

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS  
Attorney General of California  
2 JANICE K. LACHMAN  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 ANAHITA S. CRAWFORD  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 209545  
1300 I Street, Suite 125  
5 P.O. Box 944255  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550  
6 Telephone: (916) 322-8311  
Facsimile: (916) 327-8643  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
11 **FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR**  
12 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

13 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 79/12-143

14 **E R SMOGS**  
15 **EDWARD DEREBENSKIY, Owner**  
4235 Power Inn Rd., Unit C  
Sacramento, California 95826  
16 **Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 241005**  
17 **Smog Check Station License No. RC 241005**  
18 **Lamp Station License No. LS 241005**  
**Brake Station License No. BS 241005**

**A C C U S A T I O N**

Smog Check

19 **JOSE ANTONIO TEJEDA GUDINO**  
4235 Power Inn Rd., Unit C  
20 Sacramento, California 95826  
21 **Advanced Emission Specialist Technician License**  
**No. EA 632708**

22 Respondents.

23  
24  
25 John Wallauch ("Complainant") alleges:

26 **PARTIES**

27 1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the  
28 Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department of Consumer Affairs.

1 **LICENSE INFORMATION**

2 **E R Smogs**

3 2. In or about 2005, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration  
4 Number ARD 241005 ("registration") to Edward Derebenskiy, doing business as E R Smogs  
5 ("Respondent E R"). The registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the  
6 charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2012, unless renewed.

7 3. On or about October 24, 2005, the Bureau issued Smog Check Station Number  
8 RC 241005 ("station license") to Respondent E R. The station license was in full force and effect  
9 at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2012, unless  
10 renewed.

11 4. On or about October 27, 2005, the Bureau issued Lamp Station License Number  
12 LS 241005 to Respondent E R. The lamp station license was in full force and effect at all times  
13 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2012, unless renewed.

14 5. On or about October 27, 2005, the Bureau issued Brake Station License Number  
15 BS 241005 to Respondent E R. The brake station license was in full force and effect at all times  
16 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2012, unless renewed.

17 **Jose Antonio Tejada Gudino**

18 6. On or about December 1, 2010, the Bureau issued Advanced Emission Specialist  
19 Technician License Number EA 632708 ("technician license") to Jose Antonio Tejada Gudino  
20 ("Respondent Gudino"). Respondent Gudino's technician license was in full force and effect at  
21 all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2012, unless  
22 renewed.

23 **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

24 7. Section 9884.7 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") states, in pertinent  
25 part:

26 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there  
27 was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the  
28 registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions  
related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done  
by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner,

officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.

(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.

(4) Any other conduct which constitutes fraud.

(b) Except as provided for in subdivision (c), if an automotive repair dealer operates more than one place of business in this state, the director pursuant to subdivision (a) shall only suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of the specific place of business which has violated any of the provisions of this chapter. This violation, or action by the director, shall not affect in any manner the right of the automotive repair dealer to operate his or her other places of business.

(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

8. Section 9889.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee or any partner, officer, or director thereof:

(a) Violates any section of the Business and Professions Code that relates to his or her licensed activities.

(c) Violates any of the regulations promulgated by the director pursuant to this chapter.

(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured.

9. Section 9889.1 of the Code states:

Any license issued pursuant to Articles 5 and 6, may be suspended or revoked by the director. The director may refuse to issue a license to any applicant for the reasons set forth in Section 9889.2. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the director shall have all the powers granted therein.

10. Section 9889.9 of the Code states:

When any license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under the provisions of this article, any additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

///

///

1           11.     Section 9889.7 of the Code states:

2                     The expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law or by order  
3                     or decision of the director or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of a license by  
4                     a licensee shall not deprive the director of jurisdiction to proceed with any  
                      investigation of or action or disciplinary proceedings against such licensee, or to  
                      render a decision suspending or revoking such license.

5           12.     Section 9884.13 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a  
6                     valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary  
7                     proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration  
8                     temporarily or permanently.

9           13.     Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the  
10                    Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing  
11                    the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

12           14.     Section 44072.2 of the Health and Safety Code states, in pertinent part:

13                    The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action  
14                    against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or  
                      director thereof, does any of the following:

15                    (a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection  
16                    Program (Health and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted  
                      pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.

17                    (c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to  
18                    this chapter.

19                    (d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby  
                      another is injured.

20           15.     Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the  
21                     expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the  
22                     Director of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not  
23                     deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.

24           16.     Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:

25                     When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under  
26                     this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the  
                      licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

27     ///

28     ///

1 **COST RECOVERY**

2 17. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the  
3 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of  
4 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and  
5 enforcement of the case.

6 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION NO. 1: 1998 FORD EXPLORER**

7 18. On or about March 3, 2011, an undercover Bureau operator ("operator") drove a  
8 Bureau documented 1998 Ford Explorer to Respondent E R's facility and requested a smog  
9 inspection. The vehicle could not pass a smog inspection because the vehicle's Malfunction  
10 Indicator Lamp ("MIL") was not functional. The operator signed a work order and received a  
11 copy. Respondent Gudino performed the smog inspection. Respondent Gudino entered "pass"  
12 into the Emissions Inspection System ("EIS") for the visual inspection when, in fact, the vehicle  
13 could not have passed the visual inspection due to the missing MIL bulb and socket. In addition,  
14 Respondent Gudino entered "fail" into the EIS for the functional portion of the test for the  
15 Exhaust Gas Recirculation ("EGR") when, in fact, that test did not apply to this vehicle<sup>1</sup>. The  
16 operator paid Respondent E R \$59.75 and received a copy of Invoice No. 001862 and a Vehicle  
17 Inspