

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
2 ARMANDO ZAMBRANO  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 ALVARO MEJIA  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 216956  
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Telephone: (213) 897-0083  
6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804

7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  
12  
13 **RELIABLE SMOG;**  
**JOSHUA MOTT, OWNER**  
14 **1680 W. San Bernardino, Unit A**  
**Covina, CA 91722**  
15 Mailing Address:  
16 **12635 Dulce Street**  
**Victorville, CA 92392**  
17 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.**  
**ARD 270215**  
18 **Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No.**  
**TC 270215,**  
19  
20 **ROUTE 66 SMOG & TEST;**  
**JOSHUA MOTT, OWNER**  
21 **743 W. Route 66, #4**  
**Glendora, CA 91740**  
22 Mailing Address:  
23 **12635 Dulce Street**  
**Victorville, CA 92392**  
24 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.**  
**ARD 266465**  
25 **Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No.**  
**TC 266465,**  
26  
27 **and**  
28

Case No. 79/15-130

**ACCUSATION**  
*(SMOG CHECK)*

1 **JOSHUA MOTT,**  
2 **a.k.a., JOSHUA M. MOTT**  
3 **12635 Dulce Street**  
4 **Victorville, CA 92392**

5 **Smog Check Inspector License No.**  
6 **EO 632190 (formerly Advanced Emission**  
7 **Specialist Technician License No.**  
8 **EA 632190)**  
9 **Smog Check Repair Technician License No.**  
10 **EI 632190 (formerly Advanced Emission**  
11 **Specialist Technician License No.**  
12 **EA 632190)**

13 Respondents.

14 Complainant alleges:

15 **PARTIES**

16 1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as  
17 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

18 2. On or about September 10, 2012, the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau) issued  
19 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 270215 (“ARD Registration”) to Joshua  
20 Mott, owner, doing business as Reliable Smog (“Respondent Reliable Smog”). The ARD  
21 Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and  
22 expired on September 30, 2014.

23 3. On or about September 29, 2012, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only, Station  
24 License Number TC 270215 (“Station License”) to Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as  
25 Reliable Smog. The Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the  
26 charges brought herein and expired on September 30, 2014.

27 4. On or about September 1, 2011, the Bureau issued ARD Registration Number  
28 ARD 266465 to Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as Route 66 Smog & Test. The ARD  
Registration expired on September 30, 2013.

///

///



1 business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any  
2 automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

3 (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement  
4 written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise  
5 of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading . . . .

6 (4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.”

7 . . . .

8 (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or  
9 regulations adopted pursuant to it.

10 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license  
11 as provided in this article if the licensee or any partner, officer, or director thereof:

12 (a) Violates any section of the Business and Professions Code that relates to his or her  
13 licensed activities.

14 10. Section 9889.3 of the Bus. & Prof. Code states, in pertinent part:

15 “The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as  
16 provided in this article if the licensee or any partner, officer, or director thereof:

17 . . . .

18 “(b) Is convicted of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties  
19 of the licenseholder in question.”

20 11. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code (“Health & Saf. Code”) provides, in  
21 pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the  
22 Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

23 12. Section 44012 of the Health & Saf. Code provides, in pertinent part, that tests at smog  
24 check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

25 13. Section 44015, subdivision (b), of the Health & Saf. Code provides that a certificate  
26 of compliance shall be issued if a vehicle meets the requirements of Health & Saf. Code section  
27 40012.

28 ///

1           14. Section 44032 of the Health & Saf. Code provides, in pertinent part, that “[q]ualified  
2 technicians shall perform tests of emission control devices and systems in accordance with  
3 Section 44012.”

4           15. Section 44059 of the Health & Saf. Code provides:

5           “The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material matter in any  
6 oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or application form which is required  
7 by this chapter or Chapter 20.3 (commencing with Section 9880) of Division 3 of the Business  
8 and Professions Code, constitutes perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code.”

9           16. Section 44072.2 of the Health & Saf. Code states, in pertinent part:

10           “The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as  
11 provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the  
12 following:

13                   “(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program  
14 (Health and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which  
15 related to the licensed activities . . .

16                   “(b) Is convicted of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or  
17 duties of the licenseholder in question.

18                   “(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

19                   “(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is  
20 injured.”

21           17. Section 44072.8 of the Health & Saf. Code states:

22           “When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any  
23 additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked  
24 or suspended by the director.”

25           ///

26           ///

27           ///

28           ///

1 **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

2 18. California Code of Regulations (“CCR”), title 16, section 3340.24, subdivision (c),  
3 states:

4 “The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal action against a  
5 licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a certificate of compliance or a  
6 certificate of noncompliance.”

7 19. CCR, title 16, section 3340.30, subdivision (a), states that a licensed smog technician  
8 shall at all times “[i]nspect, test and repair vehicles, as applicable, in accordance with section  
9 44012 of the Health & Saf. Code, section 44035 of the Health & Saf. Code, and section 3340.42  
10 of this article.”

11 20. CCR, title 16, section 3340.35, subdivision (c), states that a licensed smog check  
12 station “shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any  
13 vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of  
14 this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and  
15 functioning correctly.”

16 21. CCR, title 16, section 3340.41, subdivision (c), provides: “No person shall enter into  
17 the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification information or emission control system  
18 identification data for any vehicle other than the one being tested. Nor shall any person  
19 knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false information about the vehicle  
20 being tested.”

21 22. CCR, title 16, section 3340.42, sets forth specific emissions test methods and  
22 procedures which apply to all vehicles inspected in the State of California.

23 **COST RECOVERY**

24 23. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), of the Bus. & Prof. Code provides, in pertinent part,  
25 that a Board “may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have  
26 committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable  
27 costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.”

28 ///

1 **BUREAU INVESTIGATION AND SURVEILLANCE**

2 24. On or about July 8, 2014, Bureau program representatives conducted video-taped  
3 surveillance of Respondent Reliable Smog, located at 1680 West San Bernardino Road, Unit A,  
4 Covina, California. Bureau program representatives observed Respondent Mott performing smog  
5 inspections at Respondent Reliable Smog. The surveillance operation and information obtained  
6 from the Bureau's Vehicle Information Database ("VID") revealed that during the surveillance  
7 period, Respondent Mott and Respondent Reliable Smog (collectively, "Respondents") engaged  
8 in illegal smog inspection activities. The circumstances are as follows:

9 a. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's  
10 VID revealed that on July 8, 2014, between approximately 1158 and 1201 hours, Respondent  
11 Mott used his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that  
12 resulted in the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. YH324346C to a 2001 Ford  
13 Ranger Super Cab, license plate number 7R47063, certifying that he had tested and inspected that  
14 vehicle and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time  
15 during the test period was the 2001 Ford Ranger Super Cab seen in or around the station's test  
16 bay. Instead, the tail pipe emissions of a 2004 BMW, license plate 5JTP354, were used in a  
17 method known as "clean piping"<sup>2</sup> to issue a fraudulent electronic certificate of compliance.

18 b. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's  
19 VID revealed that on July 8, 2014, between approximately 1326 and 1329 hours, Respondent  
20 Mott used his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that  
21 resulted in the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. YH324348C to a 2004 Ford  
22 Mustang, VIN number 1FAFP42X44F178820, certifying that he had tested and inspected that  
23 vehicle and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time  
24 during the test period was the 2004 Ford Mustang seen in or around the station's test bay.

25  
26 <sup>2</sup> "Clean piping" is sampling the (clean) tailpipe emissions and/or the RPM readings of  
27 another vehicle for the purpose of illegally issuing smog certifications to vehicles that are not in  
28 compliance or are not present in the smog check area during the time of the certification.

1 Instead, the tail pipe emissions of a 2002 Lincoln Town Car, license plate 6VYN389, were used  
2 to issue a fraudulent electronic certificate of compliance through the clean piping method as  
3 previously described.

4 25. On or about July 10, 2014, Bureau program representatives conducted another  
5 video-taped surveillance of Respondent Reliable Smog. During the surveillance period, Bureau  
6 program representatives observed Respondent Mott performing smog inspections at Respondent  
7 Reliable Smog. Once again, the surveillance operation and information obtained from the  
8 Bureau's VID revealed that during the surveillance period, Respondents were engaged in illegal  
9 smog inspection activities. The circumstances are as follows:

10 a. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's  
11 VID revealed that on July 10, 2104, between approximately 1110 and 1115 hours, Respondent  
12 Mott used his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that  
13 resulted in the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. YH539007C to a 2006 Ford  
14 E150, license plate number 7Z18967, certifying that he had tested and inspected that vehicle and  
15 that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time during the  
16 test period was the 2006 Ford E150 seen in or around the station's test bay. Instead, the tail pipe  
17 emissions of a 2006 Ford F150 2WD, license plate 8W15993, were used to issue a fraudulent  
18 electronic certificate of compliance through the clean piping method as previously described.

