

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
2 LINDA K. SCHNEIDER  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
3 LAURO A. PAREDES  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 254663  
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100  
5 San Diego, CA 92101  
P.O. Box 85266  
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266  
Telephone: (619) 645-2091  
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061  
*Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

11  
12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

13 **EMAD ATTALLA WASIF, OWNER,**  
14 **DOING BUSINESS AS**  
15 **EAGLE GLEN MOBIL**  
2261 Eagle Glen Parkway  
Corona, CA 92883

16 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration**  
No. ARD 241812  
17 **Smog Check-Test Only Station License**  
No. TC 241812

18  
19 **ANTONIO OROZCO**  
3609 Kansas Ave  
20 Riverside, CA 92507

21 **Smog Check Inspector License**  
No. EO 150767

22 **Smog Check Repair Technician**  
23 **NO. EI 150767 (formerly Advanced**  
24 **Emission Specialist Technician License No.**  
EA 150767),

25  
26 Respondents.

Case No. 79/15-89

**ACCUSATION**

(SMOG CHECK)

27  
28 ///

1 Complainant alleges:

2 **PARTIES**

3 1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as  
4 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

5 2. On or about October 5, 2005, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive  
6 Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 241812 to Emad Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil  
7 (Respondent Wasif). The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at  
8 all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2015, unless  
9 renewed.

10 3. On or about February 1, 2006, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check-  
11 Test Only Station License Number TC 241812 to Emad Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil  
12 (Respondent Wasif). The Smog Check-Test Only Station License was in full force and effect at  
13 all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2015, unless  
14 renewed.

15 4. On October 31, 2013, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Advanced Emission  
16 Specialist Technician License No. EA 150767 to Antonio Orozco (Respondent Orozco). The  
17 license was cancelled on July 26, 2013. On that date, it was redesignated as Smog Check  
18 Inspector License No. EO 150767 (Inspector License) and Smog Check Repair Technician  
19 License No. EI 150767.<sup>1</sup> The Inspector and Repair Technician licenses were in full force and  
20 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2015,  
21 unless renewed.

22 **JURISDICTION**

23 5. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the  
24 Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> Effective August 1, 2012, California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3340.28,  
27 3340.29, and 3340.30 were amended to implement a license restructure from the Advanced  
28 Emission Specialist Technician (EA) license and Basic Area (EB) Technician license to Smog  
Check Inspector (EO) license and/or Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) license.

1           6.     Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration,  
2     surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a  
3     disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued  
4     or reinstated.

5           7.     Section 9884.13 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid  
6     registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary  
7     proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration  
8     temporarily or permanently.

9           8.     Section 9884.20 of the Code states:

10           “All accusations against automotive repair dealers shall be filed within three years after the  
11     performance of the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with  
12     respect to an accusation alleging fraud or misrepresentation as a ground for disciplinary action,  
13     the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery, by the bureau, of the alleged  
14     facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation.”

15           9.     Section 9884.22 of the Code states:

16           “(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the director may revoke, suspend, or deny  
17     at any time any registration required by this article on any of the grounds for disciplinary action  
18     provided in this article. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with  
19     Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government  
20     Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.

21           “...”

22           10.    Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the  
23     Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing  
24     the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

25           11.    Section 44072 of the Health and Safety Code states:

26           “Any license issued under this chapter and the regulations adopted pursuant to it may be  
27     suspended or revoked by the director. The director may refuse to issue a license to any applicant  
28     for the reasons set forth in Section 44072.1. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted

1 in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2  
2 of the Government Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.”

3 12. Section 44072.4 of the Health and Safety Code states:

4 “The director may take disciplinary action against any licensee after a hearing as provided  
5 in this article by any of the following:

6 “(a) Imposing probation upon terms and conditions to be set forth by the director.

7 “(b) Suspending the license.

8 “(c) Revoking the license.”

9 13. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the  
10 expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director  
11 of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive  
12 the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

13 14. Section 44072.7 of the Health and Safety Code states:

14 "All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission  
15 alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a  
16 violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after  
17 the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation  
18 prohibited by that section."

19 15. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:

20 "When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any  
21 additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked  
22 or suspended by the director."

