

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 KIM KASRELIOVICH
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 VINODHINI RAMAGOPAL
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 240534
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6270
6 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126
Attorneys for Complainant
7

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 79/21-1491

13 **BRADLEY JOHN STEWART DBA JONES**
14 **AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
15 **2952 B Street**
Rosamond, CA 93560

ACCUSATION

16 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.**
17 **ARD 291440**
Smog Check Station License No. RC 291440,

18 **and**

19 **GEORGE ALLEN LETOSKY**
20 **P.O. Box 804**
Rosamond, CA 93560

21 **Smog Check Inspector License No.**
22 **EO 640116,**

23 Respondents.
24

25
26 **PARTIES**

27 1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as
28 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

2. On or about June 22, 2018, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 291440 to Bradley John Stewart dba Jones Automotive Repair (Respondent Stewart). The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration will expire on June 30, 2022.

3. On or about August 2, 2018, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check Station License Number RC 291440 to Respondent Stewart. The Smog Check Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2022.

4. On or about April 8, 2019, Jones Automotive Repair was certified as a STAR Station. This certification will remain unless the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and/or Smog Check Station License is revoked, canceled, licenses become delinquent, or the certification is invalidated.

5. On or about March 13, 2017, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 640116 to George Allen Letosky (Respondent Letosky). The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2023, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

6. Business and Professions Code (Bus. & Prof. Code) section 118, subdivision (b), provides that suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

7. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 provides that the Director may revoke an automotive repair dealer registration.

8. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

///

9. Health and Safety Code (Health & Saf. Code) section 44002 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

10. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

11. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:

(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

• • • •

(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

• • • •

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

• • • •

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

12. Bus. & Prof. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that “Board” includes “bureau,” “commission,” “committee,” “department,” “division,” “examining committee,” “program,” and “agency.” “License” includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by the Bus. & Prof. Code.

1 13. Section 44012 of the Health & Saf. Code provides, in pertinent part, that tests at smog
2 check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

3 14. Section 44015, subdivision (b), of the Health & Saf. Code provides that a certificate
4 of compliance shall be issued if a vehicle meets the requirements of Health & Saf. Code section
5 40012.

6 15. Section 44032 of the Health & Saf. Code provides that qualified technicians shall
7 perform tests of emissions control devices and systems in accordance with Health & Saf. Code
8 section 40012.

9 16. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:

10 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as
11 provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the
12 following:

13 (a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and
14 Saf. Code § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the
15 licensed activities.

16

17 (c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

18 (d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured . . .

19 17. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.10 states, in pertinent part:

20

21 (c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station licensee
22 who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent inspection of vehicles. A
23 fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

24

25 (4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure
26 of the department implementing this chapter . . .

27 ///

28 ///

18. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8 states that when a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

19. California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 16, section 3340.24, subdivision (c), states:

“The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal action against a licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.”

20. CCR, title 16, section 3340.30, subdivision (a), states that a licensed smog technician shall at all times “[i]nspect, test and repair vehicles, as applicable, in accordance with section 44012 of the Health & Saf. Code, section 44035 of the Health & Saf. Code, and section 3340.42 of this article.”

21. CCR, title 16, section 3340.35, subdivision (c), states that a licensed smog check station “shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.”

22. CCR, title 16, section 3340.41, subdivision (c), states that “[n]o person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one being tested. Nor shall any person knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false information about the vehicle being tested.”

23. CCR, title 16, section 3340.42, sets forth specific emissions test methods and procedures which apply to all vehicles inspected in the State of California.

COST RECOVERY

24. Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or

1 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
2 and enforcement of the case.

