1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STEPHEN A. ARONIS	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 204995	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9451 Facsimile: (619) 645-2581	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10		AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR CALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/16-11174
13	BRYAN A. WHITE, DBA FIC SMOG	Jan Secretaria da 12 diagnos par Ameri
14	322 N. El Camino Real Suite C Encinitas, CA 92024	ACCUSATION
15	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.	
16	ARD 225452 Smog Check Test Only Station License No.	
17	TC 225452,	
18	and	
19	BRYAN ANTHONY WHITE 322 N. El Camino Real Suite C	
20	Encinitas, CA 92024	
21	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 146945	
22	Smog Check Repair Technician License No. EI 146945	
23	and	
24	JOE HOANG FARRIES 322 N. El Camino Real Suite C	
25	Encinitas, CA 92024	
26	Mailing Address: 1441 Reed Ave.	
27 .	San Diego, CA 92109	
28		1

1	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 152881		
2	Respondents.		
3			
4	Complete and all and a		
5			
7	PARTIES 1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as		
8	the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
9	Bryan A. White, dba FIC Smog		
10	2. In 2003, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive Repair Dealer		
11	Registration Number ARD 225452 to Bryan A. White, dba FIC Smog, (Respondent). The		
12			
13			
14			
15	Test Only Station License Number TC 225452 to Bryan A. White, dba FIC Smog, (Respondent).		
16	The Smog Check-Test Only Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the		
17	charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2018, unless renewed.		
18	4. FIC Smog became a STAR certified testing facility on May 31, 2013. The STAR		
19	certification will remain active unless the Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and/or Smog		
20	Check, Test Only Station License are revoked, cancelled, become delinquent, or the certification		
21	is otherwise invalidated.		
22	Bryan Anthony White		
23	5. In 2003, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Advanced Emission Specialist		
24	Technician License Number EA 146945 to Bryan Anthony White (Respondent White). The		
25	Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License was cancelled on March 19, 2013. Pursuant to		
26	California Code of Regulations, title 16 section 3340.28(e), said license was renewed pursuant to		
27	Respondent White's election as Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 146945, and Smog		
28	Check Repair Technician Number FI 146945. The Smog Check Inspector License was in full		

force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2019, unless renewed. The Smog Check Repair Technician expired on March 31, 2015, and has not been renewed.¹

Joe Hoang Farries

6. In 2006, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License Number EA 152881 to Joe Hoang Farries (Respondent Farries). The Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License was cancelled on May 12, 2014. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16 section 3340.28(e), said license was renewed pursuant to Respondent Farries' election as Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 152881. The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2020, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 7. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.
 All references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise stated.
- 8. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 9. Section 9884.13 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.

/// ///

¹ Effective August 1, 2012, California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3340.28, 3340.29, and 3340.30 were amended to implement a license restructure from the Advanced Emission Specialist Technician (EA) license and Basic Area (EB) Technician license to Smog Check Inspector (EO) license and/or Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) license.

10. Section 9884.20 of the Code states:

All accusations against automotive repair dealers shall be filed within three years after the performance of the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging fraud or misrepresentation as a ground for disciplinary action, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery, by the bureau, of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation.

- 11. Section 9884.22 of the Code, subdivision (a) states, in pertinent part:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the director may revoke, suspend, or deny at any time any registration required by this article on any of the grounds for disciplinary action provided in this article. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.
- 12. Health and Safety Code section 44002 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
 - 13. Health and Safety Code section 44072.4 states:

The director may take disciplinary action against any licensee after a hearing as provided in this article by any of the following:

- (a) Imposing probation upon terms and conditions to be set forth by the director.
- (b) Suspending the license.
- (c) Revoking the license.
- 14. Health and Safety Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceedings against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
 - 15. Health and Safety Code section 44072.7 states:

All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section.

20. Section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code states:

The test at the smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department and may require loaded mode dynamometer testing in enhanced areas, two-speed idle testing, testing utilizing a vehicle's onboard diagnostic system, or other appropriate test procedures as determined by the department in consultation with the state board. The department shall implement testing using onboard diagnostic systems, in lieu of loaded mode dynamometer or two-speed idle testing, on model year 2000 and newer vehicles only, beginning no earlier than January 1, 2013. However, the department, in consultation with the state board, may prescribe alternative test procedures that include loaded mode dynamometer or two-speed idle testing for vehicles with onboard diagnostic systems that the department and the state board determine exhibit operational problems. The department shall ensure, as appropriate to the test method, the following:

