BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 77/16-17 5 6 LARRY WALTER HUGHES DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER 319 Grant Street 7 Turlock, CA 95380 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. [Gov. Code, §11520] 8 - 10 Respondent. 11 12 FINDINGS OF FACT 13 On or about October 20, 2015, Complainant Patrick Dorais, in his official capacity as 14 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair - Rancho Cordova, Department of Consumer 15 Affairs, filed Accusation No. 77/16-17 against Larry Walter Hughes (Respondent) before the 16 Department of Consumer Affairs for the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau). (Accusation 17 attached as Exhibit A.) 18 2. On or about August 21, 2012, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer 19 Registration No. 270009 to Respondent. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration expired on 20 August 31, 2013, and has not been renewed. 21 3. On or about October 28, 2015, Respondent was served by Certified Mail and First 22 Class United States mail with copies of Accusation No. 77/16-17, Statement to Respondent, 23 Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 24 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business 25 26 27

(LARRY WALTER HUGHES) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 77/16-17

and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Larry Walter Hughes has subjected his Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. 270009 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Director is authorized to revoke Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the affidavit of Bureau Representative James Enos in this case.:

a. Consumer Complaint No. 1:

- (i) Business & Professions Code section 9884.9(a) by not giving consumer a written estimate for parts and labor.
- (ii) Business and Professions Code section 9884.7(a)(7) by willfully departing from accepted trade standards of good and workmanlike repair.
- (iii) Business and Professions Code section 9884.7(a)(2) by giving the consumer an invoice that did not contain the vehicle's odometer reading.
- (iv) Section 3356(a)(1), title 16, California Code of Regulations by not having his automobile repair dealer registration number and address listed on the invoice.
- (v) Business and Professions Code section 9884.11 by not providing repair records, estimates, invoices and part receipts for the vehicle when requested by a Bureau representative.

b. Consumer Complaint No. 2:

- (i) Business and Professions Code sections 9884.8 and 9884.9(a) by not describing labor performed on the invoice or giving the consumer a written estimate.
- (ii) Business and Professions Code section 9884.7(a)(7) by willfully departing from accepted trade standards of good and workmanlike repair.
- (iii) Business and Professions Code section 9884.7(a)(2) by giving the consumer an invoice that did not contain the vehicle's odometer reading.

- (iv) Section 3356(a)(1), title 16, California Code of Regulations by not having his automobile repair dealer registration number listed on the invoice.
- (v) Business and Professions Code section 9884.11 by not providing repair records, estimates, invoices and part receipts regarding the vehicle when requested by a Bureau representative.

c. Consumer Complaint No. 3:

- (i) Business & Professions Code section 9884.9(a) by not giving consumer a written estimate for parts and labor.
- (ii) Business and Professions Code section 9884.7(a)(7) by willfully departing from accepted trade standards of good and workmanlike repair.
- (iii) Business and Professions Code section 9884.7(a)(2) by giving the consumer an invoice that did not contain the vehicle's odometer reading.
- (iv) Section 3356(a)(1), title 16, California Code of Regulations by failing to have his automobile repair dealer registration number, name and address listed on the invoice.
- (v) Business and Professions Code section 9884.11 by failing to provide repair records, estimates, invoices and part receipts regarding the consumer's vehicle when requested by a Bureau representative.

d. Consumer Complaint No. 4:

- (i) Business and Professions Code section 9884.6(a) by performing repairs without having a valid registration.
- (ii) Business and Professions Code sections 9884.8 and 9884.9(a) by not describing labor performed on the invoice or giving the consumer a written estimate.

e. Consumer Complaint No. 5:

(i) Business and Professions Code section 9884.6(a) by performing repairs without a valid registration.

