

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
2 LINDA K. SCHNEIDER  
Senior Assistant Attorney General  
3 JAMES M. LEDAKIS  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 132645  
110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100  
5 San Diego, CA 92101  
P.O. Box 85266  
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266  
Telephone: (619) 645-2105  
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061  
*Attorneys for Complainant*  
8

9 **BEFORE THE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**  
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 77/15-40

13 **KUMA TIRE AND WHEEL,**  
**DAVID FRANK KUMA, OWNER**  
14 **6589 Magnolia Avenue**  
**Riverside, CA 92506-2411**

**A C C U S A T I O N**

15 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.**  
16 **ARD 61007**  
17 **Lamp Station License No. LS 61007**  
**Brake Station License No. BS 61007,**

18 **and**

19 **DAVID FRANK KUMA**  
**6589 Magnolia Avenue**  
20 **Riverside, CA 92506**

21 **Lamp Adjuster License No. LA 40866**  
22 **Brake Adjuster License No. BA 40866**

23 Respondent.

24  
25 Complainant alleges:

26 **PARTIES**

27 1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity  
28 as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR DEALER REGISTRATION**

2. In 1977, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 61007 to David Frank Kuma (Respondent), owner of Kuma Tire and Wheel. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2015, unless renewed.

**LAMP STATION LICENSE**

3. On April 24, 1992, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Lamp Station License Number LS 61007 to Respondent. The Lamp Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2015, unless renewed.

**BRAKE STATION LICENSE**

4. On August 24, 1993, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Brake Station License Number BS 61007 to Respondent. The Brake Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2015, unless renewed.

**LAMP ADJUSTER LICENSE**

5. In 1992, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Lamp Adjuster License Number LA 40866 to Respondent. The Lamp Adjuster License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2015, unless renewed.

**BRAKE ADJUSTER LICENSE**

6. In 1993, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Brake Adjuster License Number BA 40866 to Respondent. The Brake Adjuster License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2017, unless renewed.

**JURISDICTION**

7. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

///



1 (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever  
2 any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known,  
3 or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or  
misleading.

4 . . . .

5 (3) Failing or refusing to give to a customer a copy of any  
6 document requiring his or her signature, as soon as the customer signs the  
7 document.

8 (4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

9 . . . .

10 (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of  
11 this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

12 . . . .

13 15. Section 9884.8 states:

14 All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work,  
15 shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and parts  
16 supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which  
17 shall also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not  
18 including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, if any, applicable  
19 to each. If any used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied, the invoice shall  
20 clearly state that fact. If a part of a component system is composed of new and  
21 used, rebuilt or reconditioned parts, that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The  
22 invoice shall include a statement indicating whether any crash parts are original  
23 equipment manufacturer crash parts or nonoriginal equipment manufacturer  
24 aftermarket crash parts. One copy of the invoice shall be given to the customer and  
25 one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer.

26 16. Section 9884.9 states:

27 (a) The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written  
28 estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be  
done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from  
the customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess  
of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall  
be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is  
insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated  
are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original  
estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission from  
the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed  
by an automotive repair dealer if an authorization or consent for an increase in the  
original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission. If  
that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work order of the date,  
time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs, and telephone

///

1 number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional parts and labor  
2 and the total additional cost, and shall do either of the following:

3 (1) Make a notation on the invoice of the same facts set forth in the  
4 notation on the work order.

5 (2) Upon completion of the repairs, obtain the customer's signature  
6 or initials to an acknowledgment of notice and consent, if there is an oral consent  
7 of the customer to additional repairs, in the following language:

8 "I acknowledge notice and oral approval of an increase in the  
9 original estimated price.

10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 (signature or initials)"

12 Nothing in this section shall be construed as requiring an automotive repair  
13 dealer to give a written estimated price if the dealer does not agree to perform the  
14 requested repair.

15 17. Section 9889.3 states, in pertinent part:

16 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against  
17 a license as provided in this article if the licensee or any partner, officer, or director  
18 thereof:

19 (a) Violates any section of the Business and Professions Code that relates  
20 to his or her licensed activities.

21 . . . .

22 (c) Violates any of the regulations promulgated by the director pursuant to  
23 this chapter.

24 . . . .

25 (h) Violates or attempts to violate the provisions of this chapter relating to  
26 the particular activity for which he or she is licensed.

27 . . . .