- (a) Emission control systems required by state and federal law are reducing excess emissions in accordance with the standards adopted pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c) of Section 44013.
- (b) Motor vehicles are preconditioned to ensure representative and stabilized operation of the vehicle's emission control system.
- (c) For other than diesel-powered vehicles, the vehicle's exhaust emissions of hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and oxides of nitrogen in an idle mode or loaded mode are tested in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. In determining how loaded mode and evaporative emissions testing shall be conducted, the department shall ensure that the emission reduction targets for the enhanced program are met.
- (d) For other than diesel-powered vehicles, the vehicle's fuel evaporative system and crankcase ventilation system are tested to reduce any nonexhaust sources of volatile organic compound emissions, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- (e) For diesel-powered vehicles, a visual inspection is made of emission control devices and the vehicle's exhaust emissions are tested in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department, that may include, but are not limited to, onboard diagnostic testing. The test may include testing of emissions of any or all of the pollutants specified in subdivision (c) and, upon the adoption of applicable standards, measurement of emissions of smoke or particulates, or both.
- (f) A visual or functional check is made of emission control devices specified by the department, including the catalytic converter in those instances in which the department determines it to be necessary to meet the findings of Section 44001. The visual or functional check shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- (g) A determination as to whether the motor vehicle complies with the emission standards for that vehicle's class and model-year as prescribed by the department.
- (h) An analysis of pass and fail rates of vehicles subject to an onboard diagnostic test and a tailpipe test to assess whether any vehicles passing their onboard diagnostic test have, or would have, failed a tailpipe test, and whether

this article. The loaded-mode test shall use Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) test equipment, including a chassis dynamometer, certified by the bureau.

On and after March 31, 2010, exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emissions standards shown in the Vehicle Look-up Table (VLT) Row Specific Emissions Standards (Cutpoints) Table, dated March 2010, which is hereby incorporated by reference. If the emissions standards for a specific vehicle are not included in this table then the exhaust emissions shall be compared to the emissions standards set forth in TABLE I or TABLE II, as applicable. A vehicle passes the loaded-mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emission standards specified in the applicable table.

- (2) A two-speed idle mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976 1999 model-year vehicles, except diesel-powered, registered in all program areas of the state, except in those areas of the state where the enhanced program has been implemented. The two-speed idle mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide emissions at high RPM and again at idle RPM, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this article. Exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emission standards set forth in this section and as shown in Table III. A vehicle passes the two-speed idle mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emissions standards specified in Table III.
- (3) An OBD-focused test, shall be the test method used to inspect gasoline-powered vehicles 2000 model-year and newer, and diesel-powered vehicles 1998 model-year and newer. The OBD test failure criteria are specified in section 3340.42.2.
- (b) In addition to subsection (a), all vehicles subject to the smog check program shall receive the following:
- (1) A visual inspection of emission control components and systems to verify the vehicle's emission control systems are properly installed.
- (2) A functional inspection of emission control systems as specified in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by section 3340.45, which may include an OBD test, to verify their proper operation.
- (c) The bureau may require any combination of the inspection methods in sections (a) and (b) under any of the following circumstances:
- (1) Vehicles that the department randomly selects pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44014.7 as a means of identifying potential operational problems with vehicle OBD systems.
- (2) Vehicles identified by the bureau as being operationally or physically incompatible with inspection equipment.
- (3) Vehicles with OBD systems that have demonstrated operational problems.
- (d) Pursuant to section 39032.5 of the Health and Safety Code, gross polluter standards are as follows:
- (1) A gross polluter means a vehicle with excess hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, or oxides of nitrogen emissions pursuant to the gross polluter emissions standards included in the tables described in subsection (a), as applicable.

Smog. The test data revealed anomalies consistent with fraudulent smog check activities, specifically with reference to results for inspections purportedly performed on the Smog Check On-Board Diagnostic Inspection System (OIS)² between February 19, 2016, and March 6, 2017.

- 35. The VIN that is physically present on all vehicles is required to be programmed into the vehicle's OBD-OIS on 2005 and newer vehicles, and on many occasions was programmed into the OBD II system electronic control unit in earlier model-years. This electronically programmed Vehicle Identification Number, also known as the "eVIN," is captured by BAR during a Smog Check, and should match the physical Vehicle Identification Number on the vehicle.
- 36. The OBD II communication protocol describes the specified communication "language" used by the OBD II system electronic control unit to communicate to scan tools and other devices such as the OBD-OIS. The communication protocol is programmed into the OBD II system electronic control unit during manufacture and does not change.
- 37. Parameter Identifications (PIDs) are data points reported by the OBD II system electronic control unit (ECU) to the scan tool or On-Board Diagnostic Inspection System. Examples of PIDs are engine speed (rpm), vehicle speed, engine temperature, and other input and output values utilized by the OBD II system electronic control unit. The PIDs count is the number of data points reported by the OBD II system ECU, and is programmed during manufacture.

