BEFORE THE
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: **JAMIL J. MOAMAR, OWNER, DOING BUSINESS AS JIMMY'S TEST ONLY,** **4220 Glen Street, Unit B** **Riverside, CA 92509** **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 271065** **Smog Check-Test Only Station License No. TC 271065,** **JAMIL J. MOAMAR** **4220 Glen Street #B** **Riverside, CA 92509** **Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 633901** **Smog Check Repair Technician License No. EI 633901 (formerly Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 633901),** **and** **VICTOR HERNANDEZ** **3461 Anderson Avenue, #6** **Riverside, CA 92507** **Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 636318** **Respondents.**	Case No. 79/15-47 OAH No. 2014100785 **DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER RE: VICTOR HERNANDEZ ONLY** [Gov. Code, §11520]

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On or about September 26, 2014, Complainant Patrick Dorais, in his official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 79/15-47 against Victor Hernandez (Respondent) before the Director of Consumer Affairs. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)

2. On December 6, 2013, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 636318 to Respondent. The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2015, unless renewed.

3. On or about October 8, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 79/15-47, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136 and title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 3303.3,, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and is:

3461 Anderson Avenue, #6
Riverside, CA 92507.

4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.

5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

> (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 79/15-47.

7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

> (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director after having reviewed the proof of service dated October 8, 2014, finds Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on Accusation, No. 79/15-47, proof of service and on the Affidavit of Bureau Representative Steve Koch, finds that the allegations in Accusation are true.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Victor Hernandez has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 636318 to discipline.

2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

3. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Smog Check Inspector License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the affidavit of Bureau Representative Steve Koch in this case:

a. Respondent Hernandez has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 636318, heretofore issued to Respondent Victor Hernandez, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The motion should be sent to the Bureau of Automotive Repair, ATTN: William D. Thomas, 10949 North Mather Blvd., Rancho

Cordova, CA 95670. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on March 10, 2015.

It is so ORDERED January 7, 2015

TAMARA COLSON
Assistant General Counsel
Department of Consumer Affairs

70973979.DOC
DOJ Matter ID:SD2014707617

Attachment:
Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
LINDA K. SCHNEIDER
Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General
JAMES M. LEDAKIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 132645
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2105
Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: **JIMMY'S TEST ONLY,** **JAMIL J. MOAMAR, OWNER** 4220 Glen Street, Unit B Riverside, CA 92509 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 271065 Smog Check-Test Only Station License No. TC 271065, **JAMIL J. MOAMAR** 9471 53rd Street Riverside, CA 92509 Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 633901 Smog Check Repair Technician License No. EI 633901 (formerly Advanced Emission Specialist Technician Lecense No. EA 633901), and **VICTOR HERNANDEZ** 3461 Anderson Avenue, #6 Riverside, CA 92507 Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 636318 Respondents.	Case No. 79/15-47 **ACCUSATION** Smog check

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

Respondent Jamil J. Moamar, Owner of Jimmy's Test Only

2. On December 7, 2012, the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau) issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 271065 to Jamil J. Moamar (Respondent Owner), Owner of Jimmy's Test Only. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2014, unless renewed.

3. On December 14, 2012, the Bureau issued Smog Check-Test Only Station License Number TC 271065 to Respondent Owner. The Smog Check-Test Only Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2014, unless renewed.

Respondent Jamil J. Moamar, Smog Check Inspector/ Repair Technician

4. On December 21, 2011, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License Number EA 633901 to Jamil J. Moamar (Respondent Moamar). Respondent Moamar's advanced emission specialist technician license was due to expire on March 31, 2014, however, was cancelled on February 6, 2014. Under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e), the license was renewed, in accordance with Respondent Moamar's election, as Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 633901 and Smog Check Repair Technician License Number EI 633901, effective February 6, 2014. Respondent Moamar's smog check inspector license and smog check repair technician license were in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2016, unless renewed.[1]

---

[1] Effective August 1, 2012, California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3340.28, 3340.29, and 3340.30 were amended to implement a license restructure from the Advanced Emission Specialist Technician (EA) license and Basic Area (EB) Technician license to Smog (continued...)

Respondent Victor Hernandez, Smog Check Inspector

5. On December 6, 2013, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 636318 to Victor Hernandez (Respondent Hernandez). The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2015, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

6. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

7. Section 22, subdivision (a), states:

> "Board" as used in any provision of this Code, refers to the board in which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."

