1 2	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DANIEL J. CROSS	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 203017	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9058 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFOR	e tette
10	DEPARTMENT OF CO	DNSUMER AFFAIRS
11	FOR THE BUREAU OF A STATE OF CA	
12		
13		
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/19-7877
15	ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY	
16	10565 Limonite Ave., Unit D Mira Loma, CA 91752	ACCUSATION
17	Mailing Address: 5244 Manhart Circle	
18	Riverside, CA 92509	
19	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 280500	
20	Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 280500,	
21	and	
22	JAIME SOTO	
23	785 E. Sixth Street Pomona, CA 91766	
24	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO	
25	638130,	
26	Respondents.	
27		
28		
		1
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL T	ORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

1	PARTIES
2	1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as
3	the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs.
4	2. On June 9, 2015, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number
5	ARD 280500 to Estrella Hernandez, dba El Toro Test Only (Respondent Hernandez). The
6	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
7	charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2020, unless renewed.
8	3. On July 1, 2015, the Bureau issued Smog Check Test Only Station License Number
9	TC 280500 to Respondent Hernandez. The Smog Check Test Only Station License was in full
10	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30,
11	2020, unless renewed.
12	4. On or about May 11, 2015, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check
13	Inspector License Number EO 638130 to Jaime Soto (Respondent Soto). The Smog Check
14	Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein
15	and will expire on December 31, 2020, unless renewed.
16	5. At all times relevant to the allegations of this Accusation, Respondent Soto was
17	acting in the course and within the scope of his duties as a technician, inspector, employee, agent,
18	or other representative of Respondent Hernandez.
19	JURISDICTION
20	6. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer
21	Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws.
22	7. Business and Professions Code (Code) section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the
23	suspension, expiration, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to
24	proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed,
25	restored, reissued or reinstated.
26	8. Pursuant to code section 477, Code section references to the term "board" include the
27	Bureau, and references to the term "license" includes Automobile Repair Dealer Registrations.
28	///
	2
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

1	9. Code section 9884.13 provides that the expiration of a valid registration shall not	
2	deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an	
3	automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or	
4	permanently.	
5	10. Code section 9884.22 provides that the Director may revoke or suspend a registration	
6	on any grounds for disciplinary action provided in the article, and proceedings shall be conducted	
7	in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act.	
8	11. Health and Safety Code section 44002 provides that the Director has all the powers	
9	and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing the Motor Vehicle	
10	Inspection Program.	
11	12. Health and Safety Code section 44072.4 provides that after a hearing, the Director	
12	may take disciplinary action including imposing probation upon terms and conditions the Directo	r
13	sets forth, license suspension, or revocation	
14	13. Health and Safety Code section 44072.6 provides that (a) expiration or suspension of	
15	a license by operation of law, the Director, or a court, or (b) voluntary surrender of a license, shal	1
16	not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.	
17	14. Health and Safety Code section 44072.7 states:	
18	All accusations against licensees shall be filed within three years after the act	
19	or omission alleged as the ground for disciplinary action, except that with respect to an accusation alleging a violation of subdivision (d) of Section 44072.2, the	
20	accusation may be filed within two years after the discovery by the bureau of the alleged facts constituting the fraud or misrepresentation prohibited by that section.	
21	uneged facts constituting the fladd of misrepresentation promoted by that section.	
22	15. Health and Safety Code section 44072.8 states:	
23	When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under	
24	this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION	

1	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
2	16. Code section 9884.7 states:
3	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a
4	bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to
5	the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer,
6	or member of the automotive repair dealer.
7	(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any
8	statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or
9	misleading.
10	
11	(4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.
12	
13	
14	(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
15	
16	(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or
17	place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer
18	has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
19	
20	17. Health and Safety Code section 44012 states:
21	The test at the smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with
22	procedures prescribed by the department, pursuant to Section 44013, shall require, at a minimum, loaded mode dynamometer testing in enhanced areas, and
23	two-speed testing in all other program areas, and shall ensure all of the following:
24	(a) Emission control systems required by state and federal law are reducing
25	excess emissions in accordance with the standards adopted pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c) of Section 44013.
26	(b) Motor vehicles are preconditioned to ensure representative and stabilized
27	operation of the vehicle's emission control system.
28	
	4
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSAT

(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

1	(c) For other than diesel-powered vehicles, the vehicle's exhaust emissions of hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and oxides of nitrogen in an
2	idle mode or loaded mode are tested in accordance with procedures prescribed by
3	the department. In determining how loaded mode and evaporative emissions testing shall be conducted, the department shall ensure that the emission reduction
4	targets for the enhanced program are met.
5	(d) For other than diesel-powered vehicles, the vehicle's fuel evaporative system and crankcase ventilation system are tested to reduce any nonexhaust
6	sources of volatile organic compound emissions, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
7	(e) For diesel-powered vehicles, if the department determines that the
8	inclusion of those vehicles is technologically and economically feasible, a visual
9	inspection is made of emission control devices and the vehicle's exhaust emissions in an idle mode or loaded mode are tested in accordance with procedures
10	prescribed by the department. The test may include testing of emissions of any or all of the pollutants specified in subdivision (c) and, upon the adoption of
11	applicable standards, measurement of emissions of smoke or particulates, or both.
12	(f) A visual or functional check is made of emission control devices specified by the department, including the catalytic converter in those instances in
13	which the department determines it to be necessary to meet the findings of Section
14	44001. The visual or functional check shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
15	(g) A determination as to whether the motor vehicle complies with the
16	emission standards for that vehicle's class and model-year as prescribed by the department.
17	
18	(h) The test procedures may authorize smog check stations to refuse the testing of a vehicle that would be unsafe to test, or that cannot physically be
19	inspected, as specified by the department by regulation. The refusal to test a vehicle for those reasons shall not excuse or exempt the vehicle from compliance
20	with all applicable requirements of this chapter.
21	18. Health and Safety Code section 44015, subdivision (b), states:
22	(b) If a vehicle meets the requirements of Section 44012, a smog check
23	station licensed to issue certificates shall issue a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.
24	
25	19. Health and Safety Code section 44032 states:
26	No person shall perform, for compensation, tests or repairs of emission
27	control devices or systems of motor vehicles required by this chapter unless the person performing the test or repair is a qualified smog check technician and the
28	test or repair is performed at a licensed smog check station. Qualified technicians
	5
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

1	shall perform tests of emission control devices and systems in accordance with Section 44012.
2	20. Health and Safety Code section 44035 states:
3	(a) A smog check station's license or a qualified smog check technician's
4	qualification may be suspended or revoked by the department, after a hearing, for failure to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for qualification, equipment,
5	performance, or conduct. The department shall adopt rules and regulations governing the suspension, revocation, and reinstatement of licenses and
6	qualifications and the conduct of the hearings.
7	(b) The department or its representatives, including quality assurance
8	inspectors, shall be provided access to licensed stations for the purpose of examining property, station equipment, repair orders, emissions equipment
9	maintenance records, and any emission inspection items, as defined by the department.
10	department.
11	21. Health and Safety Code section 44059 states:
12	The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material
13	matter in any oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or application form which is required by this chapter or Chapter 20.3 (commencing
14	with Section 9880) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code, constitutes
15	perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code.
16	22. Health and Safety Code section 44072.2 states:
17	The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a
18	license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the following:
19	
20	(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and Saf. Code, ' 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted
21	pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.
22	(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this
23	chapter.
24	(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured
25	is injured.
26	(h) Violates or attempts to violate the provisions of this chapter relating to
27	the particular activity for which he or she is licensed."
28	
	6
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