27

28

vehicle's on-board computer through the vehicle's diagnostic link connector (DLC). The Data

Acquisition Device is the only BAR-certified component of the OIS.

² The On-Board Diagnostic Inspection System (OIS) is a Smog Check testing system to certify 2000 model year vehicles and newer. The OIS consists of a BAR-certified Data Acquisition Device (DAD) and other equipment including a computer, bar code scanner, and printer. The OIS uses the California BAR-OIS software to communicate with the BAR's central database through an Internet connection. The bar code scanner is used to input technician, Vehicle Identification Number (VIN), and DMV renewal information. The printer provides a Vehicle Inspection Report containing inspection results for motorists and a Smog Check Certificate of Compliance number for passing vehicles. The Inspector is required to connect the Data Acquisition Device to the vehicle to be certified. The Data Acquisition Device is an On Board Diagnostic scan tool that, when requested by the California BAR-OIS software, retrieves On Board Diagnostic data from the vehicle. The Data Acquisition Device connects to the

38. The Bureau investigator documented eight (8) vehicles certified by FIC Smog between February 19, 2016, and March 6, 2017, using Respondent Farries' Smog Check Technician license number and OIS access code, with one or more discrepancies in the eVIN, vehicle communication protocols, and or PIDs. All eight vehicles that are identified below had information stored in the VID that did not apply to those vehicles. Respondent Farries issued the eight fraudulent Certificates of Compliance to those vehicles as follows:

(a) Clean Plug #1

OIS Test Detail data indicates that on February 19, 2016, a 2002 Chrysler Sebring passed an OIS inspection at FIC Smog. FIC Smog issued a Certificate of Compliance using smog check inspector license number EO 152881, belonging to Respondent Farries. The Test Detail shows that an incorrect eVIN for this vehicle was transmitted, the transmitted communication protocol was JPWM, and the transmitted PID count was 20.

Comparative OIS test data of other 2002 Chrysler Sebrings reveals that no eVIN is transmitted, the expected communication protocol is I914 and the expected PID count is 20. Additionally, the OIS Test Data shows that a 2000 Ford Expedition was the vehicle actually used to generate the fraudulent Smog Certificate of Compliance for the 2002 Chrysler Sebring. The 2004 Ford Expedition was certified by Respondent Farries that same day, and the OIS Test Data for the 2000 Ford Expedition matches what was inputted by Respondent Farries for the 2002 Chrysler Sebring. The discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2002 Chrysler Sebring being certified, causing the issuance of illegal smog Certificate of Compliance.

(b) Clean Plug #2

OIS Test Detail data indicates that on March 8, 2016, a 2004 Porsche 911 passed an OIS inspection at FIC Smog. FIC Smog issued a Certificate of Compliance using smog check inspector license number EO 152881, belonging to Respondent Farries. The Test Detail shows that no eVIN for this vehicle was transmitted, the transmitted communication protocol was I914, and the transmitted PID count was 20/1.

Comparative OIS test data of other 2004 Porsche 911s reveals the correct eVIN is transmitted, the expected communication protocol is I914 and the expected PID count is 23. The discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2004 Porsche 911 being certified, causing the issuance of illegal smog Certificate of Compliance.

(c) Clean Plug #3

OIS Test Detail data indicates that on June 7, 2016, a 2007 Ford Mustang passed an OIS inspection at FIC Smog. FIC Smog issued a Certificate of Compliance using smog check inspector license number EO 152881, belonging to Respondent Farries. The Test Detail shows that no eVIN for this vehicle was transmitted, the transmitted communication protocol was I914, and the transmitted PID count was 16.

Comparative OIS test data of other 2007 Ford Mustangs reveals the correct eVIN is transmitted, the expected communication protocol is ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count is 42 or 44. Additionally, OIS Test Data shows that the same 2007 Ford Mustang was inspected on August 28, 2015, and failed the inspection. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was transmitted for the vehicle, along with a communication protocol of ICAN11bt5, and a PID count of 44. The discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2007 Ford Mustang being certified, causing the issuance of illegal smog Certificate of Compliance.

(d) Clean Plug #4

OIS Test Detail data indicates that on June 18, 2016, a 2010 Mercedes-Benz E550 passed an OIS inspection at FIC Smog. FIC Smog issued a Certificate of Compliance using smog check inspector license number EO 152881, belonging to Respondent Farries. The Test Detail shows that an incorrect eVIN for this vehicle was transmitted, the transmitted communication protocol was ICAN11bt5, and the transmitted PID count was 46/12.

