	(m)	
1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ERIN M. SUNSERI	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207031	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	
	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9419	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER A FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIV	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/15-6206
13	ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER,	
14	DOING BUSINESS AS JR SMOG N TUNE 565 Scaroni Rd.	ACCUSATION
15	Calexico, CA 92231 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 227126	
16	Smog Check Station License No. RC 227126 Lamp Station License No. Class A LS 227126	
17	Brake Station License No. Class C BS 227126	
18	ARMANDO G. REAL Address of Record:	8
19	1213 Jasmine St. Calexico, CA 92231	
	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 146027	
20	Brake Adjuster Class C License No. BA 146027	12
21	and	
22	JAIME M. GOMEZ 2209 Nixon Ave.,	
·23	Calexico, CA 92231	
24	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 637411	
25	Respondents.	-
26	///	
27		
28		
	1	
	(JR SMOG N TUNE, ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER a	nd JAIME M. GOMEZ) ACCU

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

2	IARTIES	
3	1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as	
4	the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
5	2. On or about June 24, 2003, the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau) issued	
6	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 227126 to Respondent Armando G. Real,	
7	Owner, doing business as JR Smog N Tune (collectively JR Smog N Tune). The registration was	
. 8	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April	
9	30, 2018, unless renewed.	
10	3. On or about July 28, 2003, the Bureau issued Smog Check Station License No. RC	
11	227126 to JR Smog N Tune. The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	
12	charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2018, unless renewed.	
13	4. On or about October 12, 2005, the Bureau issued Lamp Station License No. LS	
14	227126 to JR Smog N Tune. The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	
15	charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2018, unless renewed.	
16	5. On or about October 12, 2005, the Bureau issued Brake Station License No. BS	
17	227126 to JR Smog N Tune. The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	
18	charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2018, unless renewed.	
19	6. In 2002, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 146027 to	
20	Armando G. Real (Respondent Real). The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant	
21	to the charges brought herein, expired on June 30, 2016, and has not been renewed.	
22	7. On or about July 3, 2015, the Bureau issued Brake Adjuster License No. BA 146027	
23	to Respondent Real. The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges	
24	brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2019, unless renewed.	
25	8. On or about October 15, 2014, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector Number EO	
26	637411 to Jaime M. Gomez (Respondent Gomez). The license was in full force and effect at all	
27	times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2018, unless renewed.	
28		
	2	

1	JURISDICTION
2	9. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the
3	Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws. All references are to the
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise stated.
5	10. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,
6	surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed
7	with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored,
8	reissued or reinstated.
9	11. Section 9884.13 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid
10	registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
11	proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration
12	temporarily or permanently.
13	12. Section 9884.20 of the Code states:
14	All accusations against automotive repair dealers shall be filed within three years after the
15	performance of the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with
16	respect to an accusation alleging fraud or misrepresentation as a ground for disciplinary action,
17	the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery, by the bureau, of the alleged
18	facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation.
19	13. Section 9884.22 of the Code states:
20	(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the director may revoke, suspend, or deny
21	at any time any registration required by this article on any of the grounds for disciplinary action
22	provided in this article. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with
23	Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government
24	Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.
25	****
26	14. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the
27	Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing
28	the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

115. Section 44072.4 of the Health and Safety Code states:2The director may take disciplinary action against any licensee after a hearing as provided in this article by any of the following:3(a) Imposing probation upon terms and conditions to be set forth by the director.5(b) Suspending the license.6(c) Revoking the license.716. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.1117. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states:12All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission13alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a15the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation16prohibited by that section.1718. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:18When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any19additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked20or suspended by the director.2119. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that22"(u)pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission23Specialist Technician license issued prior to
 this article by any of the following: (a) Imposing probation upon terms and conditions to be set forth by the director. (b) Suspending the license. (c) Revoking the license. 16. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. 17. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states: All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years aft the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 (a) Imposing probation upon terms and conditions to be set forth by the director. (b) Suspending the license. (c) Revoking the license. 16. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. 17. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states: All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years aft the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 (b) Suspending the license. (c) Revoking the license. 16. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. 17. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states: All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 (c) Revoking the license. 16. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. 17. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states: All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 16. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not depriv the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. 17. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states: All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that
 expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. 17. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states: All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. 17. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states: All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
10the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.1117. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states:12All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission13alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a14violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after15the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation16prohibited by that section.1718. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:18When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any19additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked20or suspended by the director.2119. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that22"[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 11 17. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states: All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission 13 alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years aft the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years aft the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years aft the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 prohibited by that section. 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 18. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states: When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 or suspended by the director. 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
 21 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e) states that 22 "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
22 "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission
22 Specialist Technician license issued prior to the affective data of this regulation, the licensee ma
23 Specialist Technician license issued prior to the effective date of this regulation, the licensee ma
24 apply to renew as a Smog Check Inspector, Smog Check Repair Technician, or both."
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///
4 (JR SMOG N TUNE, ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER and JAIME M. GOMEZ) ACCUSATIO

1	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
2	20. Section 22 of the Code states:
3	(a) 'Board' as used in any provisions of this Code, refers to the board in which the
4	administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include
5	'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and
6	'agency.'
7	(b) Whenever the regulatory program of a board that is subject to review by the Joint
8	Committee on Boards, Commissions, and Consumer Protection, as provided for in Division 1.2
9	(commencing with Section 473), is taken over by the department, that program shall be
10	designated as a 'bureau.'
11	21. Section 23.7 of the Code states:
12	Unless otherwise expressly provided, license means license, certificate, registration, or
13	other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code or referred to in Section
14	1000 or 3600.
15	22. Section 9884.7 of the Code states:
16	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide
17	error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair
18	dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the
19	automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive
20	technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
21	(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written
22	or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable
23	care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
24	••••
25	(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.
26	••••
27	(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or
28	regulations adopted pursuant to it.
	5 (JR SMOG N TUNE, ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER and JAIME M. GOMEZ) ACCUSATION

