

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 THOMAS L. RINALDI
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 M. TRAVIS PEERY
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 261887
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6309
6 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126
E-mail: Travis.Peery@doj.ca.gov
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 77/26-2103

13 **DANNY'S TEST AND REPAIR INC;**
14 **DANIEL ANTONIO ESPINOZA**
ENRRIQUEZ, PRESIDENT/SECRETARY/
TREASURER
5501 Dairy Ave
15 Long Beach, CA 90805

ACCUSATION

16 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.
ARD 307073
17 Smog Check Test and Repair Station License
No. RC 307073
18 Vehicle Safety Systems Station License No.
VS 307073

19 and

20 **DANIEL ANTONIO ESPINOZA**
21 **ENRRIQUEZ**
1601 E Wouth St
22 Long Beach, CA 90805

23 Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 635325
24 Smog Check Repair Technician License No.
EI 635325
25 Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License
No. ST 635325

26 Respondents.

27
28 ///

PARTIES

1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about September 26, 2023, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 307073 to Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc; Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez, President/Secretary/Treasurer (Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc). The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2026, unless renewed.

3. On or about October 26, 2023, the Bureau issued Smog Check Test and Repair Station License No. RC 307073 to Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc. The Smog Check Test and Repair Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2026, unless renewed.

4. On or about January 19, 2024, the Bureau certified Danny's Test and Repair Inc as a STAR station. The certification will remain active unless the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and/or Smog Check Test and Repair Station License is revoked, cancelled, licenses become delinquent, or certification is suspended.

5. On or about July 12, 2024, the Bureau issued Vehicle Safety Systems Station License No. VS 307073 to Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc. The Vehicle Safety Systems Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2026, unless renewed. On or about June 5, 2026, Administrative Law Judge Glynda B. Gomez issued an Order on Petition for Interim Suspension suspending Vehicle Safety Systems Station License No. VS 307073 pending a final decision in this Accusation matter.

6. On or about March 20, 2013, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 635325 to Respondent Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez (Respondent Enrriquez). The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2027, unless renewed. On or about June 5, 2026, Administrative Law Judge Glynda B. Gomez issued an Order on Petition for Interim Suspension

1 suspending Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 635325 pending a final decision in this
2 Accusation matter.

3 7. On or about April 14, 2014, the Bureau issued Smog Check Repair Technician
4 License No. EI 635325 to Respondent Enrriquez. The Smog Check Repair Technician License
5 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
6 June 30, 2028, unless renewed. On or about June 5, 2026, Administrative Law Judge Glynda B.
7 Gomez issued an Order on Petition for Interim Suspension suspending Smog Check Repair
8 Technician License No. EI 635325 pending a final decision in this Accusation matter

9 8. On or about April 9, 2024, the Bureau issued Vehicle Safety Systems Technician
10 License No. ST 635325 to Respondent Enrriquez. The Vehicle Safety Systems Technician
11 License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
12 expire on June 30, 2028, unless renewed. On or about June 5, 2026, Administrative Law Judge
13 Glynda B. Gomez issued an Order on Petition for Interim Suspension suspending Vehicle Safety
14 Systems Technician License No. ST 635325 pending a final decision in this Accusation matter.

15 **JURISDICTION**

16 9. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer
17 Affairs (Director) for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.

18 10. Business and Professions Code (Bus. & Prof. Code) section 118, subdivision (b),
19 provides that suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the
20 Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the
21 license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

22 11. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a
23 valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
24 proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently
25 invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

26 12. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.1 provides that the Director may revoke any Vehicle
27 Safety Systems Inspection license issued pursuant to Article 6.5.

28 ///

13. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.7 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration, suspension, or voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against such licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking such license.

14. Health and Safety Code (Health & Saf. Code) section 44002 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

15. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

16. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:

(a) The director, if the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer:

(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

• • • •

(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

• • • •

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

• • • •

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

• • • •

(e) For purposes of this section, “fraud” includes, but is not limited to, violations of this chapter involving misrepresentations and all of the following:

(1) Any act or omission that is included within the definition of either “actual fraud” or “constructive fraud,” as those terms are defined in Sections 1572 and 1573 of the Civil Code.

(2) A misrepresentation in any manner, whether intentionally false or due to gross negligence, of a material fact.

(3) A promise or representation not made honestly and in good faith.

(4) An intentional failure to disclose a material fact.

