

BEFORE THE DIRECTOR
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

BILLS FAST LUBE & SMOG
FRANCISCO LULE, Partner
MELVIN NELSON, Partner
305 High Street
Delano, CA 93215

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
No. ARD 248438
Smog Check Station License
No. RC 248438

Respondents.

Case No. 79/11-48

OAH No. L-2011031463

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Order is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision of the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective 2/6/12.

DATED: December 29, 2011 =


DOREATHEA JOHNSON
Deputy Director, Legal Affairs
Department of Consumer Affairs

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
2 KAREN B. CHAPPELLE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 THOMAS L. RINALDI
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 206911
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 897-2541
6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
Attorneys for Complainant
7

8 **BEFORE THE**
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10
11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 79/11-48

12 **BILLS FAST LUBE & SMOG;**
13 **FRANCISCO LULE, Partner**
14 **MELVIN NELSON, Partner**
305 High Street
15 **Delano, CA 93215**
Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.
16 **ARD 248438**
Smog Check Station License No. RC 248438

OAH No. L-2011031463
STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
DISCIPLINARY ORDER

17 Respondents.

18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-
19 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

20 PARTIES

21 1. Sherry Mehl (Complainant) is the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair. She
22 brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D.
23 Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Thomas L. Rinaldi, Deputy Attorney
24 General.

25 2. Bills Fast Lube & Smog; Francisco Lule, Partner and Melvin Nelson, Partner
26 (Respondent) is representing itself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise it's right to
27 be represented by counsel.
28

1 7. **Cost Recovery.** Payment to the Bureau of cost recovery in the amount of \$4,000.00
2 shall be made in twenty four (24) monthly installments with final payment due twelve (12)
3 months before the termination of probation." Failure to complete payment of cost recovery within
4 this time frame shall constitute a violation of probation which may subject Respondent's ARD
5 Registration and Smog Check Station License to outright revocation; however, the Director or the
6 Director's Bureau of Automotive Repair designee may elect to continue probation until such time
7 as reimbursement of the entire cost recovery amount has been made to the Bureau.

8 ACCEPTANCE

9 I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the
10 stipulation and the effect it will have on my Automotive Repair Dealer Registration and Smog
11 Check Station License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order
12 voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the
13 Director of Consumer Affairs.

14
15 DATED: 11/14/11 *Melvin Nelson*
16 BILLS FAST LUBE & SMOG; MELVIN NELSON,
17 Partner
Respondent

18
19 DATED: 11/14/11 *Francisco Lule*
20 BILLS FAST LUBE & SMOG; FRANCISCO LULE,
21 Partner
Respondent

22
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Director of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 12-9-2011

Respectfully submitted,
KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
KAREN B. CHAPPELLE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General



THOMAS L. RINALDI
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

LA2010502933
51020932.doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 79/11-48

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General of California
2 ALFREDO TERRAZAS
Senior Assistant Attorney General
3 GREGORY J. SALUTE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 164015
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (213) 897-2520
6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
Attorneys for Complainant
7

8 **BEFORE THE**
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**
10 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

79/11-48

11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No.

12 **BILL'S FAST LUBE & SMOG**
13 **FRANCISCO LULE, PARTNER**
14 **MELVIN NELSON, PARTNER**
305 High Street
Delano, CA 93215
15 **Automotive Repair Dealer Reg. No. ARD 248438**
Smog Check Station License No. RC 248438

A C C U S A T I O N

(Smog Check)

16 **and**

17 **ANTONIO R. CRUZ**
18 **1721 Juniper Lane**
Wasco, CA 93280
19 **Advanced Emission Specialist Technician**
License No. EA 144199
20

21 Respondents.

22 Complainant alleges:

23 **PARTIES**

24 1. Sherry Mehl ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as
25 the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs.

26 **Bill's Fast Lube & Smog**

27 2. On or about January 4, 2007, the Director of Consumer Affairs ("Director") issued
28 Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 248438 (hereinafter "registration") to Bill's

1 Fast Lube & Smog ("Respondent Bill's"), with Francisco Lule and Melvin Nelson as partners.
2 Respondent's registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
3 herein and will expire on December 31, 2011, unless renewed.