3

4

5

1

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

6

23. Section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code states:

7 The test at the smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures 8 prescribed by the department and may require loaded mode dynamometer testing in enhanced 9 areas, two-speed idle testing, testing utilizing a vehicle's onboard diagnostic system, or other appropriate test systems, in lieu of loaded mode dynamometer or two-speed idle testing, on model 10 year 2000 and newer vehicles only, beginning no earlier than January 1, 2013. However, the 11 12 department, in consultation with the state board, may prescribe alternative test procedures that included loaded mode dynamometer or two-speed idle testing for vehicles with onboard 13 14 diagnostic systems that the department and the state board determine exhibit operational 15 problems. The department shall ensure, as appropriate to the test method, the following:

(a) Emission control systems required by state and federal law are reducing excess
emissions in accordance with the standards adopted pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c) of
Section 44013.

(b) Motor vehicles are preconditioned to ensure representative and stabilized operation ofthe vehicle's emission control system.

(c) For other than diesel-powered vehicles, the vehicle's exhaust emissions of
hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and oxides of nitrogen in an idle mode or loaded
mode are tested in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. In determining how
loaded mode and evaporative emissions testing shall be conducted, the department shall ensure
that the emission reduction targets for the enhanced program are met.

(d) For other than diesel-powered vehicles, the vehicle's fuel evaporative system and
crankcase ventilation system are tested to reduce any nonexhaust sources of volatile organic
compound emissions, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

(e) For diesel-powered vehicles, a visual inspection is made of emission control devices and
 the vehicle's exhaust emissions are tested in accordance with procedures prescribed by the
 department, that may include, but are not limited to, onboard diagnostic testing. The test may
 include testing of emissions of any or all of the pollutants specified in subdivision (c) and, upon
 the adoption of applicable standards, measurement of emissions of smoke or particulates, or both.

(f) A visual or functional check is made of emission control devices specified by the
department, including the catalytic converter in those instances in which the department
determines it to be necessary to meet the findings of Section 44001. The visual or functional
check shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

(g) A determination as to whether the motor vehicle complies with the emission standards
for that vehicle's class and model-year as prescribed by the department.

(h) An analysis of pass and fail rates of vehicles subject to an onboard diagnostic test and a
tailpipe test to assess whether any vehicles passing their onboard diagnostic test have, or would
have, failed a tailpipe test, and whether any vehicles failing their onboard diagnostic test have or
would have passed a tailpipe test.

(i) The test procedures may authorize smog check stations to refuse the testing of a vehicle
that would be unsafe to test, or that cannot physically be inspected, as specified by the department
by regulation. The refusal to test a vehicle for those reasons shall not excuse or exempt the
vehicle from compliance with all applicable requirements of this chapter.

20

24. Section 44015 of the Health and Safety Code states:

(a) A licensed smog check station shall not issue a certificate of compliance, except as
authorized by this chapter, to any vehicle that meets the following criteria:

23

(1) A vehicle that has been tampered with.

(2) A vehicle identified pursuant to subparagraph (K) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of
Section 44036. A vehicle identified pursuant to subparagraph (K) of paragraph (3) of subdivision
(b) of Section 44036 shall be directed to the department to determine whether an inadvertent error
can explain the irregularity, or whether the vehicle otherwise meets smog check requirements,

allowing the certificate for compliance to be issued, or the vehicle shall be reinspected by a
 referee or another smog check station.

3 (3) A vehicle that, prior to repairs, has been initially identified by the smog check station as
a gross polluter. Certification of a gross polluting vehicle shall be conducted by a designated testonly facility, or a test-and-repair station that is both licensed and certified pursuant to Sections
44014 and 44014.2.

7

(4) A vehicle described in subdivision (c).

8 (b) If a vehicle meets the requirements of Section 44012, a smog check station licensed to
9 issue certificates shall issue a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.

(c)(1) A repair cost waiver shall be issued, upon request of the vehicle owner, by an entity 10 authorized to perform referee functions for a vehicle that has been properly tested but does not 11 meet the applicable emission standards when it is determined that no adjustment or repair can be 12 made that will reduce emissions from the inspected motor vehicle without exceeding the 13 applicable repair cost limit established under Section 44017 and that every defect specified by 14 paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 43204, and by paragraphs (2) and (3) of subdivision 15 (a) of Section 43205, has been corrected. A repair cost waiver issued pursuant to this paragraph 16 17 shall be accepted in lieu of a certificate of compliance for the purposes of compliance with Section 4000.3 of the Vehicle Code. No repair cost waiver shall exceed two years' duration. No 18 repair cost waiver shall be issued until the vehicle owner has expended an amount equal to the 19 applicable repair cost limit specified in Section 44017. 20

(2) An economic hardship extension shall be issued, upon request of a qualified low-income 21 motor vehicle owner, by an entity authorized to perform referee functions, for a motor vehicle 22 23 that has been properly tested but does not meet the applicable emission standards when it is determined that no adjustment or repair can be made that will reduce emissions from the 24 inspected motor vehicle without exceeding the applicable repair cost limit, as established pursuant 25 to Section 44017.1, that every defect specified in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 26 43204, and in paragraphs (2) and (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 43205, has been corrected, that 27 the low-income vehicle owner would suffer an economic hardship if the extension is not issued, 28

and that all appropriate emissions-related repairs up to the amount of the applicable repair cost
 limit in Section 44017.1 have been performed.

