

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**BEFORE THE
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 79/13-48

**CITY SMOG & LUBE; DO, DIEN THI,
OWNER; PHAM, NAM; NGUYEN, LONG
NGOC
1502 N. Wilson Way
Stockton, CA 95205
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.
ARD 264744
Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No.
TC 264744,**

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

[Gov. Code, §11520]

**NAM PHAM
4166 Riverbrook Court
Stockton, CA 95219
Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
No. EA 133709,**

and

**LONG NGOC NGUYEN
736 Castle Oak Drive
Stockton, CA 95210
Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
License No. EA 630502**

Respondents.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1
2 1. On or about February 6, 2013, Complainant John Wallauch, in his official capacity as
3 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation
4 No. 79/13-48 against City Smog & Lube; Do, Dien Thi, Owner; Pham, Nam; and Nguyen, Long
5 Ngoc (Respondents) before the Director of Consumer Affairs. (Accusation attached as Exh. A.)

6 **City Smog & Lube; Dien Thi Do, Owner**

7 2. On or about April 22, 2011, the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") issued
8 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 264744 to Dien Thi Do ("Respondent
9 Do"), owner of City Smog & Lube. Respondent's registration is currently under suspension, as
10 set forth in paragraph 6 below, and will expire on April 30, 2013, unless renewed.

11 3. On or about May 2, 2011, the Director issued Smog Check, Test Only, Station
12 License Number TC 264744 ("smog check station license") to Respondent Do. Respondent's
13 smog check station license is currently under suspension, as set forth in paragraph 6 below, and
14 will expire on April 30, 2013, unless renewed.

15 **Nam Pham**

16 4. In or about 1998, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
17 License Number EA 133709 ("technician license") to Nam Pham ("Respondent Pham").
18 Respondent Pham's technician license is currently under suspension, as set forth in paragraph 6
19 below, and will expire on February 28, 2014, unless renewed.

20 **Long Ngoc Nguyen**

21 5. On or about September 26, 2008, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist
22 Technician License Number EA 630502 ("technician license") to Long Ngoc Nguyen
23 ("Respondent Nguyen"). Respondent's technician license was under suspension, as set forth in
24 paragraph 6 below, but dissolved by operation of law on January 28, 2013, and will expire on
25 March 31, 2014, unless renewed.

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 **Interim Suspension Order**

2 6. On January 11, 2013, in the disciplinary proceeding entitled "In the Matter of the Ex
3 Parte Interim Suspension Order Against: Dien Thi Do", et al., the Office of Administrative
4 Hearings issued an *ex parte* interim order pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Bus. &
5 Prof. Code") section 494 suspending Respondent Do's registration and smog check station license
6 and Respondents Pham's and Nguyen's technician licenses until an Accusation is filed and a
7 decision issued thereon. At the *ex parte* Interim Suspension Order Hearing of January 11, 2013,
8 Respondents Do and Pham waived their right to a noticed Interim Suspension Order hearing
9 pursuant to Code section 494, subsection (b), but Respondent Nguyen was not present at the *ex*
10 *parte* hearing and waived their right to a hearing on the impending Accusation. Although
11 Petitioner served the January 11, 2013 *ex parte* Interim Suspension Order on all Respondents, it
12 failed to serve the ISO Petition and supporting documents on Respondent Nguyen, and therefore,
13 the *ex parte* Interim Suspension Order as to Respondent Nguyen was dissolved by operation of
14 law on January 28, 2013, pursuant to Code section 494, subsection (b).

15 7. On or about February 11, 2013, Respondents were served by Certified and First Class
16 Mail copies of the Accusation No. 79/13-48, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense,
17 Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6,
18 and 11507.7) at Respondents' address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions
19 Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's
20 address of record was and is:

21 CITY SMOG & LUBE; DO, DIEN THI, OWNER
22 1502 N. Wilson Way
23 Stockton, CA 95205

24 NAM PHAM
25 4166 Riverbrook Court
26 Stockton, CA 95219

27 LONG NGOC NGUYEN
28 736 Castle Oak Drive
29 Stockton, CA 95210

1 8. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
2 Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and Business & Professions Code section 124.

3 9. On or about February 12, 2013 Respondents Nam Pham, Dien Thai Do, and Long
4 Nguyen signed a certified mail receipt acknowledging receipt of Accusation package No. 79/13-
5 48, that was received by the Office of the Attorney General on or about February 19, 2012.