(5) Any act in violation of Section 484 of the Penal Code.

17. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3 states, in pertinent part:

The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee or any partner, officer, or director thereof:

(a) Violates any section of the Business and Professions Code that relates to his or her licensed activities.

...

(c) Violates any of the regulations promulgated by the director pursuant to this chapter.

(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.

...

(h) Violates or attempts to violate the provisions of this chapter relating to the particular activity for which he or she is licensed.

....

18. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9888.6, subdivision (a), states:

A licensee shall issue to the owner or driver of a vehicle a certificate of compliance on a form prescribed by the director if, after conducting an inspection of, and any necessary repair to, the safety systems of the vehicle, the licensee determines that the safety systems conform with the inspection criteria and standards adopted by the director.

19. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), states that “[t]he director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof . . . [c]ommits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

20. California Code of Regulations (“CCR”), title 16, section 3311.1, states in pertinent part:

(c) Vehicle safety systems technicians shall perform all vehicle safety systems inspections in accordance with the requirements and procedures prescribed in the document entitled “Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection Manual”, dated September 2023 [New], which is hereby incorporated by reference.

...

(e) The inspection, specified in subdivision (c) of this section, for which a vehicle safety systems inspection station is licensed, shall be performed only in an area of the station the Bureau has approved during the initial on-site licensing inspection referenced in section 3312.1 of this Article. The inspection area shall be within a building and be large enough to accommodate the motor vehicle being inspected. The Bureau shall make an exception to the inspection area requirements of this subdivision by approving a work area adjacent to a building for purposes of inspecting vehicle safety systems on buses, trucks, truck tractors, trailers, and semitrailers during the initial on-site licensing inspection. Any such exception shall be documented on the report of the initial on-site licensing inspection, referenced in section 3312.1 of this Article, by the Bureau's representative and include a description of location and approximate size of the adjacent work area.

....

21. CCR, title 16, section 3311.2, subdivision (b), states:

To maintain licensure, all vehicle safety systems inspection stations shall require their employees to comply with the standards and criteria contained in this Article and in Article 6.5 (commencing with section 9888.5) of the Code, and all inspections shall comply with those standards and criteria. The vehicle safety systems inspection station shall be responsible for all inspections conducted in the station by those performing the inspections.

22. CCR, title 16, section 3311.3, subdivision (d), states in pertinent part:

A licensed vehicle safety systems technician shall authorize the issuance of an electronic certificate of compliance for any vehicle that has passed a vehicle safety systems inspection, as described in Chapter 1.6 of the Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection Manual, referenced in section 3311.1 of this Article. An electronic certificate of compliance shall consist of:

(1) The certificate of compliance number issued by the Bureau when the vehicle passes the inspection in accordance with this Article;

....

///

///

23. CCR, title 16, section 3373, states:

No automotive repair dealer or individual in charge shall, in filling out an estimate, invoice, or work order, or record required to be maintained by section 3340.15(e) of this chapter, withhold therefrom or insert therein any statement or information which will cause any such document to be false or misleading, or where the tendency or effect thereby would be to mislead or deceive customers, prospective customers, or the public.

COST RECOVERY

24. Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CALIFORNIA'S VEHICLE SAFETY SYSTEMS INSPECTION PROGRAM

25. On March 27, 2024, the Bureau's Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection (VSSI) Program began statewide. The new inspection combined and replaced the Bureau's Brake and Lamp inspection programs and added other vehicle safety systems assessments into one comprehensive inspection. The inspection, which is required by California Vehicle Code section 11519(a)(3) for reviving a "total loss" vehicle, as deemed by an insurer, to a salvage title, includes an inspection of the following safety systems and areas:

- Lighting System, which consists of checking the condition and operation of original equipment, any replacement and customer added lights, reflectors, and verifying correct headlight alignment.
- Passenger Compartment, which consists of separate inspections of items located in or on the passenger compartment to ensure they are functioning as designed by the manufacturer. Those items, when equipped, include the windshield, windshield wiper(s), mirrors, seats, seatbelts, rear window, and a visual inspection of the Supplemental Restraint System (SRS).
- Tires and Wheels, which is intended to ensure the tires are safe, the wheels are free of cracks and bends that would cause them to be unsafe, and an inspection of the Tire Pressure Monitoring System (TPMS), if equipped. The inspection of the wheels shall include the mounting area and center disc, including the center bore and lug holes.

