1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California SHAWN P. COOK	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NANCY CALERO	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 261370 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6351	
6	Facsimile: (916) 731-2126 Attorneys for Complainant	
7	Thiorneys for Complainain	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/20-15092
13	MARIO ALONSO ALMEIDA dba 6TH STREET SMOG	
14	38702 6 th St., East Palmdale, CA 93550	ACCUSATION
15		
16	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 251667	
17 18	Smog Check, Test Only Station License No. TC 251667	
19	MARIO ALONSO ALMEIDA	
20	36716 Little Sycamore St. Palmdale, CA 93552	
21	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO	
22	630615 Smog Check Repair Technician No. EI	
23	630615	
24		
25	Respondent.	
26	PARTIES	
27	1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as	
28	the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs.	
	1	

- 2. In 2007, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 251667 to Mario Alonso Almeida dba 6th Street Smog (Respondent). The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2021, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about September 27, 2007, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only Station License Number TC 251667 to respondent. The Smog Check, Test Only Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2021, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about February 19, 2013, 6th Street Smog was certified as a STAR Station. This certification will remain unless the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and/or Smog Check Station License is revoked, canceled, licenses become delinquent, or the certification is invalidated.

OTHER LICENSES

- 5. On or about August 15, 2012, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 630615 to Mario Alonso Almeida. The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2022, unless renewed.
- 6. On or about August 15, 2012, the Bureau issued Smog Check Repair Technician License Number EI 630615 to Mario Alonso Almeida. The Smog Check Repair Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2022, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 7. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 8. Section 9884.13 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary

proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.

- 9. Health and Safety Code ("HSC") section 44002 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
- 10. Section 44072.6 of the HSC provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceedings against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 11. Section 9884.7 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) the director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
- (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading...
 - (4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.
 -
- (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it."
- 12. Section 44012 of the HSC provides, in pertinent part, that tests at smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- 13. Section 44015, subdivision (b), of the HSC provides that a certificate of compliance shall be issued if a vehicle meets the requirement of HSC section 40012.

COST RECOVERY

22. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), of the BPC provides, in pertinent part, that a Board "may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case."

CALIFORNIA AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR ACT AND SMOG CHECK PROGRAM

23. California's Smog Check Program requires most vehicles in the State to undergo a smog check inspection every two years or when the vehicle's title is transferred.

Inspection of Pre-2000 Model Year Vehicles

- 24. A smog check inspection of a pre-2000 model year vehicle includes three parts: visual, functional, and tailpipe. Technicians are required to perform the test in accordance with the Smog Check Manual. Technicians are required to enter the results of the inspection into the Emission Inspection System (EIS), which determines whether a vehicle passed the inspection based on the results of the tailpipe, visual, and functional tests. A vehicle must pass all three parts to pass the overall inspection and receive a Certificate of Compliance. The Certificate of Compliance is then transmitted electronically to the Vehicle Information Database.
- 25. In the visual portion, the technician inspects the emission control components to verify that the required emission control devices are present and properly connected.
- 26. The functional test includes checking the malfunction indicator light. On-Board Diagnostics (OBD) is a term used to describe a vehicle's computer system that controls the emission control, along with many other features. This system includes self-diagnostic and reporting functions. OBD systems monitor the vehicle's emission control system performance and notify the driver when defects that cause an increase in air pollution are identified.
- a. For vehicles pre-1996 model year, the technician must also check the ignition timing and Exhaust Gas Recirculation system, conduct a low pressure test of the evaporative emissions controls, conduct a visible smoke test, and conduct a pressure test of the fuel cap.

- b. For vehicles that are 1996 model year or newer, the functional test is performed using the vehicle's OBD II system. During an OBD II functional test, the technician is required to connect a test cable from the BAR-97 analyzer to a Diagnostic Link Connector, located in the passenger compartment, which outputs information from the vehicle's on-board computer about the status of readiness indicators, trouble codes, and the malfunction indicator light.
- 27. The tailpipe inspection requires different testing equipment based on the area where the vehicle is registered: Enhanced Areas, Basic Areas, and Change of Ownership Areas.
- a. Acceleration Simulation Mode Inspection: Pre-2000 model year vehicles registered in Enhanced Areas require an Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) inspection, which is performed using an EIS, also known as a BAR-97. The EIS is a computer based, five-gas analyzer that measures Hydrocarbons (HC), Carbon Monoxide (CO), Nitrogen Oxide (NO_x), Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) and Oxygen (O₂). The ASM inspection entails two loaded mode sequences (i.e., "Mode 1" and "Mode 2") that measure the vehicle's tailpipe emissions on a dynamometer. The vehicle's drive wheels are placed onto rollers, and the vehicle is driven at speeds of 15 miles per hour during Mode 1 of the ASM and 25 miles per hour during Mode 2 of the ASM. The purpose of the ASM inspection is to simulate driving conditions while the emissions are sampled and measured by the EIS.
- b. <u>Two Speed Idle Inspection</u>: Pre-2000 model year vehicles registered in Basic Areas or Change Ownership Areas, and vehicles that are incompatible with the ASM inspection¹, require a Two Speed Idle (TSI) inspection. Rather than applying a load to the vehicle's drive wheels with a dynamometer, the EIS measures the emissions of HC, CO, O₂, and CO₂ at two test sequences—2500 revolutions per minute and idle.
- 28. Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) is a byproduct of most combustion processes and any level of emissions will not result in a failing smog check. The measurement is meant as a diagnostic tool for inspectors. Oxygen (O₂) is necessary for engine combustion to occur. High levels of O₂