### 23 **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

24 16. Section 22 of the Code states:

25 "(a) 'Board' as used in any provisions of this Code, refers to the board in which the  
26 administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include  
27 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and  
28 'agency.'

1           "(b) Whenever the regulatory program of a board that is subject to review by the Joint  
2 Committee on Boards, Commissions, and Consumer Protection, as provided for in Division 1.2  
3 (commencing with Section 473), is taken over by the department, that program shall be  
4 designated as a 'bureau.'"

5           17. Section 23.7 of the Code states:

6           "Unless otherwise expressly provided, 'license' means license, certificate, registration, or  
7 other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code or referred to in Section  
8 1000 or 3600."

9           18. Section 9884.7 of the Code states:

10           "(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide  
11 error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair  
12 dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the  
13 automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive  
14 technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

15           "(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written  
16 or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable  
17 care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

18           "...

19           "(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

20           "...

21           "(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on  
22 probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair  
23 dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated  
24 and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it."

25           19. Section 44072.2 of the Health and Safety Code states:

26           "The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as  
27 provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the  
28 following:

1 ///

2 ///

3 "(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health  
4 and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the  
5 licensed activities.

6 "...

7 "(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

8 "(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.

9 "..."

10 20. Section 44072.10 of the Health and Safety Code states:

11 "...

12 "(c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station  
13 licensee who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent inspection of  
14 vehicles. A fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

15 "(1) Clean piping, as defined by the department.

16 "(2) Tampering with a vehicle emission control system or test analyzer system.

17 "(3) Tampering with a vehicle in a manner that would cause the vehicle to falsely pass or  
18 falsely fail an inspection.

19 "(4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure  
20 of the department implementing this chapter."

21 **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

22 21. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.1, states:

23 ...

24 "'Clean piping,' for the purposes of Health and Safety Code section 44072.10(c)(1), means  
25 the use of a substitute exhaust emissions sample in place of the actual test vehicle's exhaust in  
26 order to cause the EIS to issue a certificate of compliance for the test vehicle.

27 "..."

28



1 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog  
2 certificate to a 1997 Toyota Corolla, CA license 6CCX662. Respondent Orozco used the “clean-  
3 piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the 1997 Toyota Corolla  
4 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen  
5 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the 1997 Toyota Corolla was smog tested between 0901 and 0910  
6 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe  
7 inside the tail pipe of a Toyota Tundra pickup. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for  
8 the Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the 1997 Toyota Corolla, which  
9 was not at the shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified  
10 to BAR that he had smog tested the 1997 Toyota Corolla and issued Smog Certificate  
11 #YD871911C.

12 **C. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

13 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog  
14 certificate to a 2005 Toyota Corolla, CA license 6MTA659. Respondent Orozco used the “clean-  
15 piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the 2005 Toyota Corolla  
16 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen  
17 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the 2005 Toyota Corolla was smog tested between 0915 and 0924  
18 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe  
19 inside the tail pipe of a Toyota Tundra pickup. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for  
20 the Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the 2005 Toyota Corolla, which  
21 was not at the shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified  
22 to BAR that he had smog tested the 2005 Toyota Corolla and issued Smog Certificate  
23 #YD871912C.

24 **D. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

25 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog  
26 certificate to a 2003 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup, CA license 6W67349. Respondent Orozco used  
27 the “clean-piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the 2003 Dodge  
28 Ram 1500 pickup which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR

1 by Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the 2003 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup was smog  
2 tested between 0928 and 0934 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS  
3 machines gas analyzer sample probe inside the tail pipe of a Toyota Tundra pickup. Respondent  
4 Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for the Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from  
5 the 2003 Dodge Ram 1500 pickup, which was not at the shop at the time of the test. After the  
6 fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified to BAR that he had smog tested the 2003 Dodge  
7 Ram 1500 and issued Smog Certificate #YD871913C.

8 **E. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

9 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog  
10 certificate to a 1998 Nissan Maxima, CA license 4BLL336. Respondent Orozco used the “clean-  
11 piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the 1998 Nissan Maxima  
12 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen  
13 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the 1998 Nissan Maxima was smog tested between 0938 and  
14 0946 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample  
15 probe inside the tail pipe of the Toyota Tundra. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for  
16 the Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the 1998 Nissan Maxima, which  
17 was not at the shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified  
18 to BAR that he had smog tested the 1998 Nissan Maxima and issued Smog Certificate  
19 #YD871914C.