1	1				
1					
2	Bureau of Automotive Repair, ATTN: William D. Thomas, 10949 North Mather Blvd., Rancho				
3	Cordova, California 95670. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a				
4	hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.				
5	This Decision shall become effective on April 27,2016.				
6_	It is so ORDERED Mach 31, 2016				
7					
8					
9.					
10	TAMARA COLSON Assistant General Counsel				
11	Division of Legal Affairs				
12	Department of Consumer Affairs				
13	Attachment:				
14	Exhibit A: Accusation				
15	Exhibit B: Accusation packet Exhibit C: Proof of Service and Envelopes Returned by U.S. Postal Service				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27	6				
28	(LARRY WALTER HUGHES) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 77/16-17				

Exhibit A

Accusation

(LARRY WALTER HUGHES)

3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
, , 2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287		
	1 300 I Street, Suite 125	41	
5	P.O. Box-944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 445-0378 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	REEO	RE THE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF A	CONCIDENT INTO	
- 10	- OK THE BUXEAU OR	AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR CALIFORNIA	
1			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	1	
12		Case No. 77/16-17	
13	LARRY WALTER HUGHES (aka "Walt") dba LARRY "THE CAR GUY"		
14	319 Grant Street	ACCUSATION	
15	Turlock, CA 95380		
16	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration		
17.	No. 270009		
\$	Respondent.		
18			
. 19	Patrick Dorals ("Complainant") alleges:		
20		TIES	
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
. 22	This reconstitution solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the		
23	Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
1	2. On or about August 21, 2012, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer		
24	Registration Number 270009 to Larry Walter Hughes (aka "Walt") ("Respondent"), doing		
25	business as Larry "The Car Guy". The registration expired on August 31, 2013, and has not beer		
26	renewed.		
27	<i>m</i>		
28		* .	
- {		1	

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 11		
2		3. Section 9884.7 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") states, in pertinent
3	part:	
4.		(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the
6		conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
7		(2) Causing or allowing a customer to sign any work order that does not state the repairs requested by the customer or the automobile's odometer reading at the time of repair.
9		(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter [the Automotive Repair Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9880, et seq.)] or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
12		(7) Any willful departure from or disregard of accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair in any material respect, which is prejudicial to another without consent of the owner or his or her duly authorized representative.
13 14 15 16		(b) Except as provided for in subdivision (c), if an automotive repair dealer operates more than one place of business in this state, the director pursuant to subdivision (a) shall only suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of the specific place of business which has violated any of the provisions of this chapter. This violation, or action by the director, shall not affect in any manner the right of the automotive repair dealer to operate his or her other places of business.
17 18 19		(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation, the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
20		4. Code section 9884.6(a) states:
21	.	"It is unlawful for any person to be an automotive repair dealer unless that person has
22	2∭ reş	gistered in accordance with this chapter and unless that registration is currently valid."
23	3	5. Code section 9884.8 states:
24	4	All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work,
2	5	shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and parts supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which shall supplied.
2	6	also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, if any, applicable to each. If any
2	7	used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied, the invoice shall clearly state that fact. If a part of a component system is composed of new and used, rebuilt or
2	8	reconditioned parts, that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The invoice shall include a statement indicating whether any crash parts are original equipment manufacturer

3

4

6

8

crash parts or numeriginal equipment manufacturer aftermarket crash parts. One copy of the invoice shall be given to the customer and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer.

Code section 9884.9 states:

- (a) The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission from the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed by an automotive repair dealer if an authorization or consent for an increase in the original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission. If that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work order of the date, time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs, and telephone number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional parts and labor and the total additional cost, and shall do either of the following:
- (1) Make a notation on the invoice of the same facts set forth in the notation on the work order.
- (2) Upon completion of the repairs, obtain the customer's signature or initials to an acknowledgment of notice and consent, if there is an oral consent of the customer to additional repairs, in the following language:

"I acknowledge notice and oral approval of an increase in the original estimated price.

(signature or initials)"

Nothing in this section shall be construed as requiring an automotive repair dealer to give a written estimated price if the dealer does not agree to perform the requested repair.

7. Code section 9884.11 states:

Each automotive repair dealer shall maintain any records that are required by regulations adopted to carry out this chapter [the Automotive Repair Act]. Those records shall be open for reasonable inspection by the chief or other law enforcement officials. All of those records shall be maintained for at least three years.

8. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) All invoices for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in Section 9884.8 of the Business and Professions Code, shall comply with the following:
- (1) The invoice shall show the automotive repair dealer's registration number and the corresponding business-name and address as shown in the Bureau's records. If the automotive repair dealer's telephone number is shown, it shall comply with the requirements of subsection (b) of Section 3371 of this chapter.
- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3371 states, in pertinent part:

No dealer shall publish, utter, or make or cause to be published, uttered, or made any false or misleading statement or advertisement which is known to be false or misleading, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known to be false or misleading.