28 18. Section 9889.16 states:

Whenever a licensed adjuster in a licensed station upon an inspection or  
after an adjustment, made in conformity with the instructions of the bureau,  
determines that the lamps or the brakes upon any vehicle conform with the  
requirements of the Vehicle Code, he shall, when requested by the owner or driver  
of the vehicle, issue a certificate of adjustment on a form prescribed by the  
director, which certificate shall contain the date of issuance, the make and  
registration number of the vehicle, the name of the owner of the vehicle, and the  
official license of the station.

///

1 19. Section 9889.22 states:

2 The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material  
3 matter in any oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or  
4 application form which is required by this chapter or Chapter 5 (commencing with  
5 Section 44000) of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code constitutes  
6 perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code.

### 7 REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8 20. California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 3305 states, in pertinent part:

9 (a) All adjusting, inspecting, servicing, and repairing of brake systems  
10 and lamp systems for the purpose of issuing any certificate of compliance or  
11 adjustment shall be performed in official stations, by official adjusters, in  
12 accordance with the following, in descending order of precedence, as applicable:

13 (1) Vehicle Manufacturers' current standards, specifications and  
14 recommended procedures, as published in the manufacturers' vehicle service and  
15 repair manuals.

16 . . . .

17 21. CCR Section 3321 states, in pertinent part:

18 The operation of official brake adjusting stations shall be subject to the  
19 following provisions:

20 . . . .

21 (c) Effective April 1, 1999, licensed stations shall purchase certificates  
22 of adjustment from the bureau for a fee of three dollars and fifty cents (\$3.50) and  
23 shall not purchase or otherwise obtain such certificates from any other source. A  
24 licensed station shall not sell or otherwise transfer unused certificates of  
25 adjustment. Full payment is required at the time certificates are ordered.  
26 Certificates are not exchangeable following delivery. Issuance of a brake  
27 adjustment certificate shall be in accordance with the following provisions:

28 . . . .

(2) Where the entire brake system on any vehicle has been  
inspected or tested and found to be in compliance with all requirements of the  
Vehicle Code and bureau regulations, and the vehicle has been road-tested, the  
certificate shall certify that the entire system meets all such requirements.

. . . .

22. CCR Section 3353 states, in pertinent part:

No work for compensation shall be commenced and no charges shall  
accrue without specific authorization from the customer in accordance with the  
following requirements:

. . . .

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

23. CCR Section 3356 states, in pertinent part:

(a) All invoices for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in Section 9884.8 of the Business and Professions Code, shall comply with the following:

(1) The invoice shall show the automotive repair dealer's registration number and the corresponding business name and address as shown in the Bureau's records. If the automotive repair dealer's telephone number is shown, it shall comply with the requirements of subsection (b) of Section 3371 of this chapter.

(2) The invoice shall separately list, describe and identify all of the following:

(A) All service and repair work performed, including all diagnostic and warranty work, and the price for each described service and repair.

(B) Each part supplied, in such a manner that the customer can understand what was purchased, and the price for each described part. The description of each part shall state whether the part was new, used, reconditioned, rebuilt, or an OEM crash part, or a non-OEM aftermarket crash part.

(C) The subtotal price for all service and repair work performed.

(D) The subtotal price for all parts supplied, not including sales tax.

(E) The applicable sales tax, if any.

....

24. CCR Section 3371 states:

No dealer shall publish, utter, or make or cause to be published, uttered, or made any false or misleading statement or advertisement which is known to be false or misleading, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known to be false or misleading. Advertisements and advertising signs shall clearly show the following:

(a) Firm Name and Address. The dealer's firm name and address as they appear on the State registration certificate as an automotive repair dealer; and

(b) Telephone Number. If a telephone number appears in an advertisement or on an advertising sign, this number shall be the same number as that listed for the dealer's firm name and address in the telephone directory, or in the telephone company records if such number is assigned to the dealer subsequent to the publication of such telephone directory.

///  
///



1 to return to the shop when the lights had been replaced for the issuance of the lamp adjustment  
2 certificate.

3 28. The operator paid Respondent \$97.00, received invoice number [REDACTED] for the  
4 payment, and left without the certificates. The operator called Respondent's facility and returned  
5 there after assurance that the light bulbs could be replaced and the corresponding lamp adjustment  
6 certificate issued. A staff at Respondent's shop informed the operator that the lamp adjustment  
7 and the brake adjustment certificates had to be issued together. The operator arrived at  
8 Respondent's facility's waiting area. The operator handed the car keys to Respondent, who did  
9 not provide a written estimate and did not request the operator to sign a work order for the  
10 replacement of the bulbs. Respondent drove the vehicle to the work area and returned after  
11 approximately fifteen minutes informing the operator that the bulbs had been replaced. The  
12 operator paid Respondent \$8.00. Respondent provided the operator receipt number [REDACTED],  
13 Brake Adjustment Certificate number [REDACTED] and Lamp Adjustment Certificate number  
14 [REDACTED]. The operator left the facility with the Chevrolet's odometer reading remaining at  
15 116,111 miles.

