

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 KENT D. HARRIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 PHILLIP L. ARTHUR
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 238339
1300 I Street, Suite 125
5 P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
6 Telephone: (916) 322-0032
Facsimile: (916) 327-8643
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
13 **MERCED 1STOP AUTO & TIRE**
14 **MARIO ANTONIO ZAZUETA, PARTNER**
15 **JESUS ZAZUETA, PARTNER**
16 **1406 W. Olive Avenue**
Merced, CA 95348
17 **Mailing Address:**
P.O. Box 367
Livingston, CA 95348
18 **Automotive Repair Dealer Reg. No. ARD 263987**
Smog Check Station License No. RC 263987
19 **Lamp Station License No. LS 263987**
Brake Station License No. BS 263987
20 **and**
21 **SOCRATES FILEBERTO AGUILERA**
727 Massasso Street
Merced, CA 95341
22 **Brake Adjuster License No. BA 634330**
23
24 Respondents.

Case No. 77/14-24

A C C U S A T I O N

25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1 Complainant alleges:

2 **PARTIES**

3 1. Patrick Dorais ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity
4 as the Acting Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer
5 Affairs.

6 **Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire**

7 2. On or about January 28, 2011, the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") issued
8 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 263987 ("registration") to Merced 1Stop
9 Auto & Tire ("Respondent Merced"), with Mario Antonio Zazueta and Jesus Zazueta as partners.
10 Respondent's registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
11 herein and will expire on January 31, 2014, unless renewed.

12 3. On or about February 7, 2011, the Director issued Smog Check Station License
13 Number RC 263987 to Respondent Merced. Respondent's smog check station license was in full
14 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31,
15 2014, unless renewed.

16 4. On or about February 7, 2011, the Director issued Lamp Station License Number
17 LS 263987 to Respondent Merced. Respondent's lamp station license was in full force and effect
18 at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2014, unless
19 renewed.

20 5. On or about February 7, 2011, the Director issued Brake Station License Number
21 BS 263987 to Respondent Merced. Respondent's brake station license was in full force and
22 effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2014,
23 unless renewed.

24 **Socrates Fileberto Aguilera**

25 6. On or about June 7, 2012, the Director issued Brake Adjuster License Number
26 BA 634330 to Socrates Fileberto Aguilera ("Respondent Aguilera"). Respondent's brake adjuster
27 license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
28 expire on July 31, 2015, unless renewed.

1 **JURISDICTION**

2 7. Business and Professions Code ("Bus. & Prof. Code") section 9884.7 provides that
3 the Director may revoke an automotive repair dealer registration.

4 8. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a
5 valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
6 proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently
7 invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

8 9. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.1 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director may
9 suspend or revoke any license issued under Articles 5 and 6 (commencing with section 9887.1) of
10 the Automotive Repair Act.

11 10. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.7 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or
12 suspension of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the Director or a court of
13 law, or the voluntary surrender of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to
14 proceed with any disciplinary proceedings.

15 11. Health and Safety Code ("Health & Saf. Code") section 44002 provides, in pertinent
16 part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act
17 for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

18 12. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or
19 suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer
20 Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director
21 of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

22 **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

23 13. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:

24 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there
25 was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke or place on probation the
26 registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions
27 related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done
28 by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner,
officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

///

1 (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any
2 statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which
3 by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

4

5 (4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

6

7 (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this
8 chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

9

10 (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke or
11 place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by
12 an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is,
13 engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations
14 adopted pursuant to it.

15 14. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part, that "[t]he
16 automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts
17 necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before
18 authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer . . ."

19 15. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.17 states:

20 The bureau shall design and approve of a sign which shall be placed in all
21 automotive repair dealer locations in a place and manner conspicuous to the public.
22 That sign shall give notice that inquiries concerning service may be made to the
23 bureau and shall contain the telephone number and Internet Web site address of the
24 bureau. The sign shall also give notice that the customer is entitled to a return of
25 replaced parts upon his or her request therefor at the time the work order is taken.

