BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No.: 79/17-3640

OAH No.: 2018110875

MS AUTO REPAIR; MOHAMMED SAHID

501 South Norfolk Street San Mateo, CA 94401

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 223079 Smog Check Station License No. RC 223079

and

ANDY PHONG TRAN

3326 Coachlight Drive San Jose, CA 95111

Smog Check Inspector No. EO 636650

Respondents.

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for MS AUTO REPAIR; MOHAMMED SAHID Only is hereby accepted and adopted by the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs as the Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on

Avig-19, 2019

Dorasus 1,2019

GRACE ARUPO RODRIGUEZ

Assistant Deputy Director

Legal Affairs Division

Department of Consumer Affairs

		,		
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California			
2	DIANN SOKOLOFF	•		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAURA PROJECTION	4 The Company of the		
	Deputy Attorney General			
`4	State Bar No. 200934 1515 Clay Street. 20th Floor			
, 5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550			
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-0269	• •		
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Laura Pedicini@doj.ca.gov			
	Attorneys for Complainant			
.8				
9	BEFOR	· ·		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR			
11	STATE OF C			
12	•			
		•		
13	As a barrer of the formal december of the following interpretations and an interpretations of the following interpretation of the	1		
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/17-3640		
13	MS AUTO REPAIR; MOHAMMED SAHID	OAH Case No. 2018110875		
16	501 South Norfolk Street	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
17	San Mateo, CA 94401	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR		
18	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.	MS AUTO REPAIR; MOHAMMED SAHID ONLY		
19	ARD 223079	BAILLO OIUL		
	Smog Check Station License No. RC 223079			
20	Straig Growth Street, 13100 Hot 101 100 May 179			
21	Respondent,			
22				
23				
24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-			
25	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
26	PARTIES.			
27	1. Patrick Dorals (Complainant) is the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair			
28	(Bureau). He brought this action solely in his offi	olal capacity and is represented in this matter by		
.		GOTTO LIL AMERIC CONTROL DA CITA VIO COLLEGA		
		STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (79/17-3640)		

[9

documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

9. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 10. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 79/17-3640.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Director's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
- 12. Respondent agrees that his Smog Check Station License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Director's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- the Director's designee. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Bureau of Automotive Repair may communicate directly with the Director and staff of the Department of Consumer Affairs regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Director considers and acts upon it. If the Director fails to adopt this stipulation as the Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Director shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

15. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Director may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 223079 and Smog Check Station License No. RC 223079 issued to Respondent MS Auto Repair; Mohammed Sahid is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years with an actual suspension of ten (10) days on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. Obey All Laws. During the period of probation, Respondent shall comply with all federal and state statutes, regulations and rules governing all BAR registrations and licenses held by Respondent.
- 2. Posting of Sign. (Applicable only when actual suspension of a registration or station license is ordered): During the period of suspension (10 days), Respondent shall prominently post a sign or signs, provided by BAR, indicating the beginning and ending dates of the suspension and indicating the reason for the suspension. The sign or signs shall be conspicuously displayed in a location or locations open to and frequented by customers. The location(s) of the sign(s) shall be approved by BAR and shall remain posted during the entire period of actual suspension.
- 3. Quarterly Reporting. During the period of probation, Respondent shall report either by personal appearance or in writing as determined by BAR on a schedule set by BAR, but no more frequently than once each calendar quarter, on the methods used and success achieved in

- 4. Report Financial Interests. Respondent shall, within 30 days of the effective date of the decision and within 30 days from the date of any request by BAR during the period of probation, report any financial interest which any Respondent or any partners, officers, or owners of any Respondent facility may have in any other business required to be registered pursuant to Section 9884.6 of the Business and Professions Code.
- 5. Access to Examine Vehicles and Records. Respondent shall provide BAR representatives unrestricted access to examine all vehicles (including parts) undergoing service, inspection, or repairs, up to and including the point of completion. Respondent shall also provide BAR representatives unrestricted access to all records pursuant to BAR laws and regulations.
- California to reside or do business elsewhere or otherwise ceases to do business in the jurisdiction of California, Respondent shall notify BAR in writing within 10 days of the dates of departure and return, and of the dates of cessation and resumption of business in California. All provisions of probation other than cost reimbursement requirements, restitution requirements, training requirements, and that Respondent obey all laws, shall be held in abeyance during any period of time of 30 days or more in which Respondent is not residing or engaging in business within the jurisdiction of California. All provisions of probation shall recommence on the effective date of resumption of business in California. Any period of time of 30 days or more in which Respondent is not residing or engaging in business within the jurisdiction of California shall not apply to the reduction of this probationary period or to any period of actual suspension not previously completed. Tolling is not available if business or work relevant to the probationary license or registration is conducted or performed during the tolling period.
- 7. Violation of Probation. If Respondent violates or fails to comply with the terms and conditions of probation in any respect, the Director, after giving notice and opportunity to be heard may set aside the stay order and carry out the disciplinary order provided in the decision.