1	23. Health and Safety Code section 44072.10 states:
2	(a) Notwithstanding Sections 44072 and 44072.4, the director, or the
3	director's designee, pending a hearing conducted pursuant to subdivision (e), may temporarily suspend any smog check station or technician's license issued under
4	this chapter, for a period not to exceed 60 days, if the department determines that
5	the licensee's conduct would endanger the public health, safety, or welfare before the matter could be heard pursuant to subdivision (e), based upon reasonable evidence of any of the following:
6	
7	(1) Fraud.
8	
9 10	(3) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure of the department implementing this chapter.
11	(4) A pattern or regular practice of violating this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure of the department implementing this chapter.
12	
13	••••
14 15	(c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station licensee who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent inspection of vehicles. A fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of
	the following:
16 17	
18	(4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure of the department implementing this chapter.
19	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
20	24. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.24(c), states:
21	
22	(c) The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal action against a licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a
23	certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance.
24	25. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.30, states:
25	A smog check technician shall comply with the following requirements at all
26	times while licensed.
27	(a) A licensed technician shall inspect, test and repair vehicles in accordance with section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code, section 44035 of the Health and
28	with section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code, section 44035 of the Health and Safety Code, and section 3340.42 of this article.
	7
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSAT

1	(b) A licensed technician shall maintain on file with the bureau a correct
2	mailing address pursuant to section 3303.3 of Article 1 of this Chapter.
3	(c) A licensed technician shall notify the bureau in writing within two weeks of any change of employment.
4	
5	(d) A licensed technician whose license has expired shall immediately cease to inspect, test, or repair failed vehicles.
6	26. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.35(c), states:
7	(c) A licensed station shall issue a certificate of compliance or
8	noncompliance to the owner or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article and has
9 10	all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly. The following conditions shall apply:
11	(1) Customers shall be charged the same price for certificates as that paid by the licensed station; and
12	
13	(2) Sales tax shall not be assessed on the price of certificates.
14	27. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.41, subdivision (c) states:
15	No person shall enter into the emissions inspection system any vehicle
16	identification information or emission control system identification data for any vehicle other than the one being tested. Nor shall any person knowingly enter into
17	the emissions inspection system any false information about the vehicle being
18	tested.
19	28. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42, states:
20	Smog check inspection methods are prescribed in the Smog Check Manual,
21	referenced by section 3340.45.
22	(a) All vehicles subject to a smog check inspection, shall receive one of the following test methods:
23	following test methods:
24	(1) A loaded-mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976 - 1999 model-year vehicle, except diesel-powered, registered in the enhanced program
25	areas of the state. The loaded-mode test shall measure hydrocarbon, carbon
26	monoxide, carbon dioxide and oxides of nitrogen emissions, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of Section 3340.17 of this
27	article. The loaded-mode test shall use Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) test equipment, including a chassis dynamometer, certified by the bureau.
28	
	8
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

1	On and after March 31, 2010, exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emissions standards shown
2	in the Vehicle Look-up Table (VLT) Row Specific Emissions Standards
3	(Cutpoints) Table, dated March 2010, which is hereby incorporated by reference. If the emissions standards for a specific vehicle are not included in this table then
4	the exhaust emissions shall be compared to the emissions standards set forth in TABLE I or TABLE II, as applicable. A vehicle passes the loaded-mode test if all
5	of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable emission standards specified in the applicable table.
6	(2) A two-speed idle mode test shall be the test method used to inspect 1976
7	- 1999 model-year vehicles, except diesel-powered, registered in all program areas
8	of the state, except in those areas of the state where the enhanced program has been implemented. The two-speed idle mode test shall measure hydrocarbon,
9	carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide emissions at high RPM and again at idle RPM, as contained in the bureau's specifications referenced in subsection (a) of
10	Section 3340.17 of this article. Exhaust emissions from a vehicle subject to this inspection shall be measured and compared to the emission standards set forth in
11	this section and as shown in TABLE III. A vehicle passes the two-speed idle mode test if all of its measured emissions are less than or equal to the applicable
12	emissions standards specified in Table III.
13	(3) An OBD-focused test, shall be the test method used to inspect gasoline-
14	powered vehicles 2000 model-year and newer, and diesel-powered vehicles 1998 model-year and newer. The OBD test failure criteria are specified in section 3340.42.2.
15	
16 17	(b) In addition to subsection (a), all vehicles subject to the smog check program shall receive the following:
17	(1) A visual inspection of emission control components and systems to
10	verify the vehicle's emission control systems are properly installed.
20	(2) A functional inspection of emission control systems as specified in the Smog Check Manual, referenced by section 3340.45, which may include an OBD
21	test, to verify their proper operation.
22	(c) The bureau may require any combination of the inspection methods in
23	sections (a) and (b) under any of the following circumstances:
24	(1) Vehicles that the department randomly selects pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44014.7 as a means of identifying potential operational
25	problems with vehicle OBD systems.
26	(2) Vehicles identified by the bureau as being operationally or physically
27	incompatible with inspection equipment.
28	(3) Vehicles with OBD systems that have demonstrated operational problems.
	9
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSAT

1	(d) Pursuant to section 39032.5 of the Health and Safety Code, gross polluter
2	standards are as follows:
3	(1) A gross polluter means a vehicle with excess hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, or oxides of nitrogen emissions pursuant to the gross polluter emissions
4	standards included in the tables described in subsection (a), as applicable.
5	(2) Vehicles with emission levels exceeding the emission standards for gross
6	polluters during an initial inspection will be considered gross polluters and the provisions pertaining to gross polluting vehicles will apply, including, but not
7	limited to, sections 44014.5, 44015, and 44081 of the Health and Safety Code.
8	(3) A gross polluting vehicle shall not be passed or issued a certificate of
9	compliance until the vehicle's emissions are reduced to or below the applicable emissions standards for the vehicle included in the tables described in subsection (a) as applicable. However, the provisions described in section 44017 of the
10	(a), as applicable. However, the provisions described in section 44017 of the Health and Safety Code may apply.
11	(4) This subsection applies in all program areas statewide to vehicles
12 13	requiring inspection pursuant to sections 44005 and 44011 of the Health and Safety Code.
14	29. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3373, states:
15	No automotive repair dealer or individual in charge shall, in filling out an
16	estimate, invoice, or work order, or record required to be maintained by section 3340.15(f) of this chapter, withhold therefrom or insert therein any statement or
17	information which will cause any such document to be false or misleading, or where the tendency or effect thereby would be to mislead or deceive customers,
18	prospective customers, or the public.
19	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>
20	30. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Bureau may request the
21	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
22	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
23	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being
24	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be
25	included in a stipulated settlement.
26	///
27	///
28	///
	10
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

31. California's Smog Check Program requires the owners of most motor vehicles in the State to periodically pass a smog check inspection and receive a certificate of compliance. These inspections are performed by smog check inspectors at smog check stations, both of which are licensed by the Bureau of Automotive Repair. The Smog Check Program is designed and intended to reduce air pollution by identifying and requiring the repair of polluting vehicles.

32. A smog check inspection of pre-2000 model-year vehicles includes three parts: 7 8 tailpipe, visual, and functional. Smog check inspectors are required to perform the test in 9 accordance with the Smog Check Program's codes, regulations, and Smog Check Manual. 10 Inspectors are required to enter the results of the inspection into the Emission Inspection System (EIS), which determines whether a vehicle passed the inspection based on the results of the 11 tailpipe, visual, and functional tests. A vehicle must pass all three parts to pass the overall 12 inspection and receive a certificate of compliance. If the vehicle passes, the inspector 13 14 electronically transmits a certification of compliance to the Bureau's vehicle information database.

33. The tailpipe portion of the smog inspection is at issue here. The tailpipe portion of 15 a smog check inspection of a pre-2000 model-year vehicle registered in Enhanced Areas requires 16 an Acceleration Simulation Mode (ASM) inspection, which an inspector performs using the EIS.¹ 17 The EIS is a computer-based, five-gas analyzer that measures Hydrocarbons, Carbon Monoxide, 18 19 Nitrogen Oxide, Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen. The ASM inspection entails two loaded mode sequences that test the vehicle's tailpipe emissions on a dynamometer. With the vehicle's drive 2021 wheels on rollers, the inspector operates the vehicle at speeds of 15 miles per hour and 25 miles per hour to simulate driving conditions while the EIS samples the vehicle's exhaust emissions. 22 The vehicle emission samples and speed data generated throughout the ASM test are then stored 23 24 in the vehicle information database.