3 (d) No repair cost waiver or economic hardship extension shall be issued under any of the
4 following circumstances:

(1) If a motor vehicle was issued a repair cost waiver or economic hardship extension in the 5 previous biennial inspection of that vehicle. A repair cost waiver or economic hardship extension 6 may be issued to a motor vehicle owner only once for a particular motor vehicle belonging to that 7 owner. However, a repair cost waiver or economic hardship extension may be issued for a motor 8 vehicle that participated in a previous waiver or extension program prior to January 1, 1998, as 9 determined by the department. For waivers or extensions issued in the program operative on or 10 after January 1, 1998, a waiver or extension may be issued for a motor vehicle only once per 11 owner. 12

- (2) Upon initial registration of all of the following:
- 14 (A) A direct import motor vehicle.

13

- 15 (B) A motor vehicle previously registered outside this state.
- 16 (C) A dismantled motor vehicle pursuant to Section 11519 of the Vehicle Code.
- 17 (D) A motor vehicle that has had an engine change.
- 18 (E) An alternate fuel vehicle.
- 19 (F) A specially constructed vehicle.

20 (e) Except as provided in subdivision (f), a certificate of compliance or noncompliance shall
21 be valid for 90 days.

(f) Excluding any vehicle whose transfer of ownership and registration is described in
subdivision (d) of Section 4000.1 of the Vehicle Code, and except as otherwise provided in
Sections 4000.1, 24007, 24007.5, and 24007.6 of the Vehicle Code, a licensed motor vehicle
dealer shall be responsible for having a smog check inspection performed on, and a certificate of
compliance or noncompliance issued for, every motor vehicle offered for retail sale. A certificate
issued to a licensed motor vehicle dealer shall be valid for a two-year period, or until the vehicle
is sold and registered to a retail buyer, whichever occurs first.

(g) A test may be made at any time within 90 days prior to the date otherwise required.

25. Section 44035 of the Health and Safety Code states:

(a) A smog check station's license or a qualified smog check technician's qualification may
be suspended or revoked by the department, after a hearing, for failure to meet or maintain the
standards prescribed for qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct. The department shall
adopt rules and regulations governing the suspension, revocation, and reinstatement of licenses
and qualifications and the conduct of hearings.

8 (b) The department or its representatives, including quality assurance inspectors, shall be
9 provided access to licensed stations for the purpose of examining property, station equipment,
10 repair orders, emissions equipment maintenance records, and any emission inspection terms, as
11 defined by the department.

12

26. Section 44072.2 of the Health and Safety Code states:

The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as
provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the
following:

(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and
Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the
licensed activities.

19

20 (d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.
21

22

27. Section 44072.10 of the Health and Safety Code states:

(a) Notwithstanding Sections 44072 and 44072.4, the director, or the director's designee,
pending a hearing conducted pursuant to subdivision (e), may temporarily suspend any smog
check station or technician's license issued under this chapter, for a period not to exceed 60 days,
if the department determines that the licensee's conduct would endanger the public health, safety,
or welfare before the matter could be heard pursuant to subdivision (e), based upon reasonable
evidence of any of the following:

	35
1	(1) Fraud.
2	(2) Tampering.
3	(3) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure
4	of the department implementing this chapter.
5	(4) A pattern or regular practice of violating this chapter or any regulation, standard, or
6	procedure of the department implementing this chapter.
7	
8	(c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station licensee
9	who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent inspection of vehicles. A
10	fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:
11	(1) Clean piping, as defined by the department.
12	(2) Tampering with a vehicle emission control system or test analyzer system.
13	(3) Tampering with a vehicle in a manner that would cause the vehicle to falsely pass or
14	falsely fail an inspection.
15	(4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure
16	of the department implementing this chapter."
17	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
18	28. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.35 states, in pertinent part:
19	••••
20	(c) A licensed station shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner
21	or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in
22	section 3340.42 of this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices
23	installed and functioning correctly. The following conditions shall apply:
24	(1) Customers shall be changed the same price for certificates as that paid by the licensed
25	station; and
26	(2) Sales tax shall not be assessed on the price of certificates.
27	
28	///
	11

⁽ JR SMOG N TUNE, ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER and JAIME M. GOMEZ) ACCUSATION

1	29. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.41 states, in pertinent part:	
2	****	
3	(c) No person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification	
4	information or emission control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one	
5	being tested. Nor shall any person knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false	
6	information about the vehicle being tested.	
7		
8	30. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42 states:	
9	Smog check inspection methods are prescribed in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by	
10	section 3340.45.	
11	(a) All vehicles subject to a smog check inspection, shall receive one of the following test	
12	methods:	
13	(1) A loaded-mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976-1999 model-year	
14	vehicle, except diesel-powered, registered in the enhanced program areas of the state. The loaded-	
15	mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and oxides of nitrogen	
16	emissions, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section	
17	3340.17 of this article. The loaded-mode test shall use Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) test	
18	equipment, including a chassis dynamometer, certified by the bureau.	
19	On and after March 31, 2010, exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection	
20	shall be measured and compared to the emissions standards shown in the Vehicle Look-up Table	
21	(VLT) Row Specific Emissions Standards (Cutpoints) Table, dated March 2010, which is hereby	
22	incorporated by reference. If the emissions standards for a specific vehicle are not included in this	
23	table then the exhaust emissions shall be compared to the emissions standards set forth in TABLE	
24	I or TABLE II, as applicable. A vehicle passes the loaded-mode test if all of its measured	
25	emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emission standards specified in the applicable	
26	table.	
27	(2) A two-speed idle mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976-1999 model-	
28	year vehicles, except diesel-powered, registered in all program areas of the state, except in those	

12

(JR SMOG N TUNE, ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER and JAIME M. GOMEZ) ACCUSATION

areas of the state where the enhanced program has been implemented. The two-speed idle mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide emissions at high RPM and again at idle RPM, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this article. Exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emission standards set forth in this section and as shown in TABLE III. A vehicle passes the two-speed idle mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emissions standards specified in TABLE III.