8. Section 118, subdivision (b) states:

> The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

9. Section 477, subdivision (b), states, in pertinent part, that a "license" includes "registration" and "certificate."

10. Section 9884.7 provides that the Director of the Department Consumer Affairs (Director) may revoke an automotive repair dealer registration.

11. Section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding

---

(...continued)
Check Inspector (EO) license and/or Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) license.

against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently invalidating, suspending, or revoking a registration.

12. Section 9889.1 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director may suspend or revoke any license issued under Articles 5 and 6 (commencing with section 9887.1) of the Automotive Repair Act.

13. Section 9889.7 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the Director or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with any disciplinary proceedings.

14. Health and Safety Code (H & S Code) section 44002 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

15. H & S Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

16. H & S Code section 44072.8 states:

> When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

17. Section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:

> (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
>
> (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
>
> . . . .

(4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.

. . . .

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

. . . .

18. Section 9884.9 states, in pertinent part:

(a) The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission from the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed by an automotive repair dealer if an authorization or consent for an increase in the original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission. If that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work order of the date, time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs and telephone number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional parts and labor and the total additional cost.

19. H & S Code section 44012 states:

The test at the smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department and may require loaded mode dynamometer testing in enhanced areas, two-speed idle testing, testing utilizing a vehicle's onboard diagnostic system, or other appropriate test procedures as determined by the department in consultation with the state board. The department shall implement testing using onboard diagnostic systems, in lieu of loaded mode dynamometer or two-speed idle testing, on model year 2000 and newer vehicles only, beginning no earlier than January 1, 2013. However, the department, in consultation with the state board, may prescribe alternative test procedures that include loaded mode dynamometer or two-speed idle testing for vehicles with onboard diagnostic systems that the department and the state board determine exhibit operational problems. The department shall ensure, as appropriate to the test method, the following:

(a) Emission control systems required by state and federal law are reducing excess emissions in accordance with the standards adopted pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c) of Section 44013.

///

(b) If a vehicle meets the requirements of Section 44012, a smog check station licensed to issue certificates shall issue a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.

. . . .

(f) A visual or functional check is made of emission control devices specified by the department, including the catalytic converter in those instances in which the department determines it to be necessary to meet the findings of Section 44001. The visual or functional check shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

. . . .

20. H & S Code section 44015 states in pertinent part:

. . . .

(b) If a vehicle meets the requirements of Section 44012, a smog check station licensed to issue certificates shall issue a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.

. . . .

21. H & S Code section 44032 states:

No person shall perform, for compensation, tests or repairs of emission control devices or systems of motor vehicles required by this chapter unless the person performing the test or repair is a qualified smog check technician and the test or repair is performed at a licensed smog check station. Qualified technicians shall perform tests of emission control devices and systems in accordance with Section 44012.

22. H & S Code section 44059 states:

The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material matter in any oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or application form which is required by this chapter or Chapter 20.3 (commencing with Section 9880) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code, constitutes perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code.

23. H & S Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:

The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the following:

(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (H & S Code § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.

. . . .

(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.

. . . .

24. H & S Code section 44072.8 states, in pertinent part:

When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

25. California Code of Regulations, title 16 (CCR), section 3340.28, subdivision (e), states that "[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission Specialist Technician license issued prior to the effective date of this regulation, the licensee may apply to renew as a Smog Check Inspector, Smog Check Repair Technician, or both."

26. CCR, section 3340.30 states in pertinent part:

A licensed smog check inspector and/or repair technician shall comply with the following requirements at all times while licensed:

(a) Inspect, test and repair vehicles, as applicable, in accordance with section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code, section 44035 of the Health and Safety Code, and section 3340.42 of this article.

. . . .

27. CCR, section 3340.35 states in pertinent part:

. . . .

(c) A licensed station shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly. The following conditions shall apply:

(1) Customers shall be charged the same price for certificates as that paid by the licensed station; and

(2) Sales tax shall not be assessed on the price of certificates.

. . . .

28. CCR, section 3340.41 states:

. . . .

(c) No person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one being tested. Nor shall any person knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false information about the vehicle being tested.

. . . .

29. CCR, section 3340.42 states:

Smog check inspection methods are prescribed in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by section 3340.45.

