1	XAVIER BECERRA				
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DANIEL J. CROSS				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 203017				
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101				
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266				
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9058 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061				
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9	BEFORE THE				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR				
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12					
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/19-7888			
14	JOSE LINO ZAMORA,				
15	DBA RIVERVIEW SMOG CHECK 4060 Riverview Drive	ACCUSATION			
16	Riverside, CA 92509				
17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 288861				
18	Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 288861,				
19	and				
20	JOSE LINO ZAMORA				
21	1064 Ardmore St. Riverside, CA 92507				
22	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO				
23	640779,				
24	Respondent.				
25	DADTIES				
2627	PARTIES 1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as				
28	the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs (Bureau).				
20	_	1			

- 2. On November 1, 2017, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 288861 to Jose Lino Zamora, dba Riverview Smog Check (Respondent). The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2019, unless renewed.
- 3. On November 16, 2017, the Bureau issued Smog Check Test Only Station License Number TC 288861 to Jose Lino Zamora, dba Riverview Smog Check (Respondent). The Smog Check Test Only Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2019, unless renewed.
- 4. On December 11, 2017, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 640779 to Jose Lino Zamora (Respondent). The Smog Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2021, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws.
- 6. Business and Professions Code (Code) section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 7. Pursuant to code section 477, Code section references to the term "board" include the Bureau, and references to the term "license" includes Automobile Repair Dealer Registrations.
- 8. Code section 9884.13 provides that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.
- 9. Code section 9884.22 provides that the Director may revoke or suspend a registration on any grounds for disciplinary action provided in the article, and proceedings shall be conducted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act.

10. Health and Safety Code section 44002 provides that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

- 11. Health and Safety Code section 44072.4 provides that after a hearing, the Director may take disciplinary action including imposing probation upon terms and conditions the Director sets forth, license suspension, or revocation
- 12. Health and Safety Code section 44072.6 provides that (a) expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, the Director, or a court, or (b) voluntary surrender of a license, shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.
 - 13. Health and Safety Code section 44072.7 states:

All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section.

14. Health and Safety Code section 44072.8 states:

When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

15. Code section 9884.7 states:

- (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
- (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

. . . .

1	(4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.		
2			
3			
4	(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.		
5			
6			
7	(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state		
8	by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or		
9	regulations adopted pursuant to it.		
10	16. Health and Safety Code section 44012 states:		
11	The test at the smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with		
12	procedures prescribed by the department, pursuant to Section 44013, shall require, at a minimum, loaded mode dynamometer testing in enhanced areas, and two-speed testing in all other program areas, and shall ensure all of the following:		
13			
14	(a) Emission control systems required by state and federal law are reducing		
15	excess emissions in accordance with the standards adopted pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c) of Section 44013.		
16	(b) Motor vehicles are preconditioned to ensure representative and stabilized		
17	operation of the vehicle's emission control system.		
18	(c) For other than diesel-powered vehicles, the vehicle's exhaust emissions		
19	of hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and oxides of nitrogen in an idle mode or loaded mode are tested in accordance with procedures prescribed by		
20	the department. In determining how loaded mode and evaporative emissions testing shall be conducted, the department shall ensure that the emission reduction		
21	targets for the enhanced program are met.		
22	(d) For other than diesel-powered vehicles, the vehicle's fuel evaporative		
23	system and crankcase ventilation system are tested to reduce any nonexhaust sources of volatile organic compound emissions, in accordance with procedures		
24	prescribed by the department.		
25	(e) For diesel-powered vehicles, if the department determines that the inclusion of those vehicles is technologically and economically feasible, a visual		
26	inspection is made of emission control devices and the vehicle's exhaust emission in an idle mode or loaded mode are tested in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department. The test may include testing of emissions of any o		
27			
28			

all of the pollutants specified in subdivision (c) and, upon the adoption of applicable standards, measurement of emissions of smoke or particulates, or both.