8 (3) AN OBD-focused test, shall be the test method used to inspect gasoline-powered
9 vehicles 2000 model-year and newer, and diesel-powered vehicles 1998 model-year and newer.
10 The OBD test failure criteria are specified in section 3340.42.2.

(b) In addition to subsection (a), all vehicles subject to the smog check program shall
receive the following:

(1) A visual inspection of emission control components and systems to verify the vehicle's
emission control systems are properly installed.

(2) A functional inspection of emission control systems as specified in the Smog Check
Manual, referenced by section 3340.45, which may include an OBD test, to verify their proper
operation.

(c) The bureau may require any combination of the inspection methods in sections (a) and(b) under any of the following circumstances:

(1) Vehicles that the department randomly selects pursuant to Health and Safety Code
section 44014.7 as a means of identifying potential operational problems with vehicle OBD
systems.

(2) Vehicles identified by the bureau as being operationally or physically incompatible with
 inspection equipment.

(3) Vehicles with OBD systems that have demonstrated operational problems.

26 (d) Pursuant to section 39032.5 of the Health and Safety Code, gross polluter standards are27 as follows:

28 ///

(1) A gross polluter means a vehicle with excess hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, or oxides
 of nitrogen emissions pursuant to the gross polluter emissions standards included in the tables
 described in subsection (a), as applicable.

(2) Vehicles with emission levels exceeding the emission standards for gross polluters
during an initial inspection will be considered gross polluters and the provisions pertaining to
gross polluting vehicles will apply, including, but not limited to, sections 44014.5, 44015, and
44081 of the Heath and Safety Code.

8 (3) A gross polluting vehicle shall not be passed or issued a certificate of compliance until
9 the vehicle's emissions are reduced to or below the applicable emissions standards for the vehicle
10 included in the tables described in subsection (a), as applicable. However, the provisions
11 described in section 44017 of the Health and Safety Code may apply.

(4) This subsection applies in all program areas statewide to vehicles requiring inspection
pursuant to sections 44005 and 44011 of the Health and Safety Code.

14

31. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3395.4, states:

In reaching a decision on a disciplinary action under the Administrative Procedure Act 15 (Government Code Section 11400 et seq.), including formal hearings conducted by the Office of 16 Administrative Hearing, the Bureau of Automotive Repair shall consider the disciplinary 17 guidelines entitled 'Guidelines for Disciplinary Penalties and Terms of Probation' [May, 1997] 18 which are hereby incorporated by reference. The 'Guidelines for Disciplinary Penalties and 19 20 Terms of Probation' are advisory. Deviation from these guidelines and orders, including the standard terms of probation, is appropriate where the Bureau of Automotive Repair in its sole 21 discretion determines that the facts of the particular case warrant such deviation -for example: the 22 presence of mitigating factors; the age of the case; evidentiary problems. 23

24

COSTS

32. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Bureau may request the
administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being

renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

3

4

5

6

1

2

CLEAN PLUGGING

33. At all times alleged in this Accusation, Respondent Real and Respondent Gomez were acting in the course and within the scope of a technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of JR Smog N Tune.

7 34. The OBD II communication protocol describes the specified communication
8 "language" used by the OBD II system electronic control unit to communicate to scan tools and
9 other devices such as the On-Board Diagnostic Inspection System (OIS). The communication
10 protocol is programmed into the OBD II system electronic control unit during manufacture and
11 does not change.

35. Parameter Identifications are data points reported by the OBD II system electronic
control unit to the scan tool or On-Board Diagnostic Inspection System. Examples of Parameter
Identifications are engine speed (rpm), vehicle speed, engine temperature, and other input and
output values utilized by the OBD II system electronic control unit. The Parameter Identifications
count is the number of data points reported by the OBD II system electronic control unit and is
programmed during manufacture.

36. The Vehicle Identification Number that is physically present on all vehicles is also
required to be programmed into the vehicle's On-Board Diagnostics – Generation II (OBD II) on
2005 and newer vehicles, and on many occasions was programmed into the OBD II system
electronic control unit in earlier model-years. This electronically programmed Vehicle
Identification Number, also known as the "eVIN," is captured by BAR during a Smog Check, and
should match the physical Vehicle Identification Number on the vehicle.

37. During a Bureau representative's review of Respondents' certified test results in the
Vehicle Information Database for inspections purportedly performed on the OIS between June 19,
2015 to August 12, 2015, ten vehicles identified below had information stored in the Vehicle
Identification Database which did not apply to those vehicles. The Vehicle Information Database
///

showed that Respondents inspected these vehicles using the method known as "clean plugging."¹
 This resulted in the issuance of fraudulent certificates of compliance thusly:

3

a. Fraudulent Inspection No. 1

OIS Test Details indicate on June 19, 2015, between 0818 and 0821 hours, a 2005 Honda
Accord EX, VIN 1HGCM56725A181379, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and
Certificate of Compliance #YT159627C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector
Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 1HGCP264X9A003625 was stored in the
Powertrain Control Module (PCM) memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not
match the VIN of the 2005 Honda Accord EX that Respondents certified.

OIS test data shows VIN 1HGCP264X9A003625 belongs to a 2009 Honda Accord LX-P
that was previously certified at JR Smog N Tune on June 17, 2015, between 0818 and 0821 hours
under Smog Check Inspector license EO 637411, issued to Respondent Gomez. Respondent
Gomez certified this 2009 Honda Accord LX-P two days before he illegally certified the 2005
Honda Accord EX.

