1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN		
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	KAREN R. DENVIR Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 197268		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333		
	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR		
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 77/13-60		
12			
13	AFFAF AUTO MANAGEMENT, INC. ACCUSATION		
14	dba SUNDANCE AUTO SERVICE MAZHAR IQBAL, PR./SEC./TR.		
	9701 Folsom Boulevard		
15	Sacramento, CA 95827		
16 17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 262929		
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	John Wallauch ("Complainant") alleges:		
21	PARTIES		
22	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the		
23	Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
24	2. On or about August 6, 2010, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer		
25	Registration Number ARD 262929 to Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance		
26	Auto Service ("Respondent"), with Mazhar Iqbal as the President, Secretary, and Treasurer. The		
27	registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. The		
28	registration was cancelled on February 16, 2012.		

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Section 9884.7 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") states, in pertinent
 part:

- (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
- (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
 - (4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.
- (6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter [the Automotive Repair Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 9880, et seq.)] or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
- (7) Any willful departure from or disregard of accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair in any material respect, which is prejudicial to another without consent of the owner or his or her duly authorized representative.
- (b) Except as provided for in subdivision (c), if an automotive repair dealer operates more than one place of business in this state, the director pursuant to subdivision (a) shall only suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of the specific place of business which has violated any of the provisions of this chapter. This violation, or action by the director, shall not affect in any manner the right of the automotive repair dealer to operate his or her other places of business.
- (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation, the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

4. Code section 9884.8 states:

All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work, shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and parts supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which shall also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, if any, applicable to each. If any used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied, the invoice shall clearly state that fact. If a part of a component system is composed of new and used, rebuilt or reconditioned parts, that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The invoice shall include a statement indicating whether any crash parts are original equipment manufacturer crash parts or nonoriginal equipment manufacturer aftermarket crash parts. One copy of the invoice shall be given to the customer and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer.

shall comply with the following:

- (2) The invoice shall separately list, describe and identify all of the following:
- (A) All service and repair work performed, including all diagnostic and warranty work, and the price for each described service and repair.

COST RECOVERY

8. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 1 – 1996 FORD F350

- 9. On or about October 15, 2011, Jeffrey Faulks ("Faulks") drove his 1996 Ford F350 pickup truck to Respondent's facility to have the front tires replaced and then have the tires that were removed from the front, installed on the rear outer rims of the vehicle (the truck has dual rear wheels). During that process, Respondent damaged the rear outer rims with the tire machine and damaged the right front rim by dropping it on the ground. Faulks paid Respondent \$249.94 and received Invoice No. 13811. Respondent acknowledged the damage done to Faulks rims. Faulks obtained several estimates to repair the damaged rims and presented the estimates to Respondent but Respondent refused to pay for the damages pursuant to those estimates, stating the estimates were too high.
- 10. On or about November 8, 2011, a Bureau representative inspected the vehicle and found that the two outer rear rims have clamp marks on the outer surface that are consistent with the use of a tire machine. Also, the right front rim was scratched on the hub part of the rim.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Departure from Accepted Trade Standards)

11. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(7), in that on or about October 15, 2011, regarding the 1996 Ford F350, Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair without the consent of the owner or the owner's duly authorized representative in a material respect, in

that Respondent failed to properly operate the tire machine when changing/replacing the tires on Faulks vehicle, as more particularly set forth above in paragraphs 9 and 10.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Automotive Repair Act)

12. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about October 15, 2011, regarding the 1996 Ford F350, Respondent failed to materially comply with Code section 9884.8, in that it failed to describe all service work performed on Invoice No. 13811.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 2 – 2001 TOYOTA COROLLA

13. On or about October 4, 2011, Tomiyo Nojiri ("Nojiri") drove her 2001 Toyota Corolla to Respondent's facility to have the oil and filter changed and a safety check. Respondent performed the safety check. Nojiri paid Respondent \$85 and received Invoice No. 13743.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Automotive Repair Act)

14. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about October 4, 2011, regarding the 2001 Toyota Corolla, Respondent failed to materially comply with Code section 9884.8, in that it failed to describe what was included in the "bumper to bumper safety check" inspection on Invoice No. 13743.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 3 – 1991 HONDA CIVIC

15. On or about November 22, 2011, Peggy Fayyaz ("Fayyaz") drove her 1991 Honda Civic to Respondent's facility and requested replacement of the spark plugs and an oil and filter change. Respondent recommended that Fayyaz also have the distributor cap, rotor, spark plug wires, and two drive belts replaced. Fayyaz paid Respondent \$240. Following the repairs, the vehicle continued to run poorly and died. Fayyaz had the vehicle towed back to Respondent's facility for an inspection. Respondent told Fayyaz that the ignition coil ("coil") and the ignition module ("igniter")¹ were the original parts that came on the vehicle and needed to be replaced.

¹ The igniter had been replaced on February 24, 2011, at Folsom Lake Honda under a recall.

Respondent charged Fayyaz \$125.96 for the repairs. Fayyaz asked Respondent for the old parts (coil and igniter) that it replaced, and Respondent complied. While leaving Respondent's facility, Fayyaz noticed the vehicle was running rough. Fayyaz contacted Respondent and was asked to bring the vehicle back in because they failed to reset the timing. Fayyaz refused to return the vehicle to Respondent's facility.

- 16. On or about December 8, 2011, a Bureau representative met with Fayyaz and inspected the vehicle. The Bureau representative found that the lower screw that secures the distributor cap was loose and the distributor was rotated all the way back, indicating the ignition timing may not be in specification. The Bureau representative also obtained the old parts (coil and igniter) Fayyaz received from Respondent for inspection.
- 17. On or about December 15, 2011, Fayyaz drove her vehicle to Service Outlet for an inspection. Service Outlet found that the vehicle's distributor bolts were loose and the timing needed to be adjusted.
- 18. On or about December 20, 2011, a Bureau representative tested the coil and igniter and found that the coil failed, but the igniter operated without any defect.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

19. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(1), in that on or about November 22, 2011, regarding the 1991 Honda Civic, Respondent made statements which it knew or which by exercise of reasonable care should have known were untrue or misleading, in that Respondent falsely represented to Fayyaz that the igniter was the original part that came on the car and had 273,790 miles on it and that it needed to be replaced when, in fact, it had been replaced on February 24, 2011, and did not need to be replaced.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraudulent Acts)

20. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(4), in that on or about November 22, 2011, regarding the 1991 Honda Civic, Respondent committed

fraud when it charged for and received payment from Fayyaz for replacing the vehicle's igniter when, in fact, that repair was not necessary.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Automotive Repair Act)

21. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about November 22, 2011, regarding the 1991 Honda Civic, Respondent failed to comply with the California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356(a)(2)(A), by failing to describe all diagnostic work performed on Invoice No. 10080.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT NO. 4 – 1991 SAAB 900

22. On or about November 5, 2011, Aneatra Harvey ("Harvey") had her 1991 Saab 900 towed to Respondent's facility because the vehicle's engine would stall/die out. Respondent told Harvey that her vehicle needed a new catalytic converter, spark plugs, spark plug wires, and a distributor cap and rotor to correct the problem. Respondent charged Harvey \$450. Following the repairs, Harvey's vehicle continued to stall/die and she had it towed back to Respondent's facility for an inspection. Respondent told Harvey that the vehicle needed a new Electronic Control Module (ECM) and possibly a fuel pump. Harvey declined the additional repairs.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Automotive Repair Act)

23. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about November 5, 2011, regarding the 1991 Saab 900, Respondent failed to materially comply with Code section 9884.9, in that Respondent failed to document Harvey's authorization for an inspection on work order number 10086.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Automotive Repair Act)

24. Respondent's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7(a)(6), in that on or about November 5, 2011, regarding the 1991 Saab 900, Respondent failed to comply with the California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356(a)(2)(A), by failing to describe all diagnostic work performed on Invoice No. 10086.

OTHER MATTERS

25. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7(c), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registrations for all places of business operated in this state by Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance Auto Service, upon a finding that it has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violation of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

- Revoking, suspending, or placing on probation Automotive Repair Dealer 1. Registration No. ARD 262929, issued to Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance Auto Service;
- 2. Revoking, suspending, or placing on probation any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance Auto Service;
- Ordering Affaf Auto Management, Inc., doing business as Sundance Auto Service, 3. to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and,

4. Taking si	uch other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
DATED: 5/6/13	John Walland by Dung Ban
	JOHN WALLAUCH DOUG BALAH
	Bureau of Automotive Repair
	Department of Consumer Affairs
	State of California
	Complainant
\$42012105505	

11049062.doc

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27