4 3. On or about January 5, 2007, the Director issued Smog Check Station License
5 Number RC 248438 to Respondent Bill's. Respondent's smog check station license was in full
6 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December
7 31, 2011, unless renewed.

8 **Antonio R. Cruz**

9 4. In or about 2002, the Director issued Advanced Emission Specialist Technician
10 License Number EA 144199 (hereinafter "technician license") to Antonio R. Cruz ("Respondent
11 Cruz" or "Cruz"). Respondent's technician license was in full force and effect at all times
12 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 29, 2012, unless renewed.

13 **JURISDICTION**

14 5. Business and Professions Code ("Bus. & Prof. Code") section 9884.7 provides that
15 the Director may revoke an automotive repair dealer registration.

16 6. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a
17 valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary
18 proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently
19 invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

20 7. Health and Safety Code ("Health & Saf. Code") section 44002 provides, in pertinent
21 part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act
22 for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

23 8. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or
24 suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer
25 Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director
26 of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

27 ///

28 ///

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

9. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:

(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

(2) Causing or allowing a customer to sign any work order which does not state the repairs requested by the customer or the automobile's odometer reading at the time of repair.

(3) Failing or refusing to give to a customer a copy of any document requiring his or her signature, as soon as the customer signs the document.

(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

....

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

(7) Any willful departure from or disregard of accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair in any material respect, which is prejudicial to another without consent of the owner or his or her duly authorized representative.

....

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

10. Bus. & Prof. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency." "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by the Bus. & Prof. Code.

///
///
///

1 11. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:

2 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action
3 against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or
4 director thereof, does any of the following:

5 (a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection
6 Program (Health and Saf. Code § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted
7 pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.

8

9 (c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to
10 this chapter.

11 (d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby
12 another is injured.

13

14 (f) Aids or abets unlicensed persons to evade the provisions of this
15 chapter . . .

16 12. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8 states that when a license has been revoked or
17 suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter
18 in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

19 COST RECOVERY

20 13. Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request
21 the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or
22 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation
23 and enforcement of the case.

24 RECORDED UNDERCOVER OPERATION #1

25 1994 TOYOTA 4X4 4RUNNER PICKUP

26 14. On August 5, 2009, a representative of the Bureau, acting in an undercover capacity
27 (hereinafter "operator"), took the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup to Respondent Bill's
28 facility and requested a smog inspection. The throttle position sensor ("TPS") on the Bureau-
documented vehicle was defective, causing excessive tailpipe emissions and the malfunction
indicator lamp ("MIL") to illuminate on the dashboard. The operator was given a quote of \$70
for the smog inspection. The operator signed a written estimate, but was not given a copy of the

1 document at that time. Later, Respondent Cruz informed the operator that the vehicle failed the
2 inspection and requested the operator's authorization to perform a diagnosis on the vehicle for
3 \$70. The operator authorized the work, received a copy of the written estimate, then left the
4 facility.

5 15. At approximately 11:00 hours that same day, Cruz called the operator and requested
6 his authorization to replace the TPS on the vehicle for an additional \$255.76. The operator
7 authorized the repair.

8 16. At approximately 13:30 hours, the operator returned to the facility, paid Cruz
9 \$325.76, and received copies of an invoice, a vehicle inspection report ("VIR") dated August 5,
10 2009, with a time of 08:33 hours (the failed inspection), and a VIR dated August 5, 2009, with a
11 time of 13:19 hours. The second VIR identified Cruz as the repair technician. That same day,
12 electronic smog Certificate of Compliance No. WB768934C was issued for the vehicle.

13 17. On August 6, 2009, the Bureau inspected the vehicle and found that the TPS had been
14 replaced. The videotape of the undercover operation revealed that Respondent's partner, Melvin
15 Nelson ("Nelson"), had repaired the vehicle although he was not a licensed smog check
16 technician. The videotape also revealed that Cruz had failed to perform the required functional
17 ignition timing check and functional low pressure fuel evaporative test ("LPFET") during the
18 second smog inspection on the vehicle.