26 16. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3 states, in pertinent part:

27 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action
28 against a license as provided in this article [Article 7 (commencing with section
9889.1) of the Automotive Repair Act] if the licensee or any partner, officer, or
director thereof:

(a) Violates any section of the Business and Professions Code which
relates to his or her licensed activities.

. . . .

(c) Violates any of the regulations promulgated by the director pursuant
to this chapter.

(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby
another is injured.

....

1
2 (h) Violates or attempts to violate the provisions of this chapter relating to
the particular activity for which he or she is licensed . . .

3 17. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9888.3 states:

4 No person shall operate an "official" lamp or brake adjusting station
5 unless a license therefor has been issued by the director. No person shall issue, or
6 cause or permit to be issued, any certificate purporting to be an official lamp
adjustment certificate unless he or she is a licensed lamp adjuster or an official brake
adjustment certificate unless he or she is a licensed brake adjuster.

7 18. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.9 states that "[w]hen any license has been revoked or
8 suspended following a hearing under the provisions of this article [Article 7 (commencing with
9 section 9889.1) of the Automotive Repair Act], any additional license issued under Articles 5 and
10 6 of this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the
11 director."

12 19. Bus. & Prof. Code section 22, subdivision (a), states:

13 "Board" as used in any provision of this Code, refers to the board in
14 which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly
15 provided, shall include "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department,"
"division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."

16 20. Bus. & Prof. Code section 477, subdivision (b), states, in pertinent part, that a
17 "license" includes "registration" and "certificate."

18 21. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:

19 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action
20 against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or
director thereof, does any of the following:

21

22 (d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby
23 another is injured . . .

24 22. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8 states that when a license has been revoked or
25 suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter
26 in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

27 ///

28 ///

1 COST RECOVERY

2 23. Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request
3 the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
4 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
5 and enforcement of the case.

6 UNDERCOVER OPERATION OF NOVEMBER 2, 2012: 2002 FORD

7 24. On November 2, 2012, Bureau Representative J. G., acting in an undercover capacity,
8 took the Bureau's 2002 Ford to Respondent Merced's facility and requested a smog check
9 inspection and lamp and brake inspections on the vehicle. The right front and left rear brake
10 rotors on the Bureau-documented vehicle were machined below the manufacturer's minimum
11 thickness specifications, both front headlamps were out of adjustment, and a defective
12 malfunction indicator lamp (also called the "check engine light") bulb was installed, causing the
13 vehicle to fail a smog inspection. J. G. signed and received a copy of a written estimate in the
14 amount of \$142. Smog check technician, E. R., performed the smog inspection on the vehicle.
15 Later, J. G. observed Respondent Aguilera ("Aguilera") perform the brake and lamp inspections.
16 Aguilera did not road test the vehicle, remove the wheels to inspect the brakes, or adjust the
17 headlamps. At approximately 1330 hours, Aguilera informed J. G. that the vehicle was ready.
18 Aguilera went behind the counter, filled out the brake and lamp certificates, and handed the
19 paperwork to an employee. The employee told J. G. that the vehicle failed the smog inspection
20 because the check engine light was not working and possibly needed a bulb. J. G. paid the
21 employee \$133.75 and received copies of a vehicle inspection report, Invoice No. [REDACTED],
22 Certificate of Brake Adjustment No. BC [REDACTED], and Certificate of Lamp Adjustment No. LC
23 [REDACTED]. J. G. left Respondent Merced's facility.

24 25. On November 6, 2012, the Bureau inspected the vehicle and found that both front
25 headlamps were still out of adjustment and the right front and left rear brake rotors were not
26 within manufacturer's specifications.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

3 26. Respondent Merced's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
4 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements
5 which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or
6 misleading, as follows:

7 a. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
8 perjury on Brake Certificate No. BC [REDACTED] that the drums and rotors on the Bureau's 2002
9 Ford were in a satisfactory condition. In fact, the right front and left rear brake rotors on the
10 vehicle were machined below the manufacturer's minimum thickness specifications at the time
11 the vehicle was taken to Respondent Merced's facility.

