

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**BEFORE THE
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

**P & P SMOG CHECK; ARMEN
MEGERDCHIAN
3979 North Mission Rd # B
Los Angeles, CA 90031**

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.
ARD 270778
Smog Check, Test Only Station License No.
TC 270778,

and

**ARMEN MEGERDCHIAN
721 N. Isabel # 1
Glendale, CA 91206**

Smog Check Inspector License No. EO
630796
Smog Check Repair Technician License No.
EI 630796

Respondents.

Case No. 79/15-41

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

[Gov. Code, §11520]

FINDINGS OF FACT

1
2 1. On or about September 17, 2014, Complainant Patrick Dorais, in his official capacity
3 as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
4 Accusation No. 79/15-41 against Armen Megerdchian and P & P Smog Check, Armen
5 Megerdchian, owner (collectively, "Respondents") before the Director of Consumer Affairs.
6 (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)

7 2. On or about November 6, 2012, the Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau") issued
8 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 270778 to P & P Smog Check, Armen
9 Megerdchian, owner. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration ("ARD") was suspended as a
10 condition of bail on or about June 2, 2014, following respondent Armen Megerdchian's arrest for
11 performing illegal smog inspections. The ARD will expire on November 30, 2014, unless
12 renewed.

13 3. On or about November 26, 2012, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only Station
14 License Number TC 270778 to P & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner. The Smog
15 Check, Test Only Station License was suspended as a condition of bail on or about June 2, 2014,
16 following respondent Armen Megerdchian's arrest for performing illegal smog inspections. The
17 license will expire on November 30, 2014, unless renewed.

18 4. On February 5, 2009, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
19 License Number EA 630796 to Armen Megerdchian. Pursuant to California Code of
20 Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e), said license was renewed on October 15,
21 2012, as Smog Check Inspector (EO) License No. 630796 and Smog Check Repair Technician
22 (EI) License No. 630796. The Smog Check Inspector and Smog Check Repair Technician
23 Licenses were suspended as a condition of bail on or about June 2, 2014, following respondent
24 Armen Megerdchian's arrest for performing illegal smog inspections. The licenses expired on
25 October 31, 2014.

26 5. On or about September 19, 2014, Respondents were served by Certified Mail copies
27 of the Accusation No. 79/15-41, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for
28 Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at

1 their addresses of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, are
2 required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. The address of record for respondent P
3 & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner, was and is 3979 North Mission Rd # B, Los
4 Angeles, CA 90031. The address of record for respondent Armen Megerdchian was and is 721
5 N. Isabel # 1, Glendale, CA 91206.

6 6. Service of the Accusation on Respondents was effective as a matter of law under the
7 provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions
8 Code section 124.

9 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

10 (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
11 files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts
12 of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion
may nevertheless grant a hearing.

13 8. Respondents failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them
14 of the Accusation, and therefore waived their right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.
15 79/15-41.

16 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

17 (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
18 hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
19 or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to
respondent.

20 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director after
21 having reviewed the proof of service dated September 19, 2014, signed by CV Talaro, and the
22 signed certified mail return receipt related to service upon respondent Armen Megerdchian finds
23 that Respondents are in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based
24 on Accusation, No. 79/15-41, proof of service and on the Affidavit of Bureau Representative Paul
25 Grimmie, finds that the allegations in Accusation are true.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1
2 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, respondent P & P Smog Check, Armen
3 Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 270778
4 to discipline.

5 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

6 3. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Automotive
7 Repair Dealer Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which
8 are supported by the evidence contained in the affidavit of Bureau Representative Paul Grimmie
9 in this case:

10 a. Business and Professions Code § 9884.7(a)(1) [Untrue Misleading Statements];

11 b. Business and Professions Code § 9884.7(a)(4) [Fraud];

12 c. Business and Professions Code § 9884.7(a)(6) [Material Violation of Automotive
13 Repair Act];

14 d. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(a) [Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection
15 Program Statute];

16 e. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(c) [Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection
17 Program Regulations];

18 f. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(d) [Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit];

19 g. Business and Professions Code § 9889.3(b) [Substantially-Related Criminal
20 Conviction];

21 4. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, respondent P & P Smog Check, Armen
22 Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his Smog Check, Test Only Station License No. TC 270778
23 to discipline.