16 29. On May 28, 2014, a Bureau representative (representative) inspected the vehicle  
17 and found that the right front headlamp had been adjusted and the tamper indicator on the  
18 adjuster had been broken. The left front marker lamp and the license plate illumination lamp were  
19 operative. However, the representative found that the tamper indicators for the right front and the  
20 right rear wheels were undisturbed, indicating that the right side wheels were not removed during  
21 the brake inspection. Further, the representative found the right front rotor was still below the  
22 manufacturer's discard thickness and in need of replacement. In this condition, the vehicle could  
23 not have passed a properly performed brake adjustment inspection.

24 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

26 30. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
27 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the  
28 exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:

1 a. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
2 [REDACTED] that the applicable inspection was performed on the brake system on the Bureau's  
3 1998 Chevrolet. In fact, Respondent failed to inspect the brakes on the right wheels of the vehicle.

4 b. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
5 [REDACTED] that the right front brake rotor on the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet was in a satisfactory  
6 condition. In fact, the right front brake rotor had been machined below the manufacturer's brake  
7 rotor minimum thickness specifications.

8 c. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
9 [REDACTED] that the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet had a stopping distance of 17 feet from a speed of  
10 20 miles per hour as a result of a road-test. In fact, Respondent never road tested the vehicle.

11 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Failure to Provide Signed Copy of Document to Customer)**

13 31. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
14 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that he failed to give to the operator a copy of the repair order  
15 requiring the operator's signature, as soon as the operator signed the document.

16 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Fraud)**

18 32. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
19 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on May 15, 2014, he committed acts which constitute fraud by  
20 issuing Brake Certificate No. [REDACTED] for the 1998 Chevrolet without performing a bona fide  
21 inspection of the brakes on the right wheels of the vehicle.

22 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Failure to Comply with the Code)**

24 33. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
25 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that he failed to comply with the Code in the following material  
26 respects:

27 ///

1 a. **Section 9884.8:** Respondent failed to describe on the invoice all service  
2 work and parts, including all warranty work, in such a manner that the customer can understand  
3 what was purchased.

4 b. **Section 9884.9, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to give to the  
5 customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job.

6 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Failure to Comply with the Code)**

8 34. Respondent's brake station license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
9 section 9889.3, subdivision (a), in that on May 15, 2014, regarding the 1998 Chevrolet,  
10 Respondent violated sections of the Code, relating to its licensed activities, as more particularly  
11 set forth in paragraph 38, below.

12 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

13 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

14 35. Respondent's brake station license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
15 section 9889.3, subdivision (c), in that on May 15, 2014, regarding the 1998 Chevrolet,  
16 Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as more  
17 particularly set forth in paragraph 31, above.

18 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

19 **(Issuance of Certificate to a Non-Conforming Vehicle)**

20 36. Respondent's brake station license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
21 section 9889.3, subdivision (h), in that Respondent issued Brake Adjustment Certificate number  
22 [REDACTED] as to the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet when the vehicle was not in compliance with  
23 Bureau Regulations or the requirements of the Vehicle Code.

24 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

26 37. Respondent's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
27 section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in  
28 the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:

1 a. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
2 [REDACTED] that the applicable inspection was performed on the brake system on the Bureau's  
3 1998 Chevrolet. In fact, Respondent failed to inspect the brakes on the right wheels of the vehicle.

4 b. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
5 [REDACTED] that the right front brake rotor on the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet was in a satisfactory  
6 condition. In fact, the right front brake rotor had been machined below the manufacturer's brake  
7 rotor minimum thickness specifications.

8 c. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
9 [REDACTED] that the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet had a stopping distance of 17 feet from a speed of  
10 20 miles per hour as a result of a road-test. In fact, Respondent never road tested the vehicle.

