

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 KENT D. HARRIS
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 PHILLIP L. ARTHUR
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 238339
1300 I Street, Suite 125
5 P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
6 Telephone: (916) 322-0032
Facsimile: (916) 327-8643
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**
9 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
10 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 77/14-11

13 **ROCKLIN AUTOMOTIVE, INC.**
14 **MARC B. FREIRE, PRESIDENT/TREASURER**
15 **SUZANNE B. FREIRE, SECRETARY**
6800 Five Star Blvd.
Rocklin, CA 95677

ACCUSATION

16 Automotive Repair Dealer Reg. No. ARD 253181
17 Smog Check Station License No. RC 253181
Lamp Station License No. LS 253181
Brake Station License No. BS 253181

18 Respondent.

19
20 Complainant alleges:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Patrick Dorais ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity
23 as the Acting Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer
24 Affairs.

25 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 253181**

26 2. On or about January 14, 2008, the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") issued
27 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 253181 ("registration") to Rocklin
28 Automotive, Inc. ("Respondent"), with Marc B. Freire as president and treasurer and Suzanne B.

1 Freire as secretary. Respondent's registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to
2 the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2013, unless renewed.

3 **Smog Check Station License No. RC 253181**

4 3. On or about July 31, 2008, the Director issued Smog Check Station License Number
5 RC 253181 to Respondent. Respondent's smog check station license was in full force and effect
6 at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2013, unless
7 renewed.

8 **Lamp Station License No. LS 253181**

9 4. On or about July 9, 2009, the Director issued Lamp Station License Number
10 LS 253181 to Respondent. Respondent's lamp station license was in full force and effect at all
11 times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2013, unless
12 renewed.

13 **Brake Station License No. BS 253181**

14 5. On or about July 9, 2009, the Director issued Brake Station License Number
15 BS 253181 to Respondent. Respondent's brake station license was in full force and effect at all
16 times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2013, unless
17 renewed.

18 **JURISDICTION**

19 6. Business and Professions Code ("Bus. & Prof. Code") section 9884.7 provides that
20 the Director may revoke an automotive repair dealer registration.

21 7. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a
22 valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
23 proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently
24 invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

25 8. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.1 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director may
26 suspend or revoke any license issued under Articles 5 and 6 (commencing with section 9887.1) of
27 the Automotive Repair Act.

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

....

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

13. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.9 states, in pertinent part:

(a) The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission from the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed by an automotive repair dealer when an authorization or consent for an increase in the original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission. If that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work order of the date, time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs and telephone number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional parts and labor . . .

(b) The automotive repair dealer shall include with the written estimated price a statement of any automotive repair service which, if required to be done, will be done by someone other than the dealer or his employees. No service shall be done by other than the dealer or his employees without the consent of the customer, unless the customer cannot reasonably be notified. The dealer shall be responsible, in any case, for any such service in the same manner as if he or his employees had done the service . . .

14. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.11 states that, "[e]ach automotive repair dealer shall maintain any records that are required by regulations adopted to carry out this chapter [the Automotive Repair Act]. Those records shall be open for reasonable inspection by the chief or other law enforcement officials. All of those records shall be maintained for at least three years."

15. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3 states, in pertinent part:

The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article [Article 7 (commencing with section 9889.1) of the Automotive Repair Act] if the licensee or any partner, officer, or director thereof:

....

(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured . . .

///

1 shall write that information on the work order containing the teardown estimate
2 before the work order is signed by the customer.

3 The repair dealer shall notify the customer orally and conspicuously in
4 writing on the teardown estimate the maximum time it will take the repair dealer to
5 reassemble the vehicle or the vehicle component in the event the customer elects not
6 to proceed with the repair or maintenance of the vehicle and shall reassemble the
7 vehicle within that time period if the customer elects not to proceed with the repair or
8 maintenance. The maximum time shall be counted from the date of authorization of
9 teardown . . .

10 22. Regulation section 3356, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:

11 (a) All invoices for service and repair work performed, and parts
12 supplied, as provided for in Section 9884.8 of the Business and Professions Code,
13 shall comply with the following:

14

15 (2) The invoice shall separately list, describe and identify all of the
16 following:

17 (A) All service and repair work performed, including all diagnostic and
18 warranty work, and the price for each described service and repair.