(a) All vehicles subject to a smog check inspection, shall receive one of the following test methods:

(1) A loaded-mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976 - 1999 model-year vehicle, except diesel-powered, registered in the enhanced program areas of the state. The loaded-mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and oxides of nitrogen emissions, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this article. The loaded-mode test shall use Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) test equipment, including a chassis dynamometer, certified by the bureau.

On and after March 31, 2010, exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emissions standards shown in the Vehicle Look-up Table (VLT) Row Specific Emissions Standards (Cutpoints) Table, dated March 2010, which is hereby incorporated by reference. If the emissions standards for a specific vehicle are not included in this table then the exhaust emissions shall be compared to the emissions standards set forth in TABLE I or TABLE II, as applicable. A vehicle passes the loaded-mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emission standards specified in the applicable table.

(2) A two-speed idle mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976 - 1999 model-year vehicles, except diesel-powered, registered in all program areas of the state, except in those areas of the state where the enhanced program has been implemented. The two-speed idle mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide emissions at high RPM and again at idle RPM, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this article. Exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emission standards set forth in this section and as shown in TABLE III. A vehicle passes the two-speed idle mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emissions standards specified in Table III.

///

(3) An OBD-focused test, shall be the test method used to inspect gasoline-powered vehicles 2000 model-year and newer, and diesel-powered vehicles 1998 model-year and newer. The OBD test failure criteria are specified in section 3340.42.2.

(b) In addition to subsection (a), all vehicles subject to the smog check program shall receive the following:

(1) A visual inspection of emission control components and systems to verify the vehicle's emission control systems are properly installed.

(2) A functional inspection of emission control systems as specified in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by section 3340.45, which may include an OBD test, to verify their proper operation.

(c) The bureau may require any combination of the inspection methods in sections (a) and (b) under any of the following circumstances:

(1) Vehicles that the department randomly selects pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44014.7 as a means of identifying potential operational problems with vehicle OBD systems.

(2) Vehicles identified by the bureau as being operationally or physically incompatible with inspection equipment.

(3) Vehicles with OBD systems that have demonstrated operational problems.

(d) Pursuant to section 39032.5 of the Health and Safety Code, gross polluter standards are as follows:

(1) A gross polluter means a vehicle with excess hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, or oxides of nitrogen emissions pursuant to the gross polluter emissions standards included in the tables described in subsection (a), as applicable.

(2) Vehicles with emission levels exceeding the emission standards for gross polluters during an initial inspection will be considered gross polluters and the provisions pertaining to gross polluting vehicles will apply, including, but not limited to, sections 44014.5, 44015, and 44081 of the Health and Safety Code.

(3) A gross polluting vehicle shall not be passed or issued a certificate of compliance until the vehicle's emissions are reduced to or below the applicable emissions standards for the vehicle included in the tables described in subsection (a), as applicable. However, the provisions described in section 44017 of the Health and Safety Code may apply.

(4) This subsection applies in all program areas statewide to vehicles requiring inspection pursuant to sections 44005 and 44011 of the Health and Safety Code.

///

///

///

COST RECOVERY

30. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Bureau may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION: 1995 Chevrolet

31. On November 6, 2013, the Bureau conducted an undercover operation at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station, Jimmy's Test Only. The Bureau's vehicle, a 1995 Chevrolet, was modified to fail a proper smog inspection due to a disabled exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) system and modified oxygen sensor circuit. Tamper indicators were placed to detect corrections of the EGR defect and the oxygen sensor circuit.

32. Weeks before, on October 18, 2013, a Bureau undercover operator took the vehicle to a smog check-test only station. The operator requested a smog check inspection. A station staff told the operator that the valves that make the vehicle pass were not working and to come back another day. On October 25, 2013, the operator once more took the vehicle to the smog check-test only station for a smog test. The station staff told the operator that the person who took the vehicles to another shop was out of town and to come back another day. On November 5, 2013, the operator took the vehicle to the smog check-test only station for a smog test. The station staff told the operator that there were more issues with the vehicle and to come back the following day.

33. On November 6, 2013, the operator took the vehicle to the smog check-test only station. The station staff and Respondent Hernandez were waiting for the operator. The operator did not sign or receive a written estimate. The operator waited at the station while Respondent Hernandez drove the vehicle to another smog check-test only station. Respondent Hernandez returned and provided the operator a copy of the Vehicle Inspection Report (VIR), bearing Certificate of Compliance Number [redacted] and Respondent Moamar's name as the smog

technician who had performed the smog test at Jimmy's Test Only, smog check-test only station owned by Respondent Owner. The operator paid the station staff $230.00 but was never given an invoice. The operator asked if it was okay to bring in additional vehicles that needed to pass. The station staff told the operator that it was okay with a prior telephone call.