6 10. On or about January 11, 2013, Respondents City Smog & Lube, Dien Thai Do, owner
7 and Nam Pham waived their right to a hearing on the Accusation in open court and agreed that
8 the Bureau could take their default and revoke their licenses during the ISO hearing of January
9 11, 2013. On February 15, 2013, Respondent Long Ngoc Nguyen waived his right to a hearing
10 on the Accusation and agreed that the Bureau could take a default and revoke his license, during a
11 telephone conversation with Deputy Attorney General Jeffrey M. Phillips

12 11. Respondents have failed to serve a Notice of Defense in response to the Accusations
13 that were served upon them.

14 12. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

15 (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
16 files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts
17 of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
18 constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion
19 may nevertheless grant a hearing.

20 13. Respondents failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them
21 of the Accusation, and have affirmatively and freely waived their right to a hearing on the merits
22 of Accusation No. 79/13-48.

23 14. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

24 (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
25 hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
26 or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to
27 respondent.

28 15. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director after
having reviewed the proof of service dated February 11, 2013, signed by Praveen K. Singh, and
acknowledgement of the waivers requested by Respondents, find Respondents are in default. The
Director will take action without further hearing and, based on Accusation, No. 79/13-48, proof

1 of service, return receipt of the Accusation Package sent via certified mail and on the Affidavit of
2 Bureau Representative Michael Sacco, and finds that the allegations in Accusation are true.

3 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

4 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondents City Smog & Lube; Dien Thi
5 Do, Owner; Nam Pham; and Long Ngoc Nguyen have subjected their Automotive Repair Dealer
6 Registration, Smog Check, Test Only, Station License, and Advanced Emission Specialist
7 Technician Licenses to discipline.

8 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

9 3. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Automotive
10 Repair Dealer Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which
11 are supported by the evidence contained in the affidavit of Bureau Representative Michael Sacco
12 in this case.

13 ORDER

14 IT IS SO ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 264744 and
15 Smog Check, Test Only, Station License Number TC 264744 issued to Respondent City Smog &
16 Lube, Dien Thi Do, Owner; Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License Number EA
17 133709 issued to Respondent Nam Pham, and Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License
18 Number EA 630502 issued to Long Ngoc Nguyen are revoked.

19 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondents may serve a
20 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
21 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The motion should be sent to the
22 Bureau of Automotive Repair, ATTN: William D. Thomas, 10949 North Mather Blvd., Rancho
23 Cordova, CA 95670. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing
24 on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

25 This Decision shall become effective on 5/29/13.

26 It is so ORDERED May 1, 2013

27 
28 _____
DONALD CHANG
Assistant Chief Counsel
Department of Consumer Affairs

1 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 JANICE K. LACHMAN
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 154990
1300 I Street, Suite 125
5 P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
6 Telephone: (916) 324-6292
Facsimile: (916) 327-8643
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 79/13-48

13 **CITY SMOG & LUBE**
14 **DIEN THI DO, OWNER**
1502 N. Wilson Way
Stockton, CA 95205

ACCUSATION

Smog Check

16 **Automotive Repair Dealer Reg. No. ARD 264744**
17 **Smog Check, Test Only, Station License**
18 **No. TC 264744,**

19 **NAM PHAM**
4166 Riverbrook Court
20 Stockton, CA 95219

21 **Advanced Emission Specialist Technician**
22 **License No. EA 133709,**

and

23 **LONG NGOC NGUYEN**
736 Castle Oak Drive
24 Stockton, CA 95210

25 **Advanced Emission Specialist Technician**
26 **License No. EA 630502**

Respondents.

27 ///

28 ///

///

1 Complainant alleges:

2 **PARTIES/LICENSE INFORMATION**

3 1. John Wallauch ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity
4 as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs.

5 **City Smog & Lube; Dien Thi Do, Owner**

6 2. On or about April 22, 2011, the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") issued
7 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 264744 ("registration") to Dien Thi Do
8 ("Respondent Do" or "Do"), owner of City Smog & Lube. Respondent's registration is currently
9 under suspension, as set forth in paragraph 6 below, and will expire on April 30, 2013, unless
10 renewed.

11 3. On or about May 2, 2011, the Director issued Smog Check, Test Only, Station
12 License Number TC 264744 ("smog check station license") to Respondent Do. Respondent's
13 smog check station license is currently under suspension, as set forth in paragraph 6 below, and
14 will expire on April 30, 2013, unless renewed.