1 • Brake System, which consists of partial disassembly and inspection of the vehicle's
2 brakes to ensure that the component parts and systems are functioning properly and comply with
3 manufacturer and component manufacturer specifications (Including, but not limited to, friction
4 material thickness, brake rotor thickness, and brake drum diameter). All wheels must be removed
5 from the vehicle to perform a thorough and complete brake inspection, except in the case of a
6 motorcycle when the disc brake(s) can be inspected without removing the wheel. While
7 removing the wheel, the technician shall inspect for any and all missing, broken, or damaged
8 wheel studs and any and all missing, cross-threaded, or incorrect lug nuts.

9 • Steering and Suspension Systems, which is a physical and visual inspection that
10 includes all bushings, bearings, ball-type socket joints, arms, rods, struts, bars, subframes, belts,
11 power assist fluids, steering gears, steering columns, shocks, springs, linkages, and steering and
12 suspension related sensors, switches, and wiring.

13 • Body structure, which is a visual inspection of the vehicle panels, frame/unibody, fuel
14 system, and other components to ensure they are securely fastened, are free of damage affecting
15 functionality, and operate as designed.

16 26. The inspection requires a road test which consists of a stopping test, a visual
17 inspection of the instrument cluster and any controls, and an evaluation of the control and
18 stability of the vehicle at speed. Additionally, the inspection includes a scan of the vehicle's on-
19 board diagnostics, and a check for open safety recalls for the vehicle. A vehicle with an
20 unrepaired safety recall identified by the NHTSA shall fail the vehicle safety systems inspection.

21 27. The program requires use of the BAR-Safety Inspection System (BAR-SIS). The
22 BAR-SIS is used by licensed vehicle safety systems technicians to report safety system inspection
23 findings and issue digital certificates of compliance. The BAR-SIS is computer software owned
24 and developed by BAR, and with the BAR's On-Board Diagnostic Inspection System (BAR-OIS)
25 (which is used for Smog Check inspections), is housed on BAR's California Vehicle Inspection
26 System (CAL-VIS). The BAR-SIS consists of a certified Data Acquisition Device (DAD),
27 computer, bar code scanner, and printer. The DAD is an On-Board Diagnostic II (OBD II) scan
28 tool that, when commanded by the BAR-SIS software, retrieves OBD II and safety system data

1 from OBD II equipped vehicles. The DAD is the only BAR-certified component of the BAR-
2 SIS. The BAR-SIS uses its software to communicate with the VID through an internet
3 connection. The bar code scanner is used to input inspection information such as the Vehicle
4 Identification Number (VIN), and DMV information. The printer provides a Vehicle Safety
5 Report (VSR) containing inspection results for motorists and a Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate
6 of Compliance number for passing vehicles.

7 28. The BAR-SIS requires the vehicle safety systems technician to indicate if the safety
8 systems and areas of the vehicle requiring inspection have passed or failed the inspection.

9 29. If a safety system passes inspection, the technician shall record the system as passing
10 and follow the BAR-SIS prompts to enter additional system information specific to the vehicle.

11 30. If a safety system fails the inspection, the technician shall record the system as failing
12 and follow the BAR-SIS prompts to enter information about the cause of the failure using drop
13 down menus.

14 31. A vehicle safety systems technician must be biometrically enrolled with BAR and use
15 a biometric device, authenticating their identity, to gain access and report safety system
16 inspection findings in the BAR-SIS. Upon completion of a vehicle safety systems inspection of
17 all required safety systems and areas of the vehicle, pass or fail, the vehicle safety systems
18 technician shall certify under penalty of perjury, under the Laws of the State of California, that
19 they performed the vehicle safety systems inspection in accordance with inspection criteria and
20 standards detailed in the VSSI Manual. That certification must be made with a second biometric
21 authentication.

22 32. Only a licensed vehicle safety systems technician working in a licensed vehicle safety
23 systems inspection station may perform a vehicle safety systems inspection and authorize the
24 issuance of a certificate of compliance. Vehicle safety systems inspections may only be
25 performed in an area of the station that the Bureau has approved during the initial on-site
26 licensing inspection. The inspection area shall be within a building and be large enough to
27 accommodate the types of motor vehicles being inspected. The Bureau shall make an exception
28 to the inspection area requirements by approving a work area adjacent to a building for purposes

1 of inspecting vehicle safety systems on buses, trucks, truck tractors, trailers, and semitrailers
2 during the initial on-site licensing inspection. Any such exception shall be documented on the
3 report of the initial on-site licensing inspection by the Bureau's representative and include a
4 description of location and approximate size of the adjacent work area. Licensed stations and
5 technicians must follow the procedures contained in the Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection
6 Manual.