20 **F. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

21 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog  
22 certificate to a 1983 Jeep CJ7 Universal, CA license 2ATK868. Respondent Orozco used the  
23 “clean-piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the Jeep CJ7 Universal  
24 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen  
25 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the Jeep CJ7 Universal was smog tested between 0951 and 0959  
26 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe  
27 inside the tail pipe of Toyota Tundra. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for the  
28 Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the Jeep CJ7 Universal, which was not

1 at the shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified to BAR  
2 that he had smog tested the Jeep CJ7 Universal and issued Smog Certificate #YD871915C.

3 **G. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

4 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog  
5 certificate to a 1994 Honda Accord, CA license 6JLK194. Respondent Orozco used the “clean-  
6 piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the Honda Accord which was  
7 not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen Mobil and  
8 Respondent Orozco, the Honda Accord was smog tested between 1003 and 1012 hours, on April  
9 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe inside the tail  
10 pipe of a Toyota Tundra. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for the Toyota Tundra  
11 pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the Honda Accord, which was not at the shop at the  
12 time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified to BAR that he had smog  
13 tested the Honda Accord and issued Smog Certificate #YD871916C.

14 **H. Undercover Surveillance – Inspection on April 6, 2014**

15 On April 6, 2014, Eagle Glen Mobil and Respondent Orozco issued a passing smog  
16 certificate to a 1989 Chevrolet S10 Pickup, CA license 12845E1. Respondent Orozco used the  
17 “clean-piping” method to fraudulently issue a passing smog certificate for the Chevrolet S10  
18 which was not on the premises. According to the information provided to BAR by Eagle Glen  
19 Mobil and Respondent Orozco, the Chevrolet S10 was smog tested between 1017 and 1022  
20 hours, on April 6, 2014. Respondent Orozco placed the EIS machines gas analyzer sample probe  
21 inside the tail pipe of a Toyota Tundra. Respondent Orozco used the “clean” exhaust for the  
22 Toyota Tundra pickup tailpipe to certify the exhaust from the Chevrolet S10, which was not at the  
23 shop at the time of the test. After the fraudulent test, Respondent Orozco certified to BAR that  
24 he had smog tested the Chevrolet S10 and issued Smog Certificate # YD871917C.

25 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

26 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

27 25. Respondent Wasif’s Registration is subject to disciplinary action under section  
28 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent Wasif made or authorized statements which

1 Respondent Wasif knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or  
2 misleading as follows: Respondent Wasif certified that the eight vehicles described in paragraph  
3 24 were properly inspected and passed their smog inspections, when in fact and in truth those  
4 vehicles were not properly inspected.

5 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

6 **(Violations of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

7 26. Respondent Wasif's Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and  
8 Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c), and 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent  
9 Wasif failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

10 a. **Section 44012**: failed to perform the tests of the emission control systems and  
11 devices on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, in accordance with  
12 procedures prescribed by the Department.

13 b. **Section 44015**: issued certificates of compliance for the vehicles described in  
14 paragraph 24 sections A through H, without properly testing and inspecting them to determine if  
15 they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

16 c. **Section 44035**: failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for  
17 qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform smog  
18 inspections on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, or certifying that  
19 such tests had been properly performed, when in fact they were not properly performed.

20 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Under the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

22 27. Respondent Wasif's Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and  
23 Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent  
24 Wasif failed to comply with the following sections of California Code of Regulations, title 16:

25 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Wasif failed to inspect and test  
26 the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, in accordance with the procedures  
27 specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to ensure that these vehicles had all the  
28 required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.

1 ///

2 ///

3 c. **Section 3340.42**: Respondent Wasif failed to conduct the required smog tests  
4 on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, in accordance with the Bureau's  
5 specifications.