24 5. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

25 6. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Smog Check,
26 Test Only Station License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which
27 are supported by the evidence contained in the affidavit of Bureau Representative Paul Grimmie
28 in this case:

1 a. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(a) [Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection
2 Program Statute];

3 b. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(c) [Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection
4 Program Regulations];

5 c. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(d) [Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit];

6 d. Business and Professions Code § 9889.3(b) [Substantially-Related Criminal
7 Conviction];

8 7. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, respondent Armen Megerdchian has
9 subjected his Smog Check Inspector (EO) License No. 630796 and Smog Check Repair
10 Technician (EI) License No. 630796 to discipline.

11 8. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

12 9. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Smog Check
13 Inspector (EO) License and Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) License based upon the
14 following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in
15 the affidavit of Bureau Representative Paul Grimmie in this case:

16 a. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(a) [Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection
17 Program Statute];

18 b. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(c) [Violation of Motor Vehicle Inspection
19 Program Regulations];

20 c. Health and Safety Code § 44072.2(d) [Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit];

21 d. Business and Professions Code § 9889.3(b) [Substantially-Related Criminal
22 Conviction];

23 ORDER

24 IT IS SO ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 270778,
25 heretofore issued to Respondent P & P Smog Check; Armen Megerdchian, owner, is revoked.

26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Smog Check Inspector (EO) License No. 630796 and
27 Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) License No. 630796, heretofore issued to Respondent
28 Armen Megerdchian, are revoked.

1 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondents may serve a
2 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
3 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondents. The motion should be sent to the
4 Bureau of Automotive Repair, ATTN: William D. Thomas, 10949 North Mather Blvd., Rancho
5 Cordova, CA 95670. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing
6 on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

7 This Decision shall become effective on November 4, 2015.

8 It is so ORDERED October 11, 2015

9
10
11 
12 _____
13 TAMARA COLSON
14 Assistant General Counsel
15 Department of Consumer Affairs

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
51628424.DOC
DOJ Matter ID:LA2014512117
Attachment:
Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 GREGORY J. SALUTE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 WILLIAM D. GARDNER
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 244817
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 897-2114
6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
Attorneys for Complainant
7

8 **BEFORE THE**
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
9 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

10
11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
12 **P & P SMOG CHECK; ARMEN**
MEGERDCHIAN
13 **3979 North Mission Rd # B**
Los Angeles, CA 90031
14
15 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.**
ARD 270778
16 **Smog Check, Test Only Station License No.**
TC 270778,
17 **and**
18 **ARMEN MEGERDCHIAN**
721 N. Isabel # 1
19 **Glendale, CA 91206**
20 **Smog Check Inspector License No. EO**
630796
21 **Smog Check Repair Technician License No.**
EI 630796
22
23 Respondent.

Case No. *79/15-41*
ACCUSATION
Smog check

24
25 Complainant alleges:

26 **PARTIES**

27 1. Patrick Dorais ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity
28 as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.

1 7. Section 9884.7 of the BPC states, in pertinent part:

2 “(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide
3 error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or permanently, the registration of an
4 automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the
5 business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any
6 automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

7 (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement
8 written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise
9 of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading

10 (4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.”

11

12 (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or
13 regulations adopted pursuant to it.

14

15 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license
16 as provided in this article if the licensee or any partner, officer, or director thereof.

17

18

19 (a) Violates any section of the Business and Professions Code that relates to his or her
20 licensed activities.

21 8. Section 9889.3 of the BPC states, in pertinent part:

22 “The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as
23 provided in this article if the licensee or any partner, officer, or director thereof:

24

25 “(b) Is convicted of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties
26 of the licensholder in question.”