COST RECOVERY

11. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 1 - 1999 HONDA

12. On or about July 16, 2013, M.H. ("consumer") contacted Respondent regarding replacing the head gasket on his 1999 Honda because the vehicle was overheating. Respondent went to M.H.'s residence and replaced the head gasket. M.H. paid Respondent \$380 and received an invoice. Following the repair, M.H. discovered bubbles coming up through the radiator, indicating that the head gasket was still leaking. M.H. stated that Respondent did not remove the cylinder head when making the repair which is necessary when repairing the head gasket. Instead, Respondent disconnected the exhaust manifold, lifted the cylinder head, and slid the gasket in. The consumer did not receive a written estimate.

25 1///

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 1

27 || .

28 | ///

٠	
1	
•	

3

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

2526

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

13. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about July 16, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 9884.9(a), in that Respondent failed to provide the consumer with a written estimate for parts and labor.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards)

14. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(7), in that on or about July 16, 2013, Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair, in that Respondent failed to remove the cylinder head and have it inspected at a machine shop for warpage and cracks, and pressure checked.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Record the Vehicle's Current Odometer Reading on Repair Order)

15. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(2), in that on or about July 16, 2013, Respondent provided the consumer with a copy of an invoice that : did not contain the vehicle's odometer reading.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations)

16. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about July 16, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356(a)(1), in that Respondent failed to have his automotive repair dealer registration number and address listed on the invoice.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

17. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that he failed to comply with Code section 9884.11, when on or about September 19, 2013, Respondent failed to provide repair records, estimates, invoices, and part receipts regarding the consumer's vehicle when requested by a Bureau representative.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 2 – 1999 LEXIS ES 300

- 18. On or about June 27, 2013, C.R.'s ("consumer") vehicle overheated and she had it towed to Modesto Toyota where it was diagnosed as having two leaking head gaskets and a cracked radiator. No repairs were performed by Modesto Toyota.
- 19. On or about June 28, 2013, the consumer's vehicle was towed to her residence.

 The consumer contacted Respondent and scheduled to have the repairs performed.
- 20. On or about June 29, 2013, Respondent arrived at the consumer's residence and replaced the radiator, two head gaskets, and reinstalled the water pump and thermostat for \$1,034. After the repairs, the vehicle was still not running properly. Respondent told the consumer that the vehicle needed the ignition coil replaced. Following the replacement of the ignition coil, the vehicle still did not run correctly. Respondent told the consumer that the second ignition coil needed to be replaced. The second ignition coil was then replaced.
 - 21. On or about July 3, 2013, the vehicle overheated.
- 22. Between July 3, 2013, and July 7, 2013, the consumer made many attempts to contact Respondent without success.
- 23. On or about July 6, 2013, the consumer had the vehicle towed back to Modesto Toyota for a diagnosis. Modesto Toyota diagnosed the vehicle as having a broken thermostat, missing bolts, the head gaskets were not installed correctly (backwards), and a further inspection was recommended for possible engine damage. No repairs were performed by Modesto Toyota.
- 24. On or about July 12, 2013, the consumer had the vehicle towed to R.M. Automotive for a second diagnostic opinion. R.M. Automotive inspected the vehicle and found a cut off thermostat (no thermostat), melted knock sensors, and a warped engine block. R.M. Automotive recommended replacing the engine (\$3,360.30). The consumer authorized the repairs.

25 11 /

б

26 11 /

27 11/1

	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	ļ
	(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)	
	25. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6),	
	in that on or about June 29, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code in	
_	the following material respects:	-
);	a. Section 9884.8: Respondent failed to describe labor performed on the invoice.	1
!	b. Section 9884.9(a): Respondent failed to provide the consumer with a written	
ì	estimate for parts and labor.	
)	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
)	(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards)	
1	26. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(7),	
2	in that on or about June 29, 2013, Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted	
3	trade standards for good and workmanlike repair, in that Respondent failed to remove the cylinder	T
4	head and have it inspected at a machine shop for warpage and cracks, and pressure checked.	!
5	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
6	(Failure to Record the Vehicle's Current Odometer Reading on Repair Order)	
.7	27. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(2), in	
8	that on or about June 29, 2013, Respondent provided the consumer with a copy of an invoice that	t
19	did not contain the vehicle's odometer reading.	
20	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Failure to Comply with Regulations)	
2:	28. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6),
2	in that on or about June 29, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of	
2	4 Regulations, title 16, section 3356(a)(1), in that Respondent failed to have his automotive repair	
2	dealer registration number listed on the invoice.	
2	6 ///	
7	2.7	
,	28 ///	

///

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

29. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that he failed to comply with Code section 9884.11, when on or about September 19, 2013, Respondent failed to provide repair records, estimates, invoices, and part receipts regarding the consumer's vehicle when requested by a Bureau representative.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 3 - 2001 DODGE DAKOTA

- 30. On or about August 2, 2013, L.R. ("consumer") contacted Respondent regarding replacing the head gasket on his 2001 Dodge Dakota because it was overheating.
- 31. On or about August 6, 2013, Respondent arrived at the consumer residence and replaced the head gaskets. Respondent told the consumer that the power steering hose needed to be replaced (\$75). The consumer authorized the repair. Respondent completed the repairs and the consumer paid him a total of \$500. Later that day, the consumer drove the vehicle and found that the vehicle was leaking a substantial amount of water. The consumer tried contacting Respondent without success. It was discovered that Respondent had failed to properly install the hose clamps on the by-pass hose.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

32. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about August 6, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 9884.9(a), in that Respondent failed to provide the consumer with a written estimate for parts and labor.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards)

33. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(7), in that on or about August 6, 2013, Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair, in that Respondent failed to remove the cylind head and have it inspected at a machine shop for warpage and cracks, and pressure checked.

22 23

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Record the Vehicle's Current Odometer Reading on Repair Order)

34. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(2), in that on or about August 6, 2013, Respondent provided the consumer with a copy of an invoice that did-not contain the vehicle's odometer reading.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations)

35. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about August 6, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356(a)(1), in that Respondent failed to have his automotive repair dealer registration number, name, and address listed on the invoice.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fallure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

36. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that he failed to comply with Code section 9884.11, when on or about September 19, 2013, Respondent failed to provide repair records, estimates, invoices, and part receipts regarding the consumer's vehicle when requested by a Bureau representative.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 4 - 1992 MAZDA MIATA

37. On or about October 25, 2013, P.B. ("consumer") found Respondent's ad on Craigslist.org and contacted him regarding replacing a timing belt and water pump in his vehicle. On that same day, Respondent arrived at the consumer residence and completed the repairs. The consumer paid Respondent \$180. Later that day, the consumer drove his vehicle and heard an alarming noise coming from the motor. The consumer contacted Respondent who told him that it was the timing belt and that it needed to reseat itself and then the noise would stop. The consumer drove the vehicle a couple more miles and believed the noise was more serious than the belt needing to reseat itself. The consumer tried contacting Respondent without success. The consumer removed the timing belt cover and found that a bolt was loose and rubbing on the nev

timing belt and damaging it. The consumer replaced the timing belt himself. Respondent did not provide the consumer with an estimate or invoice for the repairs.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

38. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about October 25, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 9884.6(a), by performing repairs without having a valid registration.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

- 39. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about October 25, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code in the following material respects:
 - a. Section 9884.8: Respondent failed to provide an invoice for the repairs.
- b. Section 9884.9(a): Respondent failed to provide the consumer with a written estimate for parts and labor.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 5 - 2003 FORD F150

40. On or about October 26, 2013, M.H. ("consumer") contacted Respondent regarding repairs needed to his vehicle because it was running rough. Respondent arrived at the consumer's residence and inspected the vehicle. Respondent told the consumer that the vehicle needed a valve job. Respondent took the consumer's vehicle to his shop to perform the repairs. The following day, Respondent returned with the vehicle and told the consumer it was repaired. The consumer paid Respondent \$600. The consumer discovered that Respondent failed to perform the repairs. The consumer did not receive an estimate or invoice for the repairs.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

41. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about October 26, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 9884.6(a), by performing repairs without having a valid registration.

