

1 ROB BONTA
Attorney General of California
2 SHAWN P. COOK
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 MATTHEW A. KING
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 265691
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 269-6303
6 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126
E-mail: Matthew.King@doj.ca.gov
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 79/23-10098

13 **DANNY PADILLA, DBA PEREZ SMOGS**
Station and Mailing Address:
14 9208 S. Main St.
Los Angeles, CA 90003
15 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.**
ARD 293360
16 **Smog Check Test Only Station License No.**
TC 293360,

ACCUSATION

17 **BRYAN JAVIER PADILLA**
484 S. Bonnie Beach Pl.
Los Angeles, CA 90063
18 **Smog Check Inspector License No.**
EO 643728,

19 And

20 **GILDARDO ADOLFO PADILLA**
709 S. Record Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90033
21 **Smog Check Inspector License No.**
EO 644126

22 Respondents.
23
24

25 **PARTIES**

26
27 1. Patrick Dorais (complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as
28 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair (bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs.

1 an automotive repair dealer registration.

2 10. Business and Professions Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the
3 expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the director of jurisdiction to proceed with a
4 disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or
5 permanently invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

6 11. Health and Safety Code section 44002 provides, in pertinent part, that the director has
7 all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor
8 Vehicle Inspection Program.

9 12. Health and Safety Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration
10 or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the director of Consumer
11 Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the director of
12 jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

13 **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

14 13. Business and Professions Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:

15 (a) The director, if the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona
16 fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an
17 automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the
18 conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the
19 automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or
20 member of the automotive repair dealer:

21 (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any
22 statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which
23 by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

24

25 (4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

26

27 (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter
28 or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

. . . .

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place
on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an
automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is,
engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations
adopted pursuant to it.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

....

(e) For purposes of this section, "fraud" includes, but is not limited to, violations of this chapter involving misrepresentations and all of the following:

(1) Any act or omission that is included within the definition of either "actual fraud" or "constructive fraud," as those terms are defined in Sections 1572 and 1573 of the Civil Code.

(2) A misrepresentation in any manner, whether intentionally false or due to gross negligence, of a material fact.

(3) A promise or representation not made honestly and in good faith.

(4) An intentional failure to disclose a material fact.

(5) Any act in violation of Section 484 of the Penal Code.

14. Business and Professions Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency." "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by the Business and Professions Code.

15. Health and Safety Code section 44012 provides, in pertinent part, that tests at smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

16. Health and Safety Code section 44015, subdivision (b), provides that a certificate of compliance shall be issued if a vehicle meets the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 40012.

17. Health and Safety Code section 44032 provides that qualified technicians shall perform tests of emissions control devices and systems in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 40012.

18. Health and Safety Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:

The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the following:

(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and Saf. Code § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.

...

1 (c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this
chapter.

2 (d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is
3 injured....

4 19. Health and Safety Code section 44072.10 states, in pertinent part:

5 (c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or
6 station licensee who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent
inspection of vehicles. A fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of
7 the following:

8 (1) Clean piping, as defined by the department.

9 (2) Tampering with a vehicle emission control system or test analyzer system.

10 (3) Tampering with a vehicle in a manner that would cause the vehicle to falsely
pass or falsely fail an inspection.

11 (4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard,
12 or procedure of the department implementing this chapter....

13 20. Health and Safety Code section 44072.8 states that when a license has been revoked
14 or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this
15 chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

16 **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

17 21. California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 16, section 3340.24, subdivision (c),
18 states:

19 The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal action
20 against a licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a certificate
of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.

21
22 22. CCR, title 16, section 3340.30, subdivision (a), states that a licensed smog technician
23 shall at all times “[i]nspect, test and repair vehicles, as applicable, in accordance with section
24 44012 of the Health and Safety Code, section 44035 of the Health and Safety Code, and section
25 3340.42 of this article.”

26 23. CCR, title 16, section 3340.35, subdivision (c), states that a licensed smog check
27 station “shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any
28 vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of

1 this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and
2 functioning correctly.”

3 24. CCR, title 16, section 3340.41 states, in pertinent part:

4 (b) No person shall enter any access or qualification number other than as
5 authorized by the Bureau into the EIS or OIS, nor in any way tamper with the EIS or
6 OIS.