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

34. Clean-gassing is a method by which a smog check inspector introduces a surrogate gas into the EIS during the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection. As discussed above, during a

 $^{^{1}}$ A two speed idle inspection is used in some circumstances but the vehicles at issue here were subject to the ASM test.

properly administered tailpipe test the EIS measures the vehicle's exhaust emissions. When an
 inspector clean gasses a vehicle, the EIS measures the surrogate gas or a mixture of the surrogate
 gas and the vehicle's exhaust. Introducing a surrogate gas in this manner alters the exhaust the
 EIS samples and causes it to issue a passing test result based on the fraudulent reading.

35. The Bureau can detect clean-gassing through analysis of the second-by-second data 5 collected and stored in the vehicle information database. Throughout the ASM test, the EIS 6 samples and transmits the vehicle emission and speed data on a second-by-second basis. The 7 second-by-second data generated when a station is clean gassing often show a distinctly different 8 9 pattern from the data generated during legitimate ASM smog check inspections. The second-by-10 second data generated during clean-gassing exhibit an abrupt drop in the level of hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen oxides when the inspector introduces the surrogate gas. The levels 11 remain low for a short period and then rise when the surrogate gas dissipates. These sharp drops 12 and rises during periods of steady vehicle speed do not occur during legitimate ASM tests. 13

14 36. A Bureau representative reviewed the test data Respondents transmitted to the 15 Bureau through the EIS and found that the second-by-second data revealed a pattern of fraudulent 16 inspections using the clean-gassing method. The Bureau representative found that with respect to 17 13 separate vehicles, the second-by-second data Respondents transmitted exhibited a highly 18 irregular and unexpected pattern of gas readings consistent with clean-gassing. Specifically, in 19 each case, the data revealed at least one sharp simultaneous drop and at least one sharp simultaneous 12 rise in the concentrations of pollutants during the test.

37. 21 Moreover, for 12 of the 13 vehicles in question, the representative located results of a prior test of the vehicle and reviewed the second-by-second data generated during that test. In 22 each case, Respondent performed the prior test in which the vehicle failed the tailpipe portion. In 23 24 each case, the data patterns from the prior test were normal and did not exhibit the simultaneous drops and rise of pollutant concentrations. In each case, Respondent retested the vehicle a few days 25 26 later and it passed the tailpipe portion of the test. And in each case, during the second test, the vehicle transmitted data patterns indicating Respondent clean gassed the vehicle in order to cause 27 it to pass. A summary of each fraudulent smog check is as follows: 28

a. <u>Vehicle # 1</u>. On April 27, 2017, Respondents tested a 1993 Honda Accord that failed
 the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the
 applicable threshold. On May 30, 2017, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the clean gassing method and it passed.

b. <u>Vehicle # 2</u>. On May 26, 2017, Respondents tested a 1993 Toyota Pickup that failed
the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the
applicable threshold. On May 30, 2017, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the cleangassing method and it passed.

c. <u>Vehicle # 3</u>. On June 3, 2017, Respondents inspected a 1995 Toyota Pickup that
failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the
"gross polluter" threshold. On June 9, 2017, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the
clean-gassing method and it passed.

d. <u>Vehicle # 4</u>. On June 17, 2017, Respondents inspected a 1987 Toyota Supra that
failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the
"gross polluter" threshold. On June 24, 2017, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the
clean-gassing method and it passed.

e. <u>Vehicle # 5.</u> On June 17, 2017, Respondents inspected a 1991 Honda Civic that
failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the
"gross polluter" threshold. On July 3, 2017, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the
clean-gassing method and it passed.

f. <u>Vehicle # 6</u>. On July 17, 2017, Respondents inspected a 1999 Honda Accord that
failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the
"gross polluter" threshold. On July 24, 2017, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the
clean-gassing method and it passed.

g. <u>Vehicle # 7</u>. On October 28, 2017, Respondents inspected a 1989 Nissan Pickup
using the clean-gassing method and it passed. The second-by-second emissions data exhibited a
highly irregular and unexpected pattern that can only be explained by clean-gassing.

h. <u>Vehicle # 8.</u> On October 31, 2018, Respondents inspected a 1999 Chevrolet Blazer
that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded
the applicable threshold. On November 8, 2018, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the
clean-gassing method and it passed.

i. <u>Vehicle # 9</u>. On November 10, 2018, Respondents inspected a 1987 Toyota Pickup
that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded
the applicable threshold. On November 12, 2018, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the
clean-gassing method and it passed.

j. <u>Vehicle # 10</u>. On November 20, 2018, Respondents inspected a 1998 Nissan Altima
that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection. On November 23, 2018, Respondents reinspected the vehicle using the clean-gassing method and it passed.

k. <u>Vehicle # 11</u>. On November 17, 2018, Respondents inspected a 1997 Toyota Tercel
 that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded
 the applicable threshold. On November 24, 2018, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the
 clean-gassing method and it passed.