6 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

8 28. Respondent Wasif's Registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
9 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), and Respondent Wasif's Station License is subject to disciplinary  
10 action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2, subdivision  
11 (d), in that Respondent Wasif committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another  
12 is injured by issuing smog inspection certificates for the vehicles described in paragraph 24  
13 sections A through H, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices  
14 and systems on them, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection  
15 afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

16 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Clean Piping)**

18 29. Respondent Wasif's Station license is subject to disciplinary action for clean piping  
19 under Health & Safety Code, § 44072.10, subdivision (c)(1), as defined in California Code of  
20 Regulations, title 16, section 3340.1, in that Respondent Wasif used a substitute exhaust emission  
21 sample of one vehicle in place of another vehicle's exhaust emission sample in order to cause the  
22 Emissions Inspection System to issue certificates of compliance for the inspections described in  
23 paragraph 24 sections A through H .

24 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

26 30. Respondent Orozco's Inspector License and Repair Technician License are subject to  
27 disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2,  
28

1 subdivision (a), in that Respondent Orozco failed to comply with the following sections of that  
2 Code:

3 a. **Section 44012**: Respondent Orozco failed to perform the tests of the emission  
4 control systems and devices on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, in  
5 accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department.

6 b. **Section 44015**: Respondent Orozco issued a certificate of compliance for the  
7 vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, without properly testing and inspecting  
8 them to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

9 c. **Section 44035**: Respondent Orozco failed to meet or maintain the standards  
10 prescribed for qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform  
11 smog inspections on the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H, or certifying  
12 that such tests had been properly performed, when in fact they were not properly performed.

13 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Under Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

15 31. Respondent Orozco's Inspector License and Repair Technician License are subject to  
16 disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2,  
17 subdivision (a) in that Respondent Orozco failed to comply with the following sections of  
18 California Code of Regulations, title 16:

19 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Orozco failed to inspect and test  
20 the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H in accordance with the procedures  
21 specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to ensure that these vehicles had all the  
22 required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.

23 b. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)**: Respondent Orozco knowingly entered into  
24 the Emissions Inspection System false information about the vehicles described in paragraph 24  
25 sections A through H, providing passing results for smog inspections which were not properly  
26 performed.

1 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Orozco failed to conduct the required smog tests  
2 on all the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H in accordance with the  
3 Bureau's specifications.

4 ///

5 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

6 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

7 32. Respondent Orozco's Inspector License and Repair Technician License are subject to  
8 disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.10, subdivision (c) and 44072.2,  
9 subdivision (d), in that Respondent Orozco committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts  
10 whereby another is injured by issuing smog inspection certificates for the vehicles described in  
11 paragraph 24 sections A through H, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission  
12 control devices and systems on them, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of  
13 the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

14 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

15 **(Clean-Piping)**

16 33. Respondent Orozco's Inspector License and Repair Technician License are subject to  
17 disciplinary action for clean piping under Health & Safety Code, § 44072.10, subdivision (c)(1),  
18 as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.1, in that he used a substitute  
19 exhaust emission sample of one vehicle in place of another vehicle's exhaust emission sample in  
20 order to cause the Emissions Inspection System to issue certificates of compliance for the  
21 inspections of the vehicles described in paragraph 24 sections A through H.

22 **DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS**

23 34. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Emad  
24 Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil, Complainant alleges that on or about October 6, 2010 in a  
25 prior action, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Citation Number C2011-0406 and ordered  
26 Respondent to pay a fine of \$1,000. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as  
27 if fully set forth

28



1           2.    Revoking or suspending Smog Check-Test Only Station License Number TC 241812,  
2 issued to Emad Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil;

3           3.    Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 150767(formerly  
4 Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 150767), issued to Antonio Orozco;

5           4.    Revoking or suspending Smog Check Repair Technician License No. EI 150767  
6 (formerly Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License No. EA 150767), issued to Antonio  
7 Orozco;

8           5.    Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health  
9 and Safety Code in the name of Emad Attalla Wasif;

10          6.    Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health  
11 and Safety Code in the name of Antonio Orozco;

12          7.    Ordering Emad Attalla Wasif, dba Eagle Glen Mobil and Antonio Orozco to jointly  
13 and severally pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and  
14 enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

15          8.    Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

16  
17 DATED: January 16, 2015                      Patrick Dorais

18 PATRICK DORAIS  
19 Chief  
20 Bureau of Automotive Repair  
21 Department of Consumer Affairs  
22 State of California  
23 *Complainant*

22 SD2014707743  
23 70969372.doc