34. On November 8, 2013, a Bureau personnel re-inspected the vehicle after the smog test at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station. The condition of the vehicle as modified before testing at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station had not changed; the tamper indicators were still intact and the EGR system defect and oxygen sensor circuit modification were not corrected. A smog inspection was performed and the 1995 Chevrolet failed the inspection as a gross polluter for excessive oxides of nitrogen tailpipe emissions.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misleading Statements)

35. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on November 6, 2013, he made statements which he knew or which by exercise of reasonable care he should have known were untrue or misleading when he issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for the 1995 Chevrolet, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations when, in fact, the vehicle had a disabled EGR system and modified oxygen sensor circuit.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

36. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts constituting fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. [redacted] for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide a Written Estimate)

37. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that on November 6, 2013, he failed to comply with Code section

9884.9, subdivision (a), by failing to provide the operator with a written estimated price for the smog inspection.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

38. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on November 6, 2013, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet, he violated the following sections of that Code:

a. **Section 44012, subdivision (f)**: Respondent Owner failed to perform emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b)**: Respondent Owner issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with section 44012 of that Code.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

39. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on November 6, 2013, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet, he violated CCR section 3340.35, subdivision (c) when he issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] even though the vehicle had not been inspected in accordance with H & S Code section 3340.42.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

40. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on November 6, 2013, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet, he violated the following sections of that Code:

a. **Section 44032**: Respondent Moamar failed to perform tests of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle in accordance with H & S Code section 44012, in that the vehicle had a disabled EGR system and modified oxygen sensor circuit.

b. **Section 44059**: Respondent Moamar willfully made false entries for electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

41. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on November 6, 2013, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. [redacted] for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

42. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on November 6, 2013, regarding the 1995 Chevrolet, he violated the following sections of the CCR:

a. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)**: Respondent Moamar failed to inspect and test that vehicle in accordance with H & S Code section 44012.

b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent permitted false information to be entered into the Emission Inspection System (EIS) in that vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data was entered for vehicles other than the ones being tested.

c. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Moamar failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on that vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

43. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on November 6, 2013, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing

electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for the 1995 Chevrolet without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

44. Respondent Hernandez has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on November 6, 2013, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by assisting in the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. [redacted] for the 1995 Chevrolet without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION: 1994 Honda

45. On December 6, 2013, the Bureau conducted a second undercover operation at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station, Jimmy's Test Only. The Bureau's vehicle, a 1994 Honda, was modified to fail a proper smog inspection due to the replacement of the existing exhaust catalytic converter with a marked and matching but inoperative exhaust catalytic converter, with its internal substrate removed.

46. A Bureau undercover operator took the vehicle to a smog check-test only station. The operator requested a smog check inspection. A station staff told the operator that the testing would cost $200.00 and that the vehicle would be tested in another shop. Respondent Hernandez arrived and drove the vehicle to Jimmy's Test Only, smog check-test only station owned by Respondent Owner. Respondent Hernandez returned and told the operator to pay him $220.00. The operator paid Respondent Hernandez $220.00 but was never given an invoice. Respondent Hernandez then provided the operator a copy of the VIR, bearing Certificate of Compliance Number PA946032 and Respondent Moamar's name as the smog technician who had performed the smog test at Jimmy's Test Only.

47. Another operator conducted a visual surveillance at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station, Jimmy's Test Only, as soon as Respondent Hernandez took custody of the 1994 Honda from the first operator. The second operator saw a vehicle identical to the

Bureau's 1994 Honda being driven into Jimmy's Test Only testing bay. The second operator also observed a vehicle, with Jimmy's Test Only advertising on its side, move to the testing bay area. When the second vehicle moved away from the testing bay and parked, the second operator, using the Bureau database, determined that the Bureau's 1994 Honda had been certified at Jimmys Test only. The vehicle identical to the Bureau's 1994 Honda was then driven out of Jimmy's Test Only.