3 **DATA REVIEW: CLEAN TANKING**

4 25. The Bureau has become aware of certain methods that some Smog Check stations and
5 Smog Check inspectors use to fraudulently issue smog certificates to vehicles that may not or will
6 not pass a Smog Check test on their own, or in some instances, are not even present during the
7 time the test is performed. "Clean tanking" is one such method, during which the Low Pressure
8 Fuel Evaporative Test (LPFET) is performed using the LPFET equipment calibration tank instead
9 of connecting to the subject vehicle's fuel inlet to determine if there is a leak in the system. The
10 LPFET tests the functionality of the vehicle's Evaporative Emissions Control (EVAP) system by
11 introducing inert Nitrogen gas into the vehicle's fuel system, which includes the fuel tank, until it
12 reaches a predetermined pressure and then holds that pressure for a specified period of time to
13 confirm no leaks are present in the system. As part of this test, the LPFET equipment measures
14 the "headspace volume" based upon the volume of gas needed to achieve a specified pressure as
15 the Nitrogen gas is introduced into the vehicle's fuel tank, and records that data to the Vehicle
16 Information Database (VID). The "headspace volume" is the volume of the vapor space in the
17 fuel tank above the fuel level. This means a vehicle with a full tank of gas will have a smaller
18 headspace volume than a vehicle with less fuel in the tank. The LPFET equipment must pass a
19 calibration test every 3 days to verify its ability to properly measure the volume of Nitrogen gas
20 introduced during a test and the pressure readings. In order to pass the calibration test, the
21 amount of Nitrogen gas introduced to the calibration tank during the test must be within the 1.5 to
22 2.5 gallon headspace volume range. If the LPFET equipment fails a calibration test, the LPFET
23 tester is locked and the station is not able to perform the LPFET test until the unit passes.

24 26. On January 7, 2021, the Bureau conducted a review of Jones Automotive Repair's
25 BAR-97 Smog Check inspection records from the VID for vehicles that received an LPFET test
26 during the period of January 7, 2020 through January 7, 2021. The review showed a total of 134
27 LPFET tests passed. Of those 134 LPFET tests, 96.27% had a calculated headspace volume
28 within the 1.5 to 2.5 gallon range, the same range the LPFET calibration tank is required to

maintain, resulting in 129 possible fraudulent certifications issued by Jones Automotive Repair. VID data show that of certified vehicles statewide that received an LPFET test during the same period of time [435,276 passing inspections], just 13.52% reported a calculated headspace volume within the 1.5 to 2.5 gallon range. After adjusting for the statewide percentage of expected headspace volume results in this range, the data show that Jones Automotive Repair issued approximately 110 fraudulent certifications utilizing the clean tanking method.

27. Respondent Letosky performed the 134 vehicle inspections certified by Jones Automotive Repair with passing LPFET inspections between January 7, 2020 and January 7, 2021, with 96.27% reporting calculated headspace volumes within the 1.5 to 2.5 gallon range, resulting in 129 possible fraudulent certifications. After adjusting for the statewide percentage of expected headspace volume results in this range (13.52%), the data show that Respondent Letosky issued approximately 110 fraudulent certifications utilizing the clean tanking method.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

28. Respondent Stewart's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that he committed acts that constitute fraud by issuing electronic certificates of compliance for 110 vehicles, as described above in paragraph 26, without performing bone fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 27, above, as though set forth fully herein.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act)

29. Respondent Stewarts's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that he failed in a material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it when he issued electronic certificates of compliance for 110 vehicles, as described

1 above in paragraph 26, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices
2 and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
3 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this
4 reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 27, above, as though
5 set forth fully herein.

6 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

8 30. Respondent Stewart's Smog Check Test Only Station License is subject to
9 disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that he
10 failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

11 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
12 performed on 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 26 above, in accordance with procedures
13 prescribed by the department.

14 b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b):** Respondent issued electronic smog certificates of
15 compliance for 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 26 above, without ensuring that the
16 vehicles were properly tested and inspected to determine if they were in compliance with Health
17 & Saf. Code section 44012.

18 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
19 paragraphs 25 through 27, above, as though set forth fully herein.

20 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
22 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

23 31. Respondent Stewart's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action
24 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that he failed to comply with
25 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

26 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued
27 electronic smog certificates of compliance 110 vehicles as described in paragraph 26 above.