19 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

20 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

21 18. Respondent Bill's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
22 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements
23 which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or
24 misleading, as follows:

25 a. Respondent Bill's technician, Respondent Cruz, certified under penalty of perjury on
26 the second VIR that he performed the smog inspection on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner
27 pickup in accordance with all Bureau requirements. In fact, Respondent Cruz failed to perform
28 the required functional ignition timing test and functional LPFET test on the vehicle.

1 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

3 22. Respondent Bill's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action
4 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to
5 comply with provisions of that Code in the following material respects:

6 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
7 performed on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup in accordance with procedures
8 prescribed by the department.

9 b. **Section 44014:** Respondent allowed Nelson, an unlicensed technician, to perform an
10 emission related repair on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup, the replacement of the
11 TPS.

12 c. **Section 44015:** Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for
13 the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup without ensuring that the vehicle was properly
14 tested and inspected to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

15 d. **Section 44016:** Respondent failed to ensure that the repairs were performed on the
16 Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup in accordance with established specifications and
17 procedures in that Respondent allowed Nelson, an unlicensed technician, to replace the TPS on
18 the vehicle.

19 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

20 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
21 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

22 23. Respondent Bill's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action
23 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to
24 comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

25 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued an
26 electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup
27 without ensuring that a bona fide inspection was performed of the emission control devices and
28 systems on the vehicle as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

1 NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

2 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

3 26. Respondent Cruz's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
4 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
5 provisions of that Code in the following material respects:

6 a. Section 44012: Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests on the
7 Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup in accordance with procedures prescribed by the
8 department.

9 b. Section 44059: Respondent willfully made false entries on the second VIR regarding
10 the smog inspection on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup, as set forth in paragraph
11 18 above.

12 TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

13 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant
14 to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

15 27. Respondent Cruz's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
16 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
17 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

18 a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued an
19 electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup, as
20 set forth in paragraph 18 above.

21 b. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to inspect and test the Bureau's
22 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and
23 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

24 c. Section 3340.42: Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on the
25 Bureau's 1994 4x4 4Runner pickup in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

3 28. Respondent Cruz's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
4 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed an act
5 involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog
6 certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1994 Toyota 4x4 4Runner pickup when, in fact, a bona
7 fide inspection was not performed of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle,
8 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
9 Vehicle Inspection Program.

10 **RECORDED UNDERCOVER OPERATION #2: 1994 TOYOTA CELICA**

11 29. On October 6, 2009, a representative of the Bureau, acting in an undercover capacity
12 (hereinafter "operator"), took the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica to Respondent Bill's facility and
13 requested a smog inspection. The coolant temperature sensor ("CTS") on the Bureau-documented
14 vehicle was defective, causing the MIL to illuminate on the dashboard. The operator was given a
15 quote of \$70 for the smog inspection. The operator signed a written estimate, but was not given a
16 copy of the document at that time. Later, Respondent Bill's partner, Francisco Lule ("Lule"),
17 informed the operator that the vehicle failed the inspection and requested the operator's
18 authorization to perform a diagnosis on the vehicle. The operator signed and received a copy of a
19 written estimate authorizing the facility to perform the diagnosis for \$70, a timing adjustment for
20 \$20, and a smog inspection for \$51.75. The estimate also included a fee of \$8.25 for a smog
21 certificate and an "ELT" fee of \$10. The operator left the facility.

22 30. At approximately 16:00 hours that same day, the operator went to the facility to
23 retrieve the vehicle. Lule told the operator that he needed to order a CTS for the vehicle, which
24 would cost \$62.12, and that the operator needed to bring the vehicle back another day for the
25 repair. The operator authorized the work and received copies of Invoice No. 144208 (the initial
26 written estimate) and a VIR for the failed smog inspection. The operator left the facility.

27 31. On October 8, 2009, the operator returned the vehicle to the facility and told Lule that
28 he was there to have the smog repairs completed. The operator observed a technician with the

1 name tag "Gonzalo" replace the CTS on the vehicle. After Gonzalo completed the repair, Nelson
2 asked him if he "took care of it." Gonzalo responded that he had. The operator observed Cruz
3 drive the vehicle into the facility. Later, Lule informed the operator that the vehicle passed the
4 smog inspection. The operator paid the cashier \$217.12 and received copies of Invoice No.
5 144209 and a VIR dated October 8, 2009. The VIR identified Cruz as the repair technician. That
6 same day, electronic smog Certificate of Compliance No. WD 672079C was issued for the
7 vehicle.