III

NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

- 42. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about October 26, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code in the following material respects:
 - a. Section 9884.8: Respondent failed to provide an invoice for the repairs.
- b. Section 9884.9(a): Respondent failed to provide the consumer with a written estimate for parts and labor.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 6 - 1994 FORD MOTORHOME/EXPEDITION

- 43. On or about July 7, 2013, J.T. ("consumer") found Respondent's ad on Craigslist.org and contacted him regarding repairs needed to her 1994 Ford Motorhome because it had difficulty starting. The consumer also needed repairs to her 2000 Ford Expedition because the engine light was on. On that same day, Respondent arrived at the consumer residence, inspected the vehicle, and replaced the fuel pump in the motorhome and replaced the oxygen sensors in the Expedition. The consumer paid Respondent \$503 for the repairs and signed and received an invoice.
- 44. A couple of weeks later, the motorhome would not start. The consumer tried to contact the Respondent without success. The consumer's son inspected the motorhome and found that the fuel pump had been cut/modified to fit into the fuel tank. The fuel pump that Respondent had installed was for a 1988-1990 model year. The consumer replaced the fuel pump with the correct model year.
- 45. A Bureau of Automotive Repair representative inspecting the oxygen sensors installed by Respondent in the 2000 Ford Expedition observed that when installed, they were "used" oxygen sensors, and not the new oxygen sensors that J.T. paid Respondent to install in the 2000 Ford Expedition.

2<u>4</u>

TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

46. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about July 7, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 9884.9(a), in that Respondent failed to provide the consumer with a written estimate for parts and labor.

TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards)

47. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(7), in that on or about July 7, 2013, Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair, in that Respondent failed to install the correct fuel pump in the motorhome.

TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations)

48. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about July 7, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356(a)(1), in that Respondent failed to have his automotive repair dealer registration number, name, and address listed on the invoice,

TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Record the Vehicle's Current Odometer Reading on Repair Order)

49. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(2), in that on or about July 7, 2013, Respondent provided the consumer with a copy of an invoice that did not contain the vehicle's odometer reading.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION NO. 1 - TOYOTA

50. On or about September 17, 2014, a Bureau undercover operator ("operator") drove a Bureau documented Toyota to an apartment complex parking lot to meet Respondent to inspect the vehicle. The operator received Respondent's phone number from a Craigslist.org ad. When Respondent arrived at the apartment complex he was greeted by the operator. The operator told Respondent that the vehicle was running rough and the check engine light was on. The only

repair necessary was the replacement of the vehicle's cylinder #1 fuel injector. Respondent inspected the vehicle and told the operator that solenoid #1 had very little spark and the timing belt was bad. While inspecting the vehicle, Respondent moved the engine's wiring harness and spark plug wires causing them to be unsecure and vulnerable to damage. Respondent told the operator that it would cost \$173 to replace the timing belt. The operator authorized the repairs.

Moments later, the operator observed Respondent preparing to leave. The operator asked Respondent where he was going and he stated that he was going to get the timing belt. After an hour of Respondent being gone, the operator tried to reach him but without success. Respondent never returned the operator's calls or returned to repair the vehicle.

TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with the Automotive Repair Act)

51. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about September 17, 2014, Respondent failed to comply with Code section 9884.6(a), by providing an estimate for repairs without having a valid registration.

TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations)

52. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about July 7, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3371, in that Respondent placed a false or misleading advertisement on Craigslist.org. (Stockton, California) on or about September 23, 2013, representing himself as a licensed automotive repair dealer, "License #270009" when, in fact, he was not. (See paragraph 37 above.) Furthermore, on or about September 14, 2014, Respondent advertised on Craigslist.org (Modesto, California) stating that he was a member of the California Bureau of Automotive Repair, when, in fact, he was not.

OTHER MATTERS

53. Under Code section 9884.7(c), the director may suspend or revoke the registrations for all places of business operated in this state by Larry Walter Hughes, doing business as Larry

"The Car Guy" upon a finding that he has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 270009, issued to Larry Walter Hughes, doing business as Larry "The Car Guy";
- 2. Revoking or suspending any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to Larry Walter Hughes;
- 3. Ordering Larry Walter Hughes to pay the Director of Consumer Affairs the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section.

 125.3; and,
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: October 20, 2015

PATRICK DORAIS

Chief

Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant