

BEFORE THE DIRECTOR
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

76 SMOG CENTER
MICHAEL ISSA BATARSEH, OWNER
1000 N State College Blvd
Anaheim, CA 92806

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.
ARD 200012

Smog Check Station License No. RC 200012

and

MICHAEL ISSA BATARSEH
1000 N State College Blvd
Anaheim, CA 92806

Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
No. EA 313498 (to be redesignated upon
renewal as EO 313498 and/or EI 313498)

Respondents.

Case No. 79/13-14

OAH No. 2012100167

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective

7/24/13

DATED: July 3, 2013



DOREATHEA JOHNSON
Deputy Director, Legal Affairs
Department of Consumer Affairs

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 JAMES M. LEDAKIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 ADRIAN R. CONTRERAS
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 267200
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2634
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
E-mail: Adrian.Contreras@doj.ca.gov
8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9 **BEFORE THE**
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
11 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
13 **76 SMOG CENTER,**
MICHAEL ISSA BATARSEH, OWNER
14 **1000 N State College Blvd**
Anaheim, CA 92806
15
16 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.**
ARD 200012
17
18 **Smog Check Station License No. RC 200012**
19 **and**
20 **MICHAEL ISSA BATARSEH**
1000 N State College Blvd
21 **Anaheim, CA 92806**
22 **Advanced Emission Specialist Technician**
No. EA 313498 (to be redesignated upon
23 **renewal as EO 313498 and/or EI 313498).**
24 Respondents.

Case No. 79/13-14
OAH No. 2012100167
STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
DISCIPLINARY ORDER

26 In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public
27 interest and the responsibilities of the Director of Consumer Affairs and the Bureau of
28 Automotive Repair the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and

1 Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the Director for the Director's approval and
2 adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation.

3 PARTIES

4 1. John Wallauch (Complainant) is the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair. He
5 brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D.
6 Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Adrian R. Contreras, Deputy Attorney
7 General.

8 2. Respondent Michael Issa Batarseh is represented in this proceeding by attorney
9 Michael B. Levin, whose address is: 3727 Camino del Rio South, Suite 200, San Diego, CA
10 92108.

11 3. **76 Smog Center, Michael Issa Batarseh, Owner:** On or about June 16, 1998, the
12 Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 200012
13 (the Registration) to Michael Issa Batarseh, Owner of 76 Smog Center. The Registration was in
14 full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31,
15 2013, unless renewed.

16 4. On or about June 18, 1998, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check
17 Station No. RC 200012 (the Smog Check Station License) to Michael Issa Batarseh, Owner of 76
18 Smog Center. The Smog Check Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant
19 to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed.

20 5. **Michael Issa Batarseh, Advanced Emission Specialist Technician:** In or about
21 1996, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician No.
22 313498 to Michael Issa Batarseh. It was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
23 charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2013. Upon timely renewal of the
24 license, it will be redesignated as EO 313498 and/or EI 313498 (collectively the Smog Technician
25 License(s)).¹

26 ¹ Effective August 1, 2012, California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3340.28,
27 3340.29, and 3340.30 were amended to implement a license restructure from the Advanced
28 Emission Specialist Technician (EA) license and Basic Area (EB) Technician license to Smog
Check Inspector (EO) license and/or Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) license.

1 6. **Random Inspections.** Respondent shall provide Bureau representatives unrestricted
2 access to inspect all vehicles (including parts) undergoing repairs, up to and including the point of
3 completion.

4 7. **Jurisdiction.** If an accusation is filed against Respondent during the term of
5 probation, the Director of Consumer Affairs shall have continuing jurisdiction over this matter
6 until the final decision on the accusation, and the period of probation shall be extended until such
7 decision.

8 8. **Violation of Probation.** Should the Director of Consumer Affairs determine that
9 Respondent failed to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, the Director may, after
10 giving notice and opportunity to be heard, temporarily or permanently invalidate the registration
11 and suspend or revoke the licenses.

12 9. **Continuing Education Courses.** During the period of probation, Respondent shall
13 attend and successfully complete the Bureau's Licensed Inspector Training Course II. Said
14 course shall be completed and proof of completion submitted to the Bureau within 180 days of
15 the effective date of this decision and order. If proof of completion of the course is not furnished
16 to the Bureau within the 180-day period, the Smog Check Technician Licenses(s) shall be
17 immediately suspended until such proof is received.

18 10. **Restrictions.** During the period of probation, Respondent shall not perform any form
19 of smog inspection, or emission system diagnosis or repair, until Respondent has purchased,
20 installed, and maintained the diagnostic and repair equipment prescribed by BAR necessary to
21 properly perform such work, and BAR has been given 10 days notice of the availability of the
22 equipment for inspection by a BAR representative.

23 11. **Cost Recovery.** Respondent shall pay to the Bureau cost recovery in the amount of
24 \$9,939.80, payable in twenty four (24) equal installments of \$414.16 with the final payment due
25 twelve (12) months before the termination of probation. Failure to complete payment of cost
26 recovery within this time frame shall constitute a violation of probation which may subject
27 Respondent's licenses and registration to outright revocation; however, the Director or the
28 Director's Bureau of Automotive Repair designee may elect to continue probation until such time

1 as reimbursement of the entire cost recovery amount has been made to the Bureau

2 ACCEPTANCE

3 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully
4 discussed it with my attorney, Michael B. Levin. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will
5 have on my Registration, Smog Check Station License, and Smog Technician License(s). I enter
6 into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently,
7 and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Director of Consumer Affairs.

8
9 DATED: _____ Michael Issa Batarsch 6-14-13
10 MICHAEL ISSA BATARSEE, OWNER
11 76 SMOG CENTER
12 Respondent

13 I have read and fully discussed with Michael Issa Batarsch the terms and conditions and
14 other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its
15 form and content.

16 DATED: 6/14/13 Michael B. Levin
17 MICHAEL B. LEVIN
18 Attorney for Respondent's

19 ENDORSEMENT

20 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
21 submitted for consideration by the Director of Consumer Affairs.

22 Dated: 6/17/13 Respectfully submitted,
23 KAMALA D. HARRIS
24 Attorney General of California
25 JAMES M. LEDAKIS
26 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
27 Adrian R. Contreras
28 ADRIAN R. CONTRERAS
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2012703816 79718831.doc

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 LINDA K. SCHNEIDER
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 ADRIAN R. CONTRERAS
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 267200
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 645-2634
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
E-mail: Adrian.Contreras@doj.ca.gov
8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9 **BEFORE THE**
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
13 **76 SMOG CENTER,**
MICHAEL ISSA BATARSEH, OWNER
14 **1000 N State College Blvd**
Anaheim, CA 92806
15
16 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.**
ARD 200012
17
18 **Smog Check Station License No. RC 200012**
19 **and**
20 **MICHAEL ISSA BATARSEH**
1000 N State College Blvd
21 **Anaheim, CA 92806**
22 **Advanced Emission Specialist Technician**
No. EA 313498 (to be redesignated upon
23 **renewal as EO 313498 and/or EI 313498).**
24 **Respondent.**

Case No. 79/13-14
FIRST AMENDED
ACCUSATION

26 Complainant alleges:

27 ///

28 ///

1 **PARTIES**

2 1. John Wallauch (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as
3 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

4 2. **76 Smog Center; Michael Issa Batarseh, Owner:** On or about June 16, 1998, the
5 Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 200012
6 (the Registration) to Michael Issa Batarseh, Owner of 76 Smog Center (Respondent). The
7 Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
8 will expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed.

9 3. On or about June 18, 1998, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check
10 Station No. RC 200012 (the Smog Check Station License) to Respondent. The Smog Check
11 Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
12 will expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed.

13 4. **Michael Issa Batarseh, Advanced Emission Specialist Technician:** In or about
14 1996, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician No.
15 313498 (the Smog Technician License) to Respondent. The Smog Technician License was in full
16 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December
17 31, 2013. Upon timely renewal of the license, the license will be redesignated as EO 313498
18 and/or EI 313498.¹

19 **JURISDICTION**

20 5. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the
21 Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.

22 6. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,
23 surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed
24 with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored,
25 reissued or reinstated.

26 ¹ Effective August 1, 2012, California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3340.28,
27 3340.29, and 3340.30 were amended to implement a license restructure from the Advanced
28 Emission Specialist Technician (EA) license and Basic Area (EB) Technician license to Smog
Check Inspector (EO) license and/or Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) license.

1 12. Section 477 of the Code states:

2 As used in this division:

3 "(a) 'Board' includes 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,'
4 'examining committee,' 'program,' and 'agency.'

5 "(b) 'License' includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a
6 business or profession regulated by this code."

7 13. Section 9884.7 of the Code states:

8 "(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide
9 error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair
10 dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the
11 automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive
12 technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

13 “(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written
14 or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable
15 care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

16 ". . .

17 “(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

18 ". . .

19 “(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or
20 regulations adopted pursuant to it.

21 ". . .

22 "(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on
23 probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair
24 dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated
25 and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it."

26 14. Code section 9884.8 states:

27 "All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work, shall be
28 recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and parts supplied. Service work

1 and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which shall also state separately the subtotal
2 prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales
3 tax, if any, applicable to each. If any used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied, the invoice
4 shall clearly state that fact. If a part of a component system is composed of new and used, rebuilt
5 or reconditioned parts, that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The invoice shall include a
6 statement indicating whether any crash parts are original equipment manufacturer crash parts or
7 nonoriginal equipment manufacturer aftermarket crash parts. One copy of the invoice shall be
8 given to the customer and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer.”

9 15. Section 44072.2 of the Health and Safety Code states:

10 “The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as
11 provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the
12 following:

13 “(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health
14 and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)) and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the
15 licensed activities.

16 “. . .

17 “(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

18 “(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.

19 “. . . .”

20 16. Section 44072.10 of the Health and Safety Code states:

21 “. . .

22 “(c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station
23 licensee who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent inspection of
24 vehicles. A fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

25 “. . .

26 “(4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure
27 of the department implementing this chapter.

28 “. . . .”

1 17. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:

2 "When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any
3 additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked
4 or suspended by the director."

5 **COSTS**

6 18. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Director may request
7 the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
8 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
9 and enforcement of the case.

10 **FIRST UNDERCOVER RUN – JANUARY 20, 2012**

11 19. On or about January 20, 2012, a Bureau undercover operator drove a Bureau
12 documented 1989 Chevrolet C2500 truck (the truck) with a missing Thermostatic Air Cleaner
13 (TAC) system and presented it to Respondent at 76 Smog Center for a smog inspection.
14 Respondent performed the smog inspection, entered his smog technician license number into the
15 EIS, and Certificate of Compliance [REDACTED] was issued. The operator was never given an
16 invoice for the inspection. After the smog inspection, Bureau representatives inspected the truck
17 and the TAC system was still missing so that the truck should have failed a proper smog
18 inspection.

19 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

20 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

21 20. Respondent's Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 9884.7,
22 subdivision (a)(1) in that Respondent made or authorized statements which Respondent knew or
23 in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading as follows:
24 Respondent certified that the truck had passed a smog inspection and was in compliance with
25 applicable laws and regulations when, in fact, the truck's TAC system was missing and should
26 have failed a proper inspection.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

3 24. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under
4 Health & Safety Code section 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to
5 comply with the following sections of Title 16, California Code of Regulations:

6 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent failed to inspect and test the truck in
7 accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to
8 ensure that it had all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and
9 functioning correctly.

10 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent knowingly entered into the EIS false
11 information about the truck for the condition of the TAC system.

12 c. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog test on the truck in
13 accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

14 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

15 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

16 25. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under
17 Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent
18 committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing a smog
19 certificate of compliance for the truck without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission
20 control devices and systems on it, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
21 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

22 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Violations of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

24 26. Respondent's Smog Technician License is subject to disciplinary action under Health
25 and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to
26 comply with sections 44012 and 44035 of that Code as follows: Respondent failed to perform the
27 emission control tests on the truck in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

28 ///

1 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

3 27. Respondent's Smog Technician License is subject to disciplinary action under Health
4 & Safety Code section 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to
5 comply with the following sections of Title 16, California Code of Regulations:

6 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent failed to inspect and test the truck in
7 accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to
8 ensure that it had all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and
9 functioning correctly.

10 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent knowingly entered into the EIS false
11 information about the truck for the condition of the TAC system.

12 c. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog test on the truck in
13 accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

14 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

15 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

16 28. Respondent's Smog Technician License is subject to disciplinary action under Health
17 and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed
18 dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing a smog certificate of
19 compliance for the truck without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control
20 devices and systems on it, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection
21 afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

22 **SECOND UNDERCOVER RUN – FEBRUARY 13, 2012**

23 29. On or about February 13, 2012, a Bureau undercover operator drove a Bureau
24 documented 1991 Toyota Truck (the Toyota) with missing air suction system components (PAIR)
25 and presented it to Respondent at 76 Smog Center for a smog inspection. Respondent performed
26 the smog inspection, entered his smog technician license number into the EIS, and Certificate of
27 Compliance [REDACTED] was issued. The operator was never given an invoice for the inspection.
28 After the smog inspection, Bureau representatives inspected the Toyota and the air suction system

1 components (PAIR) were still missing so that the Toyota should have failed a proper smog
2 inspection.

3 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

4 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

5 30. Respondent's Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 9884.7,
6 subdivision (a)(1) in that Respondent made or authorized statements which Respondent knew or
7 in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading as follows:
8 Respondent certified that the Toyota had passed a smog inspection and was in compliance with
9 applicable laws and regulations when, in fact, the Toyota's air suction system components (PAIR)
10 were missing and it should have failed a proper inspection.

11 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Fraud)**

13 31. Respondent's Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 9884.7,
14 subdivision (a)(4) in that Respondent committed acts that constitute fraud by issuing a certificate
15 of compliance for the Toyota without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control
16 devices and systems on the Toyota.

17 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Failure to Comply with Code)**

19 32. Respondent's Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 9884.7,
20 subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with the Code section 9884.8 because
21 Respondent never gave the operator an invoice for the smog inspection for the Toyota.

22 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Violations of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

24 33. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under
25 Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed
26 to comply with the following sections of that Code:

27 d. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
28 performed on the Toyota in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

1 e. **Section 44015:** Respondent issued a certificate of compliance for the Toyota without
2 properly testing and inspecting it to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code
3 section 44012.

4 f. **Section 44035:** Respondent failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for
5 qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform a smog
6 inspection on the Toyota.

7 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

9 34. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under
10 Health & Safety Code section 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to
11 comply with the following sections of Title 16, California Code of Regulations:

12 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent failed to inspect and test the Toyota in
13 accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to
14 ensure that it had all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and
15 functioning correctly.

16 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent knowingly entered into the EIS false
17 information about the Toyota for the condition of the air suction system components (PAIR).

18 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog test on the Toyota
19 in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

20 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

22 35. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under
23 Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent
24 committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing a smog
25 certificate of compliance for the Toyota without performing a bona fide inspection of the
26 emission control devices and systems on it, thereby depriving the People of the State of California
27 of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

36. Respondent's Smog Technician License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with sections 44012 and 44035 of that Code as follows: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests on the Toyota in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

37. Respondent's Smog Technician License is subject to disciplinary action under Health & Safety Code section 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of Title 16, California Code of Regulations:

a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent failed to inspect and test the Toyota in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to ensure that it had all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.

b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent knowingly entered into the EIS false information about the Toyota for the condition of the air suction system components (PAIR).

c. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog test on the Toyota in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

38. Respondent's Smog Technician License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10 and 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing a smog certificate of compliance for the Toyota without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on it, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

///

1 **MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION**

2 39. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
3 Complainant alleges that on or about June 25, 2007, Citation No. C07-1051 in the amount of
4 \$500.00 was issued to Respondent. The citation was paid on July 9, 2007.

5 40. Complainant further alleges that on or about September 25, 2007, Citation No. C08-
6 0273 was issued to Respondent in the amount of \$1,000.00. The citation was paid on October 15,
7 2007.

8 41. Complainant further alleges that on or about January 22, 2008, Citation No. C08-
9 0635 was issued to Respondent in the amount of \$2,000.00 and Citation No. M08-0636 was
10 issued to Respondent for an eight hour citation class. On February 14, 2008, Respondent paid the
11 citation, and on February 20, 2008, Respondent completed the citation training class.

12 42. Complainant further alleges that on or about September 27, 2010, Citation No.
13 C2011-0341 was issued to Respondent in the amount of \$2,500.00 and Citation No. M2010-0342
14 was issued to Respondent for a sixteen hour citation class. On November 16, 2010, Respondent
15 completed the citation training class, and on November 17, 2010, Respondent paid the citation.

16 **OTHER MATTERS**

17 43. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may suspend, revoke or
18 place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by Respondent
19 upon a finding that Respondent has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations
20 of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

21 44. Pursuant to Code section 9889.9, if a license is revoked or suspended following a
22 hearing under Article 7 of the Automotive Repair Act, any additional license issued under
23 Articles 5 and 6 of Chapter 20.3 in the name of Respondent may be likewise revoked or
24 suspended.

25 45. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent's Smog Check
26 Station License is revoked or suspended, the Director may likewise revoke or suspend any
27 additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of
28 Respondent.