Vehicle # 12. On April 8, 2019, Respondents inspected a 1997 Toyota Camry that
 failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions exceeded the
 applicable threshold. On April 9, 2019, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle using the clean gassing method and it passed.

m. <u>Vehicle # 13</u>. On January 23, 2019, Respondents inspected a 1981 Chevrolet El
 Camino that failed the tailpipe portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle's emissions
 exceeded the applicable threshold. On April 27, 2019, Respondents re-inspected the vehicle
 using the clean-gassing method and it passed.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misleading Statements)

26 38. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the
27 foregoing paragraphs.

28 ///

24

1	39. Respondent Hernandez's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to
2	disciplinary action under section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent Hernandez made
3	or authorized statements that Respondents knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have
4	known to be untrue or misleading, when they certified that the vehicles listed in paragraph 37,
5	subparagraphs a. through m. above had passed inspection and were in compliance with all
6	applicable laws and regulations when, in fact and in truth, Respondents inspected the vehicles
7	using the clean gassing method and issued fraudulent certificates of compliance.
8	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
9	(Fraud)
10	40. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the
11	foregoing paragraphs.
12	41. Respondent Hernandez's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to
13	disciplinary action under section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondents committed fraud
14	by certifying that the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above had
15	passed inspection and were in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations when, in fact
16	and in truth, Respondents inspected the vehicles using the clean gassing method and issued
17	fraudulent certificates of compliance.
18	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
19	(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
20	42. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in the
21	foregoing paragraphs.
22	43. Respondent Hernandez's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary
23	action under Health and Safety Code sections 44072.2, subdivision (a), and 44072.10,
24	subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondents failed to comply with the following sections of the
25	Health and Safety Code:
26	a. <u>Section 44012</u> : Respondents failed to perform the tests of the emission control
27	systems and devices on the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, in
28	accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department.
	15
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

b. <u>Section 44015, subd. (a) and (b)</u>: Respondents issued certificates of compliance for
 the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, without properly testing
 and inspecting them to determine if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section
 44012.

c. <u>Section 44035</u>: Respondents failed to meet or maintain the standards prescribed for
qualification, equipment, performance, or conduct by failing to properly perform smog
inspections on the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, or
certifying that such tests had been performed, when in fact they were never performed.

9 d. <u>Section 44059</u>: Respondents willfully made false statements and entries with regard
10 to material matters when he issued a certificate of compliance for the vehicles listed in paragraph
11 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, without properly testing and inspecting them to determine
12 if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

13

14

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

44. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth abovein the foregoing paragraphs.

45. Respondent Hernandez's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary
action under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), and 44072.10, subdivisions
(a) and (c), in that Respondents failed to comply with the following sections of Title 16,
California Code of Regulations:

a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondents falsely and fraudulently issued
certificates of compliance for the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m.
above, without properly testing and inspecting them to determine if they were in compliance with
Health & Safety Code section 44012.

b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondents failed to inspect and test the vehicles
listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, in accordance with the procedures
specified in section 3340.42 of the Regulations and failed to ensure that the vehicles had all the
required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly.

