BEFORE THE DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: CALIFORNIA SMOG CERTIFICATION, INC. JOHN PATRICK DEVIN, President 1655 Broadway, Suite 1 Chula Vista, CA 91911 Automotive Repair Dealer Reg. No. AD 221240 Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TD 221240 **KARL DUER ROBSON** P. O. Box 433192 San Diego, CA 92143 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 085454 LOREN THOMAS WILSON P. O. Box 86487 San Diego, CA 92138 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 137341 JESSE SMOG STATION JESUS BENITO ZUNIGA aka JESUS B. ZUNIGA, Owner 2446 Main Street, #R Chula Vista, CA 91911 Automotive Repair Dealer Reg. No. AE 160498 Smog Check Station License No. RE 160498 **JESUS B. ZUNIGA** 524 Center Chula Vista, CA 91910 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 304458

Case No. 79/08-23

OAH Case No. 2009110120

CALIFORNIA SMOG CERTIFICATION, INC. JOHN PATRICK DEVIN, President

11 3rd Avenue, Suite A Chula Vista, CA 91910

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AF 216533 Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TF 216533

JEREMIAH EDGAR RIVERA

302 Island Avenue, #208 San Diego, CA 92101

Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 148756

JAIME GARCIA

517 Sacramento Avenue Spring Valley, CA 91977

Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 143159

and

DIEGO SALVADO DELGADO

100 Woodlawn Avenue, Space 112 Chula Vista, CA 91910

Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 148105

Respondents.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order as to Respondent California Smog Certification, Inc., Only is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs in the above-entitled matter only as to Respondent California Smog Certification, Inc., John Patrick Devin, President, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AD 221240, Smog Check Station License No. TD 221240, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AF 216533 and Smog Check Station License No. TF 216533.

This Decision shall become effective on ______ II /22/10

DATED: ______0ctober 13, 2010

hus breath DOREATHEA JOHNSON

DOREATHEA JOHNSON Deputy Director, Legal Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs

)	
•		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	RON ESPINOZA, State Bar No. 176908 G. MICHAEL GERMAN, State Bar No. 103312	
4	Deputy Attorneys General	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266	
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2001	
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
9	Attorneys for Complainant	
10	BEFORE T	THE
11	DEPARTMENT OF CON FOR THE BUREAU OF AUT	
12	STATE OF CAL	
13		
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/08-23
15	CALIFORNIA SMOG CERTIFICATION, INC. JOHN PATRICK DEVIN, PRESIDENT	OAH Case No. 2009110120
16	1655 Broadway, Suite 1	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
17	Chula Vista, CA 91911	DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA SMOG
18	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AD 221240	CERTIFICATION, INC., ONLY
19	Smog Check Station License No. TD 221240,	ARD Nos. AD 221240 & AF 216533
20		Smog Check Station License Nos.
	KARL DUER ROBSON P.O. Box 433192	TD 221240 & TF 216533
21	San Diego, CA 92143	
22	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License	
23	No. EA 085454,	
24	LOREN THOMAS WILSON P.O. Box 86487	
25	San Diego, CA 92138	
	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License	
26	No. EA 137341,	
27		-
28		

		Ì
1 2 3	JESSE SMOG STATION JESUS BENITO ZUNIGA, a.k.a. JESUS B. ZUNIGA, OWNER 2446 Main Street, #R Chula Vista, CA 91911	
4 5	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AE 160498 Smog Check Station License No. RE 160498,	
6 7	JESUS B. ZUNIGA 524 Center Chula Vista, CA 91910	
8 9 10 11	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 304458, CALIFORNIA SMOG CERTIFICATION, INC. JOHN PATRICK DEVIN, PRESIDENT 11 3rd Avenue, Suite A Chula Vista, CA 91910	
12 13 14	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AF 216533 Smog Check Station License No. TF 216533, <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> Respondents.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of the above matter, consistent with the public interest and the responsibilities of the Director of Consumer Affairs and the Bureau of Automotive Repair, the parties herein hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, which will be submitted to the Director for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the above-entitled Accusation with respect to Respondent California Smog Certification, Inc., only.	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	PARTIES 1. Complainant Sherry Mehl is the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Ron Espinoza and G. Michael German, Deputy Attorneys General. 2. Respondent California Smog Certification, Inc., is represented in this proceeding by attorney Gregory E. Flynn, Flynn & Flynn, Attorneys at Law, 2427 Third Avenue, San Diego,	

- 1 California, 92101.
- 2

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AD 221240

3 3. On or about April 26, 2002, the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") issued
 4 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number AD 221240 to California Smog Certification,
 5 Inc. ("Respondent California Smog Certification"), with John Patrick Devin as president.
 6 Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration was in full
 7 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30,
 8 2010, unless renewed.

9

Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TD 221240

4. On or about May 3, 2002, the Director issued Smog Check, Test Only, Station
 License Number TD 221240 to Respondent California Smog Certification. Respondent's smog
 check station license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
 herein and will expire on April 30, 2010, unless renewed.

14

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AF 216533

5. On or about June 29, 2001, the Director issued Automotive Repair Dealer
 Registration Number AF 216533 to Respondent California Smog Certification, with John Patrick
 Devin as president. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
 registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
 expire on June 30, 2010, unless renewed.

20

Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TF 216533

6. On or about July 6, 2001, the Director issued Smog Check, Test Only, Station
 License Number TF 216533 to Respondent California Smog Certification. Respondent's smog
 check station license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
 herein and will expire on June 30, 2010, unless renewed.

25

JURISDICTION

Z6 7. John Patrick Devin has been and is authorized to enter into this Stipulated
Z7 Settlement on behalf of California Smog Certification, Inc., of which he is president.
Z8 ///

8. Accusation Case 79/08-23 was filed before the Director of Consumer Affairs
 (Director), for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, and is currently pending against Respondent.
 The Accusation in Case No. 79/08-23 and all other statutorily required documents were properly
 served on Respondent on November 16, 2007. Respondent timely filed its Notices of Defense
 contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation Case 79/08-23 is attached as Exhibit A and
 incorporated herein by reference.

7

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

9. John Patrick Devin has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and
understands the charges and allegations in Accusation Case 79/08-23. John Patrick Devin has
also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated
Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

12 10. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a 13 hearing on the charges and allegations in Accusation Case 79/08-23; the right to confront and 14 cross-examine the witnesses against it; the right to present evidence and to offer testimony on its 15 own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the 16 production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; 17 and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable 18 laws.

19 11. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each
20 and every right set forth above.

21

CULPABILITY

12. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in
Accusation Case 79/08-23.

Respondent agrees that its Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Nos. AD
221240 and AF 216533, and Smog Check Station License Nos. TD 221240 and TF 216533 are
subject to discipline and it agrees to be bound by the Director's imposition of discipline as set
forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

28 ///

14. The parties understand and agree that the Bureau's costs of investigation and
enforcement in Accusation Cases 79/08-23 and 79/08-18 total \$95,136.25 as of January 6, 2010.
The parties understand and agree that Respondent California Smog Certification shall pay to the
Bureau a total of \$40,000, jointly and severally with 4th & C Smog, Inc., d.b.a. California Smog
Test Only, toward the Bureau's costs.

COST RECOVERY

CONTINGENCY

8 15. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Director of Consumer Affairs 9 or his designee. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff 10 of the Bureau of Automotive Repair may communicate directly with the Director and staff of the 11 Department of Consumer Affairs regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or 12 participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands 13 and agrees that it may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the 14 time the Director considers and acts upon it. If the Director fails to adopt this stipulation as the 15 Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or 16 effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, 17 and the Director shall not be disgualified from further action by having considered this matter.

1

7

18 16. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated
19 Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same
20 force and effect as the originals.

This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be
an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their
agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings,
discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and
Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed
except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

18. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree
that the Director may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following

Disciplinary Order:

1

2

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Nos. AD
221240 and AF 216533, and Smog Check Station License Nos. TD 221240 and TF 216533
issued to Respondent California Smog Certification, Inc., John Patrick Devin, president, are all
revoked. However, the revocations are stayed, and Respondent's registrations and licenses are
all placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

Actual Suspension. Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AD 221240 and
 Smog Check Station License No. TD 221240 issued to Respondent California Smog
 Certification, Inc., John Patrick Devin, president, are suspended for ten (10) days beginning on
 the effective date of the Director's Decision and Order.

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AF 216533, and Smog Check Station License
No. TF 216533 issued to Respondent California Smog Certification, Inc., John Patrick Devin,
president, are suspended for ten (10) days beginning on the effective date of the Director's
Decision and Order.

Post Sign. Post a prominent sign, provided by the Bureau, indicating the
 beginning and ending dates of the suspensions and indicating the reason(s) for the suspensions.
 The sign shall be conspicuously displayed in a location open to and frequented by customers and
 shall remain posted during the entire period of actual suspensions.

3. Obey All Laws. Comply with all statutes, regulations and rules governing
automotive inspections, estimates and repairs.

4. **Reporting.** Respondent or Respondent's authorized representative must report in
person or in writing as prescribed by the Bureau of Automotive Repair, on a schedule set by the
Bureau, but no more frequently than each quarter, on the methods used and success achieved in
maintaining compliance with the terms and conditions of probation.

26 5. Report Financial Interest. Within 30 days of the effective date of this action,
27 report any financial interest which any partners, officers, or owners of the Respondent facilities
28 may have in any other business required to be registered pursuant to Section 9884.6 of the

1 Business and Professions Code.

6. Random Inspections. Provide Bureau representatives unrestricted access to
 inspect all vehicles (including parts) undergoing repairs, up to and including the point of
 completion.

7. Jurisdiction. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against
Respondent during the term of probation, the Director of Consumer Affairs shall have continuing
jurisdiction over these matters until the final decision on the accusation or petition to revoke
probation, and the period of probation shall be extended until such decision.

8. Violation of Probation. Should the Director of Consumer Affairs determine that
Respondent has failed to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, the Department
may, after giving notice and opportunity to be heard, temporarily or permanently invalidate its
registrations and suspend or revoke its licenses.