12 b. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
13 perjury on Brake Certificate No. BC [REDACTED] that the Bureau's 2002 Ford had a stopping
14 distance of 25 feet from a speed of 20 miles per hour as a result of a road-test. In fact, Aguilera
15 never road tested the vehicle.

16 c. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
17 perjury on Lamp Certificate No. LC [REDACTED] that the applicable adjustment had been performed
18 on the lighting system of the Bureau's 2002 Ford. In fact, both front headlamps were out of
19 adjustment at the time the vehicle was taken to Respondent Merced's facility.

20 d. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, represented on Lamp
21 Certificate No. [REDACTED] that his lamp adjuster license number was LC 00237108. In fact,
22 Aguilera did not have a lamp adjuster license.

23 e. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, represented on Brake
24 Certificate No. [REDACTED] that his brake adjuster license number was BC 207390 when, in fact,
25 his license number is BA 634330.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

32. Respondent Merced's brake and lamp station licenses are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured, as set forth in paragraph 27 above.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Bus. & Prof. Code)

33. Respondent Aguilera's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivisions (a) and (h), in that Respondent violated Bus. & Prof. Code section 9888.3 relating to his licensed activities, as set forth in paragraph 28 above.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of California Code of Regulations)

34. Respondent Aguilera's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (c), in that he failed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3305, subdivision (a), 3316, subdivision (d)(2), and 3321, subdivision (c)(2), as set forth in paragraph 29, and all of its subparts, above.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

35. Respondent Aguilera's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured, as set forth in paragraph 26, and all of its subparts, above.

///
///
///
///

1 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION OF JANUARY 17, 2013: 2001 FORD AND 2006**

2 **CHEVROLET**

3 36. On January 17, 2013, J. G. and another representative of the Bureau, G. C., also
4 acting in an undercover capacity, took the Bureau's 2001 Ford and 2006 Chevrolet to Respondent
5 Merced's facility (J. G. drove the 2001 Ford and G. C. drove the 2006 Chevrolet). J. G. and G. C.
6 entered the facility together. J. G. told a female employee that he needed a smog check
7 inspection and brake and lamp inspections on both vehicles. The right rear brake drum on the
8 Bureau-documented 2001 Ford was machined beyond the manufacturer's drum discard diameter
9 specifications, the right front brake rotor was machined below the manufacturer's minimum
10 thickness specifications, and both front headlamps were out of adjustment. The left rear brake
11 drum on the Bureau-documented 2006 Chevrolet was machined beyond the manufacturer's drum
12 discard diameter specifications, the right front brake rotor was machined below the
13 manufacturer's minimum thickness specifications, and both front headlamps were out of
14 adjustment. The employee prepared two repair orders, but did not give J. G. a price for the
15 inspections or written estimates. J. G. and G. C. waited at the facility. J. G. observed Respondent
16 Aguilera perform brake and lamp inspections on the vehicles. Aguilera did not road test the
17 vehicles or remove the wheels to inspect the brakes, and did not check the headlamp adjustment
18 on either vehicle. Smog check technician, E. R., performed the smog inspections. At
19 approximately 1125 hours, J. G. was informed that the vehicles were ready. J. G. and G. C.
20 observed Aguilera fill out the brake and lamp certificates for both vehicles. J. G. paid the female
21 employee \$284 in cash for the inspections, and received copies of Invoice No. [REDACTED] Certificate
22 of Brake Adjustment No. [REDACTED] Certificate of Lamp Adjustment No. [REDACTED] and a
23 vehicle inspection report for the 2001 Ford; and Invoice No. [REDACTED] Certificate of Brake
24 Adjustment No. [REDACTED] Certificate of Lamp Adjustment No. [REDACTED] and a vehicle
25 inspection report for the 2006 Chevrolet. J. G. and G. C. left the facility.