Comparative OIS test data of other 2010 Mercedes-Benz E550s reveals the correct eVIN is transmitted, the expected communication protocol is ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count is 47/12. OIS Test Data reveals that the same 2010 Mercedes-Benz E550 was inspected on March

21, 2016. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was transmitted, along with the correct communication protocol and the expected PID count. OIS Test Data also reveals that a 2010 Mercedes-Benz GL 450 was the vehicle used to generate the fraudulent Smog Certificate of Compliance for the 2010 Mercedes-Benz E550. The eVIN for the 2010 Mercedes-Benz GL 450 was the same eVIN used to fraudulently certify the 2010 Mercedes-Benz E 550 on June 18, 2016.

The discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2010 Mercedes-Benz E550 being certified, causing the issuance of illegal smog Certificate of Compliance.

(e) Clean Plug #5

OIS Test Detail data indicates that on October 8, 2016, a 2002 BMW M3 passed an OIS inspection at FIC Smog. FIC Smog issued a Certificate of Compliance using smog check inspector license number EO 152881, belonging to Respondent Farries. The Test Detail shows that no eVIN for this vehicle was transmitted, the transmitted communication protocol was I914, and the transmitted PID count was 17/1.

Comparative OIS test data of other 2002 BMW M3s reveals the correct eVIN is transmitted, the expected communication protocol is I914 and the expected PID count is 23, 23/1, 24, or 24/1. Additionally, OIS Test Data reveals that the same 2002 BMW M3 was inspected on November 1, 2014. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was transmitted, the communication protocol was I914, and the PID count was 23. The discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2002 BMW M3 being certified, causing the issuance of illegal smog Certificate of Compliance.

(f) Clean Plug #6

OIS Test Detail data indicates that on December 7, 2016, a 2004 Mazda RX8 passed an OIS inspection at FIC Smog. FIC Smog issued a Certificate of Compliance using smog check inspector license number EO 152881, belonging to Respondent Farries. The Test Detail shows that no eVIN for this vehicle was transmitted, the transmitted communication protocol was I914, and the transmitted PID count was 18.

Comparative OIS test data of other 2004 Mazda RX8s reveals the correct eVIN is transmitted, the expected communication protocol is ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count is 35/8, 37/13, or 37/8. Additionally, OIS Test Data reveals that the same 2004 Mazda RX8 was inspected on September 19, 2016, and failed the inspection. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was transmitted, the communication protocol was ICAN11bt5, and the PID count was 37/8. The discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2004 Mazda RX8 being certified, causing the issuance of illegal smog Certificate of Compliance.

(g) Clean Plug #7

OIS Test Detail data indicates that on March 4, 2017, a 2002 Ford Explorer passed an OIS inspection at FIC Smog. FIC Smog issued a Certificate of Compliance using smog check inspector license number EO 152881, belonging to Respondent Farries. The Test Detail shows that no eVIN for this vehicle was transmitted, the transmitted communication protocol was I914, and the transmitted PID count was 19.

Comparative OIS test data of other 2002 Ford Explorers reveals the correct eVIN is transmitted, the expected communication protocol is JPWM, and the expected PID count is 22. Additionally, OIS Test Data reveals that the same 2002 Ford Explorer was inspected on December 17, 2016, and failed the inspection. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was transmitted, the communication protocol was JPWM, and the PID count was 22. The discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2002 Ford Explorer being certified, causing the issuance of illegal smog Certificate of Compliance.

(h) Clean Plug #8

OIS Test Detail data indicates that on March 6, 2017, a 2009 BMW M3 passed an OIS inspection at FIC Smog. FIC Smog issued a Certificate of Compliance using smog check inspector license number EO 152881, belonging to Respondent Farries. The Test Detail shows that no eVIN for this vehicle was transmitted, the transmitted communication protocol was I914, and the transmitted PID count was 20.