The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to 15 the 2009 Honda Accord LX-P, but both vehicles had the same communication protocol of 16 17 "ICAN29bt500" and a parameter identification count (PID) of "37." Comparative OIS Test Data for 2005 Honda Accord EX vehicles show this vehicle reports the eVIN that matches the vehicle 18 being tested, the communication protocol of "1914", and a parameter identification count of "21." 19 20 These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2005 Honda Accord EX being certified, causing the issuance of 21 an illegal Certificate of Compliance. 22

- 23
- b. Fraudulent Inspection No. 2

OIS Test Details indicate on June 22, 2015, between 0943 and 0947 hours, a 2010 Honda

25 Accord LX, VIN 1HGCS1B33AA002987, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and

¹ To "clean plug" a vehicle, the technician uses another vehicle's properly functioning On
 Board Diagnostic, generation II, (OBD II) system, or another source, to generate passing
 diagnostic readings for the purpose of issuing fraudulent smog certificates of compliance to
 vehicles that are not in smog compliance or not present during the certified test.

Certificate of Compliance #PS733573C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector
 Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 1HGCP264X9A003625 was stored in the PCM
 memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the 2010 Honda
 Accord LX that Respondents certified.

OIS test data shows VIN 1HGCP264X9A003625 belongs to a 2009 Honda Accord LX-P
that was previously certified at JR Smog N Tune on June 17, 2015, between 0818 and 0821 hours
under the Smog Check Inspector license of Respondent Gomez. Respondent Gomez certified this
2009 Honda Accord LX-P five days before he illegally certified the 2010 Honda Accord LX.

9 The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to
10 the 2009 Honda Accord LX-P, but both vehicles had the same communication protocol of
11 "ICAN29bt5" and a PID count of "37." Comparative OIS Test Data for 2010 Honda Accord LX
12 vehicles show this vehicle reports the eVIN that matches the vehicle being tested, the
13 communication protocol of "ICAN29bt5", and a PID count of "37/20."

These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS DAD was not connected to the
2010 Honda Accord LX being certified, causing the issuance of an illegal Certificate of
Compliance.

17

c. Fraudulent Inspection No. 3

OIS Test Details indicate on July 14, 2015, between 0832 and 0837 hours, a 2009 Honda
Accord EX-L, VIN 1HGCP26879A005738, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and
Certificate of Compliance #PU093494C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector
Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 1HGCP26358A086452 was stored in the PCM
memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the 2009 Honda
Accord EX-L that Respondents certified.

OIS test data shows VIN 1HGCP26358A086452 belongs to a 2008 Honda Accord LX
that was previously certified at JR Smog N Tune on July 9, 2015, between 1109 and 1112 hours
under the Smog Check Inspector license of Respondent Real. Respondent Real certified this 2008
Honda Accord LX five days before Respondent Gomez illegally certified the 2009 Honda Accord
EX-L.

The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to
 the 2008 Honda Accord LX, but both vehicles had the same communication protocol of
 "ICAN29bt5" and a PID count of "37." Comparative OIS Test Data for 2009 Honda Accord EX L vehicles show this vehicle reports the eVIN that matches the vehicle being tested, the
 communication protocol of "ICAN29bt5," and a PID count of "37/19."

These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS DAD was not connected to the
2009 Honda Accord EX-L being certified, causing the issuance of an illegal Certificate of
Compliance.

9

d. Fraudulent Inspection No. 4

OIS Test Details indicate on July 14, 2015, between 0920 and 1004 hours, a 2009 Toyota
 Corolla/S/LE/XLE, VIN 1NXBU40E99Z142963, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and
 Certificate of Compliance #PU093500C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector
 Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 1NXBU4EE8AZ187454 was stored in the
 PCM memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the 2009 Toyota
 Corolla/S/LE/XLE that Respondents certified.

16 OIS test data shows VIN 1NXBU4EE8AZ187454 belongs to a 2010 Toyota

17 Corolla/S/LE/XLE that was previously certified at JR Smog N Tune on July 7, 2015, between

18 1132 and 1135 hours under the Smog Check Inspector license of Respondent Gomez. Respondent

19 Gomez certified this 2010 Toyota Corolla/S/LE/XLE seven days before he illegally certified the
2009 Toyota Corolla/S/LE/XLE.

The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to the 2010 Toyota Corolla/S/LE/XLE, but both vehicles had the same communication protocol of

- 23 "ICAN11bt5" and a PID count of "39." Comparative OIS Test Data for 2009 Toyota
- 24 Corolla/S/LE/XLE vehicles show this vehicle reports the eVIN that matches the vehicle being

25 tested, the communication protocol of "ICAN11bt5," and a PID count of "39."

These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS DAD was not connected to the 27 2009 Toyota Corolla/S/LE/XLE being certified, causing the issuance of an illegal Certificate of 28 Compliance.

e.

Fraudulent Inspection No. 5

OIS Test Details indicate on July 21, 2015, between 0831 and 0834 hours, a 2009 Toyota
Corolla/S/LE/XLE, VIN 1NXBU40E79Z004354, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and
Certificate of Compliance #PU363404C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector
Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 2T1BU40E69C042935 was stored in the PCM
memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the 2009 Toyota
Corolla/S/LE/XLE that Respondents certified.

8 OIS test data shows VIN 2T1BU40E69C042935 belongs to a 2009 Toyota
9 Corolla/S/LE/XLE that was previously certified at JR Smog N Tune on July 20, 2015, between
10 0849 and 0859 hours under the Smog Check Inspector license of Respondent Gomez. Respondent
11 Gomez certified this 2009 Toyota Corolla/S/LE/XLE one day before he illegally certified the
12 other 2009 Toyota Corolla/S/LE/XLE.

The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to
the "first" 2009 Toyota Corolla/S/LE/XLE, but both vehicles had the same communication
protocol of "ICAN11bt5" and a PID count of "39." Comparative OIS Test Data for 2009 Toyota
Corolla/S/LE/XLE vehicles show this vehicle reports the eVIN that matches the vehicle being
tested, the communication protocol of "ICAN11bt5," and a PID count of "39."