8 32. On October 12, 2009, the Bureau inspected the vehicle and found that the CTS had
9 been replaced; however, the ignition timing was improperly adjusted to 15 degrees BTDC (before
10 top dead center). The videotape of the undercover operation revealed that Gonzalo had replaced
11 the CTS on the vehicle and that Cruz had failed to perform the required functional ignition timing
12 check and functional LPFET during the second smog inspection on the vehicle.

13 33. On February 4, 2010, the Bureau determined during their continued investigation of
14 the facility that Gonzalo's full name was Gonzalo Martinez ("Martinez") and that Martinez was
15 not a licensed smog check technician.

16 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

18 34. Respondent Bill's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
19 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements
20 which it knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or
21 misleading, as follows:

22 a. Respondent Bill's technician, Respondent Cruz, certified under penalty of perjury on
23 the VIR dated October 8, 2009, that the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica had passed inspection and
24 was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, and that he performed the inspection in
25 accordance with all Bureau requirements. In fact, the ignition timing on the vehicle was
26 improperly adjusted to 15 degrees BTDC (the manufacturer's specifications are 10 degrees
27 BTDC) and the vehicle would not pass the inspection required by Health & Saf. Code section

28 ///

1 44012. Further, Cruz failed to perform the required functional ignition timing test and functional
2 LPFET test on the vehicle.

3 b. Respondent Bill's technician, Respondent Cruz, certified under penalty of perjury on
4 the VIR dated October 8, 2009, that he performed the repair on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica,
5 the replacement of the CTS. In fact, Respondent Bill's employee, Martinez, an unlicensed
6 technician, had performed that emission related repair on the vehicle.

7 c. Respondent Bill's represented on Invoice No. 144209 that the ignition timing on the
8 Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica had been adjusted to 10 degrees BTDC when, in fact, the ignition
9 timing had been improperly adjusted to 15 degrees BTDC.

10 d. Respondent Bill's represented on Invoice No. 144209 that on October 8, 2009, at
11 07:45 hours, the operator had authorized \$62.12 in additional repairs on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota
12 Celica, the replacement of the CTS. In fact, the operator had authorized the additional repair on
13 October 6, 2009, at approximately 16:00 hours.

14 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

15 **(Failure to Provide Customer with Copy of Signed Document)**

16 35. Respondent Bill's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
17 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that Respondent failed to ensure that the operator
18 was provided with a copy of the initial written estimate as soon as the operator signed the
19 document.

20 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Fraud)**

22 36. Respondent Bill's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
23 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed an act which
24 constitutes fraud by issuing an electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1994
25 Toyota Celica without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and
26 systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection
27 afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

28 ///

1 **FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Departure from Trade Standards)**

3 37. Respondent Bill's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
4 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(7), in that Respondent willfully departed from or
5 disregarded accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair without the consent of the
6 owner or the owner's duly authorized representative, in a material respect, as follows:

7 Respondent improperly adjusted the ignition timing on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica to 15
8 degrees BTDC when the manufacturer's specifications are 10 degrees BTDC.

9 **SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

10 **(Violations of Regulations)**

11 38. Respondent Bill's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &
12 Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with California
13 Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(A), in a material respect, as
14 follows: Respondent failed to describe or identify on Invoice No. 144209 all repair work
15 performed on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica in that Respondent recorded the additional repair
16 as "R&R Coolant Temperature Sensor" without defining the term "R&R".

17 **SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

19 39. Respondent Bill's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action
20 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to
21 comply with provisions of that Code in the following material respects:

22 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to ensure that the emission control tests were
23 performed on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica in accordance with procedures prescribed by the
24 department.

25 b. **Section 44014:** Respondent allowed Martinez, an unlicensed technician, to perform
26 an emission related repair on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica, the replacement of the CTS.