19 b. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's  
20 VID revealed that on July 10, 2104, between approximately 1243 and 1247 hours, Respondent  
21 Mott used his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that  
22 resulted in the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. YH539009C to a 2008 Ford  
23 E250, license plate number 8R19703, certifying that he had tested and inspected that vehicle and  
24 that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time during the  
25 test period was the 2008 Ford E250 seen in or around the station's test bay. Instead, the tail pipe  
26 emissions of a 2000 BMW 540, with no license plate visible, were used to issue a fraudulent  
27 electronic certificate of compliance through the clean piping method as previously described.

28 ///

1 c. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's  
2 VID revealed that on July 10, 2104, between approximately 1554 and 1558 hours, Respondent  
3 Mott used his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that  
4 resulted in the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. YH539012C to a 1985  
5 Toyota MR2, license plate number 6NQE824, certifying that he had tested and inspected that  
6 vehicle and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time  
7 during the test period was the 1985 Toyota MR2 seen in or around the station's test bay. Instead,  
8 the tail pipe emissions of a 2002 Hyundai Accent, license plate 4VUJ057, were used to issue a  
9 fraudulent electronic certificate of compliance through the clean piping method as previously  
10 described.

11 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Misleading Statements)**

13 26. Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as Reliable Smog, has subjected his Reliable  
14 Smog ARD Registration to discipline under Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1),  
15 in that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10, 2014, he made statements which he knew or which  
16 by exercise of reasonable care should have known were untrue or misleading when he issued  
17 electronic certificates of compliance for certain vehicles, certifying that those vehicles were in  
18 compliance with applicable laws and regulations when, in fact, those vehicles had not been  
19 inspected. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth  
20 above in paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c),  
21 inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

22 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Fraud)**

24 27. Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as Reliable Smog, has subjected his Reliable  
25 Smog ARD Registration to discipline under Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4),  
26 in that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10, 2014, he committed acts which constitute fraud by  
27 issuing electronic certificates of compliance for certain vehicles without performing bona fide  
28 inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the

1 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection  
2 Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth  
3 above in paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c),  
4 inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

5 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

6 **(Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act)**

7 28. Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as Reliable Smog, has subjected his Reliable  
8 Smog ARD Registration to discipline under Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6),  
9 in that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10, 2014, he failed in a “material respect to comply with  
10 the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it” when he issued electronic  
11 certificates of compliance for certain vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the  
12 emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State  
13 of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant  
14 refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 24,  
15 subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c), inclusive, as though set forth  
16 fully herein.

17 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

19 29. Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as Reliable Smog, has subjected his Reliable  
20 Smog Station License to discipline under Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in  
21 that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10, 2014, Respondent violated the following sections of the  
22 Health & Saf. Code with respect to the inspection of certain vehicles:

23 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were  
24 performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

25 b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b):** Respondent issued electronic certificates of  
26 compliance without properly testing and inspecting the vehicles to determine if they were in  
27 compliance with section 44012 of the Health & Saf. Code.

28 ///

1 c. **Section 44059:** Respondent willfully made false entries for the electronic certificates  
2 of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when, in fact, they  
3 had not.

4 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in  
5 paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c), inclusive, as  
6 though set forth fully herein.

7 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8 **(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

9 30. Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as Reliable Smog, has subjected his Reliable  
10 Smog Station License to discipline under Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in  
11 that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10, 2014, Respondent violated the following sections of  
12 title 16 of the CCR with respect to the inspection of certain vehicles:

13 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued  
14 electronic certificates of compliance without performing bona fide inspections of the emission  
15 control devices and systems on those vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

16 b. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent issued electronic certificates of  
17 compliance even though those vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section  
18 3340.42 of the Health & Saf. Code.

19 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and  
20 inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

21 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in  
22 paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c), inclusive, as  
23 though set forth fully herein.