26 37. At approximately 1200 hours that same day, Bureau Representative W. N. went to
27 Respondent Merced's facility to perform a station inspection. W. N. noted that the facility did not
28 have an official automotive repair dealer's sign posted and failed to display Respondent

1 Aguilera's brake adjuster license. Later, W. N. met with Aguilera and asked him if he had a lamp
2 adjuster license. Aguilera admitted that he did not have a lamp adjuster license as he had not
3 passed the test. W. N. asked Aguilera for Respondent Merced's brake and lamp certificate books,
4 which Aguilera provided. W. N. reviewed the certificate books and found copies of the
5 certificates that were issued for the 2001 Ford and 2006 Chevrolet. W. N. asked Aguilera who
6 performed the lamp inspections on the two vehicles. Aguilera admitted that he had performed the
7 inspections. W. N. asked Aguilera to review the Lamp Certificate Book containing Certificate
8 Nos. [REDACTED] through [REDACTED]. Aguilera reviewed the lamp certificates and admitted
9 signing the six certificates identified below:

	Lamp Certificate No.	Date of Issuance
10	[REDACTED]	08/27/2012
11	[REDACTED]	09/04/2012
12	[REDACTED]	01/02/2013
13	[REDACTED]	2013
14	[REDACTED]	01/17/2013
14	[REDACTED]	01/17/2013

15 38. On January 25, 2013, the Bureau inspected the 2006 Chevrolet and found that both
16 front headlamps were still out of adjustment and the left rear brake drum and right front brake
17 rotor were not within manufacturer's specifications.

18 39. On January 28, 2013, the Bureau inspected the 2001 Ford and found that the both
19 front headlamps were still out of adjustment and the right rear brake drum and right front brake
20 rotor were not within manufacturer's specifications.

21 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

22 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

23 40. Respondent Merced's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
24 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements
25 which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or
26 misleading, as follows:

27 ///

28 ///

1 **2001 Ford**

2 a. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
3 perjury on Brake Certificate No. BC [REDACTED] that the drums and rotors on the Bureau's 2001
4 Ford were in a satisfactory condition. In fact, the right rear brake drum on the vehicle was
5 machined beyond the manufacturer's drum discard diameter specifications and the right front
6 brake rotor was machined below the manufacturer's minimum thickness specifications at the time
7 the vehicle was taken to Respondent Merced's facility.

8 b. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
9 perjury on Brake Certificate No. [REDACTED] that the Bureau's 2001 Ford had a stopping
10 distance of 25 feet from a speed of 20 miles per hour as a result of a road-test. In fact, Aguilera
11 never road tested the vehicle.

12 c. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
13 perjury on Lamp Certificate No. [REDACTED] that the applicable adjustment had been performed
14 on the lighting system on the Bureau's 2001 Ford. In fact, both front headlamps were out of
15 adjustment at the time the vehicle was taken to Respondent Merced's facility.

16 d. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, represented on Lamp
17 Certificate No. [REDACTED] that his lamp adjuster license number was 00237108. In fact,
18 Aguilera did not have a lamp adjuster license.

19 e. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, represented on Brake
20 Certificate No. [REDACTED] that his brake adjuster license number was [REDACTED] when, in fact,
21 his license number is BA 634330.

22 **2006 Chevrolet**

23 f. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
24 perjury on Brake Certificate No. [REDACTED] that the applicable repair had been performed on
25 the brake system on the Bureau's 2006 Chevrolet. In fact, the left rear brake drum on the vehicle
26 was machined beyond the manufacturer's drum discard diameter specifications and the right front
27 brake rotor was machined below the manufacturer's minimum thickness specifications at the time
28 the vehicle was taken to Respondent Merced's facility.

1 g. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
2 perjury on Brake Certificate No. [REDACTED] that the Bureau's 2006 Chevrolet had a stopping
3 distance of 25 feet from a speed of 20 miles per hour as a result of a road-test. In fact, Aguilera
4 never road tested the vehicle.

5 h. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
6 perjury on Lamp Certificate No. [REDACTED] that the applicable adjustment had been performed
7 on the lighting system of the Bureau's 2006 Chevrolet. In fact, both front headlamps were out of
8 adjustment at the time the vehicle was taken to Respondent Merced's facility.