19 (B) Each part supplied, in such a manner that the customer can
20 understand what was purchased, and the price for each described part . . .

21 23. Regulation section 3358 states:

22 Each automotive repair dealer shall maintain legible copies of the
23 following records for not less than three years:

24 (a) All invoices relating to automotive repair including invoices received
25 from other sources for parts and/or labor.

26 (b) All written estimates pertaining to work performed.

27 (c) All work orders and/or contracts for repairs, parts and labor. All such
28 records shall be open for reasonable inspection and/or reproduction by the bureau or
other law enforcement officials during normal business hours.

29 24. Regulation section 3361.1 states, in pertinent part:

30 The following minimum requirements specifying accepted trade
31 standards for good and workmanlike rebuilding of automatic transmissions are
32 intended to define terms that have caused confusion to the public and unfair
33 competition within the automotive repair industry . . . These minimum requirements
34 shall not be used to promote the sale of "rebuilt" automatic transmissions when a less
35 extensive and/or less costly repair is desired by the customer . . . All automotive
36 repair dealers engaged in the repair, sale, or installation of automatic transmissions in
37 vehicles covered under the Act shall be subject to the following minimum
38 requirements:

1 (a) Before an automatic transmission is removed from a motor vehicle for
2 purposes of repair or rebuilding, it shall be inspected. Such inspection shall determine
3 whether or not the replacement or adjustment of any external part or parts will correct
4 the specific malfunction of the automatic transmission. In the case of an electronically
5 controlled automatic transmission, this inspection shall include a diagnostic check,
6 including the retrieval of any diagnostic trouble codes, of the electronic control
7 module that controls the operation of the transmission. If minor service and/or
8 replacement or adjustment of any external part or parts and/or of companion units can
9 reasonably be expected to correct the specific malfunction of the automatic
10 transmission, then prior to removal of the automatic transmission from the vehicle,
11 the customer shall be informed of that fact as required by Section 3353 of these
12 regulations. Before removing an automatic transmission from a motor vehicle, the
13 dealer shall also comply with the provisions of section 3353(d), and disclose any
14 applicable guarantee or warranty as provided in sections 3375, 3376 and 3377 of
15 these regulations. If a diagnostic check of an electronic control module cannot be
16 completed due to the condition of the transmission, the customer shall be informed of
17 that fact and a notation shall be made on the estimate, in accordance with Section
18 3353 of these regulations . . .

19 25. Regulation section 3373 states:

20 No automotive repair dealer or individual in charge shall, in filling out an
21 estimate, invoice, or work order, or record required to be maintained by section
22 3340.15(f) of this chapter, withhold therefrom or insert therein any statement or
23 information which will cause any such document to be false or misleading, or where
24 the tendency or effect thereby would be to mislead or deceive customers, prospective
25 customers, or the public.

26 COST RECOVERY

27 26. Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request
28 the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
and enforcement of the case.

29 CONSUMER COMPLAINT (RG): 2004 DODGE RAM 1500 TRUCK

30 27. On or about February 27, 2012, RG was driving his 2004 Dodge Ram 1500 truck,
31 when the engine died and would not restart. RG had the vehicle towed to Respondent's facility
32 for diagnosis. Respondent's facility had previously installed a remanufactured engine in the
33 vehicle on or about February 20, 2009, and the engine was covered by a Chrysler 3 year/100,000
34 mile warranty. After the diagnosis was completed, Respondent's facility informed RG that the
35 engine had run low on oil and had internal damage. Respondent's president, Marc Freire
36 ("Freire"), told RG that the engine would not be covered under the warranty because it had
37

1 expired. RG authorized Respondent's facility to install another remanufactured engine in the
2 vehicle.

3 28. On or about March 8, 2012, RG went to Respondent's facility to pick up his vehicle,
4 paid \$10,307.54 for the repairs, and received a copy of Invoice No. 1020154. The invoice
5 indicated that the engine was covered by a Chrysler 3 year/100,000 mile warranty.

6 29. In or about August 2012, RG's vehicle overheated, so RG took it to Duncan's
7 Automotive II ("Duncan's") for diagnosis. Duncan's replaced the radiator for \$490.79.

8 30. In or about January 2013, RG returned his vehicle to Duncan's because it overheated
9 again. Duncan's found that the radiator was defective and agreed to replace it under warranty.

10 31. On or about January 11, 2013, while the above repairs were still in progress, Duncan's
11 told RG that his vehicle may have a head gasket problem. Duncan's recommended that RG take
12 his vehicle to the dealer. RG went to Respondent's facility and obtained the service history on the
13 vehicle.

14 32. On or about January 16, 2013, RG took his vehicle to Autowest Chrysler Dodge
15 ("Autowest") located in Roseville, California, for diagnosis. The service advisor at Autowest
16 reviewed the service history and informed RG that Chrysler had provided the remanufactured
17 engine to Respondent's facility in March 2012 *free of charge*. The Autowest service advisor
18 checked with the parts department, then told RG that Chrysler had "good willed" the engine even
19 though the warranty had expired and that the engine Autowest had supplied to Respondent's
20 facility *did not come with a warranty*.

21 33. On or about January 23, 2013, RG filed a complaint with the Bureau.

22 34. On or about January 24, 2013, Bureau Representative JH contacted Autowest and
23 spoke with the parts adviser, DB. DB explained that he had received a call in February 2012
24 from Freire, inquiring about the warranty coverage on the engine. DB told Freire that the
25 warranty had expired, but he would check with his district manager. DB agreed to order another
26 engine for Freire with the understanding that if Chrysler decided not to honor the warranty, then
27 Freire would have to pay for the part. DB delivered the engine to Respondent's facility on March
28 6 or 7, 2012. On or about March 22, 2012, DB called the facility and informed them that

1 Chrysler had agreed to cover the engine; however, the replacement engine was being provided
2 without a warranty.

3 35. On or about January 28, 2013, Bureau Representatives JH and MG went to
4 Respondent's facility and obtained copies of their repair records on RG's vehicle, including a
5 work order dated February 27, 2012 for a diagnosis of the engine. Freire told JH and MG that he
6 originally replaced the engine in October 2008, that the engine had failed in February 2009, and
7 that Chrysler would not honor the warranty because Respondent's facility had failed to replace the
8 intake manifold at the time of the initial engine replacement. Freire stated that another engine
9 was provided and installed at Autowest at no charge to RG. Freire admitted that Autowest had
10 eventually warranted the engine and had credited Respondent's facility for the cost of the engine
11 and intake manifold. Freire also admitted that he kept the money instead of returning it to RG.

12 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

13 **(Fraud)**

14 36. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
15 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts constituting fraud, as
16 follows:

17 a. Respondent's president, Freire, failed to notify RG and intentionally concealed the
18 facts that: (1) Chrysler had agreed to honor the 3 year/100,000 warranty on the remanufactured
19 engine and the intake manifold that were installed on RG's 2004 Dodge Ram 1500 truck in
20 February 2009; (2) Autowest had credited Respondent's facility for the cost of the parts; and
21 (3) the remanufactured engine and intake manifold that were installed on RG's vehicle in
22 February or March 2012 would not be covered under the 3 year/100,000 warranty, contrary to the
23 invoice.

24 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

26 37. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
27 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized a statement which
28 it knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, to be untrue or misleading, as

1 follows: Respondent represented on page 1 of Invoice No. 1020154 that the "environmental" fee
2 (for the disposal of oil, coolant and other hazardous waste) was \$143.75, yet represented on page
3 2 that there was a "sublet fee" of \$143.75 and that the disposal fee was \$21.84.

4 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

5 **(Failure to Comply With Business and Professions Code)**

6 38. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
7 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with section 9884.9,
8 subdivision (a), of that Code in a material respect, as follows: Respondent failed to document on
9 the work order RG's authorization for the additional repairs on his 2004 Dodge Ram 1500 truck;
10 i.e., the installation of the remanufactured engine and intake manifold.

11 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit: Brake and Lamp Station Licenses)**

13 39. Respondent's brake and lamp station licenses are subject to disciplinary action
14 pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.3, subdivision (d), in that Respondent's president,
15 Freire, committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured, as set
16 forth in paragraph 36 above.

17 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit: Smog Check Station License)**

19 40. Respondent's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
20 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent's president, Freire,
21 committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured, as set forth in
22 paragraph 36 above.