These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS DAD was not connected to
the "second" 2009 Toyota Corolla/S/LE/XLE being certified, causing the issuance of an illegal
Certificate of Compliance.

21

f.

Fraudulent Inspection No. 6

OIS Test Details indicate on July 27, 2015, between 1131 and 1138 hours, a 2010 Nissan Sentra/S/SR/SL, VIN 3N1AB6AP3AL686698, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and Certificate of Compliance #YT718403C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 3FAHP0GA5AR192455 was stored in the PCM memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the 2010 Nissan Sentra/S/SR/SL that Respondents certified.

OIS test data shows VIN 3FAHP0GA5AR192455 belongs to a 2010 Ford Fusion S that
 was previously certified at JR Smog N Tune on July 27, 2015, between 1140 and 1144 hours
 under the Smog Check Inspector license of Respondent Gomez. Respondent Gomez certified this
 2010 Ford Fusion S immediately after he illegally certified the 2010 Nissan Sentra/S/SR/SL.

The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to
the 2010 Ford Fusion S, but both vehicles had the same permanent Diagnostic Trouble Code
(DTC) P0442, the same communication protocol of "ICAN11bt5" and a PID count of "39."
Comparative OIS Test Data for 2010 Nissan Sentra/S/SR/SL vehicles show this vehicle reports
the eVIN that matches the vehicle being tested, the communication protocol of "ICAN11bt5," and
a PID count of "39."

These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS DAD was not connected to
the 2010 Nissan Sentra/S/SR/SL being certified, causing the issuance of an illegal Certificate of
Compliance.

14

g. Fraudulent Inspection No. 7

OIS Test Details indicate on July 31, 2015, between 0844 and 0848 hours, a 2009 Honda
Civic EX, VIN 2HGFA168X9H348487, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and
Certificate of Compliance #PU436883C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector
Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 2HGFA16379H329948 was stored in the PCM
memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the 2009 Honda Civic
EX that Respondents certified.

OIS test data shows VIN 2HGFA16379H329948 belongs to a 2009 Honda Civic DX VP
that was previously certified at JR Smog N Tune on July 28, 2015, between 1126 and 1129 hours
under the Smog Check Inspector license of Respondent Gomez. Respondent Gomez certified this
2009 Honda Civic DX VP three days before he illegally certified the 2009 Honda Civic EX.

The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to
the Honda Civic DX VP, but both vehicles had the same communication protocol of

- 27 || "ICAN29bt5" and a PID count of "39." Comparative OIS Test Data for Honda Civic EX vehicles
- 28

(JR SMOG N TUNE, ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER and JAIME M. GOMEZ) ACCUSATION

show this vehicle reports the eVIN that matches the vehicle being tested, the communication 1 protocol of "ICAN11bt5," and a PID count of "39/19." 2

These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS DAD was not connected to the 3 Honda Civic EX being certified, causing the issuance of an illegal Certificate of Compliance. 4

5

h. Fraudulent Inspection No. 8

OIS Test Details indicate on August 7, 2015, between 0938 and 0944 hours, a 2009 6 Honda Civic LX, VIN 2HGFG12639H542149, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and 7 Certificate of Compliance #PU654991C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector 8 Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 2HGFA1F53AH524287 was stored in the 9 10 PCM memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the Honda Civic 11 LX that Respondents certified.

OIS test data shows VIN 2HGFA1F53AH524287 belongs to a 2010 Honda Civic LX that 12 was previously certified at a different smog station in Calexico on August 7, 2015, between 0914 13 and 0918 hours under the Smog Check Inspector license of a different technician. This technician 14 15 certified the 2010 Honda Civic LX twenty-six minutes before Respondent Gomez illegally certified the 2009 Honda Civic LX. 16

17 The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to the 2010 Honda Civic LX, but both vehicles had the same communication protocol of 18 "ICAN29bt5" and a PID count of "39." Comparative OIS Test Data for 2009 Honda Civic LX 19 vehicles show this vehicle reports the eVIN that matches the vehicle being tested, the 20

communication protocol of "ICAN29bt5," and a PID count of "39/19." 21

These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS DAD was not connected to the 22 2009 Honda Civic LX being certified, causing the issuance of an illegal Certificate of 23 Compliance. 24

25

i.

Fraudulent Inspection No. 9

OIS Test Details indicate on August 11, 2015, between 0836 and 0839 hours, a 2009 26 Honda Civic LX, VIN 2HGFA165X9H513640, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and 27 Certificate of Compliance #PU729785C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector 28

21

(JR SMOG N TUNE, ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER and JAIME M. GOMEZ) ACCUSATION

Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 2HGFA1F53AH524287 was stored in the
 PCM memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the 2009 Honda
 Civic LX that Respondents certified.

OIS test data shows VIN 2HGFA1F53AH524287 belongs to a 2010 Honda Civic LX that
was previously certified at a different smog station in Calexico on August 7, 2015, between 0914
and 0918 hours under the Smog Check Inspector license of a different technician. This technician
certified the 2010 Honda Civic LX four days before Respondent Gomez illegally certified the
2009 Honda Civic LX.

9 The test detail of both vehicles not only shows they share the same eVIN that belongs to
10 the 2010 Honda Civic LX, but both vehicles had the same communication protocol of
11 "ICAN29bt5" and a PID count of "39." Comparative OIS Test Data for 2009 Honda Civic LX
12 vehicles show this vehicle reports the eVIN that matches the vehicle being tested, the
13 communication protocol of "ICAN29bt5," and a PID count of "39/19."

These discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS DAD was not connected to the
2009 Honda Civic LX being certified, causing the issuance of an illegal Certificate of
Compliance.

