent No. 1 failed to determine 26 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning 27 correctly in accordance with test procedures. 28 ///

1	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent No. 1 failed to perform
2	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
3	c. <u>Section 44015, subdivision (b)</u> : Respondent No. 1 issued electronic
4	Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting
5	the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.
6	d. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent No. 1 willfully made false entries for
7	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 by certifying that the vehicle had been
8	inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.
9	TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
11	
12	42. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action
13	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
14	July 25, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, it failed to comply with provisions of
15	California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
16	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 1 falsely or
17	fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 for the vehicle, in that
18	the vehicle could not pass the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's
19	ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications.
20	b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent No. 1 issued electronic
21	Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been
22	inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.
23	c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent No. 1 failed to conduct the required smog
24	tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
25	THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
26	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
27	43. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action
28	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
	15

July 25, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by
 issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 for the 1994 Toyota Camry without
 performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
 Vehicle Inspection Program.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

6

7

22

23

24

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

8 44. Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
9 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
10 July 25, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he violated the following sections of that Code:
11 a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent Chhina failed to determine
12 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
13 correctly in accordance with test procedures.

b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u>: Respondent Chhina failed to perform
emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

c. <u>Section 44032</u>: Respondent Chhina failed to perform tests of the
emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that
Code.

d. <u>Section 44059</u>: Respondent entered false information for electronic
 Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as
 required when, in fact, it had not.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

45. Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
July 25, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he violated the following sections of the
California Code of Regulations, title 16:

Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent Chhina falsely or 1 a. fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025610 for the vehicle, in that 2 3 the vehicle could not pass the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications. 4 b. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Chhina failed to inspect 5 and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012. 6 Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Chhina entered false 7 c. information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the functional portion of the smog inspection 8 when, in fact, the vehicle the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the 9 inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's 10 specifications. 11 Section 3340.42: Respondent Chhina failed to conduct the required smog 12 d. tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 13 SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 14 15 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 16 46. Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 17 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 18 July 25, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud 19 or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. 20 MU025610 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control 21 devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 22 23 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION - JULY 26, 2007** 24 47. On July 26, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias 25 Steve Palmer ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1996 Ford Explorer, California License 26 Plate No. 3PZE600, to Respondent No. 1's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not 27 pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's positive crankcase ventilation ("PCV") system was missing. Respondent Chhina performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate of 28 17

	a
1-	Compliance No. MU025621, certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1996 Ford Explorer
2	and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle
3	could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV
4	system was missing.
5	SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
6	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
7	48. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
8	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it made or
9	authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known
10	to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for
11	the 1996 Ford Explorer, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and
12.	regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection
13	because the vehicle's PCV system was missing.
14	EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Fraud)
15 16	(Fraud) 49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
16	49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
16 17	49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts
16 17 18	49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the
16 17 18 19	49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices
16 17 18 19 20	49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
16 17 18 19 20 21	49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. <u>NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u>
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. <u>NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. <u>NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 50. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. <u>NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 50. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 49. Respondent No. 1's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. <u>NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 50. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, it failed to comply with the following sections

a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent No. 1 failed to determine
 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
 correctly in accordance with test procedures.
 b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u>: Respondent No. 1 failed to perform
 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
 <u>Section 44015, subdivision (b)</u>: Respondent No. 1 issued electronic

7 Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting
8 the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

9 d. <u>Section 44059:</u> Respondent No. 1 willfully made false entries for 10 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 by certifying that the vehicle had been 11 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.

TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

15 51. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action
pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, it failed to comply with provisions of California
Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent No. 1 falsely or
fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the vehicle, in that
the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV
system was missing.

b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent No. 1 issued electronic
Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been
inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

26 c. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent No. 1 failed to conduct the required smog
27 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

28 ///

12

13

TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

52. Respondent No. 1's station license is subject to disciplinary action
pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
July 26, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by
issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without
performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
Vehicle Inspection Program.

TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

12 53. Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
13 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
14 July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he violated the following sections of that Code:
15 a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent Chhina failed to determine
16 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
17 correctly in accordance with test procedures.

b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u>: Respondent Chhina failed to perform
 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
 c. <u>Section 44032</u>: Respondent Chhina failed to perform tests of the
 emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that
 Code.

d. <u>Section 44059:</u> Respondent entered false information for electronic
Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as
required when, in fact, it had not.

26 ///

1

2

10

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations' Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 54. 4 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he violated the following sections of the California Code of Regulations, title 16:

Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent Chhina falsely or 8 a. 9 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU025621 for the vehicle, in that the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV 10 11 system was missing.

Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Chhina failed to inspect 12 Ъ. 13 and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

14 Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Chhina entered false c. 15 information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the visual portion of the smog test when, in 16 fact, the vehicle's PCV system was missing.

17 d. Section 3340.42: Respondent Chhina failed to conduct the required smog 18 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 55. Respondent Chhina has subjected his technician license to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud

24 or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No.

MU025621 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control 26 devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the

27 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

28 |||

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

5

6

RESPONDENT NO. 2

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - SEPTEMBER 21, 2007

3 56. On September 21, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias Joseph Cook ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1989 Ford Ranger, California License 4 Plate No. 3Y13631, to Respondent No. 2's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not 5 6 pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's thermostatic air cleaner ("TAC") was missing. The operator was provided with a copy of the signed work order, however, the document did not 7 contain the vehicle's current odometer reading. Respondent Harrison performed the smog 8 inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740, certifying that he had 9 tested and inspected the 1989 Ford Ranger and that the vehicle was in compliance with 10 applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of 11 12. the smog inspection because the vehicle's TAC was missing. 13 TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 14 (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 15 57. Respondent No. 2's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant

to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about September 21, 2007, it made or
authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known
to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 for
the 1989 Ford Ranger, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and
regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection
because the vehicle's TAC was missing.

22

1

2

TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Record Vehicle's Current Odometer Reading on the Work Order)
58. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section
9884.7, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent allowed the operator to sign the work order dated
September 21, 2007, that did not contain the vehicle's current odometer reading.

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

9

10

15

16

(Fraud)

59. Respondent No. 2's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about September 21, 2007, it committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 for the 1989 Ford Ranger without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

TWENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations)

11 60. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision
12 (a)(6), in that on or about September 21, 2007, Respondent failed to materially comply with
13 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356, subdivision (a)(1), by failing to set forth
14 its business name as reflected on Bureau records.

TWENTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

17 61. Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action
18 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
19 September 21, 2007, regarding the 1989 Ford Ranger, it failed to comply with the following
20 sections of that Code:

a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent No. 2 failed to determine
that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
correctly in accordance with test procedures.

b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u>: Respondent No. 2 failed to perform
 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
 c. <u>Section 44015, subdivision (b)</u>: Respondent No. 2 issued electronic
 Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting
 the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

1	d. <u>Section 44059:</u> Respondent No. 2 willfully made false entries for
2	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 by certifying that the vehicle had been
[.] 3	inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.
4	THIRTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6 7	62. Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action
8	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
9	September 21, 2007, regarding the 1989 Ford Ranger, it failed to comply with provisions of
10	California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
11	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent No. 2 falsely or
12	fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 for the vehicle, in that
13	the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's TAC $+$
14	was missing.
15	b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent No. 2 issued electronic
12	
15	Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been
	Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.
16	
16 17	inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.
16 17 18	 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. c. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog
16 17 18 19	 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. c. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
16 17 18 19 20	 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. c. Section 3340.42: Respondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16 17 18 19 20 21	inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : R'espondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. <u>THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. c. Section 3340.42: Respondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 63. Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. c. Section 3340.42: R'espondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 63. Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. c. Section 3340.42: Respondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 63. Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about September 21, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. c. Section 3340.42: R'espondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 63. Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about September 21, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 for the 1989 Ford Ranger

THIRTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

18

19

20

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

64. Respondent Harrison has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
September 21, 2007, regarding the 1989 Ford Ranger, he violated the following sections of that
Code:

a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent Harrison failed to determine
that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
correctly in accordance with test procedures.

b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u>: Respondent Harrison failed to perform
 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
 c. <u>Section 44032</u>: Respondent Harrison failed to perform tests of the
 emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that
 Code.

15d.Section 44059:Respondent entered false information for electronic16Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as17required when, in fact, it had not.

THIRTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

21 65. Respondent Harrison has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
22 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
23 September 21, 2007, regarding the 1989 Ford Ranger, he violated the following sections of the
24 California Code of Regulations, title 16:

a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent Harrison falsely or
fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744740 for the vehicle, in that
the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's TAC
was missing.

b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent Harrison failed to inspect
 and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):</u> Respondent Harrison entered false
information into the EIS unit by entering "N/A" for the visual inspection of the TAC indicating
that the system was not applicable when, in fact, the TAC system is applicable but was missing
from the vehicle.

7 d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent Harrison failed to conduct the required
8 smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

THIRTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

66. Respondent Harrison has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
September 21, 2007, regarding the 1989 Ford Ranger, he committed acts involving dishonesty,
fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No.
MU744740 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control
devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the³
protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

18

28

///

9

10

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - SEPTEMBER 21, 2007

19 67. On September 21, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias 20 Joseph Cook ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1998 Ford E-150, California License Plate 21 No. 5R77178, to Respondent No. 2's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not pass a 22 smog inspection because the vehicle's positive crankcase ventilation ("PCV") system was 23 missing. Respondent Harrison performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743, certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1998 Ford E-150 24 25 and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle 26 could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV 27 system was missing.

THIRTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

27

28

///

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

3 68. Respondent No. 2's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about September 21, 2007, it made or 4 5 authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 for б the 1998 Ford E-150, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and 7 regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection 8 because the vehicle's PCV system was missing. 9 10 THIRTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Fraud) 11 12 69. Respondent No. 2's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about September 21, 2007, it committed 13 acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 for 14 the 1998 Ford E-150 without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices 🔬 15 and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 16 17 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 18 **THIRTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 19 (Failure to Comply with Regulations) 20 70. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision 21 (a)(6), in that on or about September 21, 2007, Respondent failed to materially comply with 22 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356, subdivision (a)(1), by failing to set forth 23 its business name as reflected on Bureau records. 24 THIRTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 25 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 26 71. Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action

27

pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about

September 21, 2007, regarding the 1998 Ford E-150, it failed to comply with the following 1 sections of that Code: 2 Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent No. 2 failed to determine a. 3 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning 4 correctly in accordance with test procedures. 5 b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent No. 2 failed to perform 6 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. 7 Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent No. 2 issued electronic 8 c. 9 Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012. 10 d. . Section 44059: Respondent No. 2 willfully made false entries for 11 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 by certifying that the vehicle had been 12 13 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 14 **THIRTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 15 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 16 17 72: Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 18 19 September 21, 2007, regarding the 1998 Ford E-150, it failed to comply with provisions of 20 California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 21 Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 2 falsely or a. 22 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 for the vehicle, in that 23 the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV 24 system was missing. 25 Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 2 issued electronic b. 26 Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been 27 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. 28 ///

1	c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent No. 2 failed to conduct the required smog
2	tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
3	FORTHETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
5	73. Respondent No. 2's station license is subject to disciplinary action
6	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
7	September 21, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is
8	injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 for the 1998 Ford E-150
9	without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the
10	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
11	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
12-	FORTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
14	74. Respondent Harrison has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
15	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
16	September 21, 2007, regarding the 1998 Ford E-150, he violated the following sections of that
17	Code:
18	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent Harrison failed to determine
19	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
20	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
21	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent Harrison failed to perform
22	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
23	c. <u>Section 44032</u> : Respondent Harrison failed to perform tests of the
24	emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that
25	Code.
26	d. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent entered false information for electronic
27	Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as
28	required when, in fact, it had not.

FORTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

75. Respondent Harrison has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
September 21, 2007, regarding the 1998 Ford E-150, he violated the following sections of the
California Code of Regulations, title 16:

8 a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent Harrison falsely or
9 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU744743 for the vehicle, in that
10 the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV
11 system was missing.

b. <u>Section 3340 30 subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent Harrison failed to inspect
and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent Harrison entered false
information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the visual portion of the smog test when, in
fact, the vehicle's PCV system was missing.

d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent Harrison failed to conduct the required
smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

19 20

1

2

3

FORTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

76. Respondent Harrison has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
September 21, 2007, regarding the 1998 Ford E-150, he committed acts involving dishonesty,
fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No.
MU744743 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control
devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

28 ///

RESPONDENT NO. 3

1

2

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - OCTOBER 24, 2007

3	77. On October 24, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias
4	Bob Johnson ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1994 Toyota Camry, California License
5	Plate No. 3FLD508, to Respondent No. 3's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not
6	pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the
7	manufacturer's specifications. The operator filled out and signed a work order but was not
8	provided with a copy of the document. Respondent Ordonez performed the smog inspection and
9	issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423, certifying that he had tested and
10	inspected the 1994 Toyota Camry and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws
11	and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog
12	inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's
13	specifications.
14	FORTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
	e the second
16	78. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
16 17	78. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or
17	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or
17 18	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known
17 18 19	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for
17 18 19 20	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the 1994 Toyota Camry, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and
17 18 19 20 21	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the 1994 Toyota Camry, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog
17 18 19 20 21 22	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the 1994 Toyota Camry, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the 1994 Toyota Camry, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specification.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the 1994 Toyota Camry, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specification. <u>FORTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u>
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the 1994 Toyota Camry, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specification. <u>FORTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Failure to Provide Copy of Document)

FORTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

(Fraud)

<u>,</u> 3.	80. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
4	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it committed
5	acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for
6	the 1994 Toyota Camry without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control
7	devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
8	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
9	FORTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Failure to Comply with Code)
11	81. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
12	Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that on or about October 24, 2007, it failed to comply-
13	with Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), by failing to provide the operator with a written
14	estimated price for parts and labor for a specific job.
15	FORTY-EIGHTH-CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
17	82. Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action
18 ¹	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
19	October 24, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, it failed to comply with the following
20	sections of that Code:
21	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent No. 3 failed to determine
22	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
23	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
24	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent No. 3 failed to perform
25	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
26.	c. <u>Section 44015, subdivision (b)</u> : Respondent No. 3 issued electronic
27	Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the vehicle without properly testing and
28	///
	32

inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section
 44012.