- (f) A visual or functional check is made of emission control devices specified by the department, including the catalytic converter in those instances in which the department determines it to be necessary to meet the findings of Section 44001. The visual or functional check shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- (g) A determination as to whether the motor vehicle complies with the emission standards for that vehicle's class and model-year as prescribed by the department.
- (h) The test procedures may authorize smog check stations to refuse the testing of a vehicle that would be unsafe to test, or that cannot physically be inspected, as specified by the department by regulation. The refusal to test a vehicle for those reasons shall not excuse or exempt the vehicle from compliance with all applicable requirements of this chapter.
- 17. Health and Safety Code section 44015, subdivision (b), states:
- (b) If a vehicle meets the requirements of Section 44012, a smog check station licensed to issue certificates shall issue a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.
- 18. Health and Safety Code section 44032 states:

No person shall perform, for compensation, tests or repairs of emission control devices or systems of motor vehicles required by this chapter unless the person performing the test or repair is a qualified smog check technician and the test or repair is performed at a licensed smog check station. Qualified technicians shall perform tests of emission control devices and systems in accordance with Section 44012.

- 19. Health and Safety Code section 44035 states:
- (a) A smog check station's license or a qualified smog check technician's qualification may be suspended or revoked by the department, after a hearing, for failure to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct. The department shall adopt rules and regulations governing the suspension, revocation, and reinstatement of licenses and qualifications and the conduct of the hearings.
- (b) The department or its representatives, including quality assurance inspectors, shall be provided access to licensed stations for the purpose of examining property, station equipment, repair orders, emissions equipment maintenance records, and any emission inspection items, as defined by the department.

1	(4) A pattern or regular practice of violating this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure of the department implementing this chapter.			
2				
3	(a) The department shall revolve the license of any smag check technician or			
4	(c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station licensee who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent			
5	inspection of vehicles. A fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:			
6				
7	(4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard,			
8	or procedure of the department implementing this chapter.			
9	<u>REGULATORY PROVISIONS</u>			
10	23. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.24(c), states:			
11	(c) The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal			
12	action against a licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.			
13				
14	24. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.30, states:			
1516	A smog check technician shall comply with the following requirements at all times while licensed.			
17	(a) A licensed technician shall inspect test and repair vehicles in accordance			
18	(a) A licensed technician shall inspect, test and repair vehicles in accordance with section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code, section 44035 of the Health and Safety Code, and section 3340.42 of this article.			
19	(b) A licensed technician shall maintain on file with the bureau a correct			
20	mailing address pursuant to section 3303.3 of Article 1 of this Chapter.			
21	(c) A licensed technician shall notify the bureau in writing within two weeks			
22	of any change of employment.			
23	(d) A licensed technician whose license has expired shall immediately cease to inspect, test, or repair failed vehicles.			
24	25. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.35(c), states:			
25	(c) A licensed station shall issue a certificate of compliance or			
26	noncompliance to the owner or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article and has			
27	all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning			
28	correctly. The following conditions shall apply:			

- (1) Customers shall be charged the same price for certificates as that paid by the licensed station; and
 - (2) Sales tax shall not be assessed on the price of certificates.
- 26. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.41, subdivision (c) states:

No person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification information or emission control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one being tested. Nor shall any person knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false information about the vehicle being tested.

27. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42, states:

Smog check inspection methods are prescribed in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by section 3340.45.

- (a) All vehicles subject to a smog check inspection, shall receive one of the following test methods:
- (1) A loaded-mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976 1999 model-year vehicle, except diesel-powered, registered in the enhanced program areas of the state. The loaded-mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and oxides of nitrogen emissions, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this article. The loaded-mode test shall use Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) test equipment, including a chassis dynamometer, certified by the bureau.

On and after March 31, 2010, exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emissions standards shown in the Vehicle Look-up Table (VLT) Row Specific Emissions Standards (Cutpoints) Table, dated March 2010, which is hereby incorporated by reference. If the emissions standards for a specific vehicle are not included in this table then the exhaust emissions shall be compared to the emissions standards set forth in TABLE I or TABLE II, as applicable. A vehicle passes the loaded-mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emission standards specified in the applicable table.