 Once Respondent is served notice of BAR's intent to set aside the stay, the Director shall maintain jurisdiction, and the period of probation shall be extended until final resolution of the matter.

- 8. Maintain Valid License. Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain a current and active registration and/or license(s) with BAR, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. If Respondent's registration or license is expired at the time the decision becomes effective, the registration or license must be renewed by Respondent within 30 days of that date. If Respondent's registration or license expires during a term of probation, by operation of law or otherwise, then upon renewal Respondent's registration or license shall be subject to any and all terms and conditions of probation not previously satisfied. Failure to maintain a current and active registration and/or license during the period of probation shall also constitute a violation of probation.
- 9. Cost Recovery. Respondent shall pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair \$11,250.00 or the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of case No. 79/17-3640. Respondent shall make such payment as follows: Forty-eight monthly payments to be paid in equal monthly installments over the course of the first 48 months of probation. Any agreement for a scheduled payment plan shall require full payment to be completed no later than six (6) months before probation terminates. Respondent shall make payment by check or money order payable to the Bureau of Automotive Repair and shall indicate on the check or money order that it is for cost recovery payment for case No. 79/17-3640. Any order for payment of cost recovery shall remain in effect whether or not probation is tolled. Probation shall not terminate until full cost recovery payment has been made. BAR reserves the right to pursue any other lawful measures in collecting on the costs ordered and past due, in addition to taking action based upon the violation of probation.
- 10. Completion of Probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's affected registration and/or license will be fully restored or issued without restriction, if Respondent meets all current requirements for registration or licensure and has paid all outstanding fees, monetary penalties, or cost recovery owed to BAR.
- 11. License Surrender. Following the effective date of a decision that orders a stay of invalidation or revocation, if Respondent ceases business operations or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request that the stay be vacated.

8

Such request shall be made in writing to BAR. The Director and the BAR Chief reserve the right to evaluate the Respondent's request and to exercise discretion whether to grant the request or take any other action deemed appropriate or reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal granting of the request, the Director will vacate the stay order and carry out the disciplinary order provided in the decision. Respondent may not petition the Director for reinstatement of the surrendered registration and/or license, or apply for a new registration or license under the jurisdiction of BAR at any time before the date of the originally scheduled completion of probation. If Respondent applies to BAR for a registration or license at any time after that date, Respondent must meet all current requirements for registration or licensure and pay all outstanding fees or cost recovery owed to BAR and left outstanding at the time of surrender.

- 12. Actual Suspension. Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 223079 and Smog Check Station License No. RC 223079 issued to Respondent Mohammed Sahid are suspended for ten (10) consecutive days beginning on the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 13. Supervision Requirements Respondent shall not delegate his or her supervisory duties, as they relate to the business activities relevant to the probationary registration and/or license, to another person during the period of probation. Any persons employed by Respondent to carry out such business activities shall be directly supervised by Respondent. In the event that a bona fide medical condition arises during the period of probation, which temporarily prevents Respondent from exercising direct supervision over employees, notice and medical substantiation of the condition shall be submitted to BAR within ten (10) days of the medical affirmation of the condition.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Robert P. Shatzko. I understand the stipulation and the offect it will have on my Automotive Repair Dealer Registration, and Smog Check Station License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and

I have reach first relacions with Respondent and the found of the party of the property between which ſ'n. transported the contribution of the contributi illiestrateredities delinguated doublemeste built die Heltsberd Colde to hardby raspositedly na translation de la company de la compa the recording a subtemble of Kaledbridhistorea Volumbie Chadrid ar Cadidorria Nambie Singi carr Bupor d'abig Cupare Asserticy Centeral

ď.

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 79/17-3640

1	Xavier Becerra				
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TIMOTHY J. MCDONOUGH				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235850				
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550				
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-0294				
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270				
	E-mail: Tim.McDonough@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFORE THE				
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR				
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 74/17-3040				
13	MS AUTO REPAIR; MOHAMMED SAHID				
14	501 South Norfolk Street San Mateo, CA 94401 A C C U S A T I O N				
15					
16	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 223079				
17	Smog Check Station License No. RC 223079				
18	and				
19	ANDY PHONG TRAN				
20	3326 Coachlight Drive San Jose, CA 95111				
21	Smog Check Inspector No. EO 636650				
22					
23	Respondent.				
24					
25	Complainant alleges:				
26	PARTIES				
27	1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as				
28	the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.				
	1				

- 2. In 2002, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 223079 to Mohammed Sahid, doing business as MS Auto Repair (Respondent MS Auto). The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on July 31, 2018, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about October 31, 2002, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check Station License Number RC 223079 to MS Auto Repair; Mohammed Sahid (Respondent MS Auto). The Smog Check Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on July 31, 2018, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about March 18, 2014, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check Inspector Number EO 636650 to Andy Phong Tran (Respondent Tran). The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on May 31, 2018, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer
 Affairs (Director) for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.
- 6. Business and Professions Code (Code) section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board, Registrar, or Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 7. Section 9884.13 of the Code provides, in relevant part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.
- 8. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in relevant part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

- 9. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in relevant part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.
- 10. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency." "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by the code.

STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

- 11. Section 9884.7 of the Code states, in relevant part:
- "(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
- (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
 - (4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.
- (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it."
 - 12. Section 9889.22 of the Code states:

"The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material matter in any oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or application form which is required by this chapter or Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 44000) of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code constitutes perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code."

13. Section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code states, in relevant part:

"The test at the smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department, pursuant to Section 44013, shall require, at a minimum, loaded mode dynamometer testing in enhanced areas, and two-speed testing in all other program areas, and shall ensure all of the following:

"(f) A visual or functional check is made of emission control devices specified by the department, including the catalytic converter in those instances in which the department determines it to be necessary to meet the findings of Section 44001. The visual or functional check shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department."

14. Section 44032 of the Health and Safety Code states, in relevant part;

"No person shall perform, for compensation, tests or repairs of emission control devices or systems of motor vehicles required by this chapter unless the person performing the test or repair is a qualified smog check technician and the test or repair is performed at a licensed smog check station. Qualified technicians shall perform tests of emission control devices and systems in accordance with Section 44012."

15. Section 44059 of the Hoalth and Safety Code states:

"The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material matter in any oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or application form which is required by this chapter or Chapter 20.3 (commencing with Section 9880) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code, constitutes perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code."

16. Health and Safety Code, section 44072.2, states, in relevant part:

"The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the following:

"(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.

- "(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured."
- 17. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.35, states:
- "(a) A licensed station shall purchase certificates of compliance and noncompliance from the bureau or an authorized agent of the bureau only, and under the following terms and conditions:
- "(1) A certificate of compliance or noncompliance shall be purchased by a licensed station for a fee determined pursuant to section 3340.35.1 of these regulations; and
 - "(2) Full payment is required at the time the certificates are ordered.
- "(b) A licensed station shall not sell or otherwise transfer unused certificates to another licensed station, to a new owner of the business, or to any person other than a customer whose vehicle has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article.
- "(c) A licensed station shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly. The following conditions shall apply:
- "(1) Customers shall be charged the same price for certificates as that paid by the licensed station; and
 - "(2) Sales tax shall not be assessed on the price of certificates.
- "(d) No person shall sell, issue, cause or permit to be issued any certificate purported to be a valid certificate of compliance or noncompliance unless duly licensed to do so.
- "(e) A repair cost waiver or an economic hardship extension shall be the same fee as a certificate of compliance or noncompliance."
 - 18. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.41, states:
- "(a) A licensed station shall give a copy of the test report printed from the emissions inspection system to the customer. The report shall be attached to the customer's invoice.

2.7

- "(b) No person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any access or qualification number other than as authorized by the bureau, nor in any way tamper with the emissions inspection system.
- "(c) No person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one being tested. Nor shall any person knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false information about the vehicle being tested.
- "(d) The specifications and procedures required by Section 44016 of the Health and Safety Code shall be the vehicle manufacturer's recommended procedures for emission problem diagnosis and repair or the emission diagnosis and repair procedures found in industry-standard reference manuals and periodicals published by nationally recognized repair information providers. Smog check stations and smog check technicians shall, at a minimum, follow the applicable specifications and procedures when diagnosing defects or performing repairs for vehicles that fail a smog check test.
- "(e) A smog check station shall not perform an initial test, except for an official pre-test, on or issue a certificate of compliance to any vehicle that has been directed to a test-only station for its biennial smog check pursuant to Sections 44010.5 or 44014.7 of the Health and Safety Code, unless the station is licensed as a test-only station pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 44014 of the Health and Safety Code. The reinspection and certification of a test-only directed vehicle that has failed an initial test at a test-only station and has undergone subsequent repairs to correct the cause of the failure, may be performed by a test-only station, or by a test-and- repair station that performs those repairs and that is also certified as a Gold Shield station pursuant to Section 44014.2 of the Health and Safety Code and Article 10 (commencing with section 3392.1) of this chapter.
 - 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42, states:

"Smog check inspection methods are prescribed in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by section 3340.45.

"(a) All vehicles subject to a smog check inspection, shall receive one of the following test methods:

"(1) A loaded-mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976 - 1999 model-year vehicle, except diesel-powered, registered in the enhanced program areas of the state. The loaded-mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and oxides of nitrogen emissions, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this article. The loaded-mode test shall use Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) test equipment, including a chassis dynamometer, certified by the bureau.