Respondent No. 3 willfully made false entries for d. Section 44059: 3 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 by certifying that the vehicle had been 4 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 5 FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 6 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant 7 to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 8 Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action 83. 9 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 10 October 24, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, it failed to comply with provisions of 11 California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 12 Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 3 falsely or 13 14 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the vehicle, in that 15 the vehicle could not pass the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specification. 16 17 Ъ. Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 3 issued electronic 18 Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. 19 20 Section 3340.42: Respondent No. 3 failed to conduct the required smog C. tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 21 FIFTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 22 23 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 24 84. Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action 25 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 26 October 24, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured 27 by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the 1994 Toyota Camry 28 without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the

vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the 1 Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 2 FIFTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 3 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 4 85. Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 5 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about 6 7 October 24, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he violated the following sections of that 8 Code: Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent Ordonez failed to determine 9 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning 10 correctly in accordance with test procedures." 11 Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Ordonez failed to perform 12 b. emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department: 13 Section 44032: Respondent Ordonez failed to perform tests of the 14 15 emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that 16 Code. 17 d. Section 44059: Respondent willfully entered false information for 18 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 by certifying that the vehicle had been 19 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 20 FIFTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant 21 to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 22 23 86. Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 24 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 25 October 24, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he violated the following sections of the California Code of Regulations, title 16: 26 27 Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent Ordonez falsely or a. 28 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137423 for the vehicle, in that

1	the vehicle could not pass the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's	
2	ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specification.	
3	b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent Ordonez failed to inspect	
4	and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.	
5	c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent Ordonez entered false	
. 6	information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the ignition timing portion of the smog test	
7	when, in fact, the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specification.	
8	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent Ordonez failed to conduct the required	
9	smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.	
10	FIFTY-THURD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
11	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)	
12-	87. Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary	
13	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about	
14	October 24, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he committed acts involving dishonesty,	
15	fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No.	
16	MW137423 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control \mathcal{J}	
17	devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the	
18	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.	
19	UNDERCOVER OPERATION - OCTOBER 31, 2007	
20	88. On October 31, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias	
21	Robert ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1992 Toyota, California License Plate No.	
ż2	4S12688, to Respondent No. 3's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not pass a	
23	smog inspection because the vehicle's air suction ("AS") system was missing. The operator	
24	filled out and signed a work order but was not provided with a copy of the document.	
25	Respondent Ordonez performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate of	
26	Compliance No. MW137444, certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1992 Toyota and	
27	that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle	
28		

2.516

could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's AS system 1 was missing. 2 3

FIFTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

89. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant 5 to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about October 31, 2007, it made or 6 authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known 7 to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 for 8 the 1992 Toyota, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and 9 regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection 10 because the vehicle's AS system was missing. 11

12

13

4

FIFTY-FIFTH CAUSE-FOR DISCIRLINE

(Failure to Provide Copy of Document)

14 90. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant 15 to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that on or about October 31, 2007, it failed to provide the operator with a copy of the work order as soon as he signed the document. 16

17

18

25

26

FIFTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

19 91. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant 20 to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about October 31, 2007, it committed 21 acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 for 22 the 1992 Toyota without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and 23 systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection 24 afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

FIFTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Code)

27 92. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to 28 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that on or about October 31, 2007, it failed to comply

with Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), by failing to provide the operator with a written 1 2 estimated price for parts and labor for a specific job. FIFTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 3 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 4 Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action 5 93. pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about 6 7 October 31, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, it failed to comply with the following sections of that Code: 8 Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent No. 3 failed to determine 9 а. that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning 10 correctly in accordance with test procedures. 11 12 Ъ. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent No. 3 failed to perform emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department & 13 14 Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent No. 3 issued electronic c. 15 Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 16 +44012. 17 18 Section 44059: Respondent No. 3 willfully made false entries for d. 19 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 by certifying that the vehicle had been 20 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 21 **FIFTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 22 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 23 24 94. Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action 25 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 26 October 31, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, it failed to comply with provisions of California 27 Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 28

Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 3 falsely or 1 a. fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 for the vehicle, in that 2 3 the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's AS system was missing. 4 Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 3 issued electronic 5 b. Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been 6 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. 7 Section 3340.42: Respondent No. 3 failed to conduct the required smog 8 c. 9 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 10 SIXTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 11 12 95. Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 13 October 31, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured. 14 15 by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 for the 1992 Toyota without 16 performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, 17 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor 18 Vehicle Inspection Program. 19 SIXTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 20 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 21 <u>96.</u> Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary

22 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about

23

October 31, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he violated the following sections of that Code: 24 Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent Ordonez failed to determine я 25 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning 26 correctly in accordance with test procedures.

27 ħ. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Ordonez failed to perform 28 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

Section 44032: Respondent Ordonez failed to perform tests of the 1 C. emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that 2 3 Code. Section 44059: Respondent Ordonez entered false information for 4 d. electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 by certifying that the vehicle had been 5 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 6 SIXTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 7 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant 8 to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 9 Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 10 97. action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 11 12 October 31, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he violated the following sections of the California. 13 Code of Regulations, title 16: Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent Ordonez falsely or 14 15 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW137444 for the vehicle, in that 16 the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's AS. 17 system was missing. 18 **b**. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Ordonez failed to inspect and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012. 19 20 c. Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Ordonez entered false information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the visual portion of the smog inspection 21 22 when, in fact, the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the inspection because the vehicle's 23 AS system was missing. 24 d. Section 3340.42: Respondent Ordonez failed to conduct the required 25 smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 26 ///

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

SIXTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

98. Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 3 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 4 October 31, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or 5 deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. 6 MW137444 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 8 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 9 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION - NOVEMBER 5, 2007** 10

On November 5, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias 99. 11 Robert ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, California License -12 13 Plate No. 3NUD802, to Respondent No. 3's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not # 14 pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's positive crankcase ventilation ("PCV") system was 15 missing. The operator filled out and signed a work order but was not provided with a copy of the document. Respondent Ordonez performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate 16 17 of Compliance No. MW279311, certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1995 Chevrolet 18 Astro Van and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and 19 regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection 20 because the vehicle's PCV system was missing. 21 SIXTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 22 (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 23 100. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant 24 to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about November 5, 2007, it made or

25 authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known 26 to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 for 27 the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws

28 ///

1

2

7

1	and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog
2	inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing.
3	SIXTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Failure to Provide Copy of Document)
5	101. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
6	- to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that on or about November 5, 2007, it failed to
7	provide the operator with a copy of the work order as soon as he signed the document.
8	SIXTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Fraud)
10	102. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
11	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about November 5, 2007, it committed
12	acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 for
13	the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control
14	devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
15	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
16	SIXTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Failure to Comply with Code)
18	103. Respondent No. 3's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
19	Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that on or about November 5, 2007, it failed to
20	comply with Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), by failing to provide the operator with a
21	written estimated price for parts and labor for a specific job.
22	SIXTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
24	104. Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action
25	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
26	November 5, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, it failed to comply with the
27	following sections of that Code:
28	
	41

n an state and state of the state

 1
 a.
 Section 44012, subdivision (a):
 Respondent No. 3 failed to determine

 2
 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning

 3
 correctly in accordance with test procedures.