9. Restrictions. During the period of probation, Respondent shall not perform any
form of smog inspection, or emission system diagnosis or repair, until Respondent has
purchased, installed, and maintained the diagnostic and repair equipment prescribed by BAR
necessary to properly perform such work, and BAR has been given 10 days notice of the
availability of the equipment for inspection by a BAR representative.

18 10. Cost Recovery. Respondent California Smog Certification, Inc. shall pay to the 19 Bureau a portion of its costs of investigation and enforcement of this matter in the amount of 20 \$40,000, jointly and severally with 4th & C Smog, Inc., d.b.a. California Smog Test Only, by 21 making an initial payment of \$20,000 within 90 days of the effective date of the Director's 22 Decision and Order, and by paying the \$20,000 balance in 27 monthly payments of \$715 each, 23 commencing on the first of the month 90 days after the effective date, and a final payment of 24 \$695 to be paid not later than the first day of the sixth month prior to the end of probation, until the \$20,000 balance is paid in full. Payment shall be in the form of a certified check, cashier's 25 26 check or money order, payable to the Bureau of Automotive Repair and shall be mailed or 27 delivered to the Bureau of Automotive Repair, 10240 Systems Parkway, Sacramento, California, 28 95827, Attention: Enforcement Planning & Oversight. Failure to complete payment of cost

1	recovery within this time frame shall constitute a violation of probation which may subject
2	Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registrations and Smog Check Station Licenses to
3	outright revocation; however, the Director or the Director's Bureau of Automotive Repair
4	designee may elect to continue probation until such time as reimbursement of the entire cost
5	recovery amount has been made to the Bureau.
6	ACCEPTANCE
7	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have
8	fully discussed it with my attorney, Gregory E. Flynn. I understand the stipulation and the effect
9	it will have on the Automotive Repair Dealer Registrations and Smog Check Station Licenses
10	issued to California Smog Certification, Inc. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and
11	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the
12	Decision and Order of the Director of Consumer Affairs.
13	DATED: 3-19-10 A.C.
14 15	JOHN PATRICK DEVIN, as President and on behalf of California Smog Certification, Inc. Respondent
16	I have read and fully discussed with John Patrick Devin the terms and conditions and
17	other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its
18	form and content.
19	DATED: 3/19/10 the man Ham
20	GREGORYEFLYNN
21	Attorney for Respondent
22	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
23	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
24	submitted for consideration by the Director of Consumer Affairs.
25	DATED: $3 - 2(-1)^{i}$ EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California
26	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
27	A RON ESPINOZA
28	T ^{try} Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

•

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General	
2	of the State of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER, State Bar No. 101336	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER	
11	FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOT STATE OF CALIFORNI	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No.79/08-23
14	CALIFORNIA SMOG CERTIFICATION, INC. JOHN PATRICK DEVIN, PRESIDENT	ACCUSATION
15 16	1655 Broadway, Suite 1 Chula Vista, CA 91911	(SMOG CHECK)
17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AD 221240 Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TD 221240,	
18 19	KARL DUER ROBSON P.O. Box 433192 San Diego, CA 92143	
20	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License	
20	No. EA 085454,	
22	LOREN THOMAS WILSON P.O. Box 86487	
23	San Diego, CA 92138	
24	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 137341,	
25		
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	1	

1	JESSE SMOG STATION
2	JESUS BENITO ZUNIGA, a.k.a. JESUS B. ZUNIGA, OWNER
3	2446 Main Street, #R Chula Vista, CA 91911
4	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AE 160498
5	Smog Check Station License No. RE 160498,
6	JESUS B. ZUNIGA 524 Center
7	Chula Vista, CA 91910
8	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 304458,
9	CALIFORNIA SMOG CERTIFICATION, INC. JOHN PATRICK DEVIN, PRESIDENT
10	11 3rd Avenue, Suite A Chula Vista, CA 91910
11	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AF 216533
12	Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TF 216533,
13	JEREMIAH EDGAR RIVERA 302 Island Avenue, #208
14	San Diego, CA 92101
15	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 148756,
16	JAIME GARCIA
17	517 Sacramento Avenue Spring Valley, CA 91977
18	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
19	No. EA 143159,
20	and
21	DIEGO SALVADO DELGADO 100 Woodławn Avenue, Space 112
22	Chula Vista, CA 91910
23	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 148105
24	Respondents.
25	
26	
27	///
28	///

Complainant alleges: 1 PARTIES 2 1. Sherry Mehl ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official 3 capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer 4 Affairs. 5 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AD 221240 6 2. On or about April 26, 2002, the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") 7 8 issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number AD 221240 to California Smog 9 Certification, Inc. ("Respondent California Smog Certification"), with John Patrick Devin as 10president. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration was 11 in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2008, unless renewed. 12 13 Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TD 221240 14 3. On or about May 3, 2002, the Director issued Smog Check, Test Only^{1/2}, 15 Station License Number TD 221240 to Respondent California Smog Certification. Respondent's 16 smog check station license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2008, unless renewed. 17 18 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 085454 19 4. In or about 1997, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist 20Technician License Number EA 085454 to Karl Duer Robson ("Respondent Robson"). 21 Respondent's advanced emission specialist technician license was in full force and effect at all 22 /// 23 1. Test only facilities are licensed smog check stations, that by law, are only allowed to test vehicles; they 24 cannot repair them. Any needed repairs must be performed at either a smog check station designated as a test-andrepair facility or a "gold shield" station. Test-and-repair stations are licensed by the state to provide smog check 25 tests and repairs to most vehicles. Under current law, test-and-repair stations are prohibited from certifying repaired "gross polluters" or vehicles that have been directed to test-only stations for inspection. Only test-only 26 stations and gold shield stations are able to certify repaired gross polluter vehicles. 27 Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 39032.5, "gross polluter" means a vehicle with excess hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, or oxides of nitrogen (NOX) emissions as established by the department in

3

28

consultation with the state board.

times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2008, unless
 renewed.

3	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 137341
4	5. In or about 1997, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist
5	Technician License Number EA 137341 to Loren Thomas Wilson ("Respondent Wilson").
6	Respondent's advanced emission specialist technician license was in full force and effect at all
7	times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2009, unless renewed.
8	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AE 160498
9	6. On or about May 14, 1991, the Director issued Automotive Repair Dealer
10	Registration Number AE 160498 to Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known as Jesus B. Zuniga
11	("Respondent Zuniga"), owner of Jesse Smog Station. Respondent's automotive repair dealer
12	registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
13	expire on May 31, 2008, unless renewed.
14	Smog Check Station License No. RE 160498
15	7. On or about July 12, 1991, the Director issued Smog Check Station
16	License Number RE 160498 to Respondent Zuniga. ^{2/} Respondent's smog check station license
17	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
18	May 31, 2008, unless renewed.
19	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 304458
20	8. In or about 1996, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist
21	Technician License Number EA 304458 to Respondent Zuniga. Respondent's advanced
22	emission specialist technician license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
23	charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2009, unless renewed.
24	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. AF 216533
25	9. On or about June 29, 2001, the Director issued Automotive Repair Dealer
26	Registration Number AF 216533 to Respondent California Smog Certification, with John Devin
27	
28	2. Respondent Zuniga's smog check station is a licensed test-and-repair facility.

4

as president. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration
 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
 June 30, 2008, unless renewed.

4

Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No. TF 216533

5 10. On or about July 6, 2001, the Director issued Smog Check, Test Only,
6 Station License Number TF 216533 to Respondent California Smog Certification. Respondent's
7 smog check station license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
8 herein and will expire on June 30, 2008, unless renewed.

9

Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 148756

10 11. On or about June 7, 2004, the Director issued Advanced Emission
11 Specialist Technician License Number EA 148756 to Jeremiah Edgar Rivera ("Respondent
12 Rivera"). Respondent's advanced emission specialist technician license was in full force and
13 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2008,
14 unless renewed.

15

Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 143159

16 12. On or about October 24, 2000, the Director issued Advanced Emission 17 Specialist Technician License Number EA 143159 to Jaime Garcia ("Respondent Garcia"). 18 Respondent's advanced emission specialist technician license was in full force and effect at all 19 times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2008, unless renewed. 20Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 148105 21 On or about December 12, 2003, the Director issued Advanced Emission 13. 22 Specialist Technician License Number EA 148105 to Diego Salvado Delgado ("Respondent 23 Delgado"). Respondent's advanced emission specialist technician license was in full force and 24 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2007, 2.5 unless renewed.

- 26 ///
- 27 ///

28 ///

1	JURISDICTION
2	14. Business and Professions Code ("Bus. & Prof. Code") section 9884.7
3	provides that the Director may invalidate an automotive repair dealer registration.
4	15. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the
5	expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a
6	disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a
7	registration temporarily or permanently.
8	16. Health and Safety Code ("Health & Saf. Code") section 44002 provides,
9	in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive
10	Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
11	17. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the
12	expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director
13	of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive
14	the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.
15	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
16	18. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:
16 17	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there
	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the
17	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any
17 18	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the
17 18 19	 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any
17 18 19 20	 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or
17 18 19 20 21	 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading. (3) Failing or refusing to give to a customer a copy of any document
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading. (3) Failing or refusing to give to a customer a copy of any document requiring his or her signature, as soon as the customer signs the document.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading. (3) Failing or refusing to give to a customer a copy of any document requiring his or her signature, as soon as the customer signs the document.

•

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this 1 chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it. 2 (7) Any willful departure from or disregard of accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair in any material respect, which is prejudicial to 3 another without consent of the owner or his or her duly authorized representative. 4 5 (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may refuse to 6 validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged 7 in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations 8 adopted pursuant to it. 9 19 Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.8 states, in pertinent part: 10 All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work, shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done 11 and parts supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which shall also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, 12 if any, applicable to each ... One copy shall be given to the customer and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer. 13 14 20. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part: 15 The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the 16 customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall 17 be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated 18 are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original 19 estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission from the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed by an automotive repair dealer when an authorization or consent for an increase in 20 the original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile 21 transmission. If that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work order of the date, time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs and telephone number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional 22 parts and labor . . . 23 24 21. Bus. & Prof. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" 25 includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining 26 committee," "program," and "agency." "License" includes certificate, registration or other means 27 to engage in a business or profession regulated by the Bus. & Prof. Code. 28 ///

1	22. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:
2	The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner,
3	officer, or director thereof, does any of the following:
4 5	(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and Saf. Code § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.
6	
7 8	(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.
9	(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured
10	Cost Recovery
11	23. Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board
12	may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a
13	violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the
14	investigation and enforcement of the case.
15	RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA SMOG
16	CERTIFICATION'S 1655 BROADWAY FACILITY
17	UNDERCOVER OPERATION #1: 1988 TOYOTA PICKUP TRUCK
18	24. On August 4, 2005, an undercover operator with the Bureau (hereinafter
19	"the operator") took the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck to Respondent California Smog
20	Certification's facility located at 1655 Broadway, Suite 1, Chula Vista, California (hereinafter
21	"1655 Broadway facility"). A defective #2 vacuum switch had been installed in the Bureau-
22	documented vehicle, causing the vehicle's exhaust tailpipe emissions to exceed the gross polluter
23	limits. The operator met with Respondent's service writer, "Cynthia", and requested a smog
24	inspection on the vehicle. The operator completed and signed a work order/invoice, but did not
25	receive a copy of the document or a written estimate for the inspection.
26	25. After the inspection was completed, one of Respondent's technicians told
27	the operator that the vehicle failed the inspection because the carburetor was passing too much
- ·	the operator that the vehicle faned the inspection because the carburctor was passing too much

ļ

(carbon monoxide). Cynthia gave the operator a document titled "Station List May 20, 2005",
a list of test and repair facilities in the area that could repair the emissions problem on the
vehicle.^{3/} The operator paid Cynthia \$49.95 in cash and received a final invoice, the station list,
and a vehicle inspection report ("VIR"). The VIR indicated that the vehicle failed the smog
inspection as a gross polluter. Before the operator left the facility, Cynthia approached him and
suggested that he take the vehicle to shop number 18 on the station list, Jesse Smog Station.

26. At approximately 0950 hours that same day, the operator took the vehicle 7 8 to Respondent Zuniga's (hereinafter "Zuniga") facility, Jesse Smog Station, and met with one of Zuniga's employees. The operator asked the employee if they could repair the smog check 9 10 failure problem. The employee opened the hood of the vehicle and Zuniga looked into the engine compartment. The operator completed and signed a work order/invoice, but did not 11 receive a copy of the document or a written estimate. The employee told the operator that the 12 vehicle only needed an adjustment and that the repair work would cost \$50, which the operator 13 authorized. The operator observed Zuniga remove the air cleaner lid and air filter from the 14 engine compartment, then observed Zuniga perform a smog inspection on the vehicle. After the 15 inspection was completed, the employee told the operator that the vehicle was ready and that the 16 17 operator should return to California Smog Certification. The operator paid the employee \$50 in cash and received two copies of Invoice No. 64237 and a VIR dated August 4, 2005, for a pretest 18 19 (unofficial) inspection on the vehicle. The employee told the operator that the air cleaner lid and 20 air filter were inside the vehicle.

21 27. Later that same day, Bureau Representative John Nelson ("Nelson")
22 inspected the vehicle and found that the defective #2 vacuum switch was still in place. Nelson
23 performed an acceleration simulation mode (ASM) smog check inspection on the vehicle. The
24 vehicle failed the inspection for the disconnected vacuum hose, excessive CO and HC

25

111

^{3.} Health & Saf. Code section 44014.5, subdivision (c), states, in pertinent part, that "[t]he department shall provide for the distribution to consumers by test-only facilities of a list, compiled by region, of smog check stations licensed to make repairs of vehicular emission control systems . . . "

(hydrocarbons) tailpipe emissions, a disconnected PCV (positive crankcase ventilation) system,
 and missing TAC (thermostatic air cleaner) unit.

3 28. On August 5, 2005, the operator returned the vehicle to the 1655 Broadway facility and met with Cynthia. The air cleaner lid and air filter, removed by Zuniga, 4 5 were still lying on the seat of the vehicle. Cynthia informed the operator that he only needed a smog retest on the vehicle. The operator completed and signed a work order/invoice, but did not 6 receive a copy of the document or a written estimate. After the smog inspection was completed, 7 the operator paid Cynthia \$8.25 in cash for the smog certificate and received copies of a final 8 9 invoice and a VIR dated August 5, 2005, initialed or signed by Respondent Robson. That same 10 day, electronic smog Certificate of Compliance #FW989927C was issued for the vehicle.

On August 11, 2005, Nelson reinspected the vehicle and found that the
defective #2 vacuum switch was still in place. Nelson performed a smog check inspection on the
vehicle. The vehicle failed the inspection for the disconnected vacuum hose, excessive CO and
HC tailpipe emissions, a disconnected PCV system, and the missing TAC unit.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

17 30. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer 18 registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, 19 subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the 20 exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: 21 Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent Robson, certified under 22 penalty of perjury on the VIR dated August 5, 2005, that the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the 23 24 #2 vacuum switch was defective, the CO and HC tailpipe emissions were excessive, the PCV system was disconnected, and the TAC unit was missing. As such, the vehicle would not pass 25 26 the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

27 ///

15

16

28 ///

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failure to Provide Copy of Signed Document)
3	31. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
4	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
5	subdivision (a)(3), in that on or about August 4, 2005, and August 5, 2005, Respondent's service
6	writer failed to give the undercover operator copies of the work orders/invoices, identified in
7	paragraphs 24 and 28 above, as soon as the operator signed the documents.
8	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Fraud)
10	32. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
11	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
12	subdivision (a)(4), in that it committed an act which constitutes fraud, as follows: Respondent
13	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck
14	without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the
15	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
16	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
17	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Violations of the Bus. & Prof. Code)
19	33. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
20	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
21	subdivision (a)(6), in that on or about August 4, 2005, and August 5, 2005, Respondent failed to
22	comply with section 9884.9, subdivision (a), of that Code. Respondent's service writer failed to
23	provide the undercover operator with written estimates for parts and/or labor necessary for a
24	specific job.
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///
	11

1	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	34. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
4	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
5	that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
6	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's technician,
7	Respondent Robson, failed to perform the emission control tests on the
8	Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with procedures
9	prescribed by the department.
10	b. <u>Section 44015</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification issued an
11	electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Toyota
12	pickup truck without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to
13	determine if it was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.
14	c. <u>Section 44033, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent's employee/service writer
15	failed to provide the undercover operator with written estimates for parts
16	and/or labor necessary for a specific job.
17	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18 19	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
20	35. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
21	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
22	that Respondent failed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16,
23	as follows:
24	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent California Smog
25	Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of
26	compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck.
27	b. Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent California Smog
28	Certification issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the
	12

1	Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck even though the vehicle had not been
2	inspected in accordance with Health & Saf. Code section 3340.42.
3	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's
4	technician, Respondent Robson, knowingly entered into the emissions
5	inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1988 Toyota
6	pickup truck.
7	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's
8	technician, Respondent Robson, failed to conduct the required smog tests
9	on the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with the Bureau's
10	specifications.
11	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
13	36. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
14	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
15	that Respondent committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by
16	issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck
17	without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the
18	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
19	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
20	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
22	37. Respondent Robson's advanced emission specialist technician license is
23	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
24	that he failed to comply with provisions of that Code as follows:
25	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
26	on the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with procedures
27	prescribed by the department.
28	///
	13

	· ·
1	b. Section 44059: Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR
2	dated August 5, 2005, as set forth in paragraph 30 above, resulting in the
2	issuance of an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's
4	1988 Toyota pickup truck.
5	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
6	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
7	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
8	38. Respondent Robson's advanced emission specialist technician license is
9	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
10	that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as
11	follows:
12	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent falsely or fraudulently
13	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's
14	1988 Toyota pickup truck.
15	b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to inspect and test
16	the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with Health & Saf.
17	Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title
18	16, section 3340.42.
19	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent knowingly entered into the
20	emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1988
21	Toyota pickup truck.
22	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
23	the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with the Bureau's
24	specifications.
25	TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
26	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
27	39. Respondent Robson's advanced emission specialist technician license is
28	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
	14

1	that he committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing
2	an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck without
3	performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
4	thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
5	Vehicle Inspection Program.
6	ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
8	40. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
9	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that
10	Respondent made or authorized statements which he knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care,
11	should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:
12	a. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated August 4,
13	2005, that the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck had passed the pretest inspection and was in
14	compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the #2 vacuum switch on the vehicle
15	was defective, the CO and HC exhaust tailpipe emissions were excessive, the PCV system was
16	disconnected, and the TAC unit was missing.
17	b. Respondent represented on Invoice No. 64237 that the carburetor on the
18	Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck had been adjusted when, in fact, that repair was not
19	performed on the vehicle.
20	TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Failure to Provide Copy of Signed Document)
22	41. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
23	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that on or
24	about August 4, 2005, Respondent's employee failed to give the undercover operator a copy of
25	the work order/invoice, identified in paragraph 26 above, as soon as the operator signed the
26	document.
27	///
28	///
	15

ł

1	THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Fraud)
3	42. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
4	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that
5	Respondent committed an act which constitutes fraud. Respondent charged and obtained
6	payment from the undercover operator for adjusting the carburetor on the Bureau's 1988 Toyota
7	pickup truck when, in fact, that repair was not performed on the vehicle.
8	FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Departure From Trade Standards)
10	43. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
11	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(7), in that
12	Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade standards for good and
13	workmanlike repair without the consent of the owner or the owner's duly authorized
14	representative, in the following material respects: Respondent failed to properly diagnose and
15	repair the defect in the emissions control system on the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck.
16	Respondent determined that the carburetor needed adjustment in order to reduce the emissions on
17	the vehicle. In fact, the #2 vacuum switch was defective, causing excessive CO and HC tailpipe
18	emissions. Further, the only repair needed for the vehicle to pass an ASM smog inspection was
19	the replacement of the defective switch, which Respondent failed to perform.
20	FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Violations of the Bus. & Prof. Code)
22	44. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
23	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that on or
24	about August 4, 2005, Respondent failed to comply with section 9884.9, subdivision (a), of that
25	Code. Respondent's employee failed to provide the undercover operator with a written estimate
26	for parts and/or labor necessary for a specific job.
27	///
28	