"On and after March 31, 2010, exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emissions standards shown in the Vehicle Look-up Table (VLT) Row Specific Emissions Standards (Cutpoints) Table, dated March 2010, which is hereby incorporated by reference. If the emissions standards for a specific vehicle are not included in this table then the exhaust emissions shall be compared to the emissions standards set forth in TABLE I or TABLE II, as applicable. A vehicle passes the loaded-mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emission standards specified in the applicable table.

- "(2) A two-speed idle mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976 1999 model-year vehicles, except diesel-powered, registered in all program areas of the state, except in those areas of the state where the enhanced program has been implemented. The two-speed idle mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide emissions at high RPM and again at idle RPM, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this article. Exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emission standards set forth in this section and as shown in TABLE III. A vehicle passes the two-speed idle mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emissions standards specified in Table III.
- "(3) An OBD-focused test, shall be the test method used to inspect gasoline-powered vehicles 2000 model-year and newer, and diesel-powered vehicles 1998 model-year and newer. The OBD test failure criteria are specified in section 3340.42,2.

- "(b) In addition to subsection (a), all vehicles subject to the smog check program shall receive the following:
- "(1) A visual inspection of emission control components and systems to verify the vehicle's emission control systems are properly installed.
- "(2) A functional inspection of emission control systems as specified in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by section 3340.45, which may include an OBD test; to verify their proper operation.
- "(c) The bureau may require any combination of the inspection methods in sections (a) and (b) under any of the following circumstances:
- "(1) Vehicles that the department randomly selects pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44014.7 as a means of identifying potential operational problems with vehicle OBD systems.
- "(2) Vehicles identified by the bureau as being operationally or physically incompatible with inspection equipment.
 - "(3) Vehicles with OBD systems that have demonstrated operational problems.
- "(d) Pursuant to section 39032.5 of the Health and Safety Code, gross polluter standards are as follows:
- "(1) A gross polluter means a vehicle with excess hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, or oxides of nitrogen emissions pursuant to the gross polluter emissions standards included in the tables described in subsection (a), as applicable.
- "(2) Vehicles with emission levels exceeding the emission standards for gross polluters during an initial inspection will be considered gross polluters and the provisions pertaining to gross polluting vehicles will apply, including, but not limited to, sections 44014.5, 44015, and 44081 of the Health and Safety Code.
- "(3) A gross polluting vehicle shall not be passed or issued a certificate of compliance until the vehicle's emissions are reduced to or below the applicable emissions standards for the vehicle included in the tables described in subsection (a), as applicable. However, the provisions described in section 44017 of the Health and Safety Code may apply.

- "(4) This subsection applies in all program areas statewide to vehicles requiring inspection pursuant to sections 44005 and 44011 of the Health and Safety Code."
 - 20. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3371, states:

"No dealer shall publish, utter, or make or cause to be published, uttered, or made any false or misleading statement or advertisement which is known to be false or misleading, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known to be false or misleading. Advertisements and advertising signs shall clearly show the following:

- "(a) Firm Name and Address. The dealer's firm name and address as they appear on the State registration certificate as an automotive repair dealer; and
- "(b) Telephone Number. If a telephone number appears in an advertisement or on an advertising sign, this number shall be the same number as that listed for the dealer's firm name and address in the telephone directory, or in the telephone company records if such number is assigned to the dealer subsequent to the publication of such telephone directory."
 - 21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3373, states:

"No automotive repair dealer or individual in charge shall, in filling out an estimate, invoice, or work order, or record required to be maintained by section 3340.15(f) of this chapter, withhold therefrom or insert therein any statement or information which will cause any such document to be false or misleading, or where the tendency or effect thereby would be to mislead or deceive customers, prospective customers, or the public."

COST RECOVERY

22. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in relevant part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

-///

28 | /

б

 23. In 2017, Bureau representative Fidel Reyes (Reyes) reviewed the OIS Data for vehicles inspected by Respondent Tran using the BAR-OIS at MS Auto Repair between February 22, 2017, and March 8, 2017. During Reyes's investigation, he accessed the Vehicle Information Database (VID), and performed a focused review of BAR-OIS test data for MS Auto Repair. BAR-OIS test data is the OBD information transmitted during a smog inspection from the computer of the vehicle being inspected to the BAR-OIS. Beginning March 9, 2015, California's Smog Check Program was updated and now requires smog inspectors and stations to use the BAR-OIS computer system during smog inspections, for most model year 2000 and newer gasoline and hybrid vehicles, and most 1998 and newer diesel vehicles. The BAR-OIS consists of a BAR-certified Data Acquisition Device (DAD), computer, bar code scanner, and printer. The DAD serves as an on-board diagnostic (OBD) scan tool. The DAD is an interface box that connects the computer containing the BAR-OIS software to the diagnostic link connector (DLC) of the vehicle being inspected. When requested by the BAR-OIS software, the DAD retrieves data from the vehicle's on-board computer system (OBD II).

- 24. The information obtained by the BAR-OIS software during a smog inspection is organized in a detailed inspection report for each vehicle inspected and is known as a BAR-OIS Test Detail. The BAR-OIS Test Detail contains information segregated into eight different blocks titled as follows: Station, Vehicle, Test Status, Visual Details, Functional Details, OBDII Details, OBDII Readiness Monitor Status, and OBDII DTC Details. For the purposes of Reyes's investigation of Respondent MS Auto, he focused on information in the "Vehicle" and "OBDII Details" blocks because they contain a unique combination of information for the vehicle being inspected and "like vehicles" inspected. Like vehicles are vehicles of the same manufacturer, model, and model year that were inspected on the BAR-OIS. The unique combination of information analyzed by Reyes includes the eVIN, PID count, and protocol. Reyes compared the eVIN, communication protocol, and PID count for a vehicle that was certified to like vehicles.
- 25. Royes identified twelve vehicles certified by Respondents MS Auto and Tran between approximately February 22, 2017, and March 8, 2017, that failed to transmit the expected

.10

12.

.

eVIN, protocol, and PID count. The data transmitted during Respondent Tran's inspections either differed from the OIS data the vehicles transmitted during other inspections, or the data was inconsistent with the OIS data transmitted by "like vehicles" inspected, or both.

- 26. The OIS Data transmitted by the vehicles during the other inspections was consistent with the OIS Data transmitted by like vehicles inspected. The OIS Data transmitted by the twelve vehicles identified by Reyes was not consistent with OIS Data for like vehicles inspected.
- 27. Reyes's review of The BAR-OIS data for the vehicles certified by Respondents MS Auto and Tran during the above time period revealed a pattern of fraudulent smog inspections that resulted in the issuance of fraudulent certificates of compliance. There were at least twelve instances in which Respondent Tran certified vehicles that were not connected to the DAD during the smog inspection. Therefore, Respondent Tran clean-plugged twelve vehicles, resulting in Respondent MS Auto issuing twelve fraudulent Certificates of Compliance between approximately February 22, 2017, and March 8, 2017. The details of each instance of clean-plugging is as follows:

28. Clean Plug Number 1:

a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on February 22, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2009 Ford F350 Super Duty Truck, VIN 1FTWW31R09EA80276, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No. ZP889405C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 228 like 2009 Ford F350 Super Duty trucks show that 98.7% of the time they transmit their eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 29 or 29/15¹.

¹ Some vehicles will report two or more PID counts. In those instances, multiple electronic control units are reporting their respective PID counts. In most cases the first number reported is the PID count from the vehicle's OBD II Electronic Control Unit.

.28

b. Furthermore, on February 10, 2017, the same 2009 Ford F350 Super Duty truck, VIN 1FTWW31R09EA80276, failed a BAR-OIS inspection at another station. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication protocol was through the designed protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 29/15 was transmitted.

29. Clean Plug Number 2:

- a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on February 22, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2006 Ford F150 Super Crew truck, VIN 1FTRW12W56KD37676, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No. ZP889410C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was listed as 1914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 1,000 like 2006 Ford F150 Super Crew trucks show that 98.8% of the time they transmit their eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 47.
- b. Furthermore, on April 22, 2015, the same 2006 Ford F150 Super Crew truck, VIN 1FTRW12W56KD37676, passed a BAR-OIS Smog Check inspection at another facility. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 47 was transmitted.

30. Clean Plug Number 3:

- a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on February 23, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2006 GMC Sierra K2500 Heavy Duty truck, VIN 1GTHK23D46F151940, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No. ZP889429C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 962 like 2006 GMC Sierra K2500 Heavy Duty trucks show that 99% of the time they transmit the correct eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 26/9/3 or 28/9/3.
- b. Furthermore, on April 23, 2015, the same 2006 GMC Sierra K2500 Heavy Duty truck, VIN 1GTHK23D46F151940, passed a BAR-OIS inspection at another station. During that

inspection, the correct eVIN number of 1GTHK23D46F151940 was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 26/9/3 was transmitted.

31. Clean Plug Number 4:

- a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on February 24 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2004 Ford F150 truck, VIN 1FTPX14594NB87219, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No. ZP889439C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 1000 like 2004 Ford F150 trucks show that 98.8% of the time they transmit the correct eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 27.
- b. Furthermore, on February 7, 2017, the same 2004 Ford F150 truck, VIN 1FTPX14594NB87219, failed a BAR-OIS inspection at another station. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 27 was transmitted.