15 **Nam Pham**

16 4. In or about 1998, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
17 License Number EA 133709 ("technician license") to Nam Pham ("Respondent Pham" or
18 "Pham"). Respondent's technician license is currently under suspension, as set forth in paragraph
19 6 below, and will expire on February 28, 2014, unless renewed.

20 **Long Ngoc Nguyen**

21 5. On or about September 26, 2008, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist
22 Technician License Number EA 630502 ("technician license") to Long Ngoc Nguyen
23 ("Respondent Nguyen" or "Nguyen"). Respondent's technician license was under suspension, as
24 set forth in paragraph 6 below, but dissolved by operation of law on January 28, 2013, and will
25 expire on March 31, 2014, unless renewed.

26 **Interim Suspension Order**

27 6. On January 11, 2013, in the disciplinary proceeding entitled "In the Matter of the Ex
28 Parte Interim Suspension Order Against: Dien Thi Do", et al., the Office of Administrative

1 Hearings issued an *ex parte* interim order pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Bus. &
2 Prof. Code") section 494 suspending Respondent Do's registration and smog check station license
3 and Respondents Pham's and Nguyen's technician licenses until the within Accusation is filed and
4 a decision is issued thereon. At the *ex parte* Interim Suspension Order Hearing on January 11,
5 2013, Respondents Do and Pham waived their right to a noticed Interim Suspension Order
6 hearing pursuant to Code section 494, subsection (b), but Respondent Nguyen was not present at
7 the *ex parte* hearing. Although Petitioner served the January 11, 2013 *ex parte* Interim
8 Suspension Order on all Respondents, it failed to serve the Interim Suspension Order Petition and
9 supporting documents on Respondent Nguyen, and therefore, the *ex parte* Interim Suspension
10 Order as to Respondent Nguyen was dissolved by operation of law on January 28, 2013, pursuant
11 to Code section 494, subsection (b).

12 JURISDICTION

13 7. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 provides that the Director may revoke an
14 automotive repair dealer registration.

15 8. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a
16 valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
17 proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently
18 invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

19 9. Health and Safety Code ("Health & Saf. Code") section 44002 provides, in pertinent
20 part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act
21 for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

22 10. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or
23 suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer
24 Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director
25 of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 15. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:

2 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action
3 against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or
4 director thereof, does any of the following:

5 (a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection
6 Program (Health and Saf. Code § 44000, et seq.)) and the regulations adopted
7 pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.

8

9 (c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this
10 chapter.

11 (d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby
12 another is injured . . .

13 16. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8 states that when a license has been revoked or
14 suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter
15 in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

16 **COST RECOVERY**

17 17. Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request
18 the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
19 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
20 and enforcement of the case.

21 **VIDEO SURVEILLANCE OPERATION OF AUGUST 13, 2012**

22 18. On August 13, 2012, from 0841 to 1520 hours, a representative of the Bureau
23 conducted a video surveillance operation of Respondent Do's smog check facility. The
24 surveillance video and information obtained from the Bureau's vehicle information database
25 ("VID") revealed that Respondent Pham issued electronic smog certificates of compliance on
26 behalf of Do, certifying that he had tested and inspected the vehicles identified below and that the
27 vehicles were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Pham performed the
28 smog inspections on the vehicles using clean piping¹ and "clean-plugging" methods², resulting in
the issuance of fraudulent electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles.

¹ Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.1, subdivision (t),
(continued...)

Time of Inspection	Vehicle Certified & License No.	Certificate No.	Bureau's Findings
1. 1257 - 1324	1993 Toyota Corolla; CA License No. 5FLX019	OO907198C	Vehicle was clean piped; Nguyen assisted Pham during the inspection
2. 1417 - 1427	2000 Dodge Stratus; CA License No. 4MHX590	OO907199C	Vehicle was clean piped and clean plugged; Nguyen assisted Pham during the inspection

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

19. Respondent Do's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which she knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:

a. Respondent Do's technician, Respondent Pham, certified that vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Pham used clean piping methods in order to issue certificates for the vehicles and did not test or inspect the vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

b. Respondent Do's technician, Respondent Pham, certified that vehicle 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Pham conducted the inspection on vehicle 2 using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle during the OBD II functional test³ in order to issue a

"clean piping" means the use of a sample of the exhaust emissions of one vehicle in order to cause the EIS (Emissions Inspection System) to issue a certificate of compliance for another vehicle.