1		SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2		(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	45.	Respondent Zuniga's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary
4	action pursuant to l	Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed
5	to comply with the	following sections of that Code:
6	a.	Section 44012: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
7		on the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with procedures
8		prescribed by the department.
9	b.	Section 44016: Respondent failed to perform the repairs on the Bureau's
10		1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with established specifications
11		and procedures.
12	с.	Section 44033, subdivision (c): Respondent's employee failed to provide
13		the undercover operator with a written estimate for parts and/or labor
14		necessary for a specific job.
15		SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16		(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
17		
18	46.	Respondent Zuniga's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary
19	action pursuant to H	Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed
20	to comply with the	following sections of California Code of Regulations, title 16:
21	а.	Section 3340.41, subdivision (d): Respondent failed to perform the
22		repairs on the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with
23		established specifications and procedures.
24	b.	3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent knowingly entered into the
25		emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1988
26		Toyota pickup truck.
27	///	
28	///	

1	c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
2	the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with the Bureau's
3	specifications.
4	EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5.	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
6	47. Respondent Zuniga's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary
7	action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent
8	committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by charging and
9	obtaining payment from the undercover operator for adjusting the carburetor on the Bureau's
10	1988 Toyota pickup truck when, in fact, that repair was not performed on the vehicle.
11	NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
13	48. Respondent Zuniga's advanced emission specialist technician license is
14	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
15	that he failed to comply with provisions of that Code as follows:
16	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
17	on the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with procedures
18	prescribed by the department.
19	b. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR
20	dated August 4, 2005, as set forth in subparagraph 40 (a) above.
21	TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
23	to the Motor Venicle Inspection Program)
24	49. Respondent Zuniga's advanced emission specialist technician license is
25	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
26	///
27	///
28	

1	that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as
2	follows:
3	a. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to inspect and test
4	the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with Health & Saf.
5	Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title
6	16, section 3340.42.
7	b. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
8	the Bureau's 1988 Toyota pickup truck in accordance with the Bureau's
9	specifications.
10	UNDERCOVER OPERATION #2: 1992 CHEVROLET S10 PICKUP TRUCK
11	50. On September 20, 2005, an undercover operator with the Bureau
12	(hereinafter "the operator") took the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup truck to Respondent
13	California Smog Certification's 1655 Broadway facility. A defective coolant temperature sensor
14	("CTS") had been installed in the Bureau-documented vehicle, causing the vehicle's exhaust
15	tailpipe emissions to exceed the gross polluter limits. The operator met with Respondent's
16	service writer and requested a smog inspection. The operator completed and signed a work
17	order/invoice, but did not receive a copy of the document or a written estimate for the inspection.
18	After the smog inspection was completed, the service writer told the operator that the vehicle
19	failed the inspection. The operator paid the service writer \$49.75 in cash and received a final
20	invoice, VIR, and station list.
21	51. At approximately 1335 hours that same day, the operator took the vehicle
22	to Zuniga's facility, Jesse Smog Station. The operator told Zuniga that the vehicle needed smog
23	repairs. Zuniga's employee, "Roberto", checked the vehicle and looked under the hood. Roberto
24	told the operator to buy a coolant sensor and he would install it in the vehicle.
25	52. On September 21, 2005, Bureau Representative Paul Stump ("Stump")
26	inspected the vehicle. Stump found that the defective CTS was still in place; however, the sensor
27	had been disturbed and the top part of the sensor had been separated from the lower part. Stump

53. On September 26, 2005, the operator returned the vehicle to Jesse Smog 1 Station and told Zuniga that her boyfriend replaced the CTS. Zuniga asked the operator if she 2 needed him to check the vehicle so that it would pass a smog inspection. The operator 3 authorized Zuniga to perform the smog inspection. The operator completed and signed a work 4 order/invoice, but did not receive a copy of the document or a written estimate. Roberto told the 5 6 operator that they needed to fix the timing (ignition timing), which would cost \$80. The operator 7 authorized the repair and while waiting at the facility, observed Zuniga perform a smog inspection on the vehicle. After the inspection was completed, the operator paid Roberto \$80 in 8 9 cash and received copies of two invoices numbered 64924 and a VIR dated September 26, 2005, for a pretest inspection. The VIR indicated that the vehicle passed the pretest inspection and that 10 the ignition timing was checked and passed the inspection at 10 degrees before top dead center 11 ("BTDC"). The operator asked Zuniga what he had done to the vehicle. Zuniga told the operator 12 that he replaced a hose and "the smog went down." Roberto told the operator that she should 13 return to California Smog Certification. 14

15 54. On September 27, 2005, Stump reinspected the vehicle and found that the
ignition timing test had not been performed, or performed properly, the thermostatic air cleaner
("ACL") cover was incorrectly installed, allowing fresh air to enter the throttle body without
going through the ACL snorkel, and the vacuum hose to the hot air door actuator had been
notched (the notch was deep enough to allow a vacuum leak at the hose). Stump performed an
ASM smog check inspection on the vehicle. The vehicle failed the visual portion of the
inspection due to the modified ACL.

55. On October 4, 2005, the operator returned the vehicle to Respondent
California Smog Certification's 1655 Broadway facility and requested a smog inspection. The
catalytic converter on the vehicle had been gutted (all of the catalyst material had been removed
from the emission control component), causing the vehicle's exhaust tailpipe emissions to exceed
the gross polluter limits. The operator completed and signed a work order/invoice which was
given to her by the service writer, but did not receive a copy of the document or a written
estimate. After the smog inspection was completed, the service writer told the operator that the

1	vehicle failed the inspection. The operator did not receive an invoice or VIR for the smog
2	inspection performed that day.
3	TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Failure to Provide Copy of Signed Document)
5	56. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
6	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
7	subdivision (a)(3), in that on or about September 20, 2005, and October 4, 2005, Respondent's
8	service writer failed to give the undercover operator copies of the work orders/invoices,
9	identified in paragraphs 50 and 55 above, as soon as the operator signed the documents.
10	TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
11	(Violations of the Bus. & Prof. Code)
12	57. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
13	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
14	subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code, as follows:
15	a. <u>Section 9884.8</u> : On or about October 4, 2005, Respondent failed to
16	provide the undercover operator with an invoice listing all service work
17	performed and/or parts supplied on the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10
18	pickup truck.
19	b. <u>Section 9884.9, subdivision (a)</u> : On or about September 20, 2005, and
20	October 4, 2005, Respondent's service writer failed to provide the
21	undercover operator with written estimates for parts and/or labor
22	necessary for a specific job.
23	TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
24	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
25	58. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
26	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
27	that Respondent failed to comply with section 44033, subdivision (c), of that Code, as follows:
28	On or about September 20, 2005, and October 4, 2005, Respondent's service writer failed to
	21

II

1	provide the undercover operator with written estimates for parts and/or labor necessary for a
2	specific job.
3	TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
5	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6	59. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
7	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
8	that on or about October 4, 2005, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of
9	Regulations, title 16, section 3340.41, subdivision (a), by failing to provide the undercover
10	operator with a copy of the VIR following the smog inspection on the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet
11	S10 pickup truck.
12	TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
14	60. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
15	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that
16	Respondent made or authorized statements which he knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care,
17	should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:
18	a. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated September
19	26, 2005, that he performed the pretest inspection on the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup
20	truck in accordance with all Bureau requirements. In fact, Respondent failed to perform, or
21	properly perform, the functional ignition timing check on the vehicle in that he failed to
22	disconnect the wire timing connector during the test.
23	b. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated September
24	26, 2005, that the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup truck had passed the visual portion of the
25	smog inspection. In fact, the ACL cover was incorrectly installed, allowing fresh air to enter the
26	throttle body without going through the ACL snorkel, and the vacuum hose to the hot air door
27	actuator had been notched (the notch was deep enough to allow a vacuum leak at the hose).
28	
	22

1	TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
1	(Failure to Provide Copy of Signed Document)
2	61. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
3	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that on or
4	
5	about September 26, 2005, Respondent failed to give the undercover operator a copy of the work
6	order/invoice, identified in paragraph 53 above, as soon as the operator signed the document.
7	TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Violations of the Bus. & Prof. Code)
9	62. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
10	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that
11	Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code, as follows:
12	a. <u>Section 9884.8</u> :
13	1. On or about September 20, 2005, Respondent failed to provide the
14	undercover operator with an invoice listing all service work
15	performed on the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup truck, i.e.,
16	the diagnosis of the emissions failure on the vehicle.
17	2. Respondent failed to record on Invoice No. 64924 all service work
18	performed and/or parts supplied on the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet
19	S10 pickup truck, including the adjustment of the ignition timing
20	and the replacement of the vacuum hose.
21	b. <u>Section 9884.9, subdivision (a)</u> : On or about September 20, 2005, and
22	September 26, 2005, Respondent failed to provide the undercover operator
23	with written estimates for parts and/or labor necessary for a specific job.
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///
	23
I	

1	<u>TWENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u>
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	63. Respondent Zuniga's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary
4	action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed
5	to comply with the following sections of that Code:
6	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
7	on the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup truck in accordance with
8	procedures prescribed by the department.
9	b. <u>Section 44033, subdivision (c)</u> : On or about September 20, 2005, and
10	September 26, 2005, Respondent failed to provide the undercover operator
11	with written estimates for parts and/or labor necessary for a specific job.
12	TWENTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
14	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
15	64. Respondent Zuniga's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary
16	action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed
17	to comply with the following sections of California Code of Regulations, title 16:
18	a. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent knowingly entered into the
19	emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1992
20	Chevrolet S10 pickup truck.
21	b. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
22	the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup truck in accordance with the
23	Bureau's specifications.
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	-///
28	///
	24

1	THIRTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
-	65. Respondent Zuniga's advanced emission specialist technician license is
4	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
5	that he failed to comply with provisions of that Code as follows:
6	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
7	on the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup truck in accordance with
. 8	procedures prescribed by the department.
9	b. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR
10	dated September 26, 2005, as set forth in paragraph 60 above.
11	THIRTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
13	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
14	66. Respondent Zuniga's advanced emission specialist technician license is
15	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
16	that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as
17	follows:
18	a. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to inspect and test
19	the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup truck in accordance with Health
20	& Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of
21	Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.
22	b. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
23	the Bureau's 1992 Chevrolet S10 pickup truck in accordance with the
24	Bureau's specifications.
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	
	25

,

UNDERCOVER OPERATION #3: 1988 CHEVROLET CAPRICE

1

On March 22, 2006, an undercover operator with the Bureau (hereinafter 67. 2 "the operator") took the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice to Respondent California Smog 3 Certification's 1655 Broadway facility. The throttle positioning sensor ("TPS") ground circuit 4 wire at the engine control module (on-board computer) of the Bureau-documented vehicle had 5 been disconnected, causing the vehicle's exhaust tailpipe emissions to exceed the gross polluter 6 7 limits. Further, a defective bulb had been installed in the "service engine soon" light (Malfunction Indicator Lamp or "MIL"), preventing the MIL from operating. The operator met 8 with Respondent's service writer, "Cynthia", and completed and signed a work order/invoice for 9 a smog inspection on the vehicle. Cynthia did not provide the operator with a copy of the work 10 order/invoice or a written estimate. 11

68. After the inspection was completed, one of Respondent's employees told
the operator that the vehicle failed the inspection and that some work needed to be performed on
the vehicle so that it would pass the smog inspection. The operator paid \$49.75 in cash and was
given copies of a final invoice and a VIR.

69. At approximately 0935 hours that same day, the operator took the vehicle 16 to Respondent Zuniga's facility, Jesse Smog Station, and requested smog repairs on the vehicle. 17 A facility employee checked the vehicle and told the operator that there were problems with the 18 19 carburetor. The employee stated that he could get the vehicle to pass the smog inspection and that he would only charge the operator \$80. The operator authorized the repairs on the vehicle, 20 but did not receive a work order or estimate for the repairs. After the repairs were completed, the 21 operator signed and received a copy of Invoice No. 67224 and paid the facility employee \$100 in 22 cash. The operator also received a copy of a VIR dated March 22, 2006, for a pretest inspection 23 on the vehicle. The VIR indicated that Respondent Zuniga performed the pretest inspection. 24

25 70. On March 23, 2006, Bureau Representative John Nelson ("Nelson")
26 inspected the vehicle. Nelson found that the defective bulb in the MIL had been replaced, but
27 that the TPS ground circuit wire to the computer was still disconnected. Nelson also found that
28 the hot air tube to the thermostatic air cleaner ("TAC") was disconnected, that a jumper wire with

a test light had been connected to the mixture control solenoid ("M/C") dwell connector, with the
other end connected to a ground, and that the vacuum hose to the early fuel evaporation valve
("EFE") was disconnected. Nelson performed an ASM smog check inspection on the vehicle.
The vehicle passed the emission test, but failed the visual inspection portion of the smog test due
to the disconnected vacuum hose, modified sensor wiring, and disconnected TAC. The vehicle
also failed the functional portion of the test because the MIL remained illuminated during the
test.

71. On March 24, 2006, the operator returned the vehicle to Respondent 8 9 California Smog Certification's 1655 Broadway facility. The operator met with Respondent's employee, Virgil Wayne White ("White"), and requested a smog inspection. White provided the 10 operator with a work order/invoice, which the operator completed and signed. White did not 11 give the operator a copy of the work order/invoice or a written estimate for the inspection. After 12 13 the inspection was completed, the operator paid Cynthia \$8.25 in cash for the smog certificate and received copies of an invoice and a VIR dated March 24, 2006, signed or initialed by 14 15 Respondent Wilson. That same day, electronic smog Certificate of Compliance #GC553940C was issued for the vehicle. 16

17 72. On March 30, 2006, Nelson reinspected the vehicle and found that the 18 TPS ground circuit wire to the computer, the TAC hose, and the vacuum hose to the EFE valve 19 were still disconnected and that the jumper wire with the test light was still connected to the M/C 20 and grounded. Nelson also found that the vacuum hose to the exhaust gas recirculation ("EGR") 21 valve, the electric solenoid to the EGR valve, and the evaporative hose to the carburetor float 22 bowl vent were disconnected. Nelson performed an ASM smog check inspection on the vehicle. 23 The vehicle failed the test for excessive exhaust emissions, the disconnected EGR valve, TAC, 24 vacuum hoses, and carburetor float bowl vent, and the modified wiring to the sensors.

25

26

THIRTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

27 73. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
28 registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,

1	subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the
2	exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:
2	Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent Wilson, certified under
4	penalty of perjury on the VIR dated March 24, 2006, that the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice
5	had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact,
6	the TPS ground circuit wire to the computer, the hot air tube to the TAC, the vacuum hose to the
7	EFE, the vacuum hose to the EGR valve, the electric solenoid to the EGR valve, and the
8	evaporative hose to the carburetor float bowl vent were disconnected. Further, a jumper wire
9	with a test light had been connected to the M/C dwell connector and grounded. As such, the
10	vehicle would not pass the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.
11	THIRTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Failure to Provide Copy of Signed Document)
13	74. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
14	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
15	subdivision (a)(3), in that on or about March 22, 2006, and March 24, 2006, Respondent's
16	employees failed to give the undercover operator copies of the work orders/invoices, identified in
17	paragraphs 67 and 71 above, as soon as the operator signed the documents.
18	THIRTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Fraud)
20	75. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
21	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
22	subdivision (a)(4), in that it committed an act which constitutes fraud, as follows: Respondent
23	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice
24	without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the
25	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
26	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
27	///
28	
	28

1	THIRTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Bus. & Prof. Code)
3	76. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
4	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
5	subdivision (a)(6), in that on or about March 22, 2006, and March 24, 2006, Respondent failed to
6	comply with section 9884.9, subdivision (a), of that Code. Respondent's employees failed to
7	provide the undercover operator with written estimates for parts and/or labor necessary for a
8	specific job.
9	THIRTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
11	77. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
12	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
13	that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
14	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's technician,
15	Respondent Wilson, failed to perform the emission control tests on the
16	Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with procedures
17	prescribed by the department.
18	b. <u>Section 44015</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification issued an
19	electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet
20	Caprice without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if
21	it was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.
22	c. <u>Section 44033, subdivision (c)</u> : On or about March 22, 2006, and March
23	24, 2006, Respondent's employees failed to provide the undercover
24	operator with written estimates for parts and/or labor necessary for a
25	specific job.
26	///
27	///
28	
	29

,

1	THIRTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
3		
4	78. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is	S
5	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in	n
6	that Respondent failed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16	i,
7	as follows:	
8	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog	
9	Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of	of
10	compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice.	
11	b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog	
12	Certification issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the	
13	Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice even though the vehicle had not been	
14	inspected in accordance with Health & Saf. Code section 3340.42.	
15	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's	
16	technician, Respondent Wilson, knowingly entered into the emissions	
17	inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet	
18	Caprice.	
19	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's	
20	technician, Respondent Wilson, failed to conduct the required smog tests	
21	on the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with the Bureau's	
22	specifications.	
23	THIRTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
24	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)	
25	79. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is	
26	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in	1
27	that Respondent committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by	'
28	issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice	

l

1	without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the
2	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
3	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
4	THIRTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6	80. Respondent Wilson's advanced emission specialist technician license is
7	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
8	that he failed to comply with provisions of that Code as follows:
9	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
10	on the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with procedures
11	prescribed by the department.
12	b. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR
13	dated March 24, 2006, as set forth in paragraph 73 above, resulting in the
14	issuance of an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's
15	1988 Chevrolet Caprice.
16	FORTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
18	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
19	81. Respondent Wilson's advanced emission specialist technician license is
20	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
21	that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as
22	follows:
23	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent falsely or fraudulently
24	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's
25	1988 Chevrolet Caprice.
26	b. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to inspect and test
27	the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with Health & Saf.
28	///

1	Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title
2	16, section 3340.42.
3	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent knowingly entered into the
4	emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1988
5	Chevrolet Caprice.
6	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
7	the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with the Bureau's
8	specifications.
9	FORTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
11	82. Respondent Wilson's advanced emission specialist technician license is
12	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
13	in that he committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing
14	an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice without
15	performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
16	thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
17	Vehicle Inspection Program.
18	FORTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
20	83. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
21	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that
22	Respondent made or authorized statements which he knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care,
23	should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent certified under penalty of
24	perjury on the VIR dated March 22, 2006, that the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice had passed
25	the pretest inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the
26	TPS ground circuit wire to the computer, the hot air tube to the TAC, and the vacuum hose to the
27	EFE were disconnected. Further, a jumper wire with a test light had been connected to the M/C
28	dwell connector and grounded.
	32

1	FORTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Departure From Trade Standards)
3	84. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
4	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(7), in that
5	Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade standards for good and
6	workmanlike repair without the consent of the owner or the owner's duly authorized
7	representative, in the following material respects:
8	a. Respondent failed to diagnose, or properly diagnose the defect in the
9	emissions control system on the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in that Respondent failed to
10	determine that the TPS ground circuit wire at the engine control module was disconnected.
11	Further, Respondent failed to repair the defect in the emissions control system by reestablishing
12	ground for the TPS circuit at the engine control module.
13	b. Respondent illegally modified the emissions control system on the
14	Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice by disconnecting the hot air tube to the TAC and the vacuum
15	hose to the EFE. Further, Respondent connected a jumper wire with a test light to the M/C dwell
16	connector and grounded the wire.
17	FORTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Violations of the Bus. & Prof. Code)
19	85. Respondent Zuniga's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to
20	disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that
21	Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code, as follows:
22	a. <u>Section 9884.8</u> : Respondent failed to record on Invoice No. 67224 all
23	service work performed and/or parts supplied on the Bureau's 1988
24	Chevrolet Caprice.
25	b. <u>Section 9884.9, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to provide the
26	undercover operator with a written estimate for parts and/or labor
27	necessary for a specific job.
28	///
	33

1	FORTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	86. Respondent Zuniga's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary
4	action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed
5	to comply with the following sections of that Code:
6	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
7	on the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with procedures
8	prescribed by the department.
9	b. <u>Section 44016</u> : Respondent failed to perform the repairs on the Bureau's
10	1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with established specifications
11	and procedures.
12	c. <u>Section 44033, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent's employee failed to provide
13	the undercover operator with a written estimate for parts and/or labor
14	necessary for a specific job.
15	FORTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16 17	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
18	87. Respondent Zuniga's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary
19	action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed
20	to comply with the following sections of California Code of Regulations, title 16:
21	a. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (d)</u> : Respondent failed to perform the
22	repairs on the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with
23	established specifications and procedures.
24	b. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent knowingly entered into the
25	emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1988
26	Chevrolet Caprice.
27	
28	///

.

1		Section 3340.42: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
1	С.	the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with the Bureau's
2		specifications.
3		
4		FORTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	00	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6	88.	Respondent Zuniga's advanced emission specialist technician license is
7		ry action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
8		apply with provisions of that Code as follows:
9	a.	Section 44012: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
10		on the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with procedures
11		prescribed by the department.
12	b.	Section 44059: Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR
13		dated March 22, 2006, as set forth in paragraph 83 above.
14		FORTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15		(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
16		
17	89.	Respondent Zuniga's advanced emission specialist technician license is
18		ry action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
19	_	ed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as
20	follows:	
21	a.	Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to inspect and test
22		the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with Health & Saf.
23		Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title
24		16, section 3340.42.
25	Ь.	Section 3340.42: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
26		the Bureau's 1988 Chevrolet Caprice in accordance with the Bureau's
27		specifications.
28	///	
		35

1	RESPONDENT CALIFORNIA SMOG
2	CERTIFICATION'S 11 3RD AVENUE FACILITY
3	UNDERCOVER OPERATION #1: 1986 CHEVROLET MONTE CARLO
4	90. On August 8, 2005, an undercover operator with the Bureau, using the
5	alias "Nancy Cruz" (hereinafter "the operator"), took the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo
6	to Respondent California Smog Certification's facility located at 11 3rd Avenue, Suite A, Chula
7	Vista, California (hereinafter "11 3rd Avenue facility"). A defective emissions coolant
8	temperature sensor had been installed in the Bureau-documented vehicle, causing the vehicle's
9	exhaust tailpipe emissions to exceed the gross polluter limits. Further, a faulty bulb had been
10	installed in the MIL socket in the dash panel, preventing the MIL from functioning. The operator
11	requested a smog inspection on the vehicle and signed and received a copy of a written estimate.
12	After the inspection was completed, the operator was informed that the vehicle failed the
13	inspection. The operator paid \$49.75 in cash for the inspection and received copies of an invoice
14	and a VIR dated August 8, 2005, signed or initialed by Respondent Rivera.
15	91. On August 16, 2005, Bureau Representative Daniel Woods ("Woods")
16	inspected the vehicle and found that the gas (fuel) cap had not been tested, the ignition timing
17	had not been tested, or properly tested, and the MIL still was not functioning.
18	92. On August 22, 2005, the operator took the vehicle to Highland Mobil
19	Service Station ("Highland Mobil"), a test and repair facility located at 2945 Highland Avenue,
20	National City, California. That same day, Highland Mobile's employee, Ricardo, told the
21	operator that the vehicle needed a new coolant temperature sensor, which the operator
22	authorized. The operator paid Highland Mobil \$133.99 in cash for the repairs and received an
23	invoice and a VIR. The VIR indicated that the vehicle passed a pre-test smog inspection.
24	93. On September 13, 2005, Woods inspected the vehicle and found that the
25	defective coolant temperature sensor had been replaced, which corrected the vehicle's emissions
26	failure.
27	94. On September 29, 2005, another undercover operator with the Bureau,
28	using the alias "Brenda Cruz" (hereinafter "the operator"), took the vehicle to Respondent

1	California Smog Certification's 11 3rd Avenue facility. A defective emissions coolant
2	temperature sensor had been installed in the vehicle, causing the exhaust tailpipe emissions to
3	exceed the gross polluter limits. Further, a faulty bulb had been installed in the MIL socket in the
4	dash panel, preventing the MIL from functioning. The operator told a female service writer that
5	she was there for her sister and that the vehicle had failed a smog test, but had been repaired.
6	The operator requested a smog re-test on the vehicle and signed and received a copy of a written
7	estimate. After the smog inspection was completed, the operator was informed that the vehicle
8	passed the inspection. The operator paid \$8.25 in cash for the smog certificate and received
9	copies of an invoice and a VIR dated September 29, 2005, signed or initialed by Respondent
10	Garcia. That same day, electronic smog Certificate of Compliance #FY422301C was issued for
11	the vehicle.
12	95. On October 31, 2005, Woods performed an ASM smog check inspection
13	on the vehicle. The vehicle failed the emissions test as a gross polluter. Woods inspected the
14	vehicle and found that the MIL still was not functioning, the defective coolant temperature sensor
15	was still in place, and the fuel cap had not been tested.
15 16	was still in place, and the fuel cap had not been tested. FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16 17	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements)
16 17 18	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
16 17 18 19	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
16 17 18 19 20	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: a. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: a. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent Rivera, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated August 8, 2005, that he performed the
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: a. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent Rivera, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated August 8, 2005, that he performed the smog inspection on the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with all Bureau
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: a. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent Rivera, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated August 8, 2005, that he performed the smog inspection on the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with all Bureau requirements. In fact, Respondent Rivera failed to perform the functional fuel cap integrity test
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	FORTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 96. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: a. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent Rivera, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated August 8, 2005, that he performed the smog inspection on the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with all Bureau requirements. In fact, Respondent Rivera failed to perform the functional fuel cap integrity test and failed to perform, or properly perform, the functional ignition timing test on the vehicle.

,

listed on the VIR was true and correct and that the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo had 1 passed the functional check portion of the smog inspection. In fact, the MIL was not functioning 2 at the time the vehicle was taken to the 11rd Avenue facility on the above date and as such, the 3 vehicle would not pass the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012. 4

5

Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent c. Garcia, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated September 29, 2005, that the Bureau's 6 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws 7 and regulations. In fact, the MIL was not functioning, the emissions coolant temperature sensor 8 was defective, and the exhaust tailpipe emissions were in excess of the gross polluter limits at the 9 time the vehicle was taken to the 11rd Avenue facility on the above date. As such, the vehicle 10 would not pass the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012. 11

Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent d. 12 Garcia, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR dated September 29, 2005, that he 13 performed the smog inspection on the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with 14 all Bureau requirements. In fact, Respondent Garcia failed to perform the functional fuel cap 15 16 integrity test on the vehicle.

17

18

FIFTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

97. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer 19 20 registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, 21 subdivision (a)(4), in that it committed an act which constitutes fraud, as follows: Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo 22 23 without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the 24 vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 25

- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

1	FIFTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	98. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
4	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
5	that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
6	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's technicians,
7	Respondents Rivera and Garcia, failed to perform the emission control
8	tests on the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with
9	procedures prescribed by the department.
10	b. <u>Section 44015</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification issued an
11	electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet
12	Monte Carlo without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to
13	determine if it was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.
14	FIFTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
16	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
17	99. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
18	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
19	that Respondent failed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16,
20	as follows:
21	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog
22	Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of
23	compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo.
24	b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog
25	Certification issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the
26	Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo even though the vehicle had not
27	been inspected in accordance with Health & Saf. Code section 3340.42.
28	///
	39

.

1	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog
2	Certification's technicians, Respondents Rivera and Garcia, knowingly
3	entered into the emissions inspection system false information about the
4	Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo.
5	FIFTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
6	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
7	100. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
8	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
9	that Respondent committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by
10	issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte
11	Carlo without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on
12	the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by
13	the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
14	FIFTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
16	101. Respondent Rivera's advanced emission specialist technician license is
17	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
18	that on or about August 8, 2005, he failed to comply with provisions of that Code as follows:
19	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
20	on the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with
21	procedures prescribed by the department.
22	b. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR dated
23	dated August 8, 2005, as set forth in subparagraphs 96 (a) and (b) above.
24	FIFTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
25	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
26	
27	102. Respondent Rivera's advanced emission specialist technician license is
28	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
	40

, ,

1	that on or about Augu	st 8, 2005, Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code
2	of Regulations, title 1	6, as follows:
3	a.	Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to inspect
4		and test the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with
5		Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of
6		Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.
7	b.	3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent knowingly entered into the
8		emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1986
9		Chevrolet Monte Carlo.
10	с.	Section 3340.42: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
11		the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with the Bureau's
12		specifications.
13		FIFTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(V	violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
15	103.	Respondent Garcia's advanced emission specialist technician license is
16	subject to disciplinary	action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
17	that on or about Septer	mber 29, 2005, he failed to comply with provisions of that Code as
18	follows:	
19	а.	Section 44012: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
20		on the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with
21		procedures prescribed by the department.
22	b.	Section 44059: Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR dated
23		September 29, 2005, as set forth in subparagraphs 96 (c) and (d) above,
24		resulting in the issuance of an electronic smog certificate of compliance
25		for the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo.
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		41

,

,

1	FIFTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	to the Motor Venicle Inspection Program)
4	104. Respondent Garcia's advanced emission specialist technician license is
5	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
6	that on or about September 29, 2005, Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California
7	Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:
8	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent falsely or fraudulently
9	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1986
10	Chevrolet Monte Carlo.
11	b. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to inspect and test
12	the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with Health &
13	Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations,
14	title 16, section 3340.42.
15	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent knowingly entered into the
16	emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1986
17	Chevrolet Monte Carlo.
18	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
19	the Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo in accordance with the Bureau's
20	specifications.
21	FIFTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
22	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
23	105. Respondent Garcia's advanced emission specialist technician license is
24	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
25	that on or about September 29, 2005, he committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act
26	whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the
27	Bureau's 1986 Chevrolet Monte Carlo without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission
28	
	42

control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

1

2

3

UNDERCOVER OPERATION #2: 1984 BUICK REGAL

106. On December 28, 2005, an undercover operator with the Bureau, using the 4 alias "Heather Rios" (hereinafter "the operator"), took the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal to 5 Respondent California Smog Certification's 11 3rd Avenue facility. The fuel control wire from 6 the engine control module ("ECM") to the mixture control solenoid in the carburetor on the 7 Bureau-documented vehicle had been damaged, causing the vehicle's exhaust tailpipe emissions 8 to exceed the gross polluter limits and the MIL to remain illuminated, indicating a problem with 9 the emission control systems. The operator signed and received a copy of a written estimate for a 10smog inspection on the vehicle, which had been given to her by Respondent's service writer. 11 After the inspection was completed, the service writer told the operator that the vehicle failed the 12 inspection and that the check engine light had a blown fuse and needed replacement. The 13 operator paid \$49.75 in cash and received a copy of an invoice and VIR. 14

On February 15, 2006, another undercover operator with the Bureau, using 15 107. the alias "Felix Rios" (hereinafter "the operator"), took the vehicle to Highland Mobil. The 16 17 operator told one of the technicians that the vehicle had failed a smog inspection and that he needed to have the vehicle checked. The operator signed and received a copy of a written 18 19 estimate, totaling \$78, to diagnose the cause of the emissions failure. At approximately 1203 hours that same day, the operator received a telephone call from the technician. The technician 20 21 told the operator that there were problems with the computer and carburetor on the vehicle and gave the operator a verbal estimate of \$152 to replace the computer, which the operator 22 23 authorized. After the repairs were completed, the operator paid \$222.16 in cash and received copies of an invoice and VIR for a pretest inspection on the vehicle. The VIR indicated that the 24 25 vehicle passed the pretest inspection.

26 108. On February 17, 2006, Bureau Representative Daniell Rogers inspected
27 the vehicle and found that the damaged fuel control wire from the ECM to the mixture control
28 solenoid had been repaired and the MIL bulb had been replaced.

1	109. On March 27, 2006, a third undercover operator, using the alias "Maria	
2	Rios" (hereinafter "operator"), took the vehicle to Respondent California Smog Certification's	
3	11 3rd Avenue facility. The mixture control ("M/C") solenoid on the vehicle had been damaged,	
4	causing the vehicle's exhaust tailpipe emissions to exceed the gross polluter limits and the MIL	
5	to remain illuminated, indicating a problem with the emission control systems. The operator met	
6	with Respondent's service writer and requested a smog re-test on the vehicle. The operator did	
7	not receive an estimate for the re-test inspection. A short while later, the service writer told the	
8	operator that the vehicle had passed the inspection. The operator signed a work order for the	
9	inspection, but was not provided with a copy of the document. The operator paid \$8.25 for the	
10	smog certificate and received copies of an invoice and a VIR dated March 27, 2006, signed or	
11	initialed by Respondent Delgado. That same day, electronic smog Certificate of Compliance	
12	#GC644240C was issued for the vehicle.	
13	110. On March 27, 2006, Rogers performed an ASM smog check inspection on	
14	the vehicle. The vehicle failed the emissions test as a gross polluter, failed the functional MIL	
15	test (the MIL was illuminated during the test), and failed the visual portion of the inspection due	
16	to a missing gas (fuel) cap.	
17	FIFTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
18	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)	
19	111. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer	
20	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,	
21	subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the	
22	exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:	
23	Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent Delgado, certified under	
24	penalty of perjury on the VIR dated March 27, 2006, that the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal had	
25	passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the	
26	M/C solenoid in the carburetor was defective, the exhaust tailpipe emissions were in excess of	
27	the gross polluter limits, the MIL was still illuminated on the vehicle, and the fuel cap was	
28	///	
	44	

I

•

,

1	missing. As such, the vehicle would not pass the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code
2	section 44012.
3	SIXTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Failure to Provide Copy of Signed Document)
5	112. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
6	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
7	subdivision (a)(3), in that on or about March 27, 2006, Respondent's service writer failed to give
8	the undercover operator a copy of the work order, identified in paragraph 109 above, as soon as
9	the operator signed the document.
10	SIXTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
11	(Fraud)
12	113. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
13	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
14	subdivision (a)(4), in that it committed an act which constitutes fraud, as follows: Respondent
15	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal without
16	performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
17	thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
18	Vehicle Inspection Program.
19	SIXTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
20	(Violations of the Bus. & Prof. Code)
21	114. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
22	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
23	subdivision (a)(6), in that on or about March 27, 2006, Respondent failed to comply with section
24	9884.9, subdivision (a), of that Code. Respondent's service writer failed to provide the
25	undercover operator with a written estimate for parts and/or labor necessary for a specific job.
26	///
27	///
28	///
	45

I

		CIVITY THIDD CAUSE FOD DISCIDEINE
1		SIXTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2		(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	· 115.	Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
4	subject to disciplinar	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
5	that Respondent faile	ed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
6	a.	Section 44012: Respondent California Smog Certification's technician,
7		Respondent Delgado, failed to perform the emission control tests on the
8		Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal in accordance with procedures prescribed by
9		the department.
10	b.	Section 44015: Respondent California Smog Certification issued an
11		electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick
12		Regal without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it
13		was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.
14		SIXTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15		(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
16		to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
17	116.	Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
17 18		Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
	subject to disciplinar	
18	subject to disciplinar	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
18 19	subject to disciplinar that Respondent faile	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
18 19 20	subject to disciplinar that Respondent faile as follows:	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in ed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16,
18 19 20 21	subject to disciplinar that Respondent faile as follows:	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in ed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog
18 19 20 21 22	subject to disciplinar that Respondent faile as follows:	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in ed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	subject to disciplinar that Respondent faile as follows: a.	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in ed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal.
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	subject to disciplinar that Respondent faile as follows: a.	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in ed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	subject to disciplinar that Respondent faile as follows: a.	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in ed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification issued an electronic smog certificate of the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal.
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	subject to disciplinar that Respondent faile as follows: a.	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in ed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal even though the vehicle had not been

,

l

1	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's
2	technician, Respondent Delgado, knowingly entered into the emissions
3	inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal.
4	SIXTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
6	117. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
7	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
8	that Respondent committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by
9	issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal without
10	performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
11	thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
12	Vehicle Inspection Program.
13	SIXTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
14	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
15	118. Respondent Delgado's advanced emission specialist technician license is
16	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
17	that he failed to comply with provisions of that Code, as follows:
18	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
19	on the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal in accordance with procedures
20	prescribed by the department.
21	b. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR dated
22	dated March 27, 2006, as set forth in paragraph 111 above, resulting in the
23	issuance of a fraudulent certificate of compliance for the vehicle.
24	SIXTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
25	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
26	
27	119. Respondent Delgado's advanced emission specialist technician license is
28	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in

1	that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as
1	follows:
2 3	a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent falsely or fraudulently
4	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's
5	1984 Buick Regal.
6	b. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to inspect and test
7	the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal in accordance with Health & Saf. Code
8	sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16,
9	section 3340.42.
10	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent knowingly entered into the
11	emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1984
12	Buick Regal.
13	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
14	the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal in accordance with the Bureau's
15	specifications.
16	SIXTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
18	120. Respondent Delgado's advanced emission specialist technician license is
19	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
20	that he committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing
21	an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1984 Buick Regal without
22	performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the
23	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
24	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
25	
26	
27	
28	
	48

UNDERCOVER OPERATION #3: 1992 TOYOTA COROLLA

On April 27, 2006, an undercover operator with the Bureau (hereinafter 121. 2 "operator"), took the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla to Respondent California Smog 3 Certification's 11 3rd Avenue facility. The signal hose to the VSV plug, a solenoid vacuum 4 valve used by the electronic control unit to control the EGR system, was routed to the wrong port 5 on the Bureau-documented vehicle, preventing the EGR valve from functioning. Further, the 6 oxygen sensor ground was switched with the oxygen sensor signal wire in the wire harness 7 connector. Both defects caused the vehicle's exhaust tailpipe emissions to exceed the gross 8 9 polluter limits. There were no other problems with the emission control systems on the vehicle 10 and the ignition timing was set to manufacturer's specifications (10 degrees before top dead center). The operator requested a smog inspection on the vehicle. Respondent's service writer 11 had the operator complete and sign a work order for the inspection, but did not provide the 12 13 operator with a copy of the work order or a written estimate. After a while, the service writer told the operator that the smog test would take more time because they needed to "reheat" the 14 vehicle. 15

16 122. After the inspection was completed, the operator paid \$58 in cash and
17 received copies of Invoice No. 343821 and a VIR signed or initialed by Respondent Delgado.
18 That same day, electronic smog Certificate of Compliance #GD440987C was issued for the
19 vehicle.

20 123. On April 27, 2006, Bureau Representative Robert Badham ("Badham")
21 reviewed the invoice and VIR. Badham noticed that the VIR indicated that the engine size on the
22 Bureau's vehicle was 2.2 liters when, in fact, the vehicle is equipped with a 1.6 liter engine.
23 Further, both the invoice and VIR referred to the vehicle as a "Camry" rather than a "Corolla".⁴
24 Badham also noticed that the engine RPM readings on the VIR were 2501 and 2384, indicating
25 that the vehicle was tested in first and second gears instead of "drive".

26

27

28

1

^{4.} The fact that the engine size was entered into the emission inspection system as 2.2 liter rather, than 1.6 liter as indicated on the under-hood label, may have lowered the maximum allowable limit for NOX by approximately 35 PPM (parts per million).

1	124. On April 28, 2006, Badham performed a smog check inspection on the
2	vehicle. The vehicle failed the functional MIL test, the functional ignition timing test, and the
3	emissions test due to high NOX. Badham found that Respondent California Smog Certification
4	had adjusted the ignition timing on the vehicle ^{$5/$} and that the ignition timing had not been
5	adjusted to manufacturer's specifications.
6	SIXTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
8	125. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer
9	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,
10	subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it knew or in the
11	exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:
12	a. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent
13	Delgado, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR that the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla
14	had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the
15	ignition timing on the vehicle was not adjusted to manufacturer's specifications. The ignition
16	timing had been adjusted or set to 5 degrees BTDC when the manufacturer's specifications are
17	10 degrees BDTC. Further, the MIL was illuminated, indicating a problem with the vehicle's
18	emissions system, and the NOX tailpipe emissions were in excess of the maximum allowable
19	limit. As such, the vehicle would not pass the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code section
20	44012.
21	b. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent
22	Delgado, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR that the ignition timing on the Bureau's
23	///
24	
25	5. Health & Saf. Code section 44014.5, subdivision (b), states: "The repair of vehicles at test-only facilities shall be prohibited, except that the minor repair of components damaged by station personnel during inspection at
26	the station, any minor repair that is necessary for the safe operation of a vehicle while at a station, or other minor repairs, such as the reconnection of hoses or vacuum lines, may be undertaken at no charge to the vehicle owner or
27	operator if authorized in advance in writing by the department."
28	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.16, subdivision (d), states: "A smog check test- only station shall not engage in any automotive repair work".