32. Clean Plug Number 5:

- Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on February 24, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2008 Chevrolet Tahoe C1500, VIN 1GNFC13098J207924, and Respondent MS Auto issued smog certificate of compliance No. ZP889447C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 1000 like 2008 Chevrolet Tahoe C1500 show that 99.6 % of the time they transmit the correct eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 44/7/8.
- b. Furthermore, on February 29, 2016, the same 2008 Chevrolet Tahoe C1500, VIN
 1GNFC13098J207924, passed a BAR-OIS at another facility. During that inspection, the correct

eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 44/7/8 was reported.

33. Clean Plug Number 6:

- a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on February 24, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2008 Mercedes Benz CLK 350, VIN WDBTJ56H88F239470, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No ZP889450C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 967 like 2008 Mercedes Benz CLK 350, show that 99.8% of the time they transmit the correct eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 44 or 44/12.
- b. Furthermore, on January 2, 2017, the same 2008 Mercedes Benz CLK 350, VIN WDBTJ56H88F239470, failed a BAR-OIS inspection at another facility. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 44/12 was reported.

34. Clean Plug Number 7:

- a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on February 28, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2008 Honda Fit, VIN

 JHMGD38438S050921 and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No.

 QI024866C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 1000 like 2008 Honda Fit cars show that 99.1% of the time they transmit the correct eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN29bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 38.
- b. Furthermore, on February 22, 2017, the same 2008 Honda Fit, VIN
 JHMGD38438S050921, failed a BAR-OIS inspection at another facility. During that inspection,
 the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of
 ICAN29bt5, and the expected PID count of 38 was reported.

б

 $/\!/\!/$

- a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on March 2, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2005 Chevrolet Tahoe C1500, VIN 1GNEC13V65R238259, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No. QI110222C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as 1914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 1000 like 2005 Chevrolet Tahoe C1500 trucks, show that 97.7% of the time they transmit the correct eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of JVPW, and they transmit a PID count of 22.
- b. Furthermore, on August 31, 2016, the same 2005 Chevrolet Tahoe C1500, VIN 1GNEC13V65R238259, failed a BAR-OIS inspection at another facility. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of JVPW, and the expected PID count of 22 was reported.

36. Clean Plug Number 9:

- a. Royes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on March 7, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2001 Chevrolet Suburban K1500, VIN 3GNFK16T51G194301, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No. ZR153108C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 1000 like 2001 Chevrolet Suburban K1500 vehicles, show that 97.1% of the time they report the correct the eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of JVPW, and they transmit a PID count of 22.
- b. Furthermore, on December 13, 2016, the same 2001 Chevrolet Suburban K1500, VIN 3GNFK16T51G194301, failed a BAR-OIS inspection at another facility. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of JVPW, and the expected PID count of 22 was reported.

,

37. Clean Plug Number 10:

- a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on March 7, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2005 Ford Mustang GT, VIN 1ZVFT82H755129198, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No. ZR153113C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 1000 like 2005 Ford Mustang GT, show that 95.2% of the time they report the correct the eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 42 or 44.
- b. Furthermore, on December 30, 2015, the same 2005 Ford Mustang GT, VIN 1ZVFT82H755129198, passed a BAR-OIS inspection at another facility. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 44 was reported.

38. Clean Plug Number 11:

- a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on March 7, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2008 Mazda CX-9, VIN

 JM3TB38V580139200, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No.

 ZR153121C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 735 like 2008 Mazda CX-9, show that 99.9% of the time they report the correct the eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 43/15.
- b. Furthermore, on December 27, 2016, the same 2008 Mazda CX-9, VIN JM3TB38V580139200, failed a BAR-OIS inspection at another facility. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 43/15 was reported.

27 | ///

28 ///

39. Clean Plug Number 12:

a. Reyes's review of the OIS test OBD Inspection List for MS Auto Repair indicated that on March 8, 2017, Respondent Tran inspected a 2008 Chevrolet Silverado C1500, VIN 3GCEC13C38G230972, and Respondent MS Auto issued Smog Certificate of Compliance No. ZR153134C. The OIS Test Data shows that during Tran's inspection, an eVIN was not reported, the communication protocol was listed as I914, and the PID count was 19. Comparative OIS Test Data for approximately 1,000 like 2008 Chevrolet Silverado C1500 trucks, show that 98.9% of the time they report the correct the eVIN, they transmit a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and they transmit a PID count of 44/7/8.

b. Furthermore, on March 7, 2017, the same 2008 Chevrolet Silverado C1500, VIN 3GCEC13C38G230972, failed a BAR-OIS inspection at another facility. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was reported, the communication was through the expected protocol of ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count of 44/7/8 was reported.

40. The relevant OIS Test Data analyzed by Reyes is summarized in Table I, below:

TABLE 1

Glean Plug	Cert. # ZP889405C	eVIN	Protocol	PID Count
	Passing Inspection 2/22/2017	None	1914	19
	2/10/2017-Failed Inspection	1FTWW31 R09EA80276	ICANIIbt5	29/15
,	Expected Value	eVIN Reported	ICANlibt5	29 or 29/15
Clean Plug	Cert. # ZP889410C	eVIN	Protocol	PID Count
	Passing Inspection 2/22/2017	None	I914	19
	4/22/2015-Passing Inspection	1FTRW12W56KD37676	ICANIIbt5	47
·	Expected Value	eVin Reported	ICANIIbt5	47
Clean Plug :	Cort. # ZP889429C	eVIN	Protocol	PID Count
	Passing Inspection 2/23/2017	None	I914	19
	4/23/2015-Passing Inspection	1GTHK23D46F151940	ICANIIbt5	26/9/3
	Expected Value	eVin Reported	ICANIIbt5	26/9/3 or 28/9/3

Colomb Elipe	. Cert. #	eVIN	Protocol	PID Cour
14	ZP889439C			112 0041
	Passing Inspection 2/24/2017	None	. 1914	19
•	2/7/2017-Failed Inspection	1FTPX14594NB87219	ICANlibt5	27
	Expected Value	eVIN Reported	ICANIlbt5	27
Cléan Phig. 5	Cert. #ZP889447C	eVIN	. Protocol	PID Coun
	Passing Inspection 2/24/2017	None	1914	19
,	2/29/2016-Passing Inspection	1GNFC13098J207924	ICANiibt5	44/7/8
	Expected Value	eVIN Reported	ICANlibt5	44/7/8
Clean Ping	Cert. # ZP889450C	eVIN	Protocol	PID Coun
	Passing Inspection 2/24/17	None	1914	19
• ,	1/2/2017-Failed Inspection	WDBTJ56H88F239470	ICANIIbt5	44/12
,	Expected Value	eVIN reported	ICAN11bt5	44 or 44/12
Clean Plug 7	Cert. # QI024866C	eVin	Protocol	PID Coun
1	Passing Inspection 2/28/2017	None	1914	19
	2/22/2017-Failed Inspection	JEIMGD38438S050921	· ICAN29bt5	38
·	Expected Value	eVIN Reported	ICAN29bt5	38
Clean Plug	Cert. # QI110222C	eVIN	Protocol	PID Coun
	Passing Inspection 3/2/2017	None	1914	. 19
	8/31/2016-Failed Inspection	1GNEC13V65R238259	JVPW	22
	Expected Value	eVin Reported	JVPW	22
Glean Plug	Cert. # ZR153108C	· eVIN	Protocol	PID Count
	Passing Inspection 3/7/2017	None	I914	19
	12/13/2016-Failed Inspection	3GNFK16T51G194301	JVPW	22
	Expected Value	oVIN Reported	1914	22
Cléan Ping 10	Cert. # ZR153113C	eVIN	Protocol	PID Count
	Passing Inspection 3/7/2017	None	1914	19
,	12/30/2015-Passed Inspection	1ZVFT82H755129198	ICAN11bt5	44

11					
	Expected Value	eVIN Reported	ICAN11bt5	42 or 44	
Clean Plug	Cert. # ZR153121C	eVIN .	Protocol	PID Count	
	Passing Inspection 3/7/2017	None	1914	19	
1	12/27/2016-Failed Inspection	JM3TB38V580139200	ICAN11bt5	43/15	
	Expected Value	eVIN Reported	ICAN11bt5	43/15	
Clean Plug 12	Cert. # ZR153134C	eVIN	Protocol	PID Count	
	Passing Inspection 3/8/2017	None	1914	19	
	3/7/2017-Failed Inspection	3GCEC13C38G230972	ICAN11bt5	44/7/8	
	Expected Value	eVIN Reported	ICAN11bt5	44/7/8	
<u>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Bus. & Prof Code, § 9884.7, subd. (a)(1)) (Making or Authorizing Untrue or Misleading Statements)					
41. Respondent MS Auto has subjected its Automotive Repair Dealer Registration to					
disciplinary action in that Respondent MS Auto made untrue or misleading statements when it					
issued twelve fraudulent certificates of compliance and failed to comply with the Automotive					
Repair Act as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 40.					
SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE					
(Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9884.7, subd. (a)(4)) (Fraud)					
42. Respondent MS Auto has subjected its Automotive Repair Dealer Registration to					
disciplinary action in that Respondent MS Auto engaged in fraud when it issued twelve fraudule					

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dealer Registration to It issued twelve fraudulent certificates of compliance as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 40. (Bus. & Prof. Code, section 9884.7, subd, (a)(4).)

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

- (Bus. & Prof Code, § 9884.7, subd. (a)(6); Health & Saf. Code, § 44072.2, subds. (a) & (c)) (Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)
- Respondent MS Auto has subjected its Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and Smog Station License to disciplinary action in that it failed to comply with the Automotive Repair

Act, sections of the Code, and applicable regulations as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 40. (Bus. & Prof Code, § 9884.7, subd. (a)(6); Health & Saf. Code, § 44072.2.) The violations are as follows:

- a. Health & Safety Code, § 44012, subd. (f): Respondent MS Auto failed to follow test procedures in accordance with the Onboard Inspection System ("OIS") specifications when it failed to properly conduct the functional portion of the smog inspection by failing to connect twelve vehicles to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified.
- b. Health & Safety Code, § 44015, subd. (b): Respondent MS Auto certified prohibited vehicles when it issued certificates to twelve vehicles that were not connected to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified.
- c. Health & Safety Code, § 44059, subd. (b): Respondent MS Auto committed perjury when it failed to properly conduct the functional portion of the smog inspection by failing to connect twelve vehicles to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified.
- d. Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 3340.35, subd. (c): Respondent MS Auto issued certificates of compliance to twelve vehicles that were not properly inspected in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.
- e. Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 3340.42, subd. (b)(2): Respondent MS Auto failed to conduct the functional inspection on twelve vehicles in accordance with the smog check manual referenced in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.45.
- f. Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 3373: Respondent MS Auto issued false or misleading records when it issued twelve fraudulent certificates of compliance.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit) (Health & Saf. Code, § 44072.2, subd. (d))

44. Respondent MS Auto has subjected its Smog Station License to discipline in that it committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit, whereby another was injured, by issuing twelve fraudulent certificates of compliance as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 40, above. (Health & Saf. Code, § 44072.2, subd. (d).)

б

7

10

13

16 17

19

18

21

22

20

23 24

25

26

27

28

Respondent Tran:

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) (Health & Saf. Code, § 44072.2, subds. (a) & (c))

- Respondent Tran has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline in that 45. he failed to comply with the Automotive Repair Act, sections of the Code, and applicable regulations as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 40. (subd. (a)(6); Health & Saf. Code, § 44072.2.) The violations are as follows:
- Health & Safety Code, § 44012, subd. (f): Respondent Tran failed to follow test procedures in accordance with the OIS specifications when he failed to properly conduct the functional portion of the smog inspection by failing to connect twelve vehicles to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified.
- Health & Safety Code, § 44032: Respondent Tran failed to follow test procedures in Ъ. accordance with the OIS specifications when he failed to properly conduct the functional portion of the smog inspection by failing to connect twelve vehicles to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified in accordance with Health and Safety Code, section 44012.
- Health & Safety Code, § 44059, subd. (b): Respondent Tran committed perjury when he failed to properly conduct the functional portion of the smog inspection by failing to connect twelve vehicles to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified.
- Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 3340.30, subd. (a): Respondent Tran failed to inspect or test vehicles in accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 3340.42, 44012, and 44035 when he failed to properly conduct the functional portion of the smog inspection by failing to connect twelve vehicles to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified.
- Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 3340.41, subd. (c): Respondent Tran entered in false information about vehicles being tested when he failed to properly conduct the functional portion of the smog inspection by failing to connect twelve vehicles to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified.

- f. Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 3340.42, subd. (b)(2): Respondent Tran failed to conduct functional inspections on twelve vehicles in accordance with the smog check manual referenced in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.45.
- g. Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 3340.45, subd. (b)(2): Respondent Tran failed to inspect vehicles in accordance with the Smog Check Manual requirements and procedures when he failed to properly conduct the functional portion of the smog inspection by failing to connect twelve vehicles to the BAR-OIS when they were being certified.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Fraud) (Health & Saf. Code, § 44072.2, subd. (d))

46. Respondent Tran has subjected his Smog Check Inspector's license to discipline in that he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit, whereby another was injured, when he caused twelve fraudulent certificates of compliance to be issued as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 40, above. (Health & Saf. Code, § 44072.2, subd. (d).)

OTHER MATTERS

- 47. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by Respondent MS Auto Repair, upon a finding that Respondent MS Auto Repair has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.
- 48. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent MS Auto Repair's Station License is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.
- 49. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent Tran's Smog Check Inspector License is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

///

9

11 12

13 14

1516

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
 223079, issued to Mohammed Sahid, owner of MS Auto Repair;
 - 2. Revoking or suspending any other ARD registration issued to Mohammed Sahid;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Test and Repair Station License Number RC 223079, issued to Mohammed Sahid, owner of MS Auto Repair;
- 4. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Mohammed Sahid;
- 5. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 636650, issued to Andy Phong Tran;
- 6. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Andy Phong Tran;
- 7. Ordering Mohammed Sahid and Andy Phong Tran to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
 - 8. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: April 23, 2018

PATRICK DORAIS

Chief

Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

OK2017901464 90862844.doc