(2) A two-speed idle mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976 - 1999 model-year vehicles, except diesel-powered, registered in all program areas of the state, except in those areas of the state where the enhanced program has been implemented. The two-speed idle mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide emissions at high RPM and again at idle RPM, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this article. Exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this

- (a), as applicable. However, the provisions described in section 44017 of the Health and Safety Code may apply.
- (4) This subsection applies in all program areas statewide to vehicles requiring inspection pursuant to sections 44005 and 44011 of the Health and Safety Code.
- 28. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3373, states:

No automotive repair dealer or individual in charge shall, in filling out an estimate, invoice, or work order, or record required to be maintained by section 3340.15(f) of this chapter, withhold therefrom or insert therein any statement or information which will cause any such document to be false or misleading, or where the tendency or effect thereby would be to mislead or deceive customers, prospective customers, or the public.

COST RECOVERY

29. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Bureau may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 30. California's Smog Check Program requires the owners of most motor vehicles in the State to periodically pass a smog check inspection and receive a certificate of compliance. These inspections are performed by smog check inspectors at smog check stations, both of which are licensed by the Bureau of Automotive Repair. The Smog Check Program is designed and intended to reduce air pollution by identifying and requiring the repair of polluting vehicles.
- 31. A smog check inspection of pre-2000 model-year vehicles includes three parts: tailpipe, visual, and functional. Smog check inspectors are required to perform the test in accordance with the Smog Check Program's codes, regulations, and Smog Check Manual. Inspectors are required to enter the results of the inspection into the Emission Inspection System (EIS), which determines whether a vehicle passed the inspection based on the results of the

tailpipe, visual, and functional tests. A vehicle must pass all three parts to pass the overall inspection and receive a certificate of compliance. If the vehicle passes, the inspector electronically transmits a certification of compliance to the Bureau's vehicle information database.

- 32. The tailpipe portion of the smog inspection is at issue here. The tailpipe portion of a smog check inspection of a pre-2000 model-year vehicle registered in Enhanced Areas requires an Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) inspection, which an inspector performs using the EIS. The EIS is a computer-based, five-gas analyzer that measures Hydrocarbons, Carbon Monoxide, Nitrogen Oxide, Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen. The ASM inspection entails two loaded mode sequences that test the vehicle's tailpipe emissions on a dynamometer. With the vehicle's drive wheels on rollers, the inspector operates the vehicle at speeds of 15 miles per hour and 25 miles per hour to simulate driving conditions while the EIS samples the vehicle's exhaust emissions. The vehicle emission samples and speed data generated throughout the ASM test are then stored in the vehicle information database.
- 33. Clean-gassing is a method by which a smog check inspector introduces a surrogate gas into the EIS during the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection. As discussed above, during a properly administered tailpipe test the EIS measures the vehicle's exhaust emissions. When an inspector clean gasses a vehicle, the EIS measures the surrogate gas or a mixture of the surrogate gas and the vehicle's exhaust. Introducing a surrogate gas in this manner alters the exhaust the EIS samples and causes it to issue a passing test result based on the fraudulent reading.
- 34. The Bureau can detect clean-gassing through analysis of the second-by-second data collected and stored in the vehicle information database. Throughout the ASM test, the EIS samples and transmits the vehicle emission and speed data on a second-by-second basis. The second-by-second data generated when a station is clean gassing often show a distinctly different pattern from the data generated during legitimate ASM smog check inspections. The second-by-second data generated during clean-gassing exhibit an abrupt drop in the level of hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen oxides when the inspector introduces the surrogate gas. The levels

¹ A two speed idle inspection is used in some circumstances but the vehicles at issue here were subject to the ASM test.

remain low for a short period and then rise when the surrogate gas dissipates. These sharp drops and rises during periods of steady vehicle speed do not occur during legitimate ASM tests.