11 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Failure to Comply with the Code)**

13 38. Respondent's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
14 section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that he failed to comply with the Code in the following  
15 material respects:

16 a. **Section 9889.16:** Respondent issued Brake Certificate No. [REDACTED] for  
17 the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet, when the vehicle was not in compliance with Bureau regulations or  
18 the requirements of the Vehicle Code, in that both right brake rotors were below the  
19 manufacturer's discard specification.

20 b. **Section 9884.22:** Respondent issued Brake Certificate No. [REDACTED] for  
21 the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet, when the vehicle was not in compliance with the requirements of  
22 chapter 20.3 of the Code, an act that constitutes perjury.

23 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

24 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

25 39. Respondent's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
26 section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that he failed to comply with provisions of California Code  
27 of Regulations, title 16, in the following material respects:

28

1 a. **Section 3305, subdivision (a)(1):** Respondent issued Brake Certificate  
2 No. [REDACTED] as to the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet when the brake system on the vehicle was not  
3 in compliance with Bureau regulations.

4 b. **Section 3321, subdivision (c)(2):** Respondent issued Brake Certificate  
5 No. [REDACTED] as to the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet when the brake system on the vehicle had not  
6 been completely tested or inspected.

7 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

8 **(Failure to Comply with the Code)**

9 40. Respondent's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
10 section 9889.3, subdivision (a), in that on May 15, 2014, regarding the 1998 Chevrolet,  
11 Respondent violated sections of the Code, relating to its licensed activities, as more particularly  
12 set forth in paragraph 38, above.

13 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

15 41. Respondent's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
16 section 9889.3, subdivision (c), in that on May 15, 2014, regarding the 1998 Chevrolet,  
17 Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as more  
18 particularly set forth in paragraph 31, above.

19 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

20 **(Issuance of Certificate to a Non-Conforming Vehicle)**

21 42. Respondent's brake adjuster license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
22 section 9889.3, subdivision (h), in that Respondent issued Brake Adjustment Certificate number  
23 [REDACTED] as to the Bureau's 1998 Chevrolet when the vehicle was not in compliance with  
24 Bureau Regulations or the requirements of the Vehicle Code.

25 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION #2: 2002 HONDA**

26 43. On June 26, 2014, an undercover operator of the Bureau (operator) took the  
27 Bureau's 2002 Honda to Respondent's facility, Kuma Tire and Wheel, and requested from  
28 Respondent a brake and lamp inspection and certificates for the vehicle. Respondent told the

1 operator that the inspection and certifications would cost \$97.00. The right front brake rotor on  
2 the Bureau-documented vehicle was machined below the manufacturer's minimum thickness  
3 specifications. The right front headlamps were misadjusted and the rear license plate illumination  
4 lamp was disabled. The vehicle's odometer reading was 135,571 miles when the operator brought  
5 the car to Respondent's facility. Respondent had the operator sign a work order with an estimate  
6 of \$97.00. Respondent did not give the operator a copy of the signed work order. Respondent  
7 then took the work order and car keys, then drove the Honda to the work area of the facility.  
8 Thereafter, Respondent informed the operator that the vehicle passed the brake inspection but did  
9 not pass the lamp inspection. Respondent said that it would cost the operator another \$3.00 for  
10 him to replace the rear license plate illumination bulb. Respondent informed the operator that the  
11 light needed to be replaced for the vehicle to pass the lamp inspection.

12 44. The operator paid Respondent \$100.00, received invoice number [REDACTED] for the  
13 payment, Brake Adjustment Certificate number [REDACTED], and Lamp Adjustment Certificate  
14 number [REDACTED].

15 45. On July 9, 2014, a Bureau representative (representative) inspected the vehicle and  
16 found that the tamper indicators for the right front and the right rear wheels were broken,  
17 indicating that the right side wheels were removed during the brake inspection. However, the  
18 right front rotor was still below the manufacturer's discard thickness and in need of replacement.  
19 In this condition, the vehicle could not have passed a properly performed brake adjustment  
20 inspection and a Certificate of Adjustment-Brake Adjustment should not have been issued.  
21 Further, the representative found that left side wheel tamper seals were intact, indicating that the  
22 left side wheels were not removed during the brake inspection. The front headlamps had been  
23 adjusted and the tamper indicator on the adjuster had been broken. The rear license plate  
24 illumination bulb was replaced. The Certificate of Adjustment-Lamp Adjustment was properly  
25 issued.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

3 46. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
4 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the  
5 exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:

6 a. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
7 [REDACTED] that the applicable inspection was performed on the brake system on the Bureau's  
8 2002 Honda. In fact, Respondent failed to replace the right front rotor that was still below the  
9 manufacturer's discard thickness.

10 b. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
11 [REDACTED] that the right front brake rotor on the Bureau's 2002 Honda was in a satisfactory  
12 condition. In fact, the right front brake rotor had been machined below the manufacturer's brake  
13 rotor minimum thickness specifications.

14 c. Respondent certified under penalty of perjury on Brake Certificate No.  
15 [REDACTED] that the Bureau's 2002 Honda had a stopping distance of 17 feet  
16 from a speed of 20 miles per hour as a result of a road-test. In fact, Respondent never road tested  
17 the vehicle.

18 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

19 **(Failure to Provide Signed Copy of Document to Customer)**

20 47. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
21 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that he failed to give to the operator a copy of the repair order  
22 requiring the operator's signature, as soon as the operator signed the document.

23 **SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

24 **(Fraud)**

25 48. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
26 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on June 26, 2014, he committed acts which constitute fraud by  
27 issuing Brake Certificate No. [REDACTED] for the 2002 Honda without performing a bona fide  
28 inspection of the brakes on the right wheels of the vehicle.

1 **SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Failure to Comply with the Code)**

3 49. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action under Code section  
4 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that he failed to comply with the Code in the following material  
5 respects:

6 a. **Section 9884.8:** Respondent failed to describe on the invoice all service  
7 work and parts, including all warranty work, in such a manner that the customer can understand  
8 what was purchased.

9 b. **Section 9884.9, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to give to the  
10 customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job.

11 **EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Failure to Comply with the Code)**

13 50. Respondent's brake station license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
14 section 9889.3, subdivision (a), in that on June 26, 2014, regarding the 2002 Honda, Respondent  
15 violated sections of the Code, relating to its licensed activities, as more particularly set forth in  
16 paragraph 54, below.

17 **NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

19 51. Respondent's brake station license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
20 section 9889.3, subdivision (c), in that on June 26, 2014, regarding the 2002 Honda, Respondent  
21 failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as more particularly  
22 set forth in paragraph 47, above.

23 **TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

24 **(Issuance of Certificate to a Non-Conforming Vehicle)**

25 52. Respondent's brake station license is subject to disciplinary action under Code  
26 section 9889.3, subdivision (h), in that Respondent issued Brake Adjustment Certificate number  
27 [REDACTED] as to the Bureau's 2002 Honda when the vehicle was not in compliance with Bureau  
28 Regulations or the requirements of the Vehicle Code.





1 [REDACTED] as to the Bureau's 2002 Honda when the vehicle was not in compliance with Bureau  
2 Regulations or the requirements of the Vehicle Code.

3 **OTHER MATTERS**

4 59. Under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may suspend, revoke or  
5 place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by Respondent  
6 David Frank Kuma, owner of Kuma Tire and Wheel, upon a finding that Respondent has, or is,  
7 engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an  
8 automotive repair dealer.

9 60. Under Code section 9889.9, if Brake Station License Number BS 61007, issued to  
10 Respondent David Frank Kuma, owner of Kuma Tire and Wheel, is revoked or suspended, Lamp  
11 Station License Number LS 61007 and any additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of  
12 Chapter 20.3 of the Business & Professions Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise  
13 revoked or suspended by the Director.

14 61. Under Code section 9889.9, if Brake Adjuster License Number BA 40866, issued  
15 to Respondent David Frank Kuma, is revoked or suspended, Lamp Adjuster License Number LA  
16 40866 and any additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of Chapter 20.3 of the Business &  
17 Professions Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the  
18 Director.

19 **PRAYER**

20 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,  
21 and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

22 1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD  
23 61007, issued to David Frank Kuma, owner of Kuma Tire and Wheel;

24 2. Revoking or suspending Brake Station License Number BS 61007, issued to  
25 David Frank Kuma, owner of Kuma Tire and Wheel;

26 3. Revoking or suspending Lamp Station License Number LS 61007, issued to David  
27 Frank Kuma, owner of Kuma Tire and Wheel;

28 ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

4. Revoking or suspending Brake Adjuster License Number BA 40866, issued to David Frank Kuma;

5. Revoking or suspending Lamp Adjuster License Number LA 40866, issued to David Frank Kuma;

6. Ordering David Frank Kuma, owner of Kuma Tire and Wheel, to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, under Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: March 2, 2015



---

PATRICK DORAIS  
Chief  
Bureau of Automotive Repair  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
*Complainant*

SD2014708250  
71002526.doc