48. On December 10, 2013, a Bureau personnel re-inspected the vehicle after the smog test at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station. The condition of the vehicle as modified before testing at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station had not changed; the marked inoperative exhaust catalytic converter was still installed. A smog inspection was performed and the 1994 Honda failed the inspection as a gross polluter for excessive oxides of nitrogen and maximum levels of exhaust emissions for hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misleading Statements)

49. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on December 6, 2013, he made statements which he knew or which by exercise of reasonable care he should have known were untrue or misleading when he issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for the 1994 Honda, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations when, in fact, the vehicle had the existing exhaust catalytic converter replaced with a matching but inoperative exhaust catalytic converter, with its internal substrate removed.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

50. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts constituting fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle.

///

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide a Written Estimate)

51. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that on December 6, 2013, he failed to comply with Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), by failing to provide the operator with a written estimated price for the smog inspection.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

52. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on December 6, 2013, regarding the 1994 Honda, he violated the following sections of that Code:

a. **Section 44012, subdivision (f)**: Respondent Owner failed to perform emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b)**: Respondent Owner issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with section 44012 of that Code.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

53. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on December 6, 2013, regarding the 1994 Honda, he violated the following sections of the CCR:

a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Owner issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] even though the vehicle had not been inspected in accordance with H & S Code section 3340.42.

b. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Owner failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

///

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

54. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on December 6, 2013, regarding the 1994 Honda, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. [redacted] for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

55. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on December 6, 2013, regarding the 1994 Honda, he violated the following sections of that Code:

a. **Section 44032**: Respondent Moamar failed to perform tests of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle in accordance with H & S Code section 44012, in that the vehicle had the existing exhaust catalytic converter replaced with a matching but inoperative exhaust catalytic converter, with its internal substrate removed.

b. **Section 44059**: Respondent Moamar willfully made false entries for electronic Certificate of Compliance No. [redacted] by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

56. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on December 6, 2013, regarding the 1994 Honda, he violated the following sections of the CCR:

a. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)**: Respondent Moamar failed to inspect and test that vehicle in accordance with H & S Code section 44012.

b. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Moamar failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on that vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

57. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on December 6, 2013, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. [redacted] for the 1994 Honda without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle.

TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

58. Respondent Hernandez has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on December 6, 2013, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by assisting in the issuance of Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for the 1994 Honda without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION: 2002 Honda

59. On March 20, 2014, the Bureau conducted an undercover operation at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station, Jimmy's Test Only. The Bureau's vehicle, a 2002 Honda, was modified to fail a proper smog inspection due to the replacement of the existing exhaust catalytic converter with a marked and matching but inoperative exhaust catalytic converter, with its internal substrate removed.

60. A Bureau undercover operator took the vehicle to a smog check-test only station. The operator requested a smog check inspection. A station staff told the operator that the vehicle would be tested in another shop. Respondent Hernandez arrived and asked the operator for half of the cost of testing. The operator gave Respondent Hernandez the keys, DMV document, and $100.00. Respondent Hernandez then drove the vehicle to another station, which could not perform the test. Respondent Hernandez returned to inform the operator that the 2002 Honda may be taken to another facility. The operator agreed to wait and Respondent Hernandez drove the vehicle to Jimmy's Test Only, smog check-test only station owned by Respondent Owner.

61. While the first operator was waiting at the station where the 2002 Honda was picked-up, another operator was conducting a surveillance at Jimmy's Test Only, smog check-test only station owned by Respondent Owner. With a video camera, the second operator recognized Respondent Moamar and Respondent Hernandez, who were preparing to test the 2002 Honda, the only vehicle at the shop at the time. Respondent Moamar then moved another vehicle closer to the testing bay. Thereafter, Respondent Moamar went to the shop area and returned with an analyzer sample probe in his hand and proceeded behind the other vehicle. Respondent Moamar returned to the shop area without the analyzer sample probe. Respondent Moamar then drove the 2002 Honda on the dynamometer with no analyzer probe in the tailpipe. Respondent Moamar exited the 2002 Honda and moved the other vehicle away from the testing bay. Respondent Moamar then went to the emissions analyzer and thereafter backed the 2002 Honda out of the testing bay. Respondent Moamar then handed some paperwork to Respondent Hernandez, who drove the 2002 Honda back to the first operator.

62. The first operator saw Respondent Hernandez arrive in the 2002 Honda. Respondent Hernandez told the first operator that the total cost of testing was $200.00. The operator paid another $100.00 to Respondent Hernandez, who then provided the operator a VIR, bearing Certificate of Compliance Number PE764136 and Respondent Moamar's name as the smog technician who had performed the smog test at Jimmy's Test Only.

63. On March 27, 2014, a Bureau personnel re-inspected the vehicle after the smog test at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station. The condition of the vehicle as modified before testing at Respondent Owner's smog check-test only station had not changed; the marked inoperative exhaust catalytic converter was still installed. An Acceleration Simulation Mode smog check inspection was performed and the 2002 Honda failed the inspection due to high emissions. A visual inspection was also conducted and the Malfunction Indicator Light turned on with the fault code: P0141 O_2 Sensor Heater Circuit Malfunction (bank 1 sensor 2). A "FAIL" VIR was printed with "OVERALL TEST RESULTS-FAIL."

///

///

TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misleading Statements)

64. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on March 20, 2014, he made statements which he knew or which by exercise of reasonable care he should have known were untrue or misleading when he issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for the 2002 Honda, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations when, in fact, the vehicle had the existing exhaust catalytic converter replaced with a matching but inoperative exhaust catalytic converter, with its internal substrate removed.

TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

65. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts constituting fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle.

TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide a Written Estimate)

66. Respondent Owner has subjected his registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that on March 20, 2014, he failed to comply with Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), by failing to provide the operator with a written estimated price for the smog inspection.

TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

67. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on March 20, 2014, regarding the 2002 Honda, he violated the following sections of that Code:

///

///

a. **Section 44012, subdivision (f)**: Respondent Owner failed to perform emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b)**: Respondent Owner issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with section 44012 of that Code.

TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

68. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on March 20, 2014, regarding the 2002 Honda, he violated the following sections of the CCR:

a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Owner issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. [redacted] even though the vehicle had not been inspected in accordance with H & S Code section 3340.42.

b. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Owner failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

69. Respondent Owner has subjected his station license to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on March 20, 2014, regarding the 2002 Honda, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for that vehicle without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle.

TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

70. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on March 20, 2014, regarding the 2002 Honda, he violated the following sections of that Code:

a. **Section 44032**: Respondent Moamar failed to perform tests of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle in accordance with H & S Code section 44012, in that the vehicle had the existing exhaust catalytic converter replaced with a matching but inoperative exhaust catalytic converter, with its internal substrate removed.

b. **Section 44059**: Respondent Moamar willfully made false entries for electronic Certificate of Compliance No. [redacted] by certifying that the vehicle had been inspected as required when, in fact, it had not.

TWENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

71. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on March 20, 2014, regarding the 2002 Honda, he violated the following sections of the CCR:

a. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)**: Respondent Moamar failed to inspect and test that vehicle in accordance with H & S Code section 44012.

b. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Moamar failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on that vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

TWENTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

72. Respondent Moamar has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on March 20, 2014, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for the 2002 Honda without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle.

THIRTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

73. Respondent Hernandez has subjected his Smog Check Inspector License to discipline under H & S Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on March 20, 2014, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by assisting in

the issuance of Certificate of Compliance No [redacted] for the 2002 Honda without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle.

OTHER MATTERS

74. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check - Test Only Station License Number TC 271065, issued to Jamil J. Moamar, doing business as Jimmy's Test Only, is revoked or suspended, Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 271065 and any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

75. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 633901 issued to Jamil J. Moamar, is revoked or suspended, Smog Check Repair Technician License Number EI 633901 and any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

76. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 636318 issued to Victor Hernandez, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 271065, issued to Jamil J. Moamar, Owner of Jimmy's Test Only;

2. Revoking or suspending Smog Check-Test Only Station License Number TC 271065, issued to Jamil J. Moamar, Owner of Jimmy's Test Only;

3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 633901, issued to Jamil J. Moamar;

4. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Repair Technician License Number EI 633901, issued to Jamil J. Moamar;

///

5. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 636318, issued to Victor Hernandez;

6. Ordering Jamil J. Moamar and Victor Hernandez to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 9/26/14

Patrick Dorais by Doug Balatti

PATRICK DORAIS
Chief
Bureau of Automotive Repair
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

DOUG BALATTI
Assist Chief

SD2014707617
70933262.doc

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

(Separate Mailings)

Case Name: **In the Matter of the Accusation Against Jamil J. Moamar, Owner of and Smog Check Inspector at Jimmy's Test Only and Victor Hernandez, Smog Check Inspector**

Case No.: 79/15-47

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On October 8, 2014, I served the attached **Statement to Respondent; Accusation; Notice of Defense (2 copies); Request for Discovery; Government Code Section 11507.5 11507.6 and 11507.7** by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope as certified mail with return receipt requested, and another true copy of the **Statement to Respondent; Accusation; Notice of Defense (2 copies); Request for Discovery; Government Code Section 11507.5 11507.6 and 11507.7** was enclosed in a second sealed envelope as first class mail in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 110 West A Street, Suite 1100, P.O. Box 85266, San Diego, CA 92186-5266, addressed as follows:

Jamil J. Moamar, Owner
Jimmy's Test Only
4220 Glen Street, Unit B
Riverside, CA 92509

Certified Article Number
9414 7266 9904 2008 5299 84
SENDERS RECORD

Jamil J. Moamar
Smog Check Inspector
9471 53rd Street
Riverside, CA 92509

Certified Article Number
9414 7266 9904 2008 5299 91
SENDERS RECORD

Victor Hernandez
Smog Check Inspector
3461 Anderson Avenue, #6
Riverside, CA 92507

Certified Article Number
9414 7266 9904 2008 5300 03
SENDERS RECORD

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on October 8, 2014, at San Diego, California.

J. Mejia
Declarant

Signature

SD2014707617
70959646.doc

9414 7266 9904 2008 5299 84

TO:

Jamil J. Moamar
Jimmy's Test Only
4220 Glen Street, Unit B
Riverside, CA 92509

SENDER: Adrian R. Contreras

REFERENCE: Accu Pkt/SD2014707617

PS Form 3800, January 2005

RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE		
	Postage	
	Certified Fee	
	Return Receipt Fee	
	Restricted Delivery	
	Total Postage & Fees	

USPS®
Receipt for Certified Mail™
No Insurance Coverage Provided
Do Not Use for International Mail

POSTMARK OR DATE

9414 7266 9904 2008 5300 03

TO:

Victor Hernandez
3461 Anderson Avenue, #6
Riverside, CA 92507

SENDER: Adrian R. Contreras

REFERENCE: Accu Pkt/SD2014707617

PS Form 3800, January 2005

RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE		
	Postage	
	Certified Fee	
	Return Receipt Fee	
	Restricted Delivery	
	Total Postage & Fees	

USPS®
Receipt for Certified Mail™
No Insurance Coverage Provided
Do Not Use for International Mail

POSTMARK OR DATE

9414 7266 9904 2008 5299 91

TO:

Jamil J. Moamar
9471 53rd Street
Riverside, CA 92509

SENDER: Adrian R. Contreras

REFERENCE: Accu Pkt/SD2014707617

PS Form 3800, January 2005

RETURN RECEIPT SERVICE		
	Postage	
	Certified Fee	
	Return Receipt Fee	
	Restricted Delivery	
	Total Postage & Fees	

USPS®
Receipt for Certified Mail™
No Insurance Coverage Provided
Do Not Use for International Mail

POSTMARK OR DATE

2. Article Number

9414 7266 9904 2008 5299 91

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Received by (Please Print Clearly) J. Moamar

B. Date of Delivery 10/20/14

C. Signature

X

☐ Agent
☑ Addressee

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?
If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ Yes
☐ No

3. Service Type **CERTIFIED MAIL®**

4. Restricted Delivery? *(Extra Fee)* ☐ Yes

1. Article Addressed to:

Jamil J. Moamar
9471 53rd Street
Riverside, CA 92509

Accu Pkt/SD2014707617
Adrian R. Contreras

PS Form 3811, January 2005 Domestic Return Receipt

2. Article Number

9414 7266 9904 2008 5300 03

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Received by (Please Print Clearly)

B. Date of Delivery 10/11/14

C. Signature

X Camelo Cortes Salgado

☐ Agent
☐ Addressee

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?
If YES, enter delivery address below:

☐ Yes
☐ No

3. Service Type **CERTIFIED MAIL®**

4. Restricted Delivery? *(Extra Fee)* ☐ Yes

1. Article Addressed to:

Victor Hernandez
3461 Anderson Avenue, #6
Riverside, CA 92507

Accu Pkt/SD2014707617
Adrian R. Contreras

PS Form 3811, January 2005 Domestic Return Receipt