28 ///

1 b. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test 110 vehicles,
2 as described in paragraph 26 above, in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and
3 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

4 c. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent issued electronic smog certificates of
5 compliance for 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 26 above, even though those vehicles had
6 not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

7 d. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent knowingly entered false information
8 into the emissions inspection system for 110 vehicles as described in paragraph 26 above.

9 e. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to ensure that the smog inspections conducted
10 on 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 26 above, were done in accordance with the Bureau's
11 specifications.

12 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
13 paragraphs 25 through 27, above, as though set forth fully herein.

14 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

15 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

16 32. Respondent Stewart's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action
17 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he committed dishonest,
18 fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another was injured by issuing electronic smog certificates
19 of compliance for 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 26 above, without performing bona fide
20 inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the
21 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection
22 Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
23 paragraphs 25 through 27, above, as though set forth fully herein.

24 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

26 33. Respondent Letosky's Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action
27 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that he failed to comply with
28 the following sections of that code:

1 a. **Section 44032:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
2 performed on 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 27 above, in accordance with Health & Saf.
3 Code section 44012.

4 b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b):** Respondent issued electronic smog certificates of
5 compliance for 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 27 above, without ensuring that the
6 vehicles were properly tested and inspected to determine if they were in compliance with Health
7 & Saf. Code section 44012.

8 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
9 paragraphs 25 through 27, above, as though set forth fully herein.

10 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

11 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
12 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

13 34. Respondent Letosky's Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action
14 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that he failed to comply with
15 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

16 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued
17 electronic smog certificates of compliance for 110 vehicles as described in paragraph 27 above.

18 b. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test 110 vehicles,
19 as described in paragraph 27 above, in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and
20 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

21 c. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent knowingly entered false information
22 into the emissions inspection system for 110 vehicles as described in paragraph 27 above.

23 d. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to ensure that the smog inspections conducted
24 on 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 27 above, were done in accordance with the Bureau's
25 specifications.

26 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
27 paragraphs 25 through 27, above, as though set forth fully herein.

28 ///

1 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

3 35. Respondent Letosky's Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action
4 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he committed dishonest,
5 fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another was injured by issuing electronic smog certificates
6 of compliance for 110 vehicles, as described in paragraph 27 above, without performing bona fide
7 inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the
8 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection
9 Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
10 paragraphs 25 through 27, above, as though set forth fully herein.

11 **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS**

12 36. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Bradley
13 John Stewart, Complainant alleges that on or about May 8, 2019, in a prior action, the Bureau of
14 Automotive Repair issued Citation Number C2019-1107 with a penalty of \$1,000.00 for issuing a
15 Certificate of Compliance on a Bureau undercover vehicle with modified or missing Evaporative
16 Emissions System components. Payment was received and that Citation became effective on July
17 12, 2019.

18 37. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Letosky,
19 Complainant alleges that on or about May 8, 2019, in a prior action, the Bureau of Automotive
20 Repair issued Citation Number M2019-1108 with an 8-hour training requirement for issuing a
21 Certificate of Compliance on a Bureau undercover vehicle with modified or missing Evaporative
22 Emissions System components. Training was completed on June 23, 2019 and that Citation
23 became effective on July 12, 2019.

24 **OTHER MATTERS**

25 38. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may
26 suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this
27 state by Respondent Stewart, upon a finding that he has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and
28 willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

39. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Station License No. RC 291440, issued to Respondent Stewart, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health & Saf. Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

40. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 640116, issued to Respondent Letosky, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health & Saf. Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

PRA YER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 291440, issued to Bradley John Stewart dba Jones Automotive Repair;

2. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Station License Number RC 291440, issued to Bradley John Stewart dba Jones Automotive Repair;

3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 640116, issued to George Allen Letosky;

4. Revoking or suspending any additional Automotive Repair Dealer Registrations issued to Bradley John Stewart dba Jones Automotive Repair;

5. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health & Saf. Code in the name of Bradley John Stewart;

6. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health & Saf. Code in the name of George Allen Letosky;

7. Ordering Bradley John Stewart and George Allen Letosky to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: As of Digital Signature Date

PATRICK DORAIS
Chief
Bureau of Automotive Repair
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

LA2021602705
64581065.docx