17

j. Fraudulent Inspection No. 10

OIS Test Details indicate on August 12, 2015, between 0748 and 0803 hours, a 2010
Honda Civic EX, VIN 2HGFG1B81AH521743, no license plate, passed an OIS inspection and
Certificate of Compliance #PU833763C was issued under the license of Smog Check Inspector
Respondent Gomez. The Test Detail shows eVIN 2HGFA1F53AH524287 was stored in the
PCM memory during this OIS inspection. This eVIN does not match the VIN of the 2010 Honda
Civic EX that Respondents certified.

OIS test data shows VIN 2HGFA1F53AH524287 belongs to a 2010 Honda Civic LX that
was previously certified at different smog station in Calexico on August 7, 2015, between 0914
and 0918 hours under the Smog Check Inspector license of a different technician. This technician
certified the 2010 Honda Civic LX five days before Respondent Gomez illegally certified the
2009 Honda Civic LX.

1		The tes	st det	ail of	both vehi	icles not	only shows th	ney share the s	ame eV	'IN that	belong	s to
2	the 201	0 Hond	la Ci	vic LX	K, but bot	h vehicl	es had the sar	ne communica	tion pro	otocol o	f	
3	"ICAN	29bt5"	and a	a PID	count of	"39." C	omparative O	IS Test Data f	òr 2010	Honda	Civic I	EX
4	vehicle	es show	this	vehicl	e reports	the eVI	N that matche	s the vehicle b	eing tes	sted, the	•	
5	comm	unicatio	n pro	otocol	of "ICAN	v29bt5,"	and a PID co	ount of "39/19.	"			
6	1	These di	iscrep	oancie	s in the C	DIS Test	Data prove th	nat the OIS DA	D was	not con	nected	to the
7	2010 H	Ionda C	livic	EX be	ing certif	fied, cau	sing the issua	nce of an illeg	al Certi	ficate o	f	
8	Compl	iance.										
9	3	38. Tł	ne fol	lowin	g table sı	ımmariz	es Responden	its' clean plug	ging:			
0							TABLE 1					
1					s.						ç	
2	# Date	Inspector	Veh Year	Make	Model	Certificate	Inspection VIN	eVIN transmitted to VID	Received Protocol	Expected Protocol	Received PID	Expecte PID
3	6/19/2015	EO637411	2005	Honda	Accord EX	YT159627C	1HGCM56725A181379	1HGCP264X9A003625	ICAN29bt5	1914	37	21
4	1	154046283 12080							新教育			
5	6/22/2015 2	EO637411	2010	Honda	Accord LX	PS733573C	1HGCS1B33AA002987	1HGCP264X9A003625	ICAN29bt5	ICAN29bt5	37	37/20
6	7/14/2015 3	EO637411	2009	Honda	Accord EX-L	PU093494C	1HGCP26879A005738	1HGCP26358A086452	ICAN29bt5	ICAN29bt5	37	37/1
7	7/14/2015	EO637411	2009	Toyota	Corolla/S/LE/X LE	PU093500C	1NXBU40E99Z142963	1NXBU4EE8AZ187454	ICAN11bt5	CAN11bt5	39	39
8	7/21/2015	EO637411	2009	Toyota	Corolla/S/LE/X LE	PU363404C	1NXBU40E79Z004354	2T1BU40E69C042935	ICAN11bt5	ICAN11bt5	39	39
9	1000	EO637411	2010	Nissan	Sentra/S/SR/	YT718403C	3N1AB6AP3AL686698	3FAHP0GA5AR192455	ICAN11bt5	ICAN11bt5	39	39
0	6 7/31/2015	EO637411	2009	Honda	Civic EX	PU436883C	2HGFA168X9H348487	2HGFA16379H329948	ICAN29bt5	ICAN29bt5	39	39/15
1	7	E0637411	2009	Honda	Civic I X	DUSEA001C	24050126204542140	20054155240524297	CAN20545	ICAN29bt5	20	20/40
2	8		2005	nonua	Civic LX	F0034331C	2HGFG12639H542149	20054153340524207	ICAN29bt5	ICAN290to	39	39/19
3	8/11/2015 9	EO637411	2009	Honda	Civic LX	PU729785C	2HGFA165X9H513640	2HGFA1F53AH524287	ICAN29bt5	ICAN29bt5	39	39/19
4	8/12/2015 10	EO637411	2010	Honda	Civic EX	PU833763C	2HGFG1B81AH521743	2HGFA1F53AH524287	ICAN29bt5	ICAN29bt5	39	39/19
5			L	1	×		1		1		Contraction of the	
6	///											
7	///											
8												

I		
-		
1	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Untrue or Misleading Statement)	
3	39. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above	
4	in the foregoing paragraphs.	
5	40. JR Smog N Tune's Registration is subject to disciplinary action under section 9884.7,	
6	subdivision (a)(1), in that JR Smog N Tune made or authorized statements which JR Smog N	
7	Tune knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading.	
8	41. JR Smog N Tune certified that the vehicle(s) in Table 1 above had passed inspection	
9	and were in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, when in fact and in truth JR	
10	Smog N Tune inspected the vehicle(s) using the clean plugging method to issue certificates of	
11	compliance.	
12	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
13	(Violations of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)	
14	42. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above	
15	in the foregoing paragraphs.	
16	43. JR Smog N Tune's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action	
17	under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c) and 44072.2,	
18	subdivision (a), in that JR Smog N Tune failed to comply with the following sections of that	
19	Code:	
20	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : failed to perform the tests of the emission control systems and devices	
21	on the vehicle(s) in Table 1 in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department.	
22	b. <u>Section 44015</u> : issued a certificate of compliance for the vehicle(s) in Table 1 without	
23	properly testing and inspecting them to determine if they were in compliance with Health &	
24	Safety Code section 44012.	
25	c. <u>Section 44035</u> : failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for qualification,	
26	equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform a smog inspection on the	
27	vehicle(s) in Table 1 or certifying that such test(s) had been performed, when in fact they were	
28	never performed.	