² Clean-plugging is the use of the OBD II readiness monitor status and stored fault code (trouble code) status of a passing vehicle for the purpose of illegally issuing a smog certificate to another vehicle that is not in compliance due to a failure to complete the minimum number of self tests, known as monitors, or due to the presence of a stored fault code that indicates an emission control system or component failure.

³ The On Board Diagnostics (OBD II) functional test is an automated function of the BAR-97 analyzer. During the OBD II functional test, the technician is required to connect an interface cable from the BAR-97 analyzer to a Diagnostic Link Connector (DLC) which is located inside the vehicle. Through the DLC, the BAR-97 analyzer automatically retrieves information from the vehicle's on-board computer about the status of the readiness indicators, trouble codes, and the MIL (malfunction indicator light). If the vehicle fails the OBD II

(continued...)

1 smog certificate of compliance for vehicle 2, and did not test or inspect vehicle 2 as required by
2 Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

3 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

4 **(Fraud)**

5 20. Respondent Do's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
6 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts that constitute fraud
7 by issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph
8 18 above, without ensuring that bona fide inspections were performed of the emission control
9 devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
10 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

11 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

13 21. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
14 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
15 provisions of that Code, as follows:

16 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
17 performed on vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, in accordance with procedures
18 prescribed by the department.

19 b. **Section 44015:** Respondent issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for
20 vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, without ensuring that the vehicles were
21 properly tested and inspected to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Saf. Code
22 section 44012.

23 //

24 //

25 //

26
27
28 functional test, it will fail the overall inspection.

1 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
3 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

4 22. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
5 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
6 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

7 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent Do issued electronic smog certificates
8 of compliance for vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, even though those vehicles
9 had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

10 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent Do authorized or permitted
11 Respondent Pham to enter false information into the EIS unit by entering vehicle identification
12 information or emission control system identification data for vehicles other than the ones being
13 tested.

14 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Do failed to ensure that the required smog tests were
15 conducted on vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, in accordance with the Bureau's
16 specifications.

17 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

19 23. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
20 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed dishonest,
21 fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic smog certificates of
22 compliance for vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, without ensuring that bona fide
23 inspections were performed of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby
24 depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle
25 Inspection Program.

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

3 24. Respondent Pham's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
4 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
5 section 44012 of that Code, as follows: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
6 on vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, in accordance with procedures prescribed
7 by the department.

8 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

9 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
10 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

11 25. Respondent Pham's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
12 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
13 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

14 a. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)**: Respondent failed to inspect and test vehicles 1
15 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012
16 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

17 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent entered false information into the EIS
18 by entering vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data for
19 vehicles other than the ones being tested.

20 c. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on vehicles 1
21 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

22 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

24 26. Respondent Pham's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
25 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed dishonest,
26 fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic smog certificates of
27 compliance for vehicles 1 and 2, identified in paragraph 18 above, without performing bona fide
28 inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the

1 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection
2 Program.

3 **VIDEO SURVEILLANCE OPERATION OF AUGUST 14, 2012**

4 27. On August 14, 2012, from 0832 to 1713 hours, a representative of the Bureau
5 conducted a video surveillance operation of Respondent Do's smog check facility. The
6 surveillance video and information obtained from the Bureau's VID revealed that Respondents
7 Pham and Nguyen issued electronic smog certificates of compliance on behalf of Do, certifying
8 that they had tested and inspected the vehicles identified below and that the vehicles were in
9 compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Pham and Nguyen performed the smog
10 inspections on the vehicles using clean piping and clean-plugging methods, resulting in the
11 issuance of fraudulent electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles.

12 Time of Inspection	Vehicle Certified & License No.	Certificate No.	Bureau's Findings
13 3. 1022 - 1036	1990 Acura Integra; CA License No. 5PCZ210	OQ030553C	Pham performed the inspection; the vehicle was clean piped.
14 4. 1050 - 1110	2000 Kia Sephia; CA License No. 6MTN551	OQ030554C	Pham performed the inspection; the vehicle was clean plugged.
16 Time of Inspection	Vehicle Certified & License No.	Certificate No.	Bureau's Findings
17 5. 1319 - 1333	1996 Volkswagen Jetta III; CA License No. 3SHH747	OQ030555C	Nguyen performed the inspection assisted by Pham (Nguyen issued the certificate); the vehicle was clean piped.
18 6. 1434 - 1451	1993 Toyota Corolla; CA License No. 4YZL831	OQ030557C	Pham performed the inspection assisted by Nguyen (Pham issued the certificate); the vehicle was clean piped
19 7. 1624 - 1641	1994 Honda Accord; CA License No. 4EQF686	OQ030559C	Pham performed the inspection; the vehicle was clean piped

22 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

24 28. Respondent Do's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
25 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which
26 she knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as
27 follows:
28

1 a. Respondent Do's technician, Respondent Pham, certified that vehicles 3, 6, and 7,
2 identified in paragraph 27 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable
3 laws and regulations. In fact, Pham used clean piping methods in order to issue certificates for
4 the vehicles and did not test or inspect the vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section
5 44012.

6 b. Respondent Do's technician, Respondent Pham, certified that vehicle 4, identified in
7 paragraph 27 above, had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and
8 regulations. In fact, Pham conducted the inspection on vehicle 4 using clean-plugging methods in
9 that he substituted or used a different vehicle during the OBD II functional test in order to issue a
10 smog certificate of compliance for vehicle 4, and did not test or inspect vehicle 4 as required by
11 Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

12 c. Respondent Do's technician, Respondent Nguyen, certified that vehicle 5, identified
13 in paragraph 27 above, had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and
14 regulations. In fact, Nguyen used clean piping methods in order to issue a certificate for the
15 vehicle and did not test or inspect the vehicle as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

16 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Fraud)**

18 29. Respondent Do's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
19 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts that constitute fraud
20 by issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for vehicles 3 through 7, identified in
21 paragraph 27 above, without ensuring that bona fide inspections were performed of the emission
22 control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of
23 California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

24 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

26 30. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
27 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
28 provisions of that Code, as follows:

1 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
2 performed on vehicles 3 through 7, identified in paragraph 27 above, in accordance with
3 procedures prescribed by the department.

4 b. **Section 44015:** Respondent issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for
5 vehicles 3 through 7, identified in paragraph 27 above, without ensuring that the vehicles were
6 properly tested and inspected to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Saf. Code
7 section 44012.

8 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

9 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
10 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

11 31. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
12 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
13 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

14 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent Do issued electronic smog certificates
15 of compliance for vehicles 3 through 7, identified in paragraph 27 above, even though those
16 vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

17 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent Do authorized or permitted
18 Respondents Pham and Nguyen to enter false information into the EIS unit by entering vehicle
19 identification information or emission control system identification data for vehicles other than
20 the ones being tested.

21 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Do failed to ensure that the required smog tests were
22 conducted on vehicles 3 through 7, identified in paragraph 27 above, in accordance with the
23 Bureau's specifications.

24 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

26 32. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
27 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed dishonest,
28 fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic smog certificates of

1 compliance for vehicles 3 through 7, identified in paragraph 27 above, without ensuring that bona
2 fide inspections were performed of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles,
3 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
4 Vehicle Inspection Program.

5 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

6 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

7 33. Respondent Pham's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
8 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
9 section 44012 of that Code, as follows: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
10 on vehicles 3, 4, 6, and 7, identified in paragraph 27 above, in accordance with procedures
11 prescribed by the department.

12 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

13 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
14 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

15 34. Respondent Pham's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
16 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
17 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

18 a. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test vehicles 3, 4,
19 6, and 7, identified in paragraph 27 above, in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012
20 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

21 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent entered false information into the EIS
22 by entering vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data for
23 vehicles other than the ones being tested.

24 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on vehicles 3,
25 4, 6, and 7, identified in paragraph 27 above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on vehicle 5,
2 identified in paragraph 27 above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

3 **NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

4 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

5 38. Respondent Nguyen's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
6 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed a dishonest,
7 fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog certificate of
8 compliance for vehicle 5, identified in paragraph 27 above, without performing a bona fide
9 inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the
10 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection
11 Program.

12 **VIDEO SURVEILLANCE OPERATION OF AUGUST 15, 2012**

13 39. On August 15, 2012, from 0831 to 1732 hours, a representative of the Bureau
14 conducted a video surveillance operation of Respondent Do's smog check facility. The
15 surveillance video and information obtained from the Bureau's VID revealed that Respondents
16 Nguyen and Pham issued electronic smog certificates of compliance on behalf of Do, certifying
17 that they had tested and inspected the vehicles identified below and that the vehicles were in
18 compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Nguyen and Pham performed the smog
19 inspections on the vehicles using clean piping and clean-plugging methods, resulting in the
20 issuance of fraudulent electronic smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles.

21

Time of Inspection	Vehicle Certified & License No.	Certificate No.	Bureau's Findings
22 8. 1050 - 1106	1997 Nissan pickup; CA License No. 7F28947	OQ030560C	Nguyen performed the inspection; the vehicle was clean piped.
23 9. 1221 - 1250	2000 Volkswagen GTI; CA License No. 4SWL082	OQ030562C	Pham performed the inspection; the vehicle was clean piped.
24 10. 1613 - 1626	1999 Saturn SL; CA License No. 6PUW565	OQ030563C	Pham performed the inspection assisted by Nguyen (Pham issued the certificate); the vehicle was clean piped and clean plugged.
25 11. 1638 - 1654	1997 Honda Civic; CA License No. 6MTT963	OQ030564C	Pham performed the inspection assisted by Nguyen (Pham issued the certificate); the vehicle was clean piped and clean plugged

26
27
28

1 **TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

3 40. Respondent Do's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
4 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which
5 she knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as
6 follows:

7 a. Respondent Do's technician, Respondent Nguyen, certified that vehicle 8, identified
8 in paragraph 39 above, had passed inspection and was in compliance with applicable laws and
9 regulations. In fact, Nguyen used clean piping methods in order to issue a certificate for the
10 vehicle and did not test or inspect the vehicle as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

11 b. Respondent Do's technician, Respondent Pham, certified that vehicles 9 through 11,
12 identified in paragraph 39 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable
13 laws and regulations. In fact, Pham used clean piping methods in order to issue certificates for
14 the vehicles and did not test or inspect the vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section
15 44012.

16 c. Respondent Do's technician, Respondent Pham, certified that vehicles 10 and 11,
17 identified in paragraph 39 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable
18 laws and regulations. In fact, Pham conducted the inspections on vehicles 10 and 11 using clean-
19 plugging methods in that he substituted or used different vehicles during the OBD II functional
20 test in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for vehicles 10 and 11, and did not test or
21 inspect vehicles 10 and 11 as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

22 **TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Fraud)**

24 41. Respondent Do's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
25 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts that constitute fraud
26 by issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for vehicles 8 through 11, identified in
27 paragraph 39 above, without ensuring that bona fide inspections were performed of the emission

28 ///

1 control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of
2 California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

3 **TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

4 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

5 42. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
6 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
7 provisions of that Code, as follows:

8 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
9 performed on vehicles 8 through 11, identified in paragraph 39 above, in accordance with
10 procedures prescribed by the department.

11 b. **Section 44015:** Respondent issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for
12 vehicles 8 through 11, identified in paragraph 39 above, without ensuring that the vehicles were
13 properly tested and inspected to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Saf. Code
14 section 44012.

15 **TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
17 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

18 43. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
19 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
20 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

21 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent Do issued electronic smog certificates
22 of compliance for vehicles 8 through 11, identified in paragraph 39 above, even though those
23 vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

24 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent Do authorized or permitted
25 Respondents Pham and Nguyen to enter false information into the EIS unit by entering vehicle
26 identification information or emission control system identification data for vehicles other than
27 the ones being tested.

28 ///

1 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Do failed to ensure that the required smog tests were
2 conducted on vehicles 8 through 11, identified in paragraph 39 above, in accordance with the
3 Bureau's specifications.

4 **TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

5 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

6 44. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
7 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed dishonest,
8 fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic smog certificates of
9 compliance for vehicles 8 through 11, identified in paragraph 39 above, without ensuring that
10 bona fide inspections were performed of the emission control devices and systems on the
11 vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the
12 Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

13 **TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

15 45. Respondent Nguyen's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
16 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
17 section 44012 of that Code, as follows: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests
18 on vehicle 8, identified in paragraph 39 above, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the
19 department.

20 **TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
22 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

23 46. Respondent Nguyen's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
24 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
25 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

26 a. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test vehicle 8,
27 identified in paragraph 39 above, in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and
28 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

1 **TWENTY-NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
3 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

4 49. Respondent Pham's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
5 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
6 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

7 a. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test vehicles 9
8 through 11, identified in paragraph 39 above, in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections
9 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

10 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent entered false information into the EIS
11 by entering vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data for
12 vehicles other than the ones being tested.

13 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on vehicles 9
14 through 11, identified in paragraph 39 above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

15 **THIRTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

17 50. Respondent Pham's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
18 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed dishonest,
19 fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic smog certificates of
20 compliance for vehicles 9 through 11, identified in paragraph 39 above, without performing bona
21 fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving
22 the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection
23 Program.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **THIRTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

3 54. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
4 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
5 provisions of that Code, as follows:

6 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
7 performed on vehicle 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, in accordance with procedures
8 prescribed by the department.

9 b. **Section 44015:** Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for
10 vehicle 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, without ensuring that the vehicle was properly tested
11 and inspected to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

12 **THIRTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

13 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
14 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

15 55. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
16 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
17 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

18 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent Do issued an electronic smog
19 certificate of compliance for vehicle 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, even though the vehicle
20 had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42.

21 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent Do authorized or permitted
22 Respondent Nguyen to enter false information into the EIS unit by entering vehicle identification
23 information or emission control system identification data for a vehicle other than the one being
24 tested.

25 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Do failed to ensure that the required smog tests were
26 conducted on vehicle 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, in accordance with the Bureau's
27 specifications.

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THIRTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

56. Respondent Do's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed a dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for vehicle 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, without ensuring that a bona fide inspection was performed of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

THIRTY-SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

57. Respondent Nguyen's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with section 44012 of that Code, as follows: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests on vehicle 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

THIRTY-SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

58. Respondent Nguyen's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

a. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)**: Respondent failed to inspect and test vehicle 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent entered false information into the EIS by entering vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data for a vehicle other than the one being tested.

1 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on vehicle
2 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

3 **THIRTY-EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

4 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

5 59. Respondent Nguyen's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
6 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed a dishonest,
7 fraudulent or deceitful act whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog certificate of
8 compliance for vehicle 12, identified in paragraph 51 above, without performing a bona fide
9 inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the
10 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection
11 Program.

12 **MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION**

13 60. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents Pham
14 and Nguyen, Complainant alleges as follows:

15 **Respondent Pham**

16 a. On or about June 18, 2004, the Bureau issued Citation No. M04-0620 against
17 Respondent Pham for violations of Health & Saf. Code section 44032 (qualified technicians shall
18 perform tests of emission control systems and devices in accordance with Health & Saf. Code
19 section 44012); and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 3340.30,
20 subdivision (a) (qualified technicians shall inspect, test and repair vehicles in accordance with
21 Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035 and Regulation 3340.42). Respondent was
22 directed to complete an 8 hour training course. Respondent completed the training on July 19,
23 2004.

24 b. On or about July 10, 2008, the Bureau issued Citation No. M09-0023 against
25 Respondent Pham for violations of Health & Saf. Code section 44032 (qualified technicians shall
26 perform tests of emission control systems and devices in accordance with Health & Saf. Code
27 section 44012); and Regulation 3340.30, subdivision (a) (qualified technicians shall inspect, test
28 and repair vehicles in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035 and

1 Regulation 3340.42). Respondent was directed to complete an 8 hour training course.

2 Respondent completed the training on August 18, 2008.

3 c. On or about October 17, 2008, the Bureau issued Citation No. M09-0383 against
4 Respondent Pham for violations of Health & Saf. Code section 44032 (qualified technicians shall
5 perform tests of emission control systems and devices in accordance with Health & Saf. Code
6 section 44012); and Regulation 3340.30, subdivision (a) (qualified technicians shall inspect, test
7 and repair vehicles in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035 and
8 Regulation 3340.42). Respondent was directed to complete a 16 hour training course.

9 Respondent completed the training on November 22, 2008.

10 **Respondent Nguyen**

11 d. On or about May 6, 2009, the Bureau issued Citation No. M09-1278 against
12 Respondent Nguyen for violations of Health & Saf. Code section 44032 (qualified technicians
13 shall perform tests of emission control systems and devices in accordance with Health & Saf.
14 Code section 44012); and Regulation 3340.30, subdivision (a) (qualified technicians shall inspect,
15 test and repair vehicles in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035 and
16 Regulation 3340.42). Respondent was directed to complete an 8 hour training course.

17 Respondent completed the training on May 30, 2009.

18 **OTHER MATTERS**

19 61. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may
20 suspend, revoke or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this
21 state by Respondent Dien Thi Do, owner of City Smog & Lube, upon a finding that said
22 Respondent has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and
23 regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

24 62. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check, Test Only, Station
25 License Number TC 264744, issued to Respondent Dien Thi Do, owner of City Smog & Lube, is
26 revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said
27 licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

28 ///