27 ///

28 ///

1 c. **Section 44015:** Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate of compliance for
2 the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica without ensuring that the vehicle was properly tested and
3 inspected to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

4 d. **Section 44016:** Respondent failed to ensure that the repairs were performed on the
5 Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica in accordance with established specifications and procedures in that
6 Respondent allowed Martinez, an unlicensed technician, to replace the CTS on the vehicle.
7 Further, Respondent failed to properly adjust the ignition timing on the vehicle, as set forth
8 above.

9 **EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

10 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
11 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

12 40. Respondent Bill's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action
13 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to
14 comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

15 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued an
16 electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica without ensuring
17 that a bona fide inspection was performed of the emission control devices and systems on the
18 vehicle as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.

19 b. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent issued an electronic smog certificate
20 of compliance for the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica even though the vehicle had not been
21 inspected in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

22 c. **Section 3340.41, subdivision (d):** Respondent failed to follow applicable
23 specifications and procedures when performing the repairs on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica,
24 as set forth in above.

25 d. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to ensure that the required smog tests were
26 conducted on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

3 41. Respondent Bill's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
4 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed an act
5 involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog
6 certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica when, in fact, a bona fide
7 inspection was not performed of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby
8 depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle
9 Inspection Program.

10 **TWENTIETH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

11 **(Aiding or Abetting Unlicensed Person)**

12 42. Respondent Bill's smog check station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant
13 to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (f), in that Respondent aided and abetted
14 Martinez, an unlicensed technician, to evade the provisions of the Motor Vehicle Inspection by
15 allowing Martinez to perform an emission related repair on the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica, the
16 replacement of the CTS.

17 **TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

19 43. Respondent Cruz's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
20 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that Respondent failed to comply with
21 provisions of that Code in the following material respects:

22 a. **Section 44012:** Respondent failed to perform the emission control tests on the
23 Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

24 b. **Section 44059:** Respondent willfully made false entries on the VIR dated October 8,
25 2009, as set forth in paragraph 34 above.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant**
3 **to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

4 44. Respondent Cruz's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
5 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that Respondent failed to comply with
6 provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

7 a. **Section 3340.24, subdivision (c):** Respondent falsely or fraudulently issued an
8 electronic smog certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica, as set forth in
9 paragraph 34 above.

10 b. **Section 3340.30, subdivision (a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test the Bureau's
11 1994 Toyota Celica in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 44012 and 44035, and
12 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

13 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests on the
14 Bureau's 1994 Celica in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

15 **TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

17 45. Respondent Cruz's technician license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to
18 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent committed an act
19 involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured by issuing an electronic smog
20 certificate of compliance for the Bureau's 1994 Toyota Celica when, in fact, a bona fide
21 inspection was not performed of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby
22 depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle
23 Inspection Program.

24 **OTHER MATTERS**

25 46. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may
26 suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this
27 state by Respondent Bill's Fast Lube & Smog, upon a finding that Respondent has, or is, engaged

28 ///

1 in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an
2 automotive repair dealer.

3 47. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Station License
4 Number RC 248438, issued to Respondent Bill's Fast Lube & Smog, is revoked or suspended,
5 any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be likewise
6 revoked or suspended by the Director.

7 48. Pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8, if Advanced Emission Specialist
8 Technician License Number EA 144199, issued to Respondent Antonio R. Cruz, is revoked or
9 suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be
10 likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

11 **PRAYER**

12 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
13 and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

14 1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
15 248438, to Bill's Fast Lube & Smog;

16 2. Revoking or suspending any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to
17 Bill's Fast Lube & Smog;

18 3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Station License Number RC 248438, issued to
19 Bill's Fast Lube & Smog;

20 4. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health
21 and Safety Code in the name of Bill's Fast Lube & Smog;

22 5. Revoking or suspending Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License Number
23 EA 144199, issued to Antonio R. Cruz;

24 6. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of the Health
25 and Safety Code in the name of Antonio R. Cruz;

26 7. Ordering Respondents Bill's Fast Lube & Smog and Antonio R. Cruz to pay the
27 Director of Consumer Affairs the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this
28 case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

8. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 12/15/10



SHERRY MEHL
Chief
Bureau of Automotive Repair
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

LA2010502933
10628436.doc