¹ Vehicles that are incompatible with the ASM, such as all-wheel drive vehicles are vehicles with traction control issues, must receive the Two Speed Idle (TSI) test.

in the exhaust indicate a problem with the catalytic converter, but any level of O_2 emissions will not result in failing smog check. The measurement is meant as a diagnostic tool for inspectors. Carbon Monoxide (CO) is a pollutant and is often the byproduct of an overly rich fuel mixture. Unhealthy CO emissions will result in a vehicle failing smog check. Hydrocarbon (HC), a pollutant, is unburned fuel. High levels of HC emissions indicate incomplete fuel combustion, either as the result of a misfire or low engine compression, and result in a vehicle failing its smog check. Nitrogen Oxide (NO_x) is a pollutant that results from excessive engine combustion chamber temperature, which could be caused by a lean fuel mixture, advanced timing, carbon buildup, malfunctioning EGR, or a malfunctioning engine cooling system. Unhealthy levels of NO_x emissions result in a vehicle failing its smog inspection.

Respondents Fraudulent Inspections Via the "Clean Gassing" Process

- 29. "Clean gassing" refers to a fraudulent smog inspection practice in which a surrogate gas is introduced into the Emission Inspection System (EIS) during an inspection in order to skew pollutant emission readings. The surrogate gas alters the vehicle exhaust samples and causes the EIS to issue a passing test result based on a reading of false/altered exhaust emissions rather than a reading of the actual vehicle emissions. The Bureau is able to identify fraudulent clean gassing activities by analyzing specific "second-by-second" emissions data that is recorded by the EIS during a smog inspection. Second-by-second data refers to vehicle emissions and speed data that is recorded on a second-by-second basis throughout the smog check acceleration simulation mode (ASM) test. For example, dramatic simultaneous drops and/or rises in the concentrations of NOx, CO and/or HC during a smog inspection indicates that a surrogate gas was introduced at specific times during the inspection in order to obtain passable readings for those pollutants.
- 30. Based on a review of confidential smog check data collected and maintained by the Bureau, a Bureau Program Representative initiated an investigation of the smog check activities at 6th Street Smog. During his investigation, the Bureau Program Representative conducted a detailed review of second-by-second emissions data for smog inspections performed at 6th Street Smog. That second-by-second data revealed that respondent has been engaged in

the fraudulent smog inspection practice of clean gassing described above. Specifically, the investigation identified the following nine (9) separate instances of clean gassing by respondents at 6th Street Smog:

a. 1999 Toyota 4Runner: On May 13, 2019 at 4:44 p.m., 6th Street Smog employee and Licensed Smog Inspector R.R. inspected a 1999 Toyota 4Runner (license no. 5CBG228). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 20 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 46 second mark and then another dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 61 second mark. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same 3 pollutants at the 80 second mark. As a result of respondent's fraudulent clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. QS189087C by respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, performed on May 8, 2019, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

b. 1997 Toyota Camry: On June 3, 2019 at 5:19 p.m., R.R. inspected a 1997 Toyota Camry (license no. 8DUT381). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 16 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 37 second mark and then another dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 50 second mark. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same 3 pollutants at the 71 second mark. As a result of respondent's fraudulent clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. QS650032C by

respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, performed by Mario Alonso Almeida on June 1, 2019, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

c. 1999 Chevrolet Tahoe: On June 6, 2019 at 6:50 p.m., R.R. inspected a 1999 Chevrolet Tahoe (license no. 6YLY398). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 28 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 53 second mark and then another dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 57 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 78 second mark. As a result of respondent's fraudulent clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. QS650034C by respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, performed by Mario Alonso Almeida on June 5, 2019, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

d. 1990 Jeep Wrangler: On June 12, 2019 at 5:01 p.m., respondent R.R. inspected a 1990 Jeep Wrangler (license no. 6AMB598). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 9 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 16 second mark. A second dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants occurred during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 19 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 44 second mark. A third dramatic drop of the same three pollutants occurred at the 50 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 60 second mark. A fourth dramatic drop of the same three pollutants occurred at the 63 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 70 second mark. As a result of respondent's fraudulent clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered

exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. QS650045C by respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, performed by R.R. on June 11, 2019, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

e. 1998 Acura 3.0CL: On July 31, 2019 at 7:45 p.m., R.R. inspected a 1998 Acura 3.0CL (license no. 7GZD031). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 10 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 52 second mark. A second dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants occurred during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 38 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 32 second mark. A third dramatic drop of the same three pollutants occurred at the 57 second mark and began to rise towards prior levels at the 78 second mark. As a result of respondent's fraudulent clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. IF650780C by respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, performed by R.R. on July 30, 2019, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

f. 1989 Jeep Cherokee: On September 19, 2019 at 7:04 p.m., R.R. inspected a 1989 Jeep Cherokee (license no. 4WDXJ). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 5 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 29 second mark. A second dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants occurred during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 33 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 40 second mark. A third simultaneous drop occurred at the 45 second mark and began to rise towards prior levels at the 61 second mark. As a result of respondent's

fraudulent clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. QY315558C by respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, performed by R.R. on September 12, 2019, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

g. 1994 Honda Civic: On October 1, 2019 at 4:30 p.m., R.R. inspected a 1994 Honda Civic (license no. 3JSM187). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 3 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 14 second mark. A second dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants occurred during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 18 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 34 second mark. A third simultaneous drop occurred at the 40 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 58 second mark. As a result of respondent's fraudulent clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. QY315584C by respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, on May 26, 2018, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

h. 1995 Toyota 4Runner: On November 23, 2019 at 2:34 p.m., R.R. inspected a 1995 Toyota 4Runner (license no. 3NSS019). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 11 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 29 second mark and then another dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 47 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 66 second mark. As a result of respondent's fraudulent

23

24

25 26

27

28

clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. RA410685C by respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, performed by Mario Alonso Almeida on August 7, 2019, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

i. 1998 Chevrolet C1500 Pickup: On January 25, 2020 at 3:52 p.m., R.R. inspected a 1998 Chevrolet C1500 Pickup (license no. 5S64576). The second-by-second test data reveals that a surrogate gas was introduced during Mode 1 of the ASM, at a period of steady state vehicle speed, which resulted in dramatic simultaneous drops the in concentration levels for NOx, CO and HC at the 10 second mark of the test. Those simultaneous drops were followed by a simultaneous rise of the same three pollutants at the 49 second mark and then another dramatic simultaneous drop of all three pollutants during Mode 2 of the ASM at the 68 second mark and began to rise toward prior levels at the 78 second mark. As a result of respondent's fraudulent clean gassing activities, the vehicle passed the ASM inspection based on false/altered exhaust emissions readings rather than readings of the vehicle's true emissions levels, leading to the fraudulent issuance of Certificate of Compliance No. RC917887C by respondent. Bureau records show that the vehicle failed a prior smog inspection, performed by Mario Alonso Almeida on January 22, 2020, due to elevated pollutant concentration levels measured by the EIS during the ASM test.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misleading Statements)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that respondent and/or their employee made statements which were known to be untrue or misleading or, which by exercise of reasonable care should have been known to be untrue or misleading, when issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles set forth in paragraph 30, subparagraphs a - i, above, certifying that those vehicles were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations when, in fact, those vehicles had not been so inspected.

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 23 through 30, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

32. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that respondent and/or their employee committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles set forth in paragraph 30, subparagraphs a - i, above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 23 through 30, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act)

33. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that respondent and/or their employee failed in a "material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it" when issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles set forth in paragraph 30, subparagraphs a - i, above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 23 through 30, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

34. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that, with respect to the vehicles set forth in paragraph 30, subparagraphs a - i, above, respondent violated the following sections of the HSC:

- a. **Section 44012**: Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- b. **Section 44015, subdivision (b)**: Respondent issued electronic smog certificates of compliance without properly testing and inspecting the vehicles to determine if they were in compliance with section 44012 of the HSC.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 35. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that, with respect to the vehicles set forth in paragraph 30, subparagraphs a i, above, respondent violated the following sections of title 16 of the CCR:
- a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)**: Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued electronic smog certificates of compliance without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles as required by HSC section 44012.
- b. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent issued electronic smog certificates of compliance even though those vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42 of the HSC.
- c. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

36. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that, with respect to the vehicles set forth in paragraph 30, subparagraphs a - i, above, respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for those vehicles without performing bona fide inspections and functional testing of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates the allegations contained in in paragraphs 23 through 30, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

OTHER MATTERS

- 37. Section 9884.7, subdivision (c), of the Code states that "the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to."
- 38. Section 44072.8 of the HSC states that if Smog Check Station License No. TC 251667 is revoked or suspended following a hearing, any additional license issued under the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (HSC sec. 44000, et seq.) in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 39. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on respondent, Complainant further alleges the following:
- 40. On or about February 8, 2010, in a prior action, the Bureau issued citation number C2010-0801 to respondent with a fine of \$500, for violating HSC section 44012 subdivision (f). Payment was received on April 1, 2010. The citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 41. On or about June 24, 2010, in a prior action, the Bureau issued citation number C2010-1347 to respondent with a fine of \$1,500, for violating HSC section 44012 subdivision (f). Payment was received on January 26, 2012. The citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 42. On or about February 8, 2010, in a prior action, the Bureau issued citation number M2010-802 to Mario Alonso Almeida for violating HSC section 44032 and ordered him to complete an 8-hour training course. The training was completed on April 7, 2010. The citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 43. On or about June 24, 2010, in a prior action, the Bureau issued citation number M2010-1348 to Mario Alonso Almeida for violating HSC section 44032 and ordered him to complete a 16-hour training course. Training has not been completed. The citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.