BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

AFFAF AUTO MANAGEMENT, INC., DBA SUNDANCE AUTO SERVICE MAZHAR IQBAL 9701 Folsom Boulevard Sacramento, CA 95827

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 262929

Respondent.

Case No. 77/13-60

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

[Gov. Code, §11520]

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about May 6, 2013, Complainant John Wallauch, in his official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 77/13-60 against Affaf Auto Management, Inc., dba Sundance Auto Service, Mazhar Iqbal (Respondent) before the Director of Consumer Affairs. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
- 2. On or about August 6, 2010, the Bureau of Automotive Repair (Bureau) issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 262929 to Respondent. The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 77/13-60 and expired on August 31, 2012. This lapse in licensure, however,

pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b) does not deprive the Bureau of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

- 3. On or about May 10, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 77/13-60, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and is: 9701 Folsom Boulevard, Sacramento, CA 95827.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about May 18, 2013, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable as Addressed." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Bureau. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Bureau and the Bureau has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made theirself available for service and therefore, has not availed theirself of their right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon them of the Accusation, and therefore waived their right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 77/13-60.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 262929, heretofore issued to Respondent Affaf Auto Management, Inc., dba Sundance Auto Service, Mazhar Iqbal, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The motion should be sent to the Bureau of Automotive Repair, ATTN: William D. Thomas, 10949 North Mather Blvd., Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

DONALD CHANG

Assistant Chief Counsel

Department of Consumer Affairs

default decision_LIC.rtf DOJ Matter ID;SA2012105505

19 Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

12.

Exhibit A

Accusation

Į			
1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN		
.	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	KAREN R. DENVIR Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 197268 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 77/13-60		
13	AFFAF AUTO MANAGEMENT, INC. ACCUSATION		
14	dba SUNDANCE AUTO SERVICE MAZHAR IQBAL, PR./SEC./TR.		
15	9701 Folsom Boulevard		
	Sacramento, CA 95827		
16 17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 262929		
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	John Wallauch ("Complainant") alleges:		
21	PARTIES		
22	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the		
23	Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
24	2. On or about August 6, 2010, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer		
25	Registration Number ARD 262929 to Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance		
26	Auto Service ("Respondent"), with Mazhar Iqbal as the President, Secretary, and Treasurer. The		
27	registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. The		
28	registration was cancelled on February 16, 2012.		

Accusation

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

3. Section 9884.7 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") states, in pertinent

part:

- (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
- (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
 - (4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.
- (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter [the Automotive Repair Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9880, et seq.)] or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
- (7) Any willful departure from or disregard of accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair in any material respect, which is prejudicial to another without consent of the owner or his or her duly authorized representative.
- (b) Except as provided for in subdivision (c), if an automotive repair dealer operates more than one place of business in this state, the director pursuant to subdivision (a) shall only suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of the specific place of business which has violated any of the provisions of this chapter. This violation, or action by the director, shall not affect in any manner the right of the automotive repair dealer to operate his or her other places of business.
- (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation, the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
- 4. Code section 9884.8 states:

All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work, shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and parts supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which shall also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, if any, applicable to each. If any used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied, the invoice shall clearly state that fact. If a part of a component system is composed of new and used, rebuilt or reconditioned parts, that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The invoice shall include a statement indicating whether any crash parts are original equipment manufacturer crash parts or nonoriginal equipment manufacturer aftermarket crash parts. One copy of the invoice shall be given to the customer and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer.

5. Code section 9884.9 states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (a) The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission from the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed by an automotive repair dealer if an authorization or consent for an increase in the original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission. If that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work order of the date, time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs, and telephone number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional parts and labor and the total additional cost, and shall do either of the following:
- (1) Make a notation on the invoice of the same facts set forth in the notation on the work order.
- (2) Upon completion of the repairs, obtain the customer's signature or initials to an acknowledgment of notice and consent, if there is an oral consent of the customer to additional repairs, in the following language:

"I acknowledge notice and oral approval of an increase in the original estimated price.

(signature or initials)"

Nothing in this section shall be construed as requiring an automotive repair dealer to give a written estimated price if the dealer does not agree to perform the requested repair.

6. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration temporarily or permanently.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356 states:
- (a) All invoices for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in Section 9884.8 of the Business and Professions Code, shall comply with the following:

- (2) The invoice shall separately list, describe and identify all of the following:
- (A) All service and repair work performed, including all diagnostic and warranty work, and the price for each described service and repair.

COST RECOVERY

8. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 1 – 1996 FORD F350

- 9. On or about October 15, 2011, Jeffrey Faulks ("Faulks") drove his 1996 Ford F350 pickup truck to Respondent's facility to have the front tires replaced and then have the tires that were removed from the front, installed on the rear outer rims of the vehicle (the truck has dual rear wheels). During that process, Respondent damaged the rear outer rims with the tire machine and damaged the right front rim by dropping it on the ground. Faulks paid Respondent \$249.94 and received Invoice No. 13811. Respondent acknowledged the damage done to Faulks rims. Faulks obtained several estimates to repair the damaged rims and presented the estimates to Respondent but Respondent refused to pay for the damages pursuant to those estimates, stating the estimates were too high.
- 10. On or about November 8, 2011, a Bureau representative inspected the vehicle and found that the two outer rear rims have clamp marks on the outer surface that are consistent with the use of a tire machine. Also, the right front rim was scratched on the hub part of the rim.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards)

11. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(7), in that on or about October 15, 2011, regarding the 1996 Ford F350, Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair without the consent of the owner or the owner's duly authorized representative in a material respect, in

ì

that Respondent failed to properly operate the tire machine when changing/replacing the tires on Faulks vehicle, as more particularly set forth above in paragraphs 9 and 10.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Automotive Repair Act)

12. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about October 15, 2011, regarding the 1996 Ford F350, Respondent failed to materially comply with Code section 9884.8, in that it failed to describe all service work performed on Invoice No. 13811.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 2 - 2001 TOYOTA COROLLA

13. On or about October 4, 2011, Tomiyo Nojiri ("Nojiri") drove her 2001 Toyota Corolla to Respondent's facility to have the oil and filter changed and a safety check. Respondent performed the safety check. Nojiri paid Respondent \$85 and received Invoice No. 13743.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Automotive Repair Act)

14. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about October 4, 2011, regarding the 2001 Toyota Corolla, Respondent failed to materially comply with Code section 9884.8, in that it failed to describe what was included in the "bumper to bumper safety check" inspection on Invoice No. 13743.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 3 - 1991 HONDA CIVIC

On or about November 22, 2011, Peggy Fayyaz ("Fayyaz") drove her 1991 Honda Civic to Respondent's facility and requested replacement of the spark plugs and an oil and filter change. Respondent recommended that Fayyaz also have the distributor cap, rotor, spark plug wires, and two drive belts replaced. Fayyaz paid Respondent \$240. Following the repairs, the vehicle continued to run poorly and died. Fayyaz had the vehicle towed back to Respondent's facility for an inspection. Respondent told Fayyaz that the ignition coil ("coil") and the ignition module ("igniter") were the original parts that came on the vehicle and needed to be replaced.

¹ The igniter had been replaced on February 24, 2011, at Folsom Lake Honda under a recall.

Respondent charged Fayyaz \$125.96 for the repairs. Fayyaz asked Respondent for the old parts (coil and igniter) that it replaced, and Respondent complied. While leaving Respondent's facility, Fayyaz noticed the vehicle was running rough. Fayyaz contacted Respondent and was asked to bring the vehicle back in because they failed to reset the timing. Fayyaz refused to return the vehicle to Respondent's facility.

- 16. On or about December 8, 2011, a Bureau representative met with Fayyaz and inspected the vehicle. The Bureau representative found that the lower screw that secures the distributor cap was loose and the distributor was rotated all the way back, indicating the ignition timing may not be in specification. The Bureau representative also obtained the old parts (coil and igniter) Fayyaz received from Respondent for inspection.
- 17. On or about December 15, 2011, Fayyaz drove her vehicle to Service Outlet for an inspection. Service Outlet found that the vehicle's distributor bolts were loose and the timing needed to be adjusted.
- 18. On or about December 20, 2011, a Bureau representative tested the coil and igniter and found that the coil failed, but the igniter operated without any defect.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

19. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(1), in that on or about November 22, 2011, regarding the 1991 Honda Civic, Respondent made statements which it knew or which by exercise of reasonable care should have known were untrue or misleading, in that Respondent falsely represented to Fayyaz that the igniter was the original part that came on the car and had 273,790 miles on it and that it needed to be replaced when, in fact, it had been replaced on February 24, 2011, and did not need to be replaced.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraudulent Acts)

20. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(4), in that on or about November 22, 2011, regarding the 1991 Honda Civic, Respondent committed

fraud when it charged for and received payment from Fayyaz for replacing the vehicle's igniter when, in fact, that repair was not necessary.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Automotive Repair Act)

21. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about November 22, 2011, regarding the 1991 Honda Civic, Respondent failed to comply with the California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356(a)(2)(A), by failing to describe all diagnostic work performed on Invoice No. 10080.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 4 - 1991 SAAB 900

On or about November 5, 2011, Aneatra Harvey ("Harvey") had her 1991 Saab 900 towed to Respondent's facility because the vehicle's engine would stall/die out. Respondent told Harvey that her vehicle needed a new catalytic converter, spark plugs, spark plug wires, and a distributor cap and rotor to correct the problem. Respondent charged Harvey \$450. Following the repairs, Harvey's vehicle continued to stall/die and she had it towed back to Respondent's facility for an inspection. Respondent told Harvey that the vehicle needed a new Electronic Control Module (ECM) and possibly a fuel pump. Harvey declined the additional repairs.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Automotive Repair Act)

23. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about November 5, 2011, regarding the 1991 Saab 900, Respondent failed to materially comply with Code section 9884.9, in that Respondent failed to document Harvey's authorization for an inspection on work order number 10086.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Automotive Repair Act)

24. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about November 5, 2011, regarding the 1991 Saab 900, Respondent failed to comply with the California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356(a)(2)(A), by failing to describe all diagnostic work performed on Invoice No. 10086.

8

]1]2

10

13_.

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

OTHER MATTERS

25. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7(c), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registrations for all places of business operated in this state by Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance Auto Service, upon a finding that it has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violation of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking, suspending, or placing on probation Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 262929, issued to Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance Auto Service;
- 2. Revoking, suspending, or placing on probation any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance Auto Service;
- 3. Ordering Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance Auto Service, to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and,

	-	
A		Talling with asker and frustrangershop on doors of pagescars, and green an
4.		Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper

DATED: 5/6/13

JOHN WALLAUCH Chief

Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SA2012105505 11049062.doc