7 33. The Bureau has become aware of some technicians circumventing the requirements
8 of the VSSI Program. These technicians are entering false results into the CAL-VIS platform for
9 safety systems without performing bona fide inspections thereby issuing fraudulent Vehicle
10 Safety Systems certificates to vehicles that have not been determined to be in compliance and safe
11 to operate on California roadways.

12 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

13 ***Initial Station Inspection***

14 34. On or about July 11, 2024, a Bureau representative visited Danny's Test and Repair
15 Inc to conduct a VSSI station initial inspection. During the visit, the Bureau representative
16 advised Respondent Enrriquez that licensed VSSI stations and technicians must follow the
17 procedures contained in the VSSI Manual and that compliance with the Automotive Repair Act is
18 mandatory. During the visit, Respondent Enrriquez stated that Vehicle Safety Systems
19 Inspections would be performed in the two service bays on the left side of the facility.

20 ***Investigation***

21 35. On or about January 29, 2026, based on a review of confidential Vehicle Safety
22 Systems Inspection data, the Bureau conducted a recorded surveillance of the Vehicle Safety
23 Systems Inspection activity performed at Danny's Test and Repair Inc that confirmed ten (10)
24 Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of Compliance were fraudulently issued by Respondent
25 Danny's Test and Repair Inc for inspections purportedly performed by Respondent Enrriquez.
26 The facts and circumstances are as follows:

27 ///

28 ///

1 **Fraudulent Inspection #1 – 2019 Kia Sportage LX**

2 36. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1033 hours and
3 1038 hours, a 2019 Kia Sportage LX, VIN # KNDPMCAC0K7583922, (no license plate entered)
4 (2019 Sportage LX), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance #
5 VA396975S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under Respondent
6 Enriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's surveillance
7 reveals that the 2019 Sportage LX never entered either of the two inspection bays approved by
8 the Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time did
9 Respondent Enriquez ever raise or lift the 2019 Sportage LX to remove the wheels to inspect or
10 obtain brake measurements¹ as required for a proper brake system inspection and a proper tire
11 and wheel inspection. Respondent Enriquez also failed to perform a proper and complete
12 inspection of the steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at any time to
13 perform the road test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required during a
14 Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enriquez also failed to open all the
15 doors and the rear hatch as required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper
16 body structure inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he
17 visually inspect exterior lights as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these
18 circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396975S was fraudulently
19 issued.

20 **Fraudulent Inspection #2 – 2019 Toyota Tacoma Double Cab**

21 37. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1050 and 1052
22 hours, a 2019 Toyota Tacoma Double Cab, VIN # 3TMDZ5BN8KM069483, (no license plate
23 entered) (2019 Tacoma Double Cab), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of
24 Compliance # VA396976S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under
25 Respondent Enriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's
26 surveillance reveals that the 2019 Tacoma Double Cab never entered either of the two inspection

27 ¹ All 4 wheels must be removed to facilitate the inspection of the wheels themselves,
28 wheel nuts and studs, brake components, and vehicle suspension as described in the VSSI
Manual. The VSSI Manual is incorporated by reference in the California Code of Regulations,
Title 16, section 3311.1.

bays approved by the Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time did Respondent Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2019 Tacoma Double Cab to remove the wheels to inspect or obtain brake measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a proper tire and wheel inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a complete inspection of the steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at any time to perform the road test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required during a Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open the hood, all the doors, and the tailgate, as is required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper body structure inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he visually inspect exterior lights as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396976S was fraudulently issued.

Fraudulent Inspection #3– 2022 Nissan Altima SR

38. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1059 hours and 1101 hours, a 2022 Nissan Altima SR, VIN # 1N4BL4CV9NN350953, (no license plate entered) (2022 Altima SR), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396977S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under Respondent Enrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's surveillance reveals that the 2022 Altima SR never entered either of the two inspection bays approved by the Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time did Respondent Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2022 Altima SR to remove the wheels to inspect or obtain brake measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a proper tire and wheel inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a proper and complete inspection of the steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at any time to perform the road test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required for the Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open all the doors and the trunk, as is required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper body structure inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he visually inspect exterior lights

as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396977S was fraudulently issued.

Fraudulent Inspection #4– 2018 Buick Encore Preferred II

39. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1124 hours and 1126 hours, a 2018 Buick Encore Preferred II, VIN # KL4CJBSM1JB574600, (no license plate entered) (2018 Encore Preferred II), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396978S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under Respondent Enrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's surveillance reveals that the 2018 Encore Preferred II never entered either of the two inspection bays approved by the Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time did Respondent Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2018 Encore Preferred II to remove the wheels to inspect or obtain brake measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a proper tire and wheel inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a proper and complete inspection of the steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at any time to perform the road test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required for the Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open all the doors and the rear hatch, as is required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper body structure inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he visually inspect exterior lights as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396978S was fraudulently issued.

Fraudulent Inspection # 5– 2004 Toyota Tacoma Double Cab

40. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1135 hours and 1138 hours, a 2024 Toyota Tacoma Double Cab, VIN # 3TMLB5JN1RM059437, (no license plate entered) (2004 Tacoma Double Cab), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396979S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under Respondent Enrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems License. However, the Bureau's surveillance reveals that the 2004 Tacoma Double Cab never entered either of the two inspection bays

1 approved by the Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time
2 did Respondent Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2024 Tacoma Double Cab to remove the wheels to
3 inspect or obtain brake measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a
4 proper tire and wheel inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a proper and
5 complete inspection of the steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at
6 any time to perform the road test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required
7 during a Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open all
8 the doors and the tailgate, as is required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and
9 proper body structure inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor
10 did he visually inspect exterior lights as required for a proper light system inspection. Under
11 these circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396979S was
12 fraudulently issued.

13 **Fraudulent Inspection #6 – 2005 Ford Explorer Limited**

14 41. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1141 hours and
15 1147 hours, a 2005 Ford Explorer Limited, VIN # 1FMZU75W65UA43044, (no license plate
16 entered) (2005 Explorer Limited), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of
17 Compliance # VA396980S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under
18 Respondent Enrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's
19 surveillance reveals that the 2005 Explorer Limited never entered either of the two inspection
20 bays approved by the Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no
21 time did Respondent Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2005 Explorer Limited to remove the wheels
22 to inspect or obtain brake measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a
23 proper tire and wheel inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a proper and
24 complete inspection of the steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at
25 any time to perform the road test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required for
26 the Vehicle Safety Systems inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open all the
27 doors and the rear hatch, as is required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper
28 body structure inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he

1 visually inspect exterior lights as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these
2 circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396980S was fraudulently
3 issued.

4 **Fraudulent Inspection # 7– 2016 Honda Civic EX**

5 42. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1157 hours and
6 1201 hours, a 2016 Honda Civic EX, VIN # 19XFC2F77GE201204, CA license # 7SLL875
7 (2016 Civic EX), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance #
8 VA396981S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under Respondent
9 Enrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's surveillance
10 reveals that the 2016 Civic EX never entered either of the two inspection bays approved by the
11 Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time did Respondent
12 Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2016 Civic EX to remove the wheels to inspect or obtain brake
13 measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a proper tire and wheel
14 inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a proper and complete inspection of the
15 steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at any time to perform the road
16 test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required for the Vehicle Safety Systems
17 Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open all the doors and the trunk, as is
18 required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper body structure inspection, and
19 never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he visually inspect exterior lights
20 as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these circumstances, Vehicle Safety
21 Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396981S was fraudulently issued.

22 **Fraudulent Inspection #8– 2015 Honda Civic LX**

23 43. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1204 hours and
24 1208 hours, a 2015 Honda Civic LX, VIN # 2HGFB2F51FH541003, (no license plate entered)
25 (2015 Civic LX), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance #
26 VA396982S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under Respondent
27 Enrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's surveillance
28 reveals that the 2015 Civic LX never entered either of the two inspection bays approved by the

1 Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time did Respondent
2 Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2015 Civic LX to remove the wheels to inspect or obtain brake
3 measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a proper tire and wheel
4 inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a proper and complete inspection of the
5 steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at any time to perform the road
6 test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required for the Vehicle Safety Systems
7 Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open the hood, all the doors, and the
8 trunk, as is required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper body structure
9 inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he visually
10 inspect exterior lights as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these
11 circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396982S was fraudulently
12 issued.

13 **Fraudulent Inspection # 9– 2022 Honda Civic LX**

14 44. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1213 hours and
15 1218 hours, a 2022 Honda Civic LX, VIN # 2HGFE2F22NH523105, (no license plate entered)
16 (2022 Civic LX), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance #
17 VA396983S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under Respondent
18 Enrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's surveillance
19 reveals that the 2022 Civic LX never entered either of the two inspection bays approved by the
20 Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time did Respondent
21 Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2022 Civic LX to remove the wheels to inspect or obtain brake
22 measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a proper tire and wheel
23 inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a proper and complete inspection of the
24 steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at any time to perform the road
25 test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required for the Vehicle safety Systems
26 Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open the hood, all the doors, and the
27 trunk, as is required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper body structure
28 inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he visually

1 inspect exterior lights as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these
2 circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396983S was fraudulently
3 issued.

4 **Fraudulent Inspection #10– 2015 Toyota RAV4 XLE**

5 45. Safety Systems Test Details show that on January 29, 2026, between 1238 hours and
6 1244 hours, a 2015 Toyota RAV4 XLE, VIN # JTMWFREVXFD070821, (no license plate
7 entered) (2015 RAV4 XLE), was inspected and Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of
8 Compliance # VA396984S was issued by Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc under
9 Respondent Enrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Technician License. However, the Bureau's
10 surveillance reveals that the 2015 Rav4 XLE never entered either of the two inspection bays
11 approved by the Bureau for conducting Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, and that at no time
12 did Respondent Enrriquez ever raise or lift the 2015 RAV4 XLE to remove the wheels to inspect
13 or obtain brake measurements as required for a proper brake system inspection and a proper tire
14 and wheel inspection. Respondent Enrriquez also failed to perform a proper and complete
15 inspection of the steering and suspension components, nor did he drive the vehicle at any time to
16 perform the road test for obtaining the stopping distance, all of which are required for the Vehicle
17 Safety Systems Inspection. Lastly, Respondent Enrriquez also failed to open all the doors and the
18 rear hatch, as is required for a proper passenger compartment inspection and proper body
19 structure inspection, and never used an optical aimer for checking the headlamps nor did he
20 visually inspect exterior lights as required for a proper light system inspection. Under these
21 circumstances, Vehicle Safety Systems Certificate of Compliance # VA396984S was fraudulently
22 issued.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 *Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc*

2 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

3 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

4 46. Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is
5 subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in
6 that it made statements which were known to be untrue or misleading or, which by exercise of
7 reasonable care should have been known to be untrue or misleading, by issuing Vehicle Safety
8 Systems Certificates of Compliance for the ten (10) vehicles set forth in paragraphs 36-45 above,
9 certifying that those vehicles were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations when, in
10 fact, those vehicles had not been so inspected. Complainant refers to, and by this reference
11 incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth
12 fully herein.

13 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Fraud)**

15 47. Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is
16 subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in
17 that it committed acts that constitute fraud by issuing Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of
18 Compliance for the ten (10) vehicles set forth in paragraphs 36-45 above, without performing
19 bona fide Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, thereby depriving the People of the State of
20 California of the protection afforded by the VSSI Program. Complainant refers to, and by this
21 reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as
22 though set forth fully herein.

23 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

24 **(Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act)**

25 48. Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is
26 subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in
27 that it failed in a "material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations
28 adopted pursuant to it" when issuing Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of Compliance for the

ten (10) vehicles set forth in paragraphs 36-45, above, without performing bona fide Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the VSSI Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Material Violation of Bureau Regulations)

49. Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that, with respect to the ten (10) fraudulent Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections set forth in paragraphs 36-45, above, Respondent violated the following sections of title 16 of the CCR:

a. **Section 3311.1, subdivision (c)**: Respondent failed to perform Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections in accordance with the requirements and procedures prescribed in the document entitled "Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection Manual."

b. **Section 3311.1, subdivision (e)**: Respondent failed to perform Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections only in an area of the station approved by the Bureau during its initial on-site licensing inspection.

c. **Section 3311.2, subdivision (b)**: Respondent failed to ensure that all Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections performed at its station complied with the VSSI Program standards and criteria set forth in the Automotive Repair Act and regulations enacted pursuant thereto.

d. **Section 3311.3, subdivision (d)(1)**: Respondent issued certificates of compliance to vehicles that had not passed a vehicle safety systems inspection performed in accordance with VSSI Program regulations.

e. **Section 3373**: Respondent withheld and/or inserted information in estimates, invoices, or work orders, or records required to be maintained by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3312.2(f), that caused the documents to be false or misleading.