24 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

26 31. Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as Reliable Smog, has subjected his Reliable  
27 Smog Station License to discipline under Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in  
28 that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10, 2014, Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty,

1 fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic certificates of compliance for  
2 certain vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and  
3 systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the  
4 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this  
5 reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b),  
6 and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c), inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

7 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8 **(Criminal Conviction)**

9 32. Joshua Mott, owner, doing business as Reliable Smog, has subjected his Reliable  
10 Smog ARD Registration and Station License to discipline under Bus. & Prof. Code section  
11 9889.3, subdivision (b), and Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (b), in that on or  
12 about January 27, 2015, in the criminal matter entitled *People of the State of California v. Joshua*  
13 *Mott* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 2014, No. BA430804), Respondent entered a plea of nolo  
14 contendere and was convicted of one count of violating Vehicle Code section 4463, subdivision  
15 (a)(1) [willfully forge/falsify smog check certificates with fraudulent intent], a felony.  
16 Respondent Mott was sentenced to 3-years formal probation on terms and conditions, including  
17 120 hours of Cal Trans. In addition, the Court ordered Respondent Mott not to, “participate in  
18 any business involving smog certificates, forfeit equipment seized and smog certificate license is  
19 revoked.” The circumstances surrounding the conviction are outlined by Respondent’s illegal  
20 conduct, as set forth in paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs  
21 (a) – (c), above.

22 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

24 33. Respondent Mott has subjected his technician licenses to discipline under Health &  
25 Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10, 2014, he  
26 violated the following sections of the Health & Saf. Code with respect to the inspection of certain  
27 vehicles:

28 ///

1 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were  
2 performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

3 b. **Section 44032:** Respondent failed to perform tests of the emission control devices  
4 and systems on those vehicles in accordance with section 44012 of the Health & Saf. Code, in  
5 that the vehicles had been clean piped.

6 c. **Section 44059:** Respondent willfully made false entries for the electronic certificates  
7 of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when, in fact, they  
8 had not.

9 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in  
10 paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c), inclusive, as  
11 though set forth fully herein.

12 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

13 **(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

14 34. Respondent Mott has subjected his technician licenses to discipline under Health &  
15 Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10, 2014, he  
16 violated the following sections of the CCR, title 16, with respect to the inspection of certain  
17 vehicles:

18 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued  
19 electronic certificates of compliance without performing bona fide inspections of the emission  
20 control devices and systems on those vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

21 b. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test those  
22 vehicles in accordance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

23 c. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent entered false information into the EIS  
24 for the electronic certificates of compliance by entering vehicle emission control information for  
25 vehicles other than the vehicles being certified.

26 d. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and  
27 inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

28 ///

1 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in  
2 paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c), inclusive, as  
3 though set forth fully herein.

4 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

5 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

6 35. Respondent Mott has subjected his technician licenses to discipline under  
7 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about July 8, 2014 and July 10,  
8 2014, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by  
9 issuing electronic certificates of compliance for certain vehicles without performing bona fide  
10 inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the  
11 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection  
12 Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth  
13 above in paragraph 24, subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c),  
14 inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

15 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16 **(Criminal Conviction)**

17 36. Respondent Mott has subjected his technician licenses to discipline under  
18 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (b), in that on or about January 27, 2015, in the  
19 criminal matter entitled *People of the State of California v. Joshua Mott* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles,  
20 2014, No. BA430804), Respondent entered a plea of nolo contendere and was convicted of one  
21 count of violating Vehicle Code section 4463, subdivision (a)(1) [willfully forge/falsify smog  
22 check certificates with fraudulent intent], a felony. Respondent Mott was sentenced to 3-years  
23 formal probation on terms and conditions, including 120 hours of Cal Trans. In addition, the  
24 Court ordered Respondent Mott not to, “participate in any business involving smog certificates,  
25 forfeit equipment seized and smog certificate license is revoked.” The circumstances surrounding  
26 the conviction are outlined by Respondent’s illegal conduct, as set forth in paragraph 24,  
27 subparagraphs (a) – (b), and paragraph 25, subparagraphs (a) – (c), above.

28 ///





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

8. Ordering Joshua Mott, also known as Joshua M. Mott, to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3;

9. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: June 13, 2015 Patrick Dorais

PATRICK DORAIS  
Chief  
Bureau of Automotive Repair  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
*Complainant*

DOJ Matter ID: LA2014512847  
51806508.docx