. . . .

 1992 Toyota Corolla was 10 degrees BTDC when, in fact, the ignition timing had been set to 5 degrees BTDC, as set forth in subparagraph (a) above. c. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent 	
c. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent	
Delgado, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR that he performed the smog inspection on	
the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla in accordance with all Bureau requirements. In fact, Delgado	
failed to start the inspection with the automatic transmission in drive (or overdrive if the RPM	
exceeds 3000 RPM).	
d. Respondent California Smog Certification's technician, Respondent	
Delgado, certified under penalty of perjury on the VIR that the information listed on the VIR was	
true and correct and that the Bureau's vehicle was a 1992 Toyota Camry with a 2.2 liter engine.	
In fact, the vehicle was a 1992 Toyota Corolla with a 1.6 liter engine.	
SEVENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
(Failure to Provide Copy of Signed Document)	
126. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer	
registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,	
subdivision (a)(3), in that Respondent's service writer failed to give the undercover operator a	
copy of the work order as soon as the operator signed the document.	
SEVENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
(Fraud)	
127. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer	
registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,	
subdivision (a)(4), in that it committed an act which constitutes fraud, as follows: Respondent	
issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla	
without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the	
vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the	
Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.	
///	
51	

i		
1	SEVENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Violations of the Bus. & Prof. Code)	
3	128. Respondent California Smog Certification's automotive repair dealer	
4	registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7,	
5	subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with section 9884.9, subdivision (a), of	
6	that Code. Respondent's service writer failed to provide the undercover operator with a writter	1
7	estimate for parts and/or labor necessary for a specific job.	
8	SEVENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
9	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
10	129. Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license i	is
11	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), i	in
12	that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:	
13	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification's technician,	
14	Respondent Delgado, failed to perform the emission control tests on the	
15	Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla in accordance with procedures prescribed	
16	by the department.	
17	b. <u>44014.5, subdivision (b)</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification	
18	repaired the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla by adjusting the ignition	
19	timing on the vehicle, as set forth in paragraph 124 above, when, in fact,	
20	Respondent was not legally authorized to repair the vehicle as it is	
21	licensed as a test only facility.	
22	c. <u>Section 44015</u> : Respondent California Smog Certification issued an	
23	electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1992 Toyota	
24	Corolla without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine i	if
25	it was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.	
26	///	
27	/// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
28		

1		SEVENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2		(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
3		to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
4	130.	Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
5	subject to disciplinar	ry action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
6	that Respondent faile	ed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16,
7	as follows:	
8	a.	Section 3340.16, subdivision (d): Respondent California Smog
9		Certification engaged in automotive repair work by adjusting the ignition
10		timing on the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla, as set forth in paragraph 124
11		above, when, in fact, Respondent was not legally authorized to repair the
12		vehicle as it is licensed as a test only facility.
13	b.	Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent California Smog
14		Certification falsely or fraudulently issued an electronic smog certificate of
15		compliance for the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla.
16	с.	Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent California Smog
17		Certification issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the
18		Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla even though the vehicle had not been
19		inspected in accordance with Health & Saf. Code section 3340.42.
20	d.	3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent California Smog Certification's
21		technician, Respondent Delgado, knowingly entered into the emissions
22		inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1992 Toyota
23		Corolla.
24		SEVENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
25		(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
26	131.	Respondent California Smog Certification's smog check station license is
27	subject to disciplinar	y action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
28	that Respondent com	mitted a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by

ĺ

1	issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla
2	without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the
3	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
4	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
5	SEVENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
6	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
7	132. Respondent Delgado's advanced emission specialist technician license is
8	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in
9	that he failed to comply with provisions of that Code, as follows:
10	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
11	on the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla in accordance with procedures
12	prescribed by the department.
13	b. <u>Section 44059</u> : Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR, as set
14	forth in paragraph 125 above, resulting in the issuance of a fraudulent
15	certificate of compliance for the vehicle.
16	SEVENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
18	to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
19	133. Respondent Delgado's advanced emission specialist technician license is
20	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in
21	that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as
22	follows:
23	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent falsely or fraudulently
24	issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's
25	1992 Toyota Corolla.
26	b. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to inspect and test
27	the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla in accordance with Health & Saf. Code
28	///
	54
	I

.

1	sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16,
2	section 3340.42.
3	c. <u>3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondent knowingly entered into the
4	emissions inspection system false information about the Bureau's 1992
5	Toyota Corolla.
6	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on
7	the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla in accordance with the Bureau's
8	specifications.
9	SEVENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
11	134. Respondent Delgado's advanced emission specialist technician license is
12	subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
13	that he committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing
14	an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1992 Toyota Corolla without
15	performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the
16	vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
17	Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
18	OTHER MATTERS
19	135. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the
20	Director may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registrations
21	for all places of business operated in this state by Respondent California Smog Certification, Inc.
22	upon a finding that said Respondent has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful
23	violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.
24	136. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check, Test
25	Only, Station License Number TD 221240, issued to Respondent California Smog Certification
26	Inc., is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said
27	licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.
28	

137. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
 Specialist Technician License Number EA 085454, issued to Karl Duer Robson, is revoked or
 suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be
 likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

138. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
Specialist Technician License Number EA 137341, issued to Loren Thomas Wilson, is revoked
or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may
be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

9 139. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the
10 Director may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registrations
11 for all places of business operated in this state by Respondent Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known
12 as Jesus B. Zuniga, owner of Jesse Smog Station, upon a finding that said Respondent has, or is,
13 engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an
14 automotive repair dealer.

15 140. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check, Test
16 Only, Station License Number RE 160498, issued to Respondent Jesus Benito Zuniga, also
17 known as Jesus B. Zuniga, owner of Jesse Smog Station, is revoked or suspended, any additional
18 license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or
19 suspended by the Director.

20 141. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
21 Specialist Technician License Number EA 304458, issued to Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known as
22 Jesus B. Zuniga, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the
23 name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

24 142. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check, Test
25 Only, Station License Number TF 216533, issued to Respondent California Smog Certification,
26 Inc., is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said
27 licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

28 ///

1	143. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
2	Specialist Technician License Number EA 148756, issued to Jeremiah Edgar Rivera, is revoked
3	or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may
4	be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.
5	144. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
6	Specialist Technician License Number EA 143159, issued to Jaime Garcia, is revoked or
7	suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be
8	likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.
9	145. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission
10	Specialist Technician License Number EA 148105, issued to Diego Salvado Delgado, is revoked
11	or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may
12	be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.
13	PRAYER
14	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
15	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:
16	1. Temporarily or permanently invalidating Automotive Repair Dealer
17	Registration Number AD 221240, issued to California Smog Certification, Inc.;
18	2. Temporarily or permanently invalidating any other automotive repair
19	dealer registration issued in the name of California Smog Certification, Inc., including, but not
20	limited to, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number AF 216533;
21	3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only, Station License Number
22	TD 221240, issued to California Smog Certification, Inc.;
23	4. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
24	the Health and Safety Code in the name of California Smog Certification, Inc., including, but not
25	limited to, Smog Check, Test Only, Station License Number TF 216533;
26	5. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
27	License Number EA 085454, issued to Karl Duer Robson;
28	///
	57

1	6. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
2	the Health and Safety Code in the name of Karl Duer Robson;
3	7. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
4	License Number EA 137341, issued to Loren Thomas Wilson;
5	8. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
6	the Health and Safety Code in the name of Loren Thomas Wilson;
7	9. Temporarily or permanently invalidating Automotive Repair Dealer
8	Registration Number AE 160498, issued to Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known as Jesus B. Zuniga,
9	owner of Jesse Smog Station;
10	10. Temporarily or permanently invalidating any other automotive repair
11	dealer registration issued to Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known as Jesus B. Zuniga;
12	11. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Station License Number
13	RE 160498, issued to Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known as Jesus B. Zuniga, owner of Jesse Smog
14	Station;
15	12. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
16	License Number EA 304458, issued to Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known as Jesus B. Zuniga;
17	13. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
18	the Health and Safety Code in the name of Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known as Jesus B. Zuniga;
19	14. Temporarily or permanently invalidating Automotive Repair Dealer
20	Registration Number AF 216533, issued to California Smog Certification, Inc.;
21	15. Temporarily or permanently invalidating any other automotive repair
22	dealer registration issued in the name of California Smog Certification, Inc., including, but not
23	limited to, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number AD 221240;
24	16. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
25	License Number EA 148756, issued to Jeremiah Edgar Rivera;
26	17. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
27	the Health and Safety Code in the name of Jeremiah Edgar Rivera;
28	///
-	58

ł

1	18. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
2	License Number EA 143159, issued to Jaime Garcia;
3	19. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
4	the Health and Safety Code in the name of Jaime Garcia;
5	20. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
6	License Number EA 148105, issued to Diego Salvado Delgado;
7	21. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of
8	the Health and Safety Code in the name of Diego Salvado Delgado;
9	22. Ordering Respondents California Smog Certification, Inc., Karl Duer
10	Robson, Loren Thomas Wilson, Jesus Benito Zuniga, also known as Jesus B. Zuniga,
11	individually, and as owner of Jesse Smog Station, Jeremiah Edgar Rivera, Jaime Garcia, and/or
12	Diego Salvado Delgado, to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the
13	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
14	125.3;
15	23. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
16	
17	DATED: 9-26-07
18	Any mil
19	SHERRY MEHL
20	Chief Bureau of Automotive Repair
21	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
22	Complainant
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	59

.