4 b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent No. 3 failed to perform emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. 5 Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent No. 3 issued electronic 6 c. Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 for the vehicle without properly testing and 7 inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 8 9 44012. Section 44059: Respondent No. 3 willfully made false entries for 10 d. 11 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 12 13 SIXTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 14 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 15 16 105. Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action 17 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 18 November 5, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, it failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 19 20 Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 3 falsely or a. fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 for the vehicle, in that 21 22 the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV 23. system was missing 24 Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 3 issued electronic b. 25 Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been 26 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

c. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent No. 3 failed to conduct the required smog
tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

SEVENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

106. Respondent No. 3's station license is subject to disciplinary action
pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
November 5, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is
injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 for the 1995 Chevrolet
Astro Van without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems
on the vehicle, thereby depriving the Peoplé of the State of California of the protection afforded
by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

10 11

1

2

SEVENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

12. 107. Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
13 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
14 November 5, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, he violated the following sections
15 of that Code:

a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent Ordonez failed to determine
that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
correctly in accordance with test procedures.

b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u>: Respondent Ordonez failed to perform
 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

c. <u>Section 44032</u>: Respondent Ordonez failed to perform tests of the
emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that
Code.

d. <u>Section 44059:</u> Respondent Ordonez willfully made false entries for
electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 by certifying that the vehicle had been
inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.

27 ///

28 ///

SEVENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant 2 to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 3 108. Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 4 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 5 November 5, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, he violated the following sections 6 7 of the California Code of Regulations, title 16: Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent Ordonez falsely or 8 a. fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MW279311 for the vehicle, in that 9 the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV 10 11 system was missing. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Ordonez failed to inspect 12 b. : and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012. 13 14 Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Ordonez entered false c. information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the PCV system when, in fact, the vehicle's 15 16 PCV system was missing. 17 d. Section 3340.42: Respondent Ordonez failed to conduct the required 18 smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 19 SEVENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 20 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 21 109. Respondent Ordonez has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 22 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 23 November 5, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, he committed acts involving 24 dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of 25 Compliance No. MW279311 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the 26 emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of 27 California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 28 ///

RESPONDENT NO. 4

1

2

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - JUNE 26, 2007

~	<u>UTDERCOTERCOTERCOTECCOTO</u>
3	110. On June 26, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias
4	Ron Picard ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1992 Toyota, California License Plate No.
5	4S12688, to Respondent No. 4's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not pass a
6	smog inspection because the vehicle's air suction ("AS") system was missing. Respondent
7	Schaub performed the smog inspection; however, Respondent Schaub's entries into the EIS unit
· 8	included a "pass" for the visual inspection and a "fail" for the ignition timing, creating a false
9	and misleading Vehicle Inspection Report ("VIR"). In fact, the vehicle should not have passed
10	the visual inspection because the vehicle's AS system was missing and the vehicle's ignition
11	timing should not have failed because the vehicle's ignition timing was within the
12	manufacturer's specifications. No certificate of compliance was issued.
13	SEVENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
15	111. Respondent No. 4's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
16	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about June 26, 2007, it made or
17	authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known
18	to be untrue or misleading as follow:
19	a. Respondent No. 4 provided the operator with a VIR that was false and
20	misleading, in that the VIR provided that the vehicle passed the visual inspection when, in fact,
21	the vehicle could not have passed the visual inspection because the vehicle's AS system was
22	missing.
23	b. Respondent No. 4 provided the operator with a VIR that was false and
24	misleading, in that the VIR provided that the vehicle failed the functional inspection due to the
25	vehicle's ignition timing when, in fact, the vehicle's ignition timing was within the
26	manufacturer's specifications.
27	
28	

· 1	SEVENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	112. Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action
4	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
5	June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, it failed to comply with the following sections of that
6	Code:
- 7	a. Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent No. 4 failed to determine
8	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
9	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
10	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent No. 4 failed to perform
11	•emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
12	
13	i. Respondent No. 4 willfully made false entries for the VIR by
14	indicating the vehicle passed the visual inspection when, in fact, the vehicle could not have
15	passed the visual inspection because the vehicle's AS system was missing.
16	ii. Respondent No. 4 willfully made false entries for the VIR by
17	indicating the vehicle failed the functional portion of the inspection due to the vehicle's ignition
18	timing when, in fact, the vehicle's ignition timing was within the manufacturer's specifications.
	SEVENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
21	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
22	113. Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action
23	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
· · ··································	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
24 25	June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, it failed to comply with provisions of California Code
	of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42 by failing to conduct the required smog tests on the
26	vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
27	
28	

46

•

))

SEVENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action 114. 3 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 4 June 26, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured, 5 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor 6 7 Vehicle Inspection Program, as follows: Respondent No. 4 created a VIR that was false and misleading, in that the 8 a. 9 VIR provided that the vehicle passed the visual inspection when, in fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual inspection because the vehicle's AS system was missing. 10 Respondent No. 4 created a VIR that was false and misleading, in that the 11 b. VIR provided that the vehicle failed the functional inspection due to the vehicle's ignition timing 12 when, in fact, the vehicle's ignition timing was within the manufacturer's specifications. 13 14 SEVENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 15 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) Respondent Schaub has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 16 115. 17 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about 18 June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he violated the following sections of that Code: 19 Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent Schaub failed to determine a. 20 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning 21 correctly in accordance with test procedures. 22 Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Schaub failed to perform Ъ. 23 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. 24 Section 44032: Respondent Schaub failed to perform tests of the .C. 25 emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that 26 Code. .27 111 28 ///

1	d. <u>Section 44059:</u>
2	i. Respondent Schaub willfully made false entries for the VIR by
3	indicating the vehicle passed the visual inspection when, in fact, the vehicle could not have
4	passed the visual inspection because the vehicle's AS system was missing.
5	ii. Respondent Schaub willfully made false entries for the VIR by
6	indicating the vehicle failed the functional portion of the inspection due to the vehicle's ignition
7	timing when, in fact, the vehicle's ignition timing was within the manufacturer's specifications.
8	SEVENTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Rursuant
10	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
11	116. Respondent Schaub has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
12	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
13	June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he violated the following sections of the California
14	Code of Regulations, title 16:
15.	a. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent Schaub failed to inspect
16	and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.
17	b. <u>Section 3340:41, subdivision (c):</u>
18	i. Respondent Schaub entered false information into the EIS unit by
19	entering "Pass" for the visual portion of the smog test when, in fact, the vehicle's AS system was
20	missing.
21	ii. Respondent Schaub entered false information into the EIS unit by
22	entering "Fail" for the function portion of the smog test due to the vehicle's ignition timing
23	when, in fact, the vehicle's ignition timing was within the manufacturer's specifications.
24	c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent Schaub failed to conduct the required smog
Ż5	tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
26	///
27	///
28	

••••••

37

.

1

EIGHTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

зÂ

1

2

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

3	117. Respondent Schaub has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
4	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
5-	June 26, 2007, regarding the 1992 Toyota, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or
6	deceit whereby another was injured, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
7	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program, as follows:
8.	a. Respondent Schaub created a VIR that was false and misleading, in that
9	the VIR provided that the vehicle passed the visual inspection when, in fact, the vehicle could not
10	have passed the visual inspection because the vehicle's AS system was missing.
11	b. Respondent Schaub created a VIR that was false and misleading, in that
12	the VIR provided that the vehicle failed the functional inspection due to the vehicle's ignition
13	timing when, in fact, the vehicle's ignition timing was within the manufacturer's specifications.
14	UNDERCOVER OPERATION - JUNE 27, 2007
15	118. On June 27, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias
16	Bob Strom ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, California
17	License Plate No. 3NUD802, to Respondent No. 4's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle
18 ⁻	could not pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing. Respondent
19	Topcu performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No.
20	MS578192, certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van and that the
21	vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not
22	have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was
23	missing.
24	EIGHTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
25	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
26	119. Respondent No. 4's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
27	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about June 27, 2007, it made or
28	authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known

1	to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 for the
2	1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws
3	and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog
4	inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing.
5	EIGHTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
6	(Fraud)
7	120. Respondent No. 4's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
8	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about June 27, 2007, it committed acts
9	which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 for the
10	1995 Chevrolet Astro Van without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control
11-	devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
12	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
13	EIGHTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
15	121. Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action
16	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
17	June 27, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, it failed to comply with the following
18	sections of that Code:
19	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent No. 4 failed to determine
20	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
21	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
22	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent No. 4 failed to perform
23	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
24	c. <u>Section 44015, subdivision (b)</u> : Respondent No. 4 issued electronic
.25	Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting
26	the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.
27 [:]	///
28	
1	

Respondent No. 4 willfully made false entries for đ. Section 44059: 1 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 by certifying that the vehicle had been 2 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 3 **EIGHTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant 5 to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 6 Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action 7 122. pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 8 9 June 27, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, it failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 10 Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 4 falsely or 11 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 for the vehicle, in that 12 13 the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV 14 system was missing. 15 Ъ. Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 4 issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been 16 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. 17 18 Section 3340.42: Respondent No. 4 failed to conduct the required smog 19 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 20 **EIGHTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 21 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 22 123. Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 23 24 June 27, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by 25 issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 for the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van 26 without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the 27 vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the 28 Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

a teachtraite 🖓 an san sin a

	na an a
1	EIGHTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	124. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
4	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
. 5	June 27, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, he violated the following sections of that
6	Code:
7	a. Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent Topcu failed to determine
. 8	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
. 9	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
10	b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Topcu failed to perform
. 11	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
	c. <u>Section 44032</u> : Respondent Topcu failed to perform tests of the emission
13	control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that Code.
14	d. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent Topcu entered false information for
15	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 by certifying that the vehicle had been
16	inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.
. 17-	EIGHTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
19	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
20	125. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
21	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
,22	June 27, 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, he violated the following sections of the
23	California Code of Regulations, title 16:
24	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent Topcu falsely or
25	fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS578192 for the vehicle, in that
26	the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV
27	system was missing.
28	
·	52

Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Topcu failed to inspect b. 1 and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012. 2 3 c. Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Topcu entered false information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the PCV system when, in fact, the vehicle's 4 PCV system was missing. 5 đ. Section 3340.42: Respondent Topcu failed to conduct the required smog 6 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 7 **EIGHTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 8 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 9 10 126. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 11 June 27. 2007, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet Astro Van, he committed acts involving dishonesty, 12 fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. 13 14 MS578192 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 15 16 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 17 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION - JULY 26, 2007**

18 127. On July 26, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias 19 Bob Strom ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1996 Ford Explorer, California License 20° Plate No. 3PZE600, to Respondent No. 4's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not 21 pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing. Respondent Phelps 22 performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291, 23 certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1996 Ford Explorer and that the vehicle was in 24 compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the 25 visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing. 26 /// 27 ///

28 ///

		na e a la la compañía en estra en estra en en en en en entre en entre en entre en entre en en estre en en estre En estre
	1	EIGHTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	2	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
•	3	128. Respondent No. 4's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
	4	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it made or
	5	authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known
	6	to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 for the
	7	1996 Ford Explorer, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and
	8	regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection
	9	because the vehicle's PCV system was missing.
	10	NINETIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	11	(Fraud)
	12,	129. Respondent No. 4's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
	13	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 26, 2007, it committed acts
	14	which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 for the
	15	1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices
	16	and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
	17	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
•	18	NINETY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	19	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
	20	130. Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action
	21	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
	22	July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, it failed to comply with the following sections
÷	23	of that Code:
	24	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent No. 4 failed to determine
	25	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
	26	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
	27	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent No. 4 failed to perform
	28	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
1		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

 \sim

R. C.

÷

54 -

۰.	. जन्मति	മാളം തെള്ള് പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്നാം പ്രതിന്നാം പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്ന് ത്രാംപ്പെണ്ണം. പ്രതിന്നും പോല്പ്പോള് പ്രതിന്റെ പാല്പ്പോള് പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്റെ പ്രതിന്നും പ്രതിന്നും പാല്പ്പ
	1	c. <u>Section 44015, subdivision (b)</u> : Respondent No. 4 issued electronic
	2	Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting
	3	the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.
	4	d. <u>Section 44059:</u> Respondent No. 4 willfully made false entries for
	5	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 by certifying that the vehicle had been
	6	inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.
	7	NINETY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	8	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
	9	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
	10	131. Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action
	11	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
	12	+July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, it failed to comply with provisions of California
	13	Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
	14 [%]	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent No. 4 falsely or
	15	fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 for the vehicle, in that
ı	16	the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV
	17	system was missing.
•	18	b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent No. 4 issued electronic
	19	Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been
	20	inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.
	21	c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent No. 4 failed to conduct the required smog
	22	tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
	23	NINETY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	24	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
	25	132. Respondent No. 4's station license is subject to disciplinary action
	26	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
	27	July 26, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by
	28	issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without
		55
	ļ	

٠

 $(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \nabla_{x_n}^{-1} \delta_{x_n}^{-1} \delta_$

di.	
1	performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
2	thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
3	Vehicle Inspection Program.
4	NINETY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6	133. Respondent Phelps has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
7	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
8	July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he violated the following sections of that Code:
9	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent Phelps failed to determine
10	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
11	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
12	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent Phelps failed to perform
13	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
14	c. <u>Section 44032</u> : Respondent Phelps failed to perform tests of the emission
15	control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that Code.
16	d. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent Phelps willfully made false entries for
17	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 by certifying that the vehicle had been
18	inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.
19	NINETY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
21	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
22	134. Respondent Phelps has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
23	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
24	July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he violated the following sections of the
25	California Code of Regulations, title 16:
26	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent Phelps falsely or
27	fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MS960291 for the vehicle, in that
28	

the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV
 system was missing.

b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent Phelps failed to inspect
and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent Phelps entered false
information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the PCV system when, in fact, the vehicle's
PCV system was missing.

8 d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent Phelps failed to conduct the required smog
9 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

10

11

19

20

NINETY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

12 135. Respondent Phelps-has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
13 action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
14 July 26, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud.
15 or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No.
16 MS960291 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control
17 devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
18 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

RESPONDENT NO. 5

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - JULY 27, 2007

21 136. On July 27, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias 22 Bob Strom ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1996 Ford Explorer, California License 23 Plate No. 3PZE600, to Respondent No. 5's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not 24 pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing. Respondent Topcu 25 performed the smog inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023, 26 certifying that he had tested and inspected the 1996 Ford Explorer and that the vehicle was in 27 compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV system was missing. 28

	1	NINETY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	2	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
	3	137. Respondent No. 5's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
· ·	4	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about July 27, 2007, it made or
,	5	authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known
	6	to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 for
	7	the 1996 Ford Explorer, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and
	8	regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection
	9 (because the vehicle's PCV system was missing.
	10	NINETY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	11	(Fraud)
	12-	138. Respondent No. 5's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
	13	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about July 27, 2007, it committed acts
	14	which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 for the
· · · .	15	1996 Ford Explorer without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices
	16 [.]	and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
· ·	17	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
	18	NINETY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
	19	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
	20	139. Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action
	21	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
	22	July 27, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, it failed to comply with the following sections
	23	of that Code:
	24	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent No. 5 failed to determine
	25	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
	26	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
	27	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent No. 5 failed to perform
	28	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
		58

ς.

Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent No. 5 issued electronic c. 1 Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting 2 the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012. 3 Section 44059: Respondent No. 5 willfully made false entries for d. 4 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 by certifying that the vehicle had been 5 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 6 **ONE HUNDREDTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 7 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant 8 to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 9 140. Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action 10 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 11 July 27, 2007, regarding-the 1996 Ford-Explorer, it failed to comply with provisions of California 12 Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 13 Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 5 falsely or 14 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 for the vehicle, in that 15 the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV 16 system was missing. 17 18 b. Section <u>3340.35</u>, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 5 issued electronic 19 Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. 20 21 Section 3340.42: Respondent No. 5 failed to conduct the required smog C. 22 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. **ONE HUNDRED FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 23 1.1 24 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 25 Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action 141. 26 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about July 27, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by 27 28 issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 for the 1996 Ford Explorer without

1	performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
2	thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
3	Vehicle Inspection Program.
4	ONE HUNDRED SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5 -	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6	142. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
7	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
8.	July 27, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he violated the following sections of that Code:
9	• a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent Topcu failed to determine
10	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
11	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
12,	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent Topcu failed to perform
13	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
14	c. <u>Section 44032</u> : Respondent Topcu failed to perform tests of the emission
15∉	control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that Code.
16:	d. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent Topcu willfully entered false information for
17	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 by certifying that the vehicle had been
18.	inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.
19	ONE HUNDRED THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
21	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
22	143. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary \cdot
23	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
24	July 27, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he violated the following sections of the
25	California Code of Regulations, title 16:
26	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent Topcu falsely or
27	fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU037023 for the vehicle, in that
28	///

 $\mu \approx 0.02$

in the

the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's PCV 1 system was missing. 2 Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Topcu failed to inspect b. 3 and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012. 4 Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Topcu entered false 5 c. information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the PCV system when, in fact, the vehicle's 6 PCV system was missing. 7 Section 3340.42: Respondent Topcu failed to conduct the required smog đ. 8 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 9 **ONE HUNDRED FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 10 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 11 Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 12 144. action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 13 July 27, 2007, regarding the 1996 Ford Explorer, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud 14 15 or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance Nos 16 MU037023 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 17 18 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 19 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION - AUGUST 2, 2007** 20 145. On August 2, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias Joe R. Wong ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1992 Oldsmobile Bravada, California 21 22 License Plate No. 3WGW652, to Respondent No. 5's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle 23 could not pass a smog inspection because of the vehicle's non-functional exhaust gas 24 recirculation ("EGR") system. Respondent Topcu performed the smog inspection and issued 25 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289, certifying that he had tested and inspected 26 the 1992 Oldsmobile Bravada and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and 27 regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog 28 inspection because of the vehicle's non-functional EGR system.

ĵ.

1	ONE HUNDRED FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)	
3	146. Respondent No. 5's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant	
4	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about August 2, 2007, it made or	
5	authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known	
6	to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 for	
7	the 1992 Oldsmobile Bravada, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws	
8	and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog	
9	inspection because of the vehicle's non-functional EGR system.	
10,	ONE HUNDRED SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
11	(Fraud)	
12_	147. Respondent No. 5's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant	•••
13	to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about August 2, 2007, it committed acts	þ
14	which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 for the	i. Fe
15	1992 Oldsmobile Bravada without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control.	-
16	devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the	
17	protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.	
18,	ONE HUNDRED SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
19	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
20	148. Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action	-
21	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about	
22	August 2, 2007, regarding the 1992 Oldsmobile Bravada, it failed to comply with the following	
23	sections of that Code:	
24	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent No. 5 failed to determine	5
25	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning	
26	correctly in accordance with test procedures.	
27	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent No. 5 failed to perform	
28	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.	
	62	

. 38. p.

Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent No. 5 issued electronic c. 1 Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting 2 the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012. 3 Section 44059: Respondent No. 5 willfully made false entries for d. 4 5 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 6 **ONE HUNDRED EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 7 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant 8 to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 9 Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action 10 · 149. pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 11 12^{-1} August 2, 2007, regarding the 1992 Oldsmobile Bravada, it failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 13 Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 5 falsely or 14 15 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 for the vehicle, in that 16. the vehicle could not pass the functional portion of the smog inspection because of the vehicle's non-functional EGR system. 17 Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 5 issued electronic 18 b. 19 Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been 20 inspected in accordance with section 3340.42. 21 Section 3340.42: Respondent No. 5 failed to conduct the required smog c. 22 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications. 23 ONE HUNDRED NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 24 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit) 25 150. Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action 26 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 27 August 2, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured 28 by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 for the 1992 Oldsmobile

	na se an
1	Bravada without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems
1	
2	on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded
3	by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
4	ONE HUNDRED TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
. 5	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6	151. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
7	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about
8	August 2, 2007, regarding the 1992 Oldsmobile Bravada, he violated the following sections of
9	that Code:
· 10	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a):</u> Respondent Topcu failed to determine
11	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning
. 12	correctly in accordance with test procedures.
13	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent Topcu failed to perform
14	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
15	c. <u>Section 44032</u> : Respondent Topcu failed to perform tests of the emission
16	control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that Code.
17	d. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent Topcu willfully entered false information for
18	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 by certifying that the vehicle had been
. 19	inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.
20	ONE HUNDRED ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
22	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
23	152. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
24	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about
25	August 2, 2007, regarding the 1992 Oldsmobile Bravada, he violated the following sections of
26	the California Code of Regulations, title 16:
27	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent Topcu falsely or
28	fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. MU098289 for the vehicle, in that
	64

the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because of the vehicle's non functional EGR system.

b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent Topcu failed to inspect
and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent Topcu entered false
information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the functional inspection of the EGR system
when, in fact, that system was non-functional.

8 d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent Topcu failed to conduct the required smog
9 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

ONE HUNDRED TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

- 35

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

12. 153. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary
action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about
August 2, 2007, regarding the 1992 Oldsmobile Bravada, he committed acts involving
dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of
Compliance No. MU098289 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the
emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of
California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

19

10

11

UNDERCOVER OPERATION - AUGUST 13, 2007

20 154. On August 13, 2007, a Bureau undercover operator using the alias 21 Joe Wong ("operator") drove a Bureau-documented 1994 Toyota Camry, California License 22 Plate No. 3FLD508, to Respondent No. 5's facility for a smog inspection. The vehicle could not 23 pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the 24 manufacturer's specifications. Respondent Topcu performed the smog inspection and issued 25 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115, certifying that he had tested and inspected 26 the 1994 Toyota Camry and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog 27 28 $\parallel \parallel$

· 65

inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's 1 specifications. 2 ONE HUNDRED THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 3 (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 4 155. Respondent No. 5's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant 5 to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about August 13, 2007, it made or 6 authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care it should have known 7 to be untrue or misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 for the 8 1994 Toyota Camry, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and 9 10 regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the functional portion of the smog 11 inspection because the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications. 12 13 **ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIP** (Fraud) 14 15 156. Respondent No. 5's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant. to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about August 13, 2007, it committed acts 16 17 which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 for the 1994 Toyota Camry without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices 18 and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 19 20 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. **ONE HUNDRED FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR** 21 22 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 23 157. Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action 24 pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about 25 August 13, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, it failed to comply with the following • 26 sections of that Code: /// 27 28 ///

 1
 a.
 Section 44012, subdivision (a):
 Respondent No. 5 failed to determine

 2
 that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning

 3
 correctly in accordance with test procedures.

Warre Charles

Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent No. 5 failed to perform b. 4 emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. 5 Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent No. 5 issued electronic 6 c. Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting 7 the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012. 8 đ. Section 44059: Respondent No. 5 willfully made false entries for 9 electronic Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 by certifying that the vehicle had been 10 inspected as required when, in fact, it had not. 11 **ONE-HUNDRED-SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR-DISCIPLINE** 12 13 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 14 15 Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action * 158. pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about 16 August 13, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, it failed to comply with provisions of 17 18 California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 19 Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 5 falsely or a. 20fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 for the vehicle, in that the 21 vehicle could not pass the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's 22 ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications. 23 Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent No. 5 issued electronic b. Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been 24

26 c. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent No. 5 failed to conduct the required smog
27 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

28 ///

25

1	ONE HUNDRED SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)	
3 ′	159. Respondent No. 5's station license is subject to disciplinary action	
4	pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about	
5	August 13, 2007, it committed dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured	
6	by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 for the 1994 Toyota Camry	
7	without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the	
8	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the	
9.	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.	
10	ONE HUNDRED EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
11	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
12	160. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary	
13	action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about	
14	August 13, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he violated the following sections of that	1
15	Code:	2. 25. 1
16	a. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent Topcu failed to determine	
17	that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning	
18	correctly in accordance with test procedures.	
19	b. <u>Section 44012, subdivision (f)</u> : Respondent Topcu failed to perform	
20	emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.	
21	c. <u>Section 44032</u> : Respondent Topcu failed to perform tests of the emission	
22	control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of that Code.	
23	d. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent Topcu willfully entered false information for	ļ
24	electronic Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 for the vehicle by certifying that the vehicle	
25:	had been inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.	
26	. ///	
27	111	
28	///	

۶P

ONE HUNDRED NINETEEN CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary 4 161. action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about August 13, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he violated the following sections of the California Code of Regulations, title 16:

Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent Topcu falsely or 8 fraudulently issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. VJ442115 for the vehicle, in that the 9 vehicle could not pass the functional portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's 10 ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's specifications. 11

Section 3340:30, subdivision (a): Respondent Topcu failed to inspect 12 b. 13 and test the vehicle in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

14 Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Topcu entered false C. information into the EIS unit by entering "Pass" for the functional portion of the smog inspection 15 16 when, in fact, the vehicle's ignition timing was adjusted beyond the manufacturer's 17 specifications.

18 d. Section 3340.42: Respondent Topcu failed to conduct the required smog 19 tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

21 22 23

20

1

2

3

5

6

7

ONE HUNDRED TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

162. Respondent Topcu has subjected his technician license to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about 24 August 13, 2007, regarding the 1994 Toyota Camry, he committed acts involving dishonesty, 25 fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. 26 VJ442115 for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control 27 devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 28 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