1	c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)</u> : Respondents knowingly entered into the
2	Emissions Inspection System false information about the vehicles listed in paragraph 37,
3	subparagraphs a. through m. above, providing results for smog inspections that were not properly
4	performed.
5	d. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondents failed to conduct the required smog tests on the
6	vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, in accordance with the
7	Bureau's specifications.
8	e. <u>Section 3373</u> : Respondents withheld and inserted in the certificates of compliance
9	issued for the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, statements and
10	information that caused the certificates to be false or misleading, or had the tendency or effect to
11	mislead or deceive the public.
12	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
14	46. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above
15	in the foregoing paragraphs.
16	47. Respondent Hernandez's Smog Check Station License is subject to disciplinary
17	action under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), and 44072.10,
18	subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondents committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts
19	whereby another is injured by issuing smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles listed in
20	paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, without performing bona fide inspections of
21	the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the
22	State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
23	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
24	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
25	48. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above
26	in the foregoing paragraphs.
27	///
28	///
	17
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION

Respondent Soto's Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action 49. 1 2 under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent Soto failed to comply with the following sections of that Code: 3 Section 44012: Respondent Soto failed to perform the tests of the emission control 4 a. systems and devices on the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, in 5 accordance with procedures prescribed by the Department. 6 Section 44032: Respondent Soto failed to perform tests of the emission control 7 c. devices and systems on the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, in 8 9 accordance with section 44012 of that Code, in that those vehicles had been clean gassed. 10 b. Section 44059: Respondent Soto willfully made false statements and entries with regard to material matters when they issued a certificate of compliance for the vehicles listed in 11 paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, without properly testing and inspecting them to 12 determine if they were in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012. 13 SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 14 (Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 15 50. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above 16 in the foregoing paragraphs. 17 Respondent Soto's Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action 51. 18 19 under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a) and (c), in that Respondent Soto failed to comply with the following sections of Title 16, 2021 California Code of Regulations: Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Soto failed to inspect and test the 22 a. vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, in accordance with sections 23 24 44012 and 44035 of the Health and Safety Code, and section 3340.42 of the Regulations b. Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Soto knowingly entered into the 25 Emissions Inspection System false information about the vehicles listed in paragraph 37, 26 subparagraphs a. through m. above, providing results for smog inspections that were not properly 27 performed. 28

1	c. <u>Section 3340.42</u> : Respondent Soto failed to conduct the required smog tests on the			
2	vehicles listed in paragraph 37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, in accordance with the			
3	Bureau's specifications.			
4	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE			
5	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)			
6	52. Complainant re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth above			
7	in the foregoing paragraphs.			
8	53. Respondent Soto's Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action			
9	under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), and 44072.10, subdivisions (a)			
10	and (c), in that Respondent Soto committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby			
11	another is injured by issuing smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles listed in paragraph			
12	37, subparagraphs a. through m. above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission			
13	control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of			
14	California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.			
15	OTHER MATTERS			
16	54. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may suspend, revoke or			
17	place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by Respondent			
18	Hernandez upon a finding that she has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful			
19	violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.			
20	55. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Test Only Station			
21	License Number TC 280500, issued to Respondent Hernandez is revoked or suspended, any			
22	additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code			
23	in Respondent Hernandez's name may also be revoked or suspended by the Director.			
24	56. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector License			
25	No. EO 638130 issued to Respondent Soto is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued			
26	under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in Respondent Soto's			
27	name may also be revoked or suspended by the Director.			
28	///			
	19			
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION			

1	PRAYER			
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,			
3	and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:			
4	1.	1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD		
5	280500 issued to Estrella Hernandez, dba El Toro Test Only;			
6	2. Revoking or suspending any other Automotive Repair Dealer Registration issued to			
7	Estrella Hernandez;			
8	3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Test Only Station License Number TC 280500			
9	issued to Estrella Hernandez, dba El Toro Test Only;			
10	4. Revoking or suspending any other license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of			
11	Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Estrella Hernandez;			
12	5. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 638130 issued			
13	to Jaime Soto;			
14	6. Revoking or suspending any other license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of			
15	Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Jaime Soto;			
16	7. Ordering Estrella Hernandez and Jaime Soto, jointly and severally, to pay the Bureau			
17	of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case,			
18	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,			
19	8. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.			
20				
21				
22	DATED: October 2, 2019		Signature On File PATRICK DORAIS	
23			Chief	
24			Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs	
25			State of California Complainant	
26				
27				
28	SD2019702437 71994716.docx			
	20			
	(ESTRELLA HERNANDEZ, DBA EL TORO TEST ONLY, and JAIME SOTO) ACCUSATION			