- 35. A Bureau representative reviewed the test data Respondent transmitted to the Bureau through the EIS and found that the second-by-second data revealed a pattern of fraudulent inspections using the clean-gassing method. The Bureau representative found that with respect to 10 separate vehicles, the second-by-second data Respondent transmitted exhibited a highly irregular and unexpected pattern of gas readings consistent with clean-gassing. Specifically, in each case, the data revealed at least one sharp simultaneous drop and at least one sharp simultaneous rise in the concentrations of pollutants during the test.
- 36. Moreover, for each vehicle in question, the representative located results of a prior test of the vehicle and reviewed the second-by-second data generated during that test. In each case, Respondent performed the prior test in which the vehicle failed the tailpipe portion. In each case, the data patterns from the prior test were normal and did not exhibit the simultaneous drops and rise of pollutant concentrations. In each case, Respondent retested the vehicle a few days later and it passed the tailpipe portion of the test. And in each case, during the second test, the vehicle transmitted data patterns indicating Respondent clean gassed the vehicle in order to cause it to pass. A summary of each fraudulent smog check is as follows:
- **a.** <u>Vehicle # 1.</u> On February 12, 2018, Respondent tested a 1990 Honda Civic that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the "gross polluter" threshold. On February 14, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.
- **b.** <u>Vehicle # 2.</u> On July 13, 2018, Respondent tested a 1990 Chevrolet C1500 Pickup that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the "gross polluter" threshold. On July 19, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.
- c. <u>Vehicle # 3</u>. On August 7, 2018, Respondent inspected a 1995 Honda Civic that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the

"gross polluter" threshold. On August 13, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.

- **d.** <u>Vehicle # 4.</u> On August 16, 2018, Respondent inspected a 1993 Toyota Pickup that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the "gross polluter" threshold. On August 20, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.
- e. <u>Vehicle # 5</u>. On August 16, 2018, Respondent inspected a 1998 Toyota Corolla that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the "gross polluter" threshold. On August 20, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.
- f. <u>Vehicle # 6</u>. On August 14, 2018, Respondent inspected a 1989 Mercedes Benz 300SEL that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the applicable threshold. On August 21, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed
- **g.** <u>Vehicle # 7.</u> On August 21, 2018, Respondent inspected a 1999 Toyota Corolla that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the applicable threshold. On August 23, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the cleangassing method and it passed.
- h. <u>Vehicle # 8.</u> On August 18, 2018, Respondent inspected a 1995 Chevrolet S10 Pickup that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the "gross polluter" threshold. On August 25, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.
- i. <u>Vehicle # 9.</u> On August 30, 2018, Respondent inspected a 1999 Chevrolet Astro that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the applicable threshold. On September 4, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.
- **j.** <u>Vehicle # 10</u>. On August 27, 2018, Respondent inspected a 1998 Chevrolet Venture that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded

the "gross polluter" threshold. On September 4, 2018, Respondent re-inspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misleading Statements)

- 37. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 38. Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action under section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements that Respondent knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, when he certified that the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above had passed inspection and were in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations when, in fact and in truth, Respondent inspected the vehicles using the clean gassing method and issued fraudulent certificates of compliance.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud)

- 39. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 40. Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action under section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed fraud by certifying that the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above had passed inspection and were in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations when, in fact and in truth, Respondent inspected the vehicles using the clean gassing method and issued fraudulent certificates of compliance.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

41. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs.

///

- 42. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.2, subdivision (a), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of the Health and Safety Code:
- a. <u>Section 44012</u>: Respondent failed to perform the tests of the emission control systems and devices on the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department.
- b. <u>Section 44015, subd. (a) and (b)</u>: Respondent issued certificates of compliance for the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, without properly testing and inspecting them to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.
- c. <u>Section 44035</u>: Respondent failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform smog inspections on the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, or certifying that such tests had been performed, when in fact they were never performed.
- d. <u>Section 44059</u>: Respondent willfully made false statements and entries with regard to material matters when he issued a certificate of compliance for the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, without properly testing and inspecting them to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 43. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 44. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of Title 16, California Code of Regulations:
- a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent falsely and fraudulently issued certificates of compliance for the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j.

above, without properly testing and inspecting them to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

- b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent failed to inspect and test the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to ensure that the vehicles had all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.
- c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent knowingly entered into the Emissions Inspection System false information about the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, providing results for smog inspections that were not properly performed.
- d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
- e. <u>Section 3373</u>: Respondent withheld and inserted in the certificates of compliance issued for the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, statements and information that caused the certificates to be false or misleading, or had the tendency or effect to mislead or deceive the public.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

- 45. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 46. Respondent's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

///

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 47. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 48. Respondent's Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
- a. <u>Section 44012</u>: Respondent failed to perform the tests of the emission control systems and devices on the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department.
- c. <u>Section 44032</u>: Respondent Camacho failed to perform tests of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, in accordance with section 44012 of that Code, in that those vehicles had been clean gassed.
- b. <u>Section 44059</u>: Respondent willfully made false statements and entries with regard to material matters when they issued a certificate of compliance for the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, without properly testing and inspecting them to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 49. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 50. Respondent's Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of Title 16, California Code of Regulations:
- a. <u>Section 3340.30, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent failed to inspect and test the vehicles listed in paragraph 36, subparagraphs a. through j. above, in accordance with sections 44012 and 44035 of the Health and Safety Code, and section 3340.42 of the Regulations

55. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 640779 issued to Respondent is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in Respondent's name may also be revoked or suspended by the Director.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 56. To determine the degree of discipline to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges the following Citations, which are incorporated herein by reference:
- (a) On March 6, 2019, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Respondent Jose Lino Zamora, dba Riverview Smog Check, Citation Number C2019-854 for issuing a Certificate of Compliance to a BAR undercover vehicle with the ignition timing adjusted beyond specifications. A citation service conference was held on April 30, 2019. On May 29, 2019, an informal appeal was received. On June 3, 2019, an informal conference was held. The citation was affirmed and reissued on June 10, 2019, and became effective July 11, 2019.
- (b) On March 6, 2019, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Respondent Jose Lino Zamora, Citation Number M2019-855 for issuing a Certificate of Compliance to a BAR undercover vehicle with the ignition timing adjusted beyond specifications. A citation service conference was held on April 30, 2019. On May 29, 2019, an informal appeal was received. On June 3, 2019, an informal conference was held. The citation was affirmed and reissued on June 10, 2019, and became effective July 11, 2019.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
 288861 issued to Jose Lino Zamora, dba Riverview Smog Check;
- 2. Revoking or suspending any other Automotive Repair Dealer Registration issued to Jose Lino Zamora;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Test Only Station License Number TC 288861 issued to Jose Lino Zamora, dba Riverview Smog Check;

4.	4. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 640779 issued		
to Jose Lino Zamora;			
5.	Revoking or suspending any other license issued pursuant to Chapter 5 of Part 5 of		
Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Jose Lino Zamora;			
6.	6. Ordering Jose Lino Zamora to pay the Bureau the reasonable costs of the		
investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section			
125.3; and			
7.	Taking any other action deemed necessary and proper.		
DATED:	October 3, 2019	Signature On File	
	0000001 3, 2017	PATRICK DORAIS Chief	
		Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs	
		State of California Complainant	
		•	
CD20107015	794		
SD2019701584 71994561.docx			
		20	
	to Jose Lin 5. Division 2 6. investigation 125.3; and 7. DATED:	to Jose Lino Zamora; 5. Revoking or suspending an Division 26 of the Health and Safety Co. 6. Ordering Jose Lino Zamora investigation and enforcement of this ca. 125.3; and 7. Taking any other action dec. DATED: October 3, 2019	