9 i. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, represented on Lamp
10 Certificate No. [REDACTED] that his lamp adjuster license number was 00237108. In fact,
11 Aguilera did not have a lamp adjuster license.

12 j. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, represented on Brake
13 Certificate No. [REDACTED] that his brake adjuster license number was BC 207370 when, in fact,
14 his license number is BA 634330.

15 **Certificate Nos. [REDACTED] through [REDACTED]**

16 k. Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, certified under penalty of
17 perjury on the lamp certificates, identified in paragraph 37 above, that he performed the
18 applicable inspections of the lighting systems on the vehicles, described in the certificates, as
19 specified by the Bureau and in accordance with title 16 of the California Code of Regulations and
20 the Business and Professions Code. In fact, Aguilera issued the certificates without a valid lamp
21 adjuster license, in violation of Bus. and Prof. Code section 9888.3 and California Code of
22 Regulations, title 16, section 3305, subdivision (a).

23 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

24 **(Fraud)**

25 41. Respondent Merced's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
26 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts constituting
27 fraud, as follows: Respondent Merced obtained payment from Bureau Representative J. G. for
28 performing the applicable inspections, adjustments, or repairs of the brake and lighting systems

1 on the Bureau's 2001 Ford and 2006 Chevrolet as specified by the Bureau and in accordance with
2 the Vehicle Code. In fact, Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent Aguilera, failed to
3 perform the necessary inspections, adjustments, and repairs in compliance with Bureau
4 Regulations and the Vehicle Code.

5 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

6 **(Failure to Comply with the Bus. & Prof. Code)**

7 42. Respondent Merced's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
8 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with
9 provisions of that Code in the following material respects:

10 a. **Section 9884.9, subdivision (a)**: Respondent Merced's employee failed to provide
11 Bureau Representative J. G. with written estimates for the smog check inspections and brake and
12 lamp inspections on the Bureau's 2001 Ford and 2006 Chevrolet.

13 b. **Section 9884.17**: Respondent Merced failed to post the required automotive repair
14 dealer's sign at its facility giving notice to the public that inquiries concerning service may be
15 made to the Bureau (with the telephone number and Internet Web site address of the Bureau
16 listed), and that the customer is entitled to a return of replaced parts upon his or her request
17 therefore at the time the work order is taken.

18 c. **Section 9888.3**: Respondent Merced authorized or permitted Respondent Aguilera to
19 perform the lamp inspections on the Bureau's 2001 Ford and 2006 Chevrolet when, in fact,
20 Aguilera did not have a lamp adjuster license.

21 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

22 **(Violations of California Code of Regulations)**

23 43. Respondent Merced's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
24 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with
25 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, in the following material respects:

26 a. **Section 3305, subdivision (a)**: Respondent Merced's employee, Respondent
27 Aguilera, failed to perform the inspections of the brake systems and inspections and adjustment of
28

1 the lighting systems on the Bureau's 2001 Ford and 2006 Chevrolet in accordance with the
2 specifications, instructions, and directives issued by the Bureau and the vehicle manufacturer.

3 b. **Section 3307, subdivision (b)**: Respondent Merced failed to display Respondent
4 Aguilera's brake adjuster license at the facility.

5 c. **Section 3308**: Respondent Merced performed official functions as a lamp station,
6 including authorizing or permitting Respondent Aguilera to perform the lamp inspections on the
7 Bureau's 2001 Ford and 2006 Chevrolet when, in fact, Aguilera did not have a lamp adjuster
8 license.

9 d. **Section 3316, subdivision (d)(2)**: Respondent Merced issued Lamp Certificate No.
10 [REDACTED] for the Bureau's 2001 Ford and Lamp Certificate No. [REDACTED] for the Bureau's
11 2006 Chevrolet when all of the lamps, lighting equipment, and/or related electrical systems on
12 these vehicles were not in compliance with Bureau regulations.

13 e. **Section 3321, subdivision (c)(2)**: Respondent Merced issued Brake Certificate No.
14 [REDACTED] for the Bureau's 2001 Ford and Brake Certificate [REDACTED] for the Bureau's
15 2006 Chevrolet when the brake systems on these vehicles had not been completely tested or
16 inspected.