Comparative OIS test data of other 2009 BMW M3s reveals the correct eVIN is transmitted, the expected communication protocol is ICAN11bt5, and the expected PID count is 16, 44, 44/16, 46, 46/16, 48, or 48/16. Additionally, OIS Test Data reveals that the same 2009 BMW M3 was inspected on March 1, 2017, and failed the inspection. During that inspection, the correct eVIN was transmitted, the communication protocol was ICAN11bt5, and the PID count was 48. The discrepancies in the OIS Test Data prove that the OIS Data Acquisition Device (DAD) was not connected to the 2009 BMW M3 being certified, causing the issuance of illegal smog Certificate of Compliance.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

39. Respondent White's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration for FIC Smog, ARD 225452, is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that White made or authorized statements that he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known were untrue or misleading, as follows: White certified that the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, White conducted inspections on the vehicles using clean-plugging methods in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles, and did not actually test or inspect the vehicles as required by Health and Safety Code section 44012.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

40. Respondent White's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration for FIC Smog, ARD 225452, is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that White committed acts that constitute fraud by issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 41. Respondent White's Smog Check Test-Only Station license for FIC Smog, TC 225452, is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that White failed to comply with provisions of the Health and Safety Code, as set forth in paragraph 38, above.
- a. Health and Safety Code section 44012, subdivision (a): White failed to ensure that all emission control devices and systems required by law for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, were installed and functioning correctly in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- b. Health and Safety Code section 44012, subdivision (f): White failed to ensure that the emission control tests were performed on the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- c. Health and Safety Code section 44015, subdivision (b): White issued electronic smog certificates for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, without ensuring that the vehicles were properly tested and inspected to determine if it was in compliance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.
- d. Health and Safety Code section 44059: White willfully made false entries for electronic certificates of compliance for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, by certifying the vehicles had been inspected as required when, in fact, they had not.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 42. Respondent White's Smog Check Test-Only Station license for FIC Smog, TC 225452, is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that White failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, Title 16, as set forth in paragraph 38, above.
- a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** White falsely or fraudulently issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above.

- b. Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): White issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, even though the vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.
- c. **Section 3340.42:** White failed to ensure that the required smog tests were conducted on the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
- d. Section 3373: White created a false and misleading record by issuing a document that was false and misleading.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

43. Respondent White's Smog Check Test-Only Station license for FIC Smog, TC 225452, is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that White committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 44. Respondent Farries' Smog Check Inspector license no. EO 152881 is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Farries failed to comply with the following provisions of the Health and Safety Code:
- a. Health and Safety Code section 44032: Farries failed to ensure that all emission control devices and systems required by law for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, were installed and functioning correctly in accordance with test procedures.
- b. **Health and Safety Code section 44059:** Farries willfully made false entries for electronic certificates of compliance for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, by certifying that those vehicles have been inspected is required when, in fact, they had not.

///

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Use Proper Test Methods Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 45. Respondent Farries's Smog Check Inspector license no. EO 152881 is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Farries failed to comply with the following provisions of California Code of Regulations, Title 16:
- a. Section 3430, subdivision (a): Farries failed to inspect and test the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, in accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 44012 and 44035, and CCR section 3340.42.
- b. Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Farries intentionally permitted false information about the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, to be entered into the department's Emissions Inspection System.
- c. Section 3340.42: Farries failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

46. Respondent Farries's Smog Check Inspector license no. EO 152881 is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Farries committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by causing an electronic certificate of compliance to be issued for the vehicles described in paragraph 38, above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

47. On February 20, 2018, in the San Diego Superior Court, case number SCN 380551, Respondent Farries pled guilty to a violation of Vehicle Code section 4463(a)(2), admitting that he knowingly and unlawfully and with the intent to defraud passed a certificate knowing it to be altered. As part of the plea agreement, the court ordered that Respondent Farries

conduct no smog inspections for three years. At the sentencing hearing on March 21, 2018, the court reduced the conviction to a misdemeanor. Respondent Farries was required to serve 17 days in county jail, including good behavior credits, with an additional 180 days stayed pending successful completion of probation. Respondent Farries probation includes a Fourth Amendment waiver, to expire on March 20, 2021, various fines and fees, and an order not to engage "in any employment involving smog certifications."

- 48. A citation was issued to Respondent Farries' Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License on October 19, 2010. Respondent Farries was required to complete a 68-hour training course.
- 49. A citation was issued to Respondent Farries' Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License on August 22, 2007. Respondent Farries was required to complete a 16-hour training course.
- 50. A citation was issued to Respondent Farries' Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License on May 23, 2007. Respondent Farries was required to complete an 8-hour training course.

OTHER MATTERS

- 51. Under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may invalidate temporarily or permanently or refuse to validate, the registrations for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.
- 52. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Test-Only Station License Number TC 225452, issued to Bryan Anthony White, doing business as FIC Smog, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.
- 53. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 152881, issued to Joe Hoang Farries, is revoked or suspended, any additional license

(BRYAN A. WHITE DBA FIC SMOG, BRYAN ANTHONY WHITE, and JOE HOANG FARRIES)

ACCUSATION