27 ///

28 ///

1 9. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code ("HSC") provides, in pertinent part, that
2 the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for
3 enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

4 10. Section 44012 of the HSC provides, in pertinent part, that tests at smog check stations
5 shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

6 11. Section 44015, subdivision (b), of the HSC provides that a certificate of compliance
7 shall be issued if a vehicle meets the requirements of HSC section 40012.

8 12. Section 44032 of the HSC provides, in pertinent part, that "[q]ualified technicians
9 shall perform tests of emission control devices and systems in accordance with Section 44012."

10 13. Section 44059 of the HSC provides:

11 "The willful making of any false statement or entry with regard to a material matter in any
12 oath, affidavit, certificate of compliance or noncompliance, or application form which is required
13 by this chapter or Chapter 20.3 (commencing with Section 9880) of Division 3 of the Business
14 and Professions Code, constitutes perjury and is punishable as provided in the Penal Code."

15 14. Section 44072.2 of the HSC states, in pertinent part:

16 "The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as
17 provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the
18 following:

19 "(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program
20 (Health and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which
21 related to the licensed activities . . .

22 "(b) Is convicted of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or
23 duties of the licenseholder in question.

24 "(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

25 "(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is
26 injured."

27 ///

28 ///

1 COST RECOVERY

2 21. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), of the BPC provides, in pertinent part, that a Board
3 "may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a
4 violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the
5 investigation and enforcement of the case."

6 BUREAU INVESTIGATION AND SURVEILLANCE

7 22. On or about November 26, 2013, Bureau program representatives Paul Grimmie
8 and Brian Vu conducted video-taped surveillance of respondent P & P Smog Check ("Respondent
9 Station"), located at 3979 North Mission Road, #B, Los Angeles, CA. Bureau program
10 representatives Grimmie and Vu observed respondent Armen Megerdchian ("Respondent
11 Megerdchian") performing smog inspections at Respondent Station during the surveillance
12 period. The surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's VID revealed that
13 during the surveillance period, Respondent Megerdchian and Respondent Station (collectively,
14 "Respondents") engaged in illegal smog inspection activities. Specifically, the Bureau's
15 surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's VID revealed that between
16 approximately 1042 and 1049 hours, Respondent Megerdchian used his smog check inspector
17 license and access code to perform a smog inspection that resulted in the issuance of electronic
18 Certificate of Compliance No. YB142976C for a 1993 Nissan pickup truck, license plate number
19 6X82634, certifying that he had tested and inspected that vehicle and that the vehicle was in
20 compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time during the test period was the Nissan
21 pickup truck seen in or around the station's test bay. Instead, the tail pipe emissions of a 1999
22 Nissan Altima, license plate 6DWH390, were used in a method known as "clean piping"¹ to issue
23 a fraudulent electronic certificate of compliance.

24 ///

25
26 ¹ "Clean piping" is sampling the (clean) tailpipe emissions and/or the RPM readings of
27 another vehicle for the purpose of illegally issuing smog certifications to vehicles that are not in
28 compliance or are not present in the smog check area during the time of the certification.

1 23. On or about December 3, 2013, Bureau program representatives Grimmie and Vu
2 conducted another video-taped surveillance of Respondent Station. During the surveillance
3 period, Bureau program representatives Grimmie and Vu observed Respondent Megerdchian
4 performing smog inspections at Respondent Station. Once again, the surveillance operation and
5 information obtained from the Bureau's VID revealed that during the surveillance period,
6 Respondents were engaged in illegal smog inspection activities. The circumstances are as
7 follows:

8 a. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's
9 VID revealed that between approximately 0954 and 1002 hours, Respondent Megerdchian used
10 his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that resulted in
11 the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. PA945075C for a 1991 Honda Civic,
12 license plate number 2ZES717, certifying that he had tested and inspected that vehicle and that
13 the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time during the test
14 period was the 1991 Honda Civic seen in or around the station's test bay. Instead, the tail pipe
15 emissions of a 1992 Toyota Camry, license plate 4YUC156, were used to issue a fraudulent
16 electronic certificate of compliance through the clean piping method as previously described.

17 h. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's
18 VID revealed that between approximately 1241 and 1247 hours, Respondent Megerdchian used
19 his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that resulted in
20 the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. PA945080C for a 1986 Ford F150,
21 license plate number 2T31431, certifying that he had tested and inspected that vehicle and that the
22 vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time during the test period
23 was the 1986 Ford F150 seen in or around the station's test bay. Instead, the tail pipe emissions of
24 a 2005 Scion XB, license plate 5KZC204, were used to issue a fraudulent electronic certificate of
25 compliance through the clean piping method as previously described.

26 c. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's
27 VID revealed that between approximately 1327 and 1334 hours, Respondent Megerdchian used
28 his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that resulted in

1 the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. PA945082C for a 1987 Honda Accord,
2 license plate number 2DVN411, certifying that he had tested and inspected that vehicle and that
3 the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time during the test
4 period was the 1987 Honda Accord seen in or around the station's test bay. Instead, the tail pipe
5 emissions of a 2002 Toyota Camry, license plate 5JWB406, were used to issue a fraudulent
6 electronic certificate of compliance through the clean piping method as previously described.

7 d. The Bureau's surveillance operation and information obtained from the Bureau's
8 VID revealed that between approximately 1533 and 1541 hours, Respondent Megerdchian used
9 his smog check inspector license and access code to perform a smog inspection that resulted in
10 the issuance of electronic Certificate of Compliance No. PA945086C for a 1994 Chevrolet Astro,
11 license plate number 3GPB462, certifying that he had tested and inspected that vehicle and that
12 the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. At no time during the test
13 period was the 1994 Chevrolet Astro seen in or around the station's test bay. Instead, the tail pipe
14 emissions of a 1999 Toyota Tacoma, license plate 71120B1, were used to issue a fraudulent
15 electronic certificate of compliance through the clean piping method as previously described.

16 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Misleading Statements)**

18 24. P & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his ARD to discipline
19 under BPC section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on or about November 26, 2013, and
20 December 3, 2013, he made statements which he knew or which by exercise of reasonable care
21 should have known were untrue or misleading when he issued electronic certificates of
22 compliance for certain vehicles, certifying that those vehicles were in compliance with applicable
23 laws and regulations when, in fact, those vehicles had not been inspected. Complainant refers to,
24 and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 22 and 23,
25 subdivisions a – d, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Fraud)**

3 25. P & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his ARD to discipline
4 under BPC section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on or about November 26, 2013, and
5 December 3, 2013, he committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic certificates of
6 compliance for certain vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control
7 devices and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of
8 the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by
9 this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 22 and 23, subdivisions a
10 – d, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

11 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act)**

13 26. P & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his ARD to discipline
14 under BPC section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that on or about November 26, 2013, and
15 December 3, 2013, he failed in a "material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter
16 or regulations adopted pursuant to it" when he issued electronic certificates of compliance for
17 certain vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and
18 systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the
19 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this
20 reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 22 and 23, subdivisions a – d,
21 inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

22 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

24 27. P & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his station license to
25 discipline under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or about November 26, 2013,
26 and December 3, 2013, Respondent violated the following sections of the IISC with respect to the
27 inspection of certain vehicles:

28 ///

1 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
2 performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

3 b. **Section 44014:** Respondent allowed an unlicensed person, Raul Padilla, to perform
4 emission control tests on those vehicles in violation of procedures prescribed by the department.

5 c. **Section 44015, subdivision (b):** Respondent issued electronic certificates of
6 compliance without properly testing and inspecting the vehicles to determine if they were in
7 compliance with section 44012 of the HSC.

8 e. **Section 44059:** Respondent willfully made false entries for the electronic certificates
9 of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when, in fact, they
10 had not.

11 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in
12 paragraphs 22 and 23, subdivisions a – d, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

13 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

15 28. P & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his station license to
16 discipline under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or about November 26, 2013,
17 and December 3, 2013, Respondent violated the following sections of title 16 of the CCR with
18 respect to the inspection of certain vehicles:

19 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued
20 electronic certificates of compliance without performing bona fide inspections of the emission
21 control devices and systems on those vehicles as required by HSC section 44012.

22 c. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent issued electronic certificates of
23 compliance even though those vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section
24 3340.42 of the HSC.

25 e. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and
26 inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

27 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in
28 paragraphs 22 and 23, subdivisions a – d, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

1 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

3 29. P & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his station license to
4 discipline under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on or about November 26, 2013,
5 and December 3, 2013, Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby
6 another was injured by issuing electronic certificates of compliance for certain vehicles without
7 performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles,
8 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
9 Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the
10 allegations set forth above in paragraphs 22 and 23, subdivisions a – d, inclusive, as though set
11 forth fully herein.

12 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

13 **(Criminal Conviction)**

14 30. P & P Smog Check, Armen Megerdchian, owner, has subjected his ARD and station
15 license to discipline under Code section 9889.3, subdivision (b), and Health and Safety Code
16 section 44072.2, subdivision (b), in that on or about June 19, 2014, in the criminal matter entitled
17 *People of the State of California v. Armen Megerdchian* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles, 2014, No.
18 BA42192301), Respondent entered a plea of guilty and was convicted of one count of violating
19 Penal Code section 115(a) [register/record false document with public office]. The circumstances
20 surrounding the conviction are that Respondent was arrested in or around May 2014 and charged
21 with multiple felonies in connection with the illegal conduct set forth in paragraphs 23 and 24,
22 above.

23 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

24 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

25 31. Respondent Megerdchian has subjected his smog check inspector and smog check
26 repair technician licenses to discipline under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on or
27 about November 26, 2013, and December 3, 2013, he violated the following sections of the HSC
28 with respect to the inspection of certain vehicles:

1 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
2 performed on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

3 b. **Section 44032:** Respondent failed to perform tests of the emission control devices
4 and systems on those vehicles in accordance with section 44012 of the HSC, in that the vehicles
5 had been clean piped.

6 c. **Section 44059:** Respondent willfully made false entries for the electronic certificates
7 of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when, in fact, they
8 had not.

9 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in
10 paragraphs 22 and 23, subdivisions a – d, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

11 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

13 32. Respondent Megerdchian has subjected his smog check inspector and smog check
14 repair technician licenses to discipline under HSC section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on or
15 about November 26, 2013, and December 3, 2013, he violated the following sections of the CCR,
16 title 16, with respect to the inspection of certain vehicles:

17 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued
18 electronic certificates of compliance without performing bona fide inspections of the emission
19 control devices and systems on those vehicles as required by HSC section 44012.

20 b. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test those
21 vehicles in accordance with HSC section 44012.

22 c. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (c):** Respondent entered false information into the EIS
23 for the electronic certificates of compliance by entering vehicle emission control information for
24 vehicles other than the vehicles being certified.

25 d. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and
26 inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

27 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in
28 paragraphs 22 and 23, subdivisions a – d, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

- 1 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 630796, issued
- 2 to Armen Megerdchian
- 3 4. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Repair Technician License Number EI 630796,
- 4 issued to Armen Megerdchian;
- 5 5. Ordering Armen Megerdchian and Armen Megerdchian to pay the Bureau of
- 6 Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case,
- 7 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
- 8 6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
- 9

10 DATED: September 17, 2014 *Patrick Dorais*

PATRICK DORAIS
Chief
Bureau of Automotive Repair
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

15 LA2014512117
16 51593394.doc

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28