17 f. **Section 3356, subdivision (a)(1)**: Respondent Merced failed to show on Invoice
18 Nos. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] its correct registration number in that the registration number was listed as
19 ARD 206683.

20 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Failure to Comply with the Bus. & Prof. Code)**

22 44. Respondent Merced's brake and lamp station licenses are subject to disciplinary
23 action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivisions (a) and (h), in that Respondent
24 violated Bus. & Prof. Code sections 9884.9, subdivision (a), 9884.17, and 9888.3 relating to its
25 licensed activities, as set forth in paragraph 42, and all of its subparts, above.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with California Code of Regulations)

45. Respondent Merced's brake and lamp station licenses are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3305, subdivision (a), 3307, subdivision (b), 3308, 3316, subdivision (d)(2), 3321, subdivision (c)(2), and 3356, subdivision (a)(1), as set forth in paragraph 43, and all of its subparts, above.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

46. Respondent Merced's brake and lamp station licenses are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured, as set forth in paragraph 41 above.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Bus. & Prof. Code)

47. Respondent Aguilera's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivisions (a) and (h), in that Respondent violated Bus. & Prof. Code section 9888.3 relating to his licensed activities, as set forth in paragraph 42, and all of its subparts, above.

NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of California Code of Regulations)

48. Respondent Aguilera's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (c), in that he failed to comply with the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 3305, subdivision (a), 3316, subdivision (d)(2), and 3321, subdivision (c)(2), as set forth in paragraph 43, and all of its subparts, above.

///

///

1 **TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

3 49. Respondent Aguilera's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
4 to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed acts
5 involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured, as set forth in paragraph 40,
6 and all of its subparts, above.

7 **TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

9 50. Respondent Merced's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action
10 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed
11 dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured, as set forth in paragraphs 27
12 and 41 above.

13 **OTHER MATTERS**

14 51. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may
15 suspend, revoke or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this
16 state by Respondent Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire upon a finding that Respondent has, or is,
17 engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an
18 automotive repair dealer.

19 52. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Station License
20 Number RC 263987, issued to Respondent Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire, is revoked or suspended,
21 any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health & Saf. Code in the name of said
22 licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

23 53. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.9, if Lamp Station License Number
24 LS 263987, issued to Respondent Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire, is revoked or suspended, any
25 additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of Chapter 20.3 of the Bus. & Prof. Code in the
26 name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

27 54. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.9, if Brake Station License Number BS
28 263987, issued to Respondent Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire, is revoked or suspended, any

1 additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of Chapter 20.3 of the Bus. & Prof. Code in the
2 name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

3 55. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.9, if Brake Adjuster License Number
4 BA 634330, issued to Respondent Socrates Fileberto Aguilera, is revoked or suspended, any
5 additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of Chapter 20.3 of the Bus. & Prof. Code in the
6 name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

7 **PRAYER**

8 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
9 and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

10 1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
11 263987, issued to Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire;

12 2. Revoking or suspending any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to
13 Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire;

14 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Station License Number RC 263987, issued to
15 Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire;

16 4. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health
17 and Safety Code in the name of Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire;

18 5. Revoking or suspending Lamp Station License Number LS 263987, issued to Merced
19 1Stop Auto & Tire;

20 6. Revoking or suspending Brake Station License Number BS 263987, issued to Merced
21 1Stop Auto & Tire;

22 7. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of
23 Chapter 20.3 of the Business and Professions Code in the name of Merced 1Stop Auto & Tire;

24 8. Revoking or suspending Brake Adjuster License Number BA 634330, issued to
25 Socrates Fileberto Aguilera;

26 9. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of
27 Chapter 20.3 of the Business and Professions Code in the name of Socrates Fileberto Aguilera;


28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

10. Ordering Merced 1 Stop Auto & Tire and Socrates Fileberto Aguilera to pay the Director of Consumer Affairs the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

11. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: October 31, 2013



PATRICK DORAIS
Acting Chief
Bureau of Automotive Repair
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant