ROB BONTA	
GREGORY J. SALUTE	
MICHAEL M. KARIMI	
State Bar No. 260906	
San Diego, CA 92101	
San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
E-mail: Michael.Karimi@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/21-1781
MATEO SAUCEDA LUQUE, DBA I.V. SMOG CHECK	
477 Cesar Chavez Blvd #1-2 Calexico, CA 92231	ACCUSATION
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.	
Smog Check Station License No. RC 294501	
Class A	
Class C	
and	
MATEO SAUCEDA LUQUE	
Calexico, CA 92231	
Smog Check Inspector License No. EO	
Smog Check Repair Technician License No.	
Brake Adjuster License Number BA 640171	
Lamp Adjuster License No. LA 640171	
Respondent.	
1	
	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL M. KARIMI Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 260906 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9607 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 E-mail: Michael.Karimi@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant BEFOR DEPARTMENT OF CO FOR THE BUREAU OF A STATE OF CA In the Matter of the Accusation Against: MATEO SAUCEDA LUQUE, DBA I.V. SMOG CHECK 477 Cesar Chavez Blvd #1-2 Calexico, CA 92231 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 294501 Smog Check Station License No. RC 294501 Lamp Station License No. LS 294501 Class A Brake Station License No. BS 294501 Class C and MATEO SAUCEDA LUQUE 233 Hernandez Street Calexico, CA 92231 Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 640171 Smog Check Repair Technician License No. EI 640171 Brake Adjuster License Number BA 640171 Class C Lamp Adjuster License No. LA 640171 Class C

PARTIES

- 1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about June 4, 2019, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 294501 to Mateo Sauceda Luque, dba I.V. Smog Check. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about June 19, 2019, the Bureau issued Smog Check Station License Number RC 294501 to Mateo Sauceda Luque, dba I.V. Smog Check. The Smog Check Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about February 28, 2020, the Bureau issued Lamp Station License Number LS 294501 Class A to Mateo Sauceda Luque, dba I.V. Smog Check. The Lamp Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless renewed.
- 5. On or about February 28, 2020, the Bureau issued Brake Station License Number BS 294501 Class C to Mateo Sauceda Luque, dba I.V. Smog Check. The Brake Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2022, unless renewed.
- 6. On or about April 3, 2017, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 640171 to Mateo Sauceda Luque. The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2022, unless renewed.
- 7. On or about November 15, 2018, the Bureau issued Smog Check Repair Technician License Number EI 640171 to Mateo Sauceda Luque. The Smog Check Repair Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2022, unless renewed.
 - 8. On or about February 25, 2020, the Bureau issued Brake Adjuster License Number

BA 640171 Class C to Mateo Sauceda Luque. The Brake Adjuster License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2022, unless renewed.

9. On or about February 26, 2020, the Bureau issued Lamp Adjuster License Number LA 640171 Class A to Mateo Sauceda Luque, dba I.V. Smog Check. The Lamp Adjuster License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 10. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 11. Section 477 of the Code states:

As used in this division:

- (a) "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."
- (b) "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code.
- 12. Section 9884.13 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.
 - 13. Section 9884.20 of the Code states:

All accusations against automotive repair dealers shall be filed within three years after the performance of the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging fraud or misrepresentation as a ground for disciplinary action, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery, by the bureau, of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation.

14. Section 9884.22 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the director may revoke, suspend, or deny at any time any registration required by this article on any of the grounds for disciplinary action provided in this article. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1

of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.

. . .

15. Section 9889.1 of the Code states:

Any license issued pursuant to Articles 5 and 6, may be suspended or revoked by the director. The director may refuse to issue a license to any applicant for the reasons set forth in section 9889.2. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commending with section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.

- 16. Section 9889.7 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the Director or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with any disciplinary proceedings.
- 17. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
- 18. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceedings against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
 - 19. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states:

All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section.

20. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:

When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 21. Section 9884.7 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a

bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

• • •

- (3) Failing or refusing to give a customer a copy of any document requiring his or her signature, as soon as the customer signs the document.
 - (4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.

...

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

• • •

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

22. Section 9884.8 of the Code states:

All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work, shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and parts supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which shall also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, if any, applicable to each. If any used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied, the invoice shall clearly state that fact. If a part of a component system is composed of new and used, rebuilt or reconditioned parts, that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The invoice shall include a statement indicating whether any crash parts are original equipment manufacturer crash parts or nonoriginal equipment manufacturer aftermarket crash parts. One copy of the invoice shall be given to the customer and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer.

- 23. Section 9884.9 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer....
- 24. Section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the test at the smog check station shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department, pursuant to Section 44013.

1	ı	
1	the following:	
1	(1) Clean piping, as defined by the department.	
2	(2) Tampering with a vehicle emission control system or test analyzer system.	
3	(3) Tampering with a vehicle in a manner that would cause the vehicle to falsely pass or falsely fail an inspection.	
5	(4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard,	
6	or procedure of the department implementing this chapter	
7	29. Section 44059 of the Health and Safety Code states:	
8	The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material matter in any	
9	oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or application form which is required	
10	by this chapter or Chapter 20.3 (commencing with Section 9880) of Division 3 of the Business	
11	and Professions Code, constitutes perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code.	
12	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
13	30. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.24, states, in pertinent part:	
14		
15	(c) The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal	
16	action against a licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.	
17		
18	31. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.30, states, in pertinent part:	
19	A smog check technician shall comply with the following requirements at all	
20	times while licensed.	
	with section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code, section 44035 of the Health and	
22	Safety Code, and section 3340.42 of this article.	
23	32. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.35, states, in pertinent part:	
24	32. Camornia Code of Regulations, title 10, section 3340.33, states, in pertinent part.	
25	···	
26	(c) A licensed station shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the	
27	procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.	
28		
	_	

(b) In addition to subsection (a), all vehicles subject to the smog check program

1	
2	(e) If additional authorization was obtained per section 3354(a), and the authorization was made orally or electronically, the automotive repair dealer shall
3	record the oral or electronic authorization on the invoice.
4	39. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3358, states:
56	Pursuant to Section 9884.11 of the Business and Professions Code, each automotive repair dealer shall maintain, in either written or electronic form, legible copies of the following records for at least three years:
7	(a) All invoices relating to automotive repair including invoices received from other sources for parts and/or labor.
8 9	(b) All estimates pertaining to work performed, including all records created to obtain the authorization from the customer for the initial estimate.
10	(c) All work orders and/or contracts for repairs, parts and labor, including all records supplementing the work order and created to obtain additional authorization
11	from the customer for any additional repairs estimated.
12 13	(d) All such records shall be open for reasonable inspection and/or reproduction by the Bureau or other law enforcement officials during normal business hours.
14 15	(e) All records as specified in this section associated with an individual transaction shall have a unique identifier linking the records to that specific transaction.
16	COST RECOVERY
17	40. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
18	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
19	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
20	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not bein
21	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be
22	included in a stipulated settlement.
23	<u>FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS</u>
24	41. BAR-97 is the computer based Emission Inspection System (EIS) required for most
25	model-year 2000 and older gasoline vehicles. Licensed Smog Check Inspectors are the only
26	persons authorized by the Bureau of Automotive Repair (BAR) to perform official inspections.
27	Vehicle owners are required to subject their vehicles to inspection and a Certificate of
28	Compliance is issued when a vehicle meets the applicable requirements of the Smog Check
	1

Inspection. The Vehicle Inspection Report (VIR) printed at the end of the inspection is a physical record of the results of the inspection, and is signed by the Smog Check Inspector to affirm that the inspection was performed in accordance with BAR's requirements.

- 42. The BAR-97 EIS measures the vehicles tailpipe emissions under conditions designed to simulate vehicle operation. The samples from the tailpipe are analyzed for five gases, i.e., hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and oxygen. As part of the inspection, the Smog Check Inspector performs a visual test on the vehicle to verify the presence and proper connection of required emission control devices, and a functional test. The inspector enters the results of the visual and functional tests into the EIS. In addition, an On-Board Diagnostic (OBDII) functional test in which a test cable is connected from the EIS to the vehicle is performed on most 1996 to 1999 model-year vehicles.
- 43. On December 21, 2020, a BAR representative conducted a smog inspection of a BAR owned 1997 model-year Ford that was later used in an undercover operation. The vehicle passed all portions of that inspection. The odometer reading at the time was 94,456.
- 44. On December 22, 2020, the same BAR Representative removed the vehicle's Evaporative Emission Control System (EVAP) emissions canister and installed a modification to prevent the vehicle's malfunction indicator light from illuminating. The vehicle's EVAP emissions canister is one of the required emission controls for the vehicle. The absence of the EVAP emissions canister, and the presence of the modification installed, would be identified in any properly conducted visual inspection of the vehicle, and for that reason would result in the vehicle failing any properly performed smog check inspection. The vehicle remained in this condition throughout the subsequent undercover operation.
- 45. On February 8, 2021 the BAR Representative confirmed that the vehicle's OBD II readiness monitors were all completed.
- 46. On February 9, 2021, the vehicle was transported by truck to Calexico, California. A different BAR representative drove the vehicle to Respondent's business location, and acting under an assumed name, requested a smog inspection for the vehicle. Respondent was present at the time, and had at least two individuals working with him. The undercover BAR representative

was not given a written estimate for the smog inspection. The vehicle's odometer reading at the time of arrival at Respondent's business location was 94,459.

- 47. After the smog check inspection began, one of the individuals working at the station with Respondent told the undercover BAR representative that there was a problem, but that he would see if he could "help." This individual told the BAR representative that the carbon monoxide from the vehicle was, "high at 99" and that he would, "adjust monitors and make a few adjustments to lower" it. During at least a portion of this exchange, the undercover BAR representative was standing near the driver's side door of the vehicle, and Respondent was standing near the front of the vehicle. The undercover BAR representative was given a work order for \$250 that he completed and returned to authorize the recommended "adjustments." The undercover BAR representative did not receive back a copy of the signed work order or an invoice. However, he did pay the \$250 for the work authorized.
- 48. The smog check inspection was completed and the undercover BAR representative was given a signed VIR. The VIR recorded that Respondent had completed the inspection and passed the test. The undercover BAR representative then drove the vehicle from Respondent's business location. The vehicle was ultimately transported by truck back to a BAR facility.
- 49. The vehicle was re-inspected on February 10, 2021 by the original BAR representative. The odometer reading was 94,461 at the time. On February 10, 2021, the vehicle failed the visual inspection due to the missing EVAP emissions canister, and additionally failed the functional check due to incomplete OBD self-tests not run to completion. This vehicle could not have passed a properly performed California Smog Check Inspection. With respect to the vehicle's emissions, no adjustments or repairs were necessary as had been claimed during the inspection performed by Respondent.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statement)

50. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.

///

- 51. Respondent's ARD Registration is subject to disciplinary action under section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which Respondent knew or in exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading.
- 52. Respondent certified that the 1997 model-year Ford described above had passed inspection and was in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations when Respondent had actual or constructive knowledge that the vehicle had not appropriately demonstrated compliance with all applicable laws and regulations as certified. Respondent further collected a fee for repairs purportedly to address the vehicle's emissions exceeding passing limits when Respondent had actual or constructive knowledge that no such condition existed.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

- 53. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 54. Respondent's ARD Registration is subject to disciplinary action under section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing an electronic certificate of compliance for the 1997 Ford vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act)

- 55. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 56. Respondent's ARD Registration is subject to disciplinary action under section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed in a "material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it" when Respondent caused a Certificate of Compliance to be issued for the 1997 model-year Ford described above without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violate Estimate and Work Order Requirements)

- 57. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 58. Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), and 9884.9, subdivision (a), and under section 3353 of Title 16 of the California Code Regulations, in that Respondent failed to properly provide the undercover BAR Representative a written estimate for the smog check inspection.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violate Invoice Requirements)

- 59. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 60. Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), and 9884.8, and under section 3340.41.3 of Title 16 of the California Code Regulations, in that Respondent failed to properly provide the undercover BAR Representative a separate copy of the invoice for the \$250 charge described above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 61. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 62. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.2, subdivision (a), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
- a. <u>Section 44012</u>: failed to perform the tests of the emission control systems and devices on the vehicles listed in the paragraphs above, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department.

///

- b. <u>Section 44015, subd. (a) and (b)</u>: issued Certificates of Compliance for the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above without properly testing and inspecting it to determine if it were in compliance with Health and Safety code section 44012.
- c. <u>Section 44035</u>: failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform a smog inspection on the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 63. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 64. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.2, subdivision (a), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations:
- a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)**: caused a false or fraudulent Certificate of Compliance to be issued for the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above.
- b. Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): failed to inspect and test the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to ensure that the vehicle had all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.
- c. **Section 3340.42**: failed to conduct the required smog test on the vehicle listed in the paragraphs above in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
- d. **Section 3340.45**: failed to conduct the required smog test on the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above in accordance with the applicable Smog Check Manual.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

65. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.

66. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Code Section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4) and Health and Safety Code sections 44072.2, subdivision (d), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent engaged in conduct constituting fraud, and committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts injuring another, by fraudulently certifying or participating in the fraudulent inspection of the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above, causing a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance to be issued, and by collecting a fee for repairs purportedly to address the vehicle's emissions exceeding passing limits when Respondent had actual or constructive knowledge that no such condition existed.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

- 67. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 68. Respondent's Lamp Station and Brake Station Licenses are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts injuring another, by fraudulently certifying or participating in the fraudulent inspection of the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above, causing a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance to be issued, and by collecting a fee for repairs purportedly to address the vehicle's emissions exceeding passing limits when Respondent had actual or constructive knowledge that no such condition existed.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 69. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 70. Respondent's Smog Check Inspector and Smog Check Repair Technician Licenses are subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.2, subdivision (a), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

///

///

- a. <u>Section 44012</u>: failed to perform the tests of the emission control systems and devices on the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department.
- b. <u>Section 44015, subd. (a) and (b)</u>: issued Certificates of Compliance for the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above without properly testing and inspecting it to determine if it was in compliance with Health and Safety code section 44012.
- c. <u>Section 44032</u>: failed to test emission control devices and systems for the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above in accordance with Health and Safety code section 44012.
- d. <u>Section 44035</u>: failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform a smog inspection on the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 71. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 72. Respondent's Smog Check Inspector and Smog Check Repair Technician Licenses are subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.2, subdivision (a), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations:
- a. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: knowingly entered into the Emissions Inspection System false information concerning the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above, or information for a vehicle other than the one being tested for the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above.
- b. **Section 3340.42**: failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
- c. **Section 3340.45**: failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above in accordance with the applicable Smog Check Manual

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

- 73. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 74. Respondent's Smog Check Inspector and Smog Check Repair Technician Licenses are subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.2, subdivision (d), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts injuring another by fraudulently certifying or participating in the fraudulent inspection of the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above, causing a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance to be issued, and by collecting a fee for repairs purportedly to address the vehicle's emissions exceeding passing limits when Respondent had actual or constructive knowledge that no such condition existed.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

- 75. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 76. Respondent's Lamp Adjuster and Brake Adjuster Licenses are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts injuring another, by fraudulently certifying or participating in the fraudulent inspection of the vehicle identified in the paragraphs above, causing a fraudulent Certificate of Compliance to be issued, and by collecting a fee for repairs purportedly to address the vehicle's emissions exceeding passing limits when Respondent had actual or constructive knowledge that no such condition existed.

OTHER MATTERS

77. Under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may suspend, revoke or place on probation the Registration for all places of business operated in this State by Respondent Mateo Sauceda Luque, owner of I.V. Smog Check, upon a finding that Respondent has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an

automotive repair dealer.

- 78. Under Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent's California Smog Test Station License is revoked or suspended, the Director may likewise revoke or suspend any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Respondent.
- 79. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if any license issued to Respondent is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program in the name of Respondent may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.
- 80. Under Code section 9889.9, if a license is revoked or suspended following a hearing under Article 7 of the Automotive Repair Act, any additional license issued under Articles 5 or 6 of Chapter 20.3 of Division 3 of the Code in the name of Mateo Sauceda Luque, including Lamp Station License Number LS 294501 and Brake Station License Number BS 294501, may be likewise revoked or suspended.
- 81. Under Code section 9889.9, if a license is revoked or suspended following a hearing under Article 7 of the Automotive Repair Act, any additional license issued under Articles 5 or 6 of Chapter 20.3 of Division 3 of the Code in the name of Mateo Sauceda Luque, including Lamp Adjuster License Number LA 640171 and Brake Adjuster License Number BA 640171, may be likewise revoked or suspended.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
 issued to Mateo Sauceda Luque, dba I.V. Smog Check;
- 2. Revoking or suspending any additional automotive repair dealer registration issued to Mateo Sauceda Luque;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Station License Number RC 294501, issued to Mateo Sauceda Luque, dba I.V. Smog Check;

(MATEO SAUCEDA LUQUE, DBA I.V. SMOG CHECK and MATEO SAUCEDA LUQUE) ACCUSATION