7 (c) No person shall enter any vehicle identification information or emission
8 control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one being tested into
9 the EIS or OIS. Nor shall any person enter into the EIS or OIS any false information
10 about the vehicle being tested

11 25. CCR, title 16, section 3340.42, sets forth specific emissions test methods and
12 procedures which apply to all vehicles inspected in the State of California.

13 **COST RECOVERY**

14 26. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the
15 board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a
16 violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the
17 investigation and enforcement of the case.

18 **CALIFORNIA’S SMOG CHECK PROGRAM**

19 27. California’s Smog Check Program requires most vehicles in the State to undergo a
20 smog check inspection every two years or when the vehicle’s title is transferred.

21 28. A smog check inspection in certain Enhanced areas of the State is an Acceleration
22 Simulation Mode (ASM) test performed using an Emission Inspection System (EIS), also known
23 as a BAR 97. This is a computer based five-gas analyzer that measures Hydrocarbons (HC),
24 Carbon Monoxide (CO), Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx), Carbon Dioxide (CO²) and Oxygen (O²).
25 The first part of the test is a loaded mode test of the vehicle’s tailpipe emissions on a
26 dynamometer. The vehicle’s drive wheels are placed on rollers, and the vehicle is driven to
27 simulate driving conditions while the emissions are sampled by the EIS.

28 29. In Basic areas of the State, or depending on a vehicle’s configuration, a similar test
called a Two Speed Idle test is performed, but instead of applying a load to the vehicle’s drive

1 wheels with a dynamometer, the EIS measures the emissions of HC, CO, O², and CO² at idle as
2 well as 2500 revolutions per minute (rpm).

3 30. In the visual portion of a smog check, the technician inspects the emission control
4 components to verify that the required emission control devices are present and properly
5 connected.

6 31. An On-Board Diagnostics (OBD II) functional test is also performed on most 1996 to
7 1999 model year vehicles. The EIS retrieves information through the Diagnostic Link Connector
8 (DLC) from the vehicle's on-board computer about its ability to communicate, the status of the
9 I/M readiness monitors and the MIL light command. The I/M readiness monitors tell whether or
10 not the OBD II system has run a sufficient number of self-tests on the vehicle's emission and
11 engine control systems. A failure of one or more of the OBD II functional criteria, depending on
12 model year, will result in the vehicle failing its smog check inspection. In addition to reporting
13 the outcome of the OBD II functional test, the smog check inspection results also show
14 Diagnostic Trouble Codes (DTC) if there are any in the vehicle's on-board computer memory.

15 32. The inspector enters the results of the visual and functional inspections into the EIS.
16 The EIS unit makes the determination whether or not the vehicle passes the inspection based on
17 the results of the tailpipe, visual and functional tests.

18 33. The EIS is connected by internet connection to Bureau's Vehicle Information
19 Database (VID). If the vehicle passes the visual, functional and tailpipe tests, it passes the overall
20 inspection. A Certificate of Compliance is issued and transmitted electronically to the VID.
21 Additionally, all data gathered during a Smog Check inspection, regardless of the type of
22 inspection, is transmitted to and retained in the VID.

23 34. The bureau has become aware of methods that some Smog Check stations and Smog
24 Check inspectors use to fraudulently issue smog certificates to vehicles that may not pass a smog
25 check test on their own, or in some instances, are not even present during the time the test is
26 performed. "Clean piping" is the act of using the emission sample of a known clean vehicle to
27 substitute for the emissions of a vehicle that will not pass a smog inspection or is not present at
28 the time of the test.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1
2 35. On or about June 16, 2023, a bureau representative conducted a surveillance
3 operation of Perez Smogs. The bureau’s review of the Smog Check activities at Perez Smogs
4 confirmed six Smog Check Certificates of Compliance were fraudulently issued by Perez Smogs
5 to vehicles that were inspected by respondents.

6 **Fraudulent Inspection Number 1 — 1985 Chevrolet C10 Pickup**

7 36. On or about June 16, 2023, between 11:11 a.m. and 11:28 a.m., a 1985 Chevrolet C10
8 Pickup, license number 70003N1, was tested and Smog Certificate of Compliance number
9 TI706818C was fraudulently issued using the clean piping method under respondent Bryan Javier
10 Padilla’s Smog Check Inspector license.

11 **Fraudulent Inspection Number 2 — 1977 Ford F150 Pickup**

12 37. On or about June 16, 2023, between 1:56 p.m. and 2:31 p.m., a 1977 Ford F150
13 Pickup, VIN ending in 20331, was tested and Smog Certificate of Compliance number
14 T1706819C was fraudulently issued using the clean piping method under respondent Bryan Javier
15 Padilla’s Smog Check Inspector license.

16 **Fraudulent Inspection Number 3 — 1994 Chevrolet C1500 Pickup**

17 38. On or about June 16, 2023, between 3:11 p.m. and 3:32 p.m., a 1994 Chevrolet
18 C1500 Pickup, license number 5P36088, was tested and Smog Certificate of Compliance number
19 TI706820C was fraudulently issued using the clean piping method under respondent Bryan Javier
20 Padilla’s Smog Check Inspector license. During the Smog Check test, respondent Gildardo
21 Adolfo Padilla inserted the EIS exhaust sample probe into the tailpipe of a surrogate vehicle.

22 **Fraudulent Inspection Number 4 — 1995 Honda Civic**

23 39. On or about June 16, 2023, between 4:21 p.m. and 4:48 p.m., a 1995 Honda Civic,
24 license number 3MUC106, was tested and Smog Certificate of Compliance number TI706821C
25 was fraudulently issued using the clean piping method under respondent Bryan Javier Padilla’s
26 Smog Check Inspector license.

27 ///

28 ///

1 of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant
2 realleges paragraphs 35–41.

3 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

4 **(Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act — Respondent Danny Padilla)**

5 44. Respondent Danny Padilla’s Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to
6 disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6),
7 in that he failed in a material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations
8 adopted pursuant to it when he issued electronic certificates of compliance for the six vehicles set
9 forth in paragraphs 35–41 without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control
10 devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of
11 the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant realleges
12 paragraphs 35–41.

13 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program — Respondent Danny Padilla)**

15 45. Respondent Danny Padilla’s Smog Check Test Only Station License is subject to
16 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that he
17 failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

18 a. **Section 44012**: Respondent Danny Padilla failed to ensure that the emission control
19 tests were performed on the six vehicles set forth in paragraphs 35–41 in accordance with
20 procedures prescribed by the department.

21 b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b)**: Respondent Danny Padilla issued electronic smog
22 certificates of compliance to the six vehicles set forth in paragraphs 35–41 without properly
23 testing and inspecting those vehicles to determine if they were in compliance with Health and
24 Safety Code section 44012.

25 Complainant realleges paragraphs 35–41.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**

3 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program — Respondent Danny Padilla)**

4 46. Respondent Danny Padilla’s Smog Check Test Only Station License is subject to
5 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that he
6 failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

7 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent Danny Padilla falsely or fraudulently
8 issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for the six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–
9 41.

10 b. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent Danny Padilla failed to inspect and
11 test the six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–41 in accordance with Health and Safety Code
12 sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

13 c. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent Danny Padilla issued electronic smog
14 certificates of compliance six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–41 even though those vehicles
15 had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

16 d. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Danny Padilla failed to ensure that the smog
17 inspections conducted on the six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–41 were done in accordance
18 with the bureau’s specifications.

19 Complainant realleges paragraphs 35–41.

20 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit — Respondent Danny Padilla)**

22 47. Respondent Danny Padilla’s Smog Check Test Only Station License is subject to
23 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
24 conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 44072.10, subdivision (c), in that he committed
25 dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another was injured by issuing electronic smog
26 certificates of compliance for the six vehicles described in paragraphs 35–41 without performing
27 bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby
28 depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle

1 Inspection Program. Complainant realleges paragraphs 35–41.

2 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

3 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program — Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla)**

4 48. Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla’s Smog Check Inspector License is subject to
5 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that he
6 failed to comply with the following sections of that code:

7 a. **Section 44032**: Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla failed to perform tests of emission
8 control devices and systems of the six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–41 in accordance with
9 Health and Safety Code section 44012.

10 b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b)**: Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla caused electronic
11 smog certificates of compliance to be issued for the six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–41
12 without ensuring that they were properly tested and inspected to determine if they were in
13 compliance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

14 Complainant realleges paragraphs 35–41.

15 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**

17 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program — Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla)**

18 49. Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla’s Smog Check Inspector License is subject to
19 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that he
20 failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

21 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla falsely or
22 fraudulently issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for the six vehicles identified in
23 paragraphs 35–41.

24 b. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)**: Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla failed to inspect
25 and test the six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–41 in accordance with Health and Safety
26 Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

27 c. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla knowingly
28 entered false information into the emissions inspection system for the six vehicles identified in

1 paragraphs 35–41.

2 d. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla failed to ensure that the smog
3 inspections conducted on the six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–41 were done in accordance
4 with the bureau’s specifications.

5 Complainant realleges paragraphs 35–41.

6 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit — Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla)**

8 50. Respondent Bryan Javier Padilla’ Smog Check Inspector License is subject to
9 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
10 conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 44072.10, subdivision (c), in that he committed
11 dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another was injured by issuing electronic smog
12 certificates of compliance for the six vehicles identified in paragraphs 35–41 without performing
13 bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby
14 depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle
15 Inspection Program. Complainant realleges paragraphs 35–41.

16 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program — Respondent Gildardo Adolfo
18 Padilla)**

19 51. Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla’s Smog Check Inspector License is subject to
20 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that he
21 failed to comply with the following sections of that code:

22 a. **Section 44032**: Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla failed to perform tests of
23 emission control devices and systems of the three vehicles identified in paragraphs 38 and 40–41
24 in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

25 b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b)**: Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla caused
26 electronic smog certificates of compliance to be issued for the three vehicles identified in
27 paragraphs 38 and 40–41 without ensuring that they were properly tested and inspected to
28 determine if they were in compliance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.

1 Complainant realleges paragraphs 38 and 40–41.

2 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

3 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**

4 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program — Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla)**

5 52. Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla’s Smog Check Inspector License is subject to
6 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that he
7 failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

8 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla falsely or
9 fraudulently issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for the three vehicles identified in
10 paragraphs 38 and 40–41.

11 b. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)**: Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla failed to
12 inspect and test the three vehicles identified in paragraphs 38 and 40–41 in accordance with
13 Health and Safety Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16,
14 section 3340.42.

15 c. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla knowingly
16 entered false information into the emissions inspection system for the three vehicles identified in
17 paragraphs 38 and 40–41.

18 d. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla failed to ensure that the smog
19 inspections conducted on the three vehicles identified in paragraphs 38 and 40–41 were done in
20 accordance with the bureau’s specifications.

21 Complainant realleges paragraphs 38 and 40–41.

22 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit — Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla)**

24 53. Respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla’s Smog Check Inspector License is subject to
25 disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in
26 conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 44072.10, subdivision (c), in that he committed
27 dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another was injured by issuing electronic smog
28 certificates of compliance for the three vehicles identified in paragraphs 38 and 40–41 without

1 performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles,
2 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
3 Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant realleges paragraphs 38 and 40–41.

4 **OTHER MATTERS**

5 54. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the
6 director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (director) may suspend, revoke, or place on
7 probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by respondent Danny
8 Padilla upon a finding that he has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of
9 the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

10 55. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Test Only
11 Station License No. TC 293360, issued to respondent Danny Padilla, is revoked or suspended,
12 any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety
13 Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

14 56. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector
15 License No. EO 643728, issued to respondent Bryan Javier Padilla, is revoked or suspended, any
16 additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code
17 in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

18 57. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector
19 License No. EO 644126, issued to respondent Gildardo Adolfo Padilla, is revoked or suspended,
20 any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety
21 Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

22 **PRAYER**

23 WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
24 and that following the hearing, the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs issue a
25 decision:

- 26 1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
27 293360, issued to Danny Padilla, doing business as Perez Smogs;
28 2. Revoking or suspending any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to

1 Danny Padilla, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c);

2 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Test Only Station License Number TC 293360,
3 issued to Danny Padilla, doing business as Perez Smogs;

4 4. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of
5 Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Danny Padilla;

6 5. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 643728, issued
7 to Bryan Javier Padilla;

8 6. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of
9 Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name Bryan Javier Padilla;

10 7. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 644126, issued
11 to Gildardo Adolfo Padilla;

12 8. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of
13 Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name Gildardo Adolfo Padilla;

14 9. Ordering Danny Padilla, doing business as Perez Smogs; Bryan Javier Padilla; and
15 Gildardo Adolfo Padilla to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the
16 investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
17 125.3 and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and,

18 10. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

19
20
21
22 DATED: As of digital signature date

23 _____
24 PATRICK DORAIS
25 Chief
26 Bureau of Automotive Repair
27 Department of Consumer Affairs
28 State of California
Complainant

LA2025604316
68182920_2