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

1 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

3 50. Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc's Vehicle Safety Systems Station License is
4 subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in
5 that it committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured by
6 issuing Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of Compliance to the ten (10) vehicles set forth in
7 paragraphs 36-45, above, without performing bona fide Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections on
8 those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded
9 by the VSSI Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations
10 contained in paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

11 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Unlawful Issuance of Certificate of Compliance)**

13 51. Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc's Vehicle Safety Systems Station License is
14 subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivisions (a) and
15 (h), in conjunction with Bus & Prof. Code section 9888.6, subdivision (a), in that it unlawfully
16 issued Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of Compliance to the ten (10) vehicles set forth in
17 paragraphs 36-45, above, by failing to properly inspect those vehicles to determine if their safety
18 systems conformed with the inspection criteria and standards adopted by the Director.
19 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs
20 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

21 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

22 **(Violation of Bureau Regulations)**

23 52. Respondent Danny's Test and Repair Inc's Vehicle Safety Systems Station License is
24 subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (c), in
25 that, with respect to the ten (10) fraudulent Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections set forth in
26 paragraphs 36-45, above, Respondent violated the following sections of title 16 of the CCR:

27 ///

28 ///

1 a. **Section 3311.1, subdivision (c)**: Respondent failed to perform Vehicle Safety
2 Systems Inspections in accordance with the requirements and procedures prescribed in the
3 document entitled “Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection Manual.”

4 b. **Section 3311.1, subdivision (e)**: Respondent failed to perform Vehicle Safety
5 Systems Inspections only in an area of the station approved by the Bureau during its initial on-site
6 licensing inspection.

7 c. **Section 3311.2, subdivision (b)**: Respondent failed to ensure that all Vehicle Safety
8 Systems Inspections performed at its station complied with the VSSI Program standards and
9 criteria set forth in the Automotive Repair Act and regulations enacted pursuant thereto.

10 d. **Section 3311.3, subdivision (d)(1)**: Respondent issued certificates of compliance to
11 vehicles that had not passed a vehicle safety systems inspection performed in accordance with
12 VSSI Program regulations.

13 e. **Section 3373**: Respondent withheld and/or inserted information in estimates,
14 invoices, or work orders, or records required to be maintained by California Code of Regulations,
15 title 16, section 3312.2(f), that caused the documents to be false or misleading.

16 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
17 paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

18 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

19 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

20 53. Respondent Danny’s Test and Repair Inc’s Smog Check Test and Repair
21 Station License is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2,
22 subdivision (d), in that it committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another
23 was injured by issuing Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of Compliance to the ten (10) vehicles
24 set forth in paragraphs 36-45, above, without performing bona fide Vehicle Safety Systems
25 Inspections on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
26 protection afforded by the VSSI Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference
27 incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth
28 fully herein.

1 *Respondent Enrrriquez*

2 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

3 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

4 54. Respondent Enrrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Inspector License is subject to
5 disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in that he
6 committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured by causing the
7 issuance of Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of Compliance to the ten (10) vehicles set forth in
8 paragraphs 36-45, above, without performing bona fide Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections on
9 those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded
10 by the VSSI Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations
11 contained in paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

12 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

13 **(Unlawful Issuance of Certificate of Compliance)**

14 55. Respondent Enrrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Inspector License is subject to
15 disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivisions (a) and (h), in
16 conjunction with Bus & Prof. Code section 9888.6, subdivision (a), in that he unlawfully issued
17 Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of Compliance to the ten (10) vehicles set forth in paragraphs
18 36-45, above, by failing to properly inspect those vehicles to determine if their safety systems
19 conformed with the inspection criteria and standards adopted by the Director. Complainant refers
20 to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 35 through 45,
21 inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

22 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Violation of Bureau Regulations)**

24 56. Respondent Enrrriquez's Vehicle Safety Systems Inspector License is subject to
25 disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (c), in that, with
26 respect to the ten (10) fraudulent Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections set forth in paragraphs 36-
27 45, above, Respondent violated the following sections of title 16 of the CCR:

28 ///

1 a. **Section 3311.1, subdivision (c):** Respondent failed to perform Vehicle Safety
2 Systems Inspections in accordance with the requirements and procedures prescribed in the
3 document entitled “Vehicle Safety Systems Inspection Manual.”

4 b. **Section 3311.1, subdivision (e):** Respondent failed to perform Vehicle Safety
5 Systems Inspections only in an area of the station approved by the Bureau during its initial on-site
6 licensing inspection.

7 c. **Section 3311.2, subdivision (b):** Respondent failed to ensure that all Vehicle Safety
8 Systems Inspections performed at its station complied with the VSSI Program standards and
9 criteria set forth in the Automotive Repair Act and regulations enacted pursuant thereto.

10 d. **Section 3311.3, subdivision (d):** Respondent authorized the issuance of certificates
11 of compliance to vehicles that had not passed a vehicle safety systems inspection performed in
12 accordance with VSSI Program regulations.

13 e. **Section 3373:** Respondent withheld and/or inserted information in estimates,
14 invoices, or work orders, or records required to be maintained by California Code of Regulations,
15 title 16, section 3312.2(f), that caused the documents to be false or misleading.

16 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
17 paragraphs 35 through 45, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

18 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

19 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

20 57. Respondent Enrriquez’s Smog Check Inspector License and Smog Check
21 Repair Technician License are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code
22 section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit
23 whereby another was injured by causing the issuance of Vehicle Safety Systems Certificates of
24 Compliance to the ten (10) vehicles set forth in paragraphs 36-45, above, without performing
25 bona fide Vehicle Safety Systems Inspections on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of
26 the State of California of the protection afforded by the VSSI Program. Complainant refers to,
27 and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 35 through 45,
28 inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

1 **OTHER MATTERS**

2 58. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), states that “the director may
3 suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this
4 state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is,
5 engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted
6 pursuant to.”

7 59. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.9 states that “[w]hen any license has been revoked or
8 suspended following a hearing under the provisions of this article, any additional license issued
9 under Article 6.5 (commencing with Section 9888.5) in the name of the licensee may be likewise
10 revoked or suspended by the director.

11 60. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8 states that when a license has been revoked or
12 suspended following a hearing, any additional license issued under the Motor Vehicle Inspection
13 Program (Health & Saf. Code Sec. 44000, et seq.) in the name of the licensee may be likewise
14 revoked or suspended by the director.

15 **PRAYER**

16 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
17 and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a
18 decision:

19 1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
20 307073, issued to Danny’s Test and Repair Inc; Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez,
21 President/Secretary/Treasurer;

22 2. Revoking or suspending Vehicle Safety Systems Station License Number VS 307073,
23 issued to Respondent Danny’s Test and Repair Inc; Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez,
24 President/Secretary/Treasurer;

25 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Test and Repair Station License Number RC
26 307073, issued to Danny’s Test and Repair Inc; Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez,
27 President/Secretary/Treasurer;

28 ///

- 1 4. Revoking or suspending Vehicle Safety System Technician License Number ST
2 635325, issued to Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez;
- 3 5. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Repair Technician License EI 635325, issued
4 to Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez;
- 5 6. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 635325, issued to
6 Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez;
- 7 7. Revoking or suspending any and all additional Automotive Repair Dealer
8 Registrations issued in the name of Danny's Test and Repair Inc pursuant to section 9884.7,
9 subdivision (c), of the Business and Professions Code;
- 10 8. Revoking or suspending any and all additional licenses issued under Article 6.5 of
11 Chapter 20.3 of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code in the names of Danny's Test
12 and Repair Inc and Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez pursuant to section 9889.9 of the Business
13 and Professions Code;
- 14 9. Revoking or suspending any and all licenses issued under the Motor Vehicle
15 Inspection Program (Health & Saf. Code Sec. 44000, et seq.) in the names of Danny's Test and
16 Repair Inc and Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez pursuant to section 44072.8 of the Health and
17 Safety Code;
- 18 10. Ordering Danny's Test and Repair Inc and Daniel Antonio Espinoza Enrriquez to pay
19 the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of
20 this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3 and if placed on probation, the
21 costs of probation monitoring; and
- 22 11. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

23
24 DATED: As of digital signature date

Dorais,
Patrick@DCA

Digitally signed by Dorais,
Patrick@DCA
Date: 2026.06.18 15:47:48
-07'00'

PATRICK DORAIS
Chief
Bureau of Automotive Repair
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

25
26
27
28 LA2026202288
68515915.docx