23 **CONSUMER COMPLAINT (TP): 2004 NISSAN QUEST**

24 41. On or about April 2, 2011, TP had his 2004 Nissan Quest towed to Respondent's
25 facility for diagnosis because it quit running and would not restart. Later, Respondent's facility
26 contacted TP and told him that the camshaft sensor had failed and that it would cost about \$600 to
27 replace the part. After replacing the sensor, Respondent's facility contacted TP and told him that
28 the vehicle still would not run, that there may be an issue with the timing chain, and that they

1 would need to do more work on the vehicle. TP received additional calls from Respondent's
2 facility, informing him, among other things, that the timing chain had come off of the gears or
3 had slipped, that the timing chain tensioner had been broken, and that consequently, certain parts
4 needed replacement, including the timing chain, chain tensioner and guides, timing chain cover,
5 water pump, various gaskets and miscellaneous parts. TP asked Respondent's facility if there
6 could be any further damage to the engine. Respondent's facility told TP that 80% of the time,
7 there is not any further damage, and that this could be the extent of the repairs. TP authorized
8 Respondent's facility to replace the above parts at an estimate price of \$3,500.

9 42. On or about April 13, 2011, Respondent's facility called TP and informed him that
10 they had completed the repairs; however, when they started the engine, it began making a loud
11 banging noise. Respondent's facility told TP that his vehicle would probably need \$3,000 to
12 \$4,000 in additional repairs, including the replacement of the valves and head gaskets and the
13 machining of the cylinder heads.

14 43. On or about April 14, 2011, TP filed a complaint with the Bureau.

15 44. On or about June 13, 2011, an investigator of the Bureau went to Respondent's
16 facility and obtained copies of their repair records on TP's vehicle, including Invoice No.
17 1015824. The invoice stated that the facility had recommended a teardown and inspection of
18 TP's vehicle prior to replacing the above parts; however, there was no indication that TP had been
19 given a written estimate for the teardown.

20 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Failure to Comply With California Code of Regulations)**

22 45. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
23 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of
24 California Code of Regulations, title 16 ("Regulation"), in the following material respects:

25 a. **Regulation section 3353, subdivision (d)**: Respondent failed to provide TP with a
26 written estimated price for the teardown of his 2004 Nissan Quest.

27 ///

28 ///

CONSUMER COMPLAINT (SK) 2004 CHEVROLET TAHOE

1
2 52. On or about August 23, 2012, SK took her 2004 Chevrolet Tahoe to Respondent's
3 facility for diagnosis after hearing a noise coming from the engine area upon acceleration. Later,
4 Respondent's facility told SK that the noise was actually coming from inside the transmission.

5 53. On or about August 24, 2012, Respondent's facility called SK and told her that the
6 transmission needed to be rebuilt at an estimated cost of \$2,800.00. SK authorized the work.

7 54. On or about August 30, 2012, SK retrieved her vehicle from Respondent's facility,
8 paid \$2,688.57 for the repairs, and received a copy of Invoice No. 20197. As SK was driving
9 home, she began hearing the same noise coming from the engine area of her vehicle.

10 55. On and between August 31 and September 13, 2012, SK returned her vehicle to
11 Respondent's facility on at least three occasions due to the noise problem. Respondent's facility
12 made various repairs to SK's vehicle; however, the problem persisted.

13 56. On or about September 17, 2012, SK took her vehicle to John L. Sullivan ("Sullivan")
14 located in Roseville for diagnosis. Later, Sullivan informed SK that the noise was coming from
15 the engine and may possibly be related to the valve train, and that they had discovered metal in
16 the oil pan drain plug magnet. Sullivan recommended a teardown of the engine to determine the
17 cause of the noise, which SK declined.

18 57. On or about September 25, 2012, SK filed a complaint with the Bureau, alleging that
19 Respondent's facility had charged her for unnecessary repairs.

20 58. On or about November 28, 2012, a representative of the Bureau contacted Freire and
21 requested copies of Respondent's repair records on the vehicle.

22 59. On or about November 30, 2012, the Bureau representative went to Respondent's
23 facility and was given copies of various documents, including Repair Order No. 020185 and
24 Estimate No. 020185 dated August 23, 2012, and Invoice No. 20197 dated August 30, 2012. The
25 Bureau representative spoke with Respondent's service writer regarding the facility's diagnosis
26 and repair of the vehicle. Respondent's service writer stated that during their diagnosis,
27 Respondent's facility found that either the torque converter or the flex plate was bad and was
28 causing the noise. Respondent's facility removed the transmission from SK's vehicle and sent the

1 unit to another shop. The shop determined that the transmission was "wasted inside" and needed
2 to be overhauled. The Bureau representative found upon review of Invoice No. 20197 that
3 Respondent's facility had failed to document a diagnosis of the noise problem on SK's vehicle or
4 any justification for the overhauling or repair of the transmission.

5 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

6 **(Failure to Record Odometer Reading)**

7 60. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
8 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent caused or allowed SK to sign Repair
9 Order No. 020185 which did not state the odometer reading of her 2004 Chevrolet Tahoe.

10 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

11 **(Departure from Trade Standards)**

12 61. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
13 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(7), in that Respondent willfully departed from or
14 disregarded accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair without the consent of the
15 owner or the owner's duly authorized representative, in the following material respects:
16 Respondent failed to perform, or properly perform, an external diagnosis of the transmission on
17 SK's 2004 Chevrolet Tahoe, including the retrieval of diagnostic trouble codes from the electronic
18 control module, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3361.1.

19 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

20 **(Failure to Comply With Business and Professions Code)**

21 62. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
22 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of
23 that Code in the following material respects:

24 a. **Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to document
25 on Invoice No. 20197 SK's authorization for the additional repairs on her 2004 Chevrolet Tahoe,
26 the rebuilding of the transmission.

27 ///

28 ///

1 was given a quote of \$1,003.49 for the repairs. Respondent's facility advised PB to contact the
2 service writer, who would be out of town until the following Wednesday. PB paid Respondent's
3 facility \$1,352.03 by credit card, then was informed that there was still an issue with the brake
4 pull and that the electrical work had not been completed. Later, PB contacted Respondent's
5 service writer who prepared a work order documenting PB's original concerns on his vehicle. PB
6 contacted his credit card company and disputed the \$1,352.03 charge.

7 67. In or about December 2012, PB returned his vehicle to Respondent's facility.
8 Respondent's facility installed the cigarette lighter and performed other repairs on PB's vehicle,
9 which resolved some of PB's original concerns.

10 68. On or about December 16, 2012, PB filed a complaint with the Bureau, stating that he
11 was not satisfied with the quality of the repairs.

12 69. On or about January 30, 2013, a representative of the Bureau went to Respondent's
13 facility and obtained copies of their repair records on PB's vehicle, including Estimate No.
14 023630 dated November 8, 2012, a "Multi-Point Maintenance Inspection Report", Invoice No.
15 21062 dated November 12, 2012, and Invoice No. 21339 dated December 14, 2012.

16 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

18 70. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
19 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which
20 it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as
21 follows: Respondent represented on the Multi-Point Maintenance Inspection Report that the front
22 suspension and steering components on PB's 1956 Ford pickup, including the idler arm and
23 pitman arm, were in good condition, yet represented on Invoice No. 21339 that the drag link,
24 pitman arm, idler arm, and possibly the king pins were worn and needed replacement.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Failure to Record Odometer Reading)**

3 71. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
4 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent caused or allowed PB to sign
5 Estimate No. 023630 which did not state the odometer reading of PB's 1956 Ford pickup.

6 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Failure to Comply With Business and Professions Code)**

8 72. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof.
9 Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with section 9884.9,
10 subdivision (a), of that Code in a material respect, as follows: Respondent failed to specify or
11 state on Invoice No. 21062 the additional parts and labor that PB had authorized on his 1956 Ford
12 pickup, with the exception of the "tune up".

13 **OTHER MATTERS**

14 73. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may
15 suspend, revoke or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this
16 state by Respondent Rocklin Automotive, Inc. upon a finding that Respondent has, or is, engaged
17 in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an
18 automotive repair dealer.

19 74. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Station License
20 Number RC 253181, issued to Respondent Rocklin Automotive, Inc., is revoked or suspended,
21 any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health & Saf. Code in the name of said
22 licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

23 75. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9889.9, if Lamp Station License Number
24 LS 253181, issued to Respondent Rocklin Automotive, Inc., is revoked or suspended, any
25 additional license issued under Division 3, Articles 5 and 6 of Chapter 20.3 of the Bus. & Prof.
26 Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

9. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: August 14, 2013


PATRICK DORAIS
Acting Chief
Bureau of Automotive Repair
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SA2013111373
11131011