RESPONDENT NO. 1

فيتغن

1

3	163. Pursuant to the Decision and Order, a stipulated settlement in Statement of	
4	Issues Case No. 79/04-00 S, which became effective January 30, 2006, the Bureau issued	
5	Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 241700 on February 3, 2006, and	
6	Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 241700 on February 16, 2006. Automotive	
7	Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 241700 and Smog Check Test Only Station License No.	
8 :	TC 241700 were immediately revoked; however, revocations were stayed, and the licenses were	
9	placed on probation with terms and conditions. Pursuant to the settlement, Respondent agreed to	•
10	be bound by all of the terms and conditions set forth in the Decision and Order in Accusation No.	
11	79/04-00, a related case brought against Respondent No. 5, as more particularly set forth in	
12	paragraph 167, below. The probation term ended December 6, 2007.	•
13	RESPONDENT NO. 2	
14	164. Pursuant to the Decision and Order, a stipulated settlement in Statement of	1.1
15:	Issues Case No. 79/04-00 S, which became effective June 30, 2006, the Bureau issued	1
1 6 a	Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 244942 on July 11, 2006, and	15 15 15
17	Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 244942 on November 20, 2006. Automotive	
18	Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 244942 and Smog Check Test Only Station License No.	
19	TC 244942 were immediately revoked; however, revocations were stayed, and the licenses were	
20	placed on probation with terms and conditions. Pursuant to the settlement, Respondent agreed to	
21	be bound by all of the terms and conditions set forth in the Decision and Order in Accusation	
22	No. 79/04-00, a related case brought against Respondent No. 5, as more particularly set forth in	
23	paragraph 167, below. The probation term ended December 6, 2007.	
24	RESPONDENT NO. 3	•
25	165. Pursuant to the Decision and Order, a stipulated settlement in Statement of	
26	Issues Case No. 79/04-00 S, which became effective February 8, 2007, the Bureau issued	
27	Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 248804 on February 14, 2007,	
28	and Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 248804 on March 2, 2007. Automotive	

Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 248804 and Smog Check Test Only Station License No. 1 TC 248804 were immediately revoked; however, revocations were stayed, and the licenses were 2 placed on probation with terms and conditions. Pursuant to the settlement, Respondent agreed to 3 be bound by all of the terms and conditions set forth in the Decision and Order in Accusation No. 4 79/04-00, a related case brought against Respondent No. 5, as more particularly set forth in 5 paragraph 167, below. The probation term ended December 6, 2007. 6 **RESPONDENT NO. 4** 7 166. Pursuant to the Decision and Order, a stipulated settlement in Statement of 8 Issues Case No. 79/04-00 S, which became effective February 2, 2005, the Bureau issued 9 10 Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 236306 on February 9, 2005, and Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 236306 on February 14, 2005. Automotive 11 Repair-Dealer Registration No. ARD-236306 and Smog Check Test Only Station: License No. 12 TC 236306 were immediately revoked; however, revocations were stayed, and the licenses were 13 placed on probation with terms and conditions. Pursuant to the settlement, Respondent agreed to 14 be bound by all of the terms and conditions set forth in the Decision and Order in Accusation No. 15 79/04-00, a related case brought against Respondent No. 5, as more particularly set forth in 16 paragraph 167, below. The probation term ended December 6, 2007. 17 18 **RESPONDENT NO. 5** 19 Effective December 6, 2004, pursuant to the Decision and Order in 167. 20 Accusation Case No. 79/04-00, Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 21 221700 and Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 221700 were revoked; however, 22 revocation was stayed, and the licenses were placed on probation with terms and conditions. 23 Further, Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 221700 was suspended for thirty (30) days. The probation term ended December 6, 2007. 24 25 **OTHER MATTERS** 26 168. Under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the director may invalidate 27 temporarily or permanently or refuse to validate, the registrations for all places of business 28 operated in this state by Chevrem Corporation doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog

Station including, but not limited to Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station #3; Clear Blue Test Only
 Station 2; Test Only Smog Station II; and, G I C Smog Station, upon a finding that it has, or is,
 engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an
 automotive repair dealer.

169. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Test Only 5 Station License Number TC 241700, issued to Chevrem Corporation doing business as Clear 6 Blue Test Only Smog Station, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this 7 chapter in the name of said licensee including, but not limited to, TC 244942, issued to Chevrem 8 9 Corporation, doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station 2; TC 248804, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog Station 3; TC 236306, 10 issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Test Only Smog Station II; and TC 221700, 11 issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as GIC Smog Station, may be likewise revoked 12 or suspended by the director. 13

14 170. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
15 Specialist Technician License Number EA 152180, issued to Harpreet Singh Chhina, is revoked
16 or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may
17 be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

18 171. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
19 Specialist Technician License Number EA 151176, issued to Christopher Bryan Harrison, is
20 revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said
21 licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
 Specialist Technician License Number EA 144440, issued to Winchester Sibuma Ordonez, is
 revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said
 licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

26 173. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
27 Specialist Technician License Number EA 152893, issued to Bryon Leonard Schaub, is revoked
28 ///

or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may 1 be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 2 3 174. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License Number EA 147911, issued to Nusret B. Topcu, is revoked or 4 suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be 5 6 likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 175. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission 7 8 Specialist Technician License Number EA 151026, issued to Matthew Jared Phelps, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may 9 be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 10 PRAYER .11 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein 12 alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision: 13 1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number 14 ARD 241700, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog 15 16 Station; 17 2. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 244942, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog 18 19 Station 2; 20 3. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number 21 ARD 248804, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog 22 Station 3; 23 4. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number 24 ARD 236306, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Test Only Smog Station II; 25 5. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number 26 ARD 221700, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as G I C Smog Station; 27 6. Temporarily or permanently invalidating any other automotive repair 28 dealer registration issued to Chevrem Corporation;

7. Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only Station License Number
 TC 241700, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog
 Station;

8. Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only Station License Number
 TC 244942, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog
 Station 2;

9. Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only Station License Number
TC 248804, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Clear Blue Test Only Smog
Station 3;

10 10. Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only Station License Number
 11 TC 236306, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as Test Only Smog Station II;

12. 11. Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only Station License Number
 13 TC 221700, issued to Chevrem Corporation, doing business as G I C Smog Station; ...

14 12. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
15 the Health and Safety Code in the name of Chevrem Corporation;

16 13. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
17 License Number EA 152180, issued to Harpreet Singh Chhina;

18 14. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
19 the Health and Safety Code in the name of Harpreet Singh Chhina;

20 15. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
21 License Number EA 151176, issued to Christopher Bryan Harrison;

Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
the Health and Safety Code in the name of Christopher Bryan Harrison;

24 17. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
25 License Number EA 14440, issued to Winchester Sibuma Ordonez;

26 18. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
27 the Health and Safety Code in the name of Winchester Sibuma Ordonez;

28 ///

19. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician 1 License Number EA 152893, issued to Bryon Leonard Schaub; 2 Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of 3 20. the Health and Safety Code in the name of Bryon Leonard Schaub; 4 Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician 5 21. License Number EA 147911, issued to Nusret B. Topcu; б 7 22. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Nusret B. Topcu; 8 9 23. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician 10 License Number EA 151026, issued to Matthew Jared Phelps; 11 Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of 24. the Health and Safety Code in the name of Matthew Jared Phelps; 12^{-1} 13 25. Ordering Chevrem Corporation, Harpreet Singh Chhina, Christopher-14 Bryan Harrison, Winchester Sibuma Ordonez, Bryon Leonard Schaub, Nusret B. Topcu, and Matthew Jared Phelps to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the 15 investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and, 16 17 26. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 18 9-15-08 DATED: 19 20 hil 21 22 SHERRY Chief 23 Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs 24 State of California Complainant 25 03562110SF2008400136 26 SF2008400135 SF2008400134 SF2008400488 27 SF2008400487 28 ClearBlue.Acc.wpd (8/18/08)