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	44. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above
4	in the foregoing paragraphs.
5	45. JR Smog N Tune's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action
6	under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c) and 44072.2,
7	subdivision (a), in that JR Smog N Tune failed to comply with the following sections of Title 16,
8	California Code of Regulations:
9	a. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u> : failed to inspect and test the vehicle(s) in Table 1
10	in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to
11	ensure that the vehicle(s) had all the required emission control equipment and devices installed
12	and functioning correctly.
13	b. Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): knowingly entered into the Emissions Inspection
14	System false information about the vehicle(s) in Table 1, providing result(s) for smog
15	inspection(s) which were not actually performed.
16	c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle(s) in Table
17	1 in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
18	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)
20	46. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above
21	in the foregoing paragraphs.
22	47. JR Smog N Tune's Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section
23	9884.7, subdivision (a)(4) and JR Smog N Tune Smog Check's Station License is subject to
24	disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (a) and (c) and
25	44072.2, subdivision (d), in that JR Smog N Tune committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful
26	acts whereby another is injured by issuing a smog certificate of compliance for the vehicle(s) in
27	Table 1 without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on
28	

the vehicle(s), thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded 1 by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 2 FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 3 (Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 4 48. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above 5 in the foregoing paragraphs. 6 7 49. Respondent Real's Smog Check Inspector License, and Respondent Gomez's Smog Check Inspector License are subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 8 9 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c) and 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondents failed to comply with the following sections of that Code: 10 Section 44012: failed to perform the tests of the emission control systems and devices a. 11 on the vehicle(s) in Table 1 in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department. 12 Section 44015: issued a certificate of compliance for the vehicle(s) in Table 1 without b. 13 properly testing and inspecting them to determine if they were in compliance with Health & 14 Safety Code section 44012. 15 Section 44035: failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for qualification, C. 16 equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform a smog inspection on the 17 vehicle(s) in Table 1 or certifying that such test(s) had been performed, when in fact they were 18 never performed. 19 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 20 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 21 22 50. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above in the foregoing paragraphs. 23 51. Respondent Real's Smog Check Inspector License, and Respondent Gomez's Smog 24 Check Inspector License are subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 25 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c) and 44072.2, subdivision (a) in that Respondents failed to 26 comply with the following sections of Title 16, California Code of Regulations: 27 28

(JR SMOG N TUNE, ARMANDO G. REAL, OWNER and JAIME M. GOMEZ) ACCUSATION

1	a. <u>s</u>	Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): failed to inspect and test the vehicle(s) in Table 1
2	in accordanc	e with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to
3	ensure that th	he vehicle(s) had all the required emission control equipment and devices installed
4	and function	ing correctly.
5	b. <u>\$</u>	Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): knowingly entered into the Emissions Inspection
6	System false	information about the vehicle(s) in Table 1, providing result(s) for smog
7	inspection(s)) which were not actually performed.
8	c. <u>s</u>	Section 3340.42: failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle(s) in Table
9	1 in accorda	nce with the Bureau's specifications.
10		SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
11		(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)
12	52. (Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above
13	in the forego	oing paragraphs.
14	53. I	Respondent Real's Smog Check Inspector License, and Respondent Gomez's Smog
15	Check Inspe	ctor License are subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections
16	44072.10, su	ubdivision (a) and (c) and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondents committed
17	dishonest, fra	audulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing a smog certificate of
18	compliance f	for the vehicle(s) in Table 1 without performing bona fide inspections of the emission
19	control devic	ces and systems on the vehicle(s), thereby depriving the People of the State of
20	California of	f the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
21		OTHER MATTERS
22	54. U	Under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may suspend, revoke or
23	place on prol	bation the registration for all places of business operated in this State by Armando G.
24	Real, upon a	finding that Armando G. Real, Owner, doing business as JR Smog N Tune has, or is,
25	engaged in a	course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an
26	automotive r	epair dealer.
27	///	
28	///	
		27

1	55. Under Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Armando G. Real, Owner, doing
2	business as JR Smog N Tune's Smog Check Station License is revoked or suspended, the
3	Director may likewise revoke or suspend any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5
4	of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Armando G. Real.
5	56. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Armando G. Real's licenses are
6	revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program
7	in the name of Armando G. Real may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.
8	57. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Jaime M. Gomez's license is
9	revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program
10	in the name of Jaime M. Gomez may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.
11	PRAYER
12	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
13	and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:
14	1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Number ARD 227126, issued to
15	JR Smog N Tune, Armando G. Real, Owner;
16	2. Revoking or suspending any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to
17	Armando G. Real;
18	3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Station License Number RC 227126, issued to
19	JR Smog N Tune, Armando G. Real, Owner;
20	4. Revoking or suspending Lamp Station License Number LS 227126, issued to JR
21	Smog N Tune, Armando G. Real, Owner;
22	5. Revoking or suspending Brake Station License Number BS 227126, issued to JR
23	Smog N Tune, Armando G. Real, Owner;
24	6. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 146027, issued
25	to Armando G. Real;
26	7. Revoking or suspending Brake Adjuster License Number BA 146027, issued to
27	Armando G. Real;
28	
	28

-

1	
1	8. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of
2	Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Armando G. Real;
3	9. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector Number EO 637411, issued to Jaime
4	M. Gomez;
5	10. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of
6	Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Jaime M. Gomez;
7	11. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of
8	Chapter 20.3 of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code in the name of Armando G. Real
9	or Jaime M. Gomez;
10	12. Ordering Armando G. Real and Jaime M. Gomez to pay the Bureau of Automotive
11	Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to
12	Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
13	13. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
14	
15	
16	DATED: October 11, 2077 Patrick DORAIS
17	Chief Bureau of Automotive Repair
18	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
19	Complainant
20	80001/700004
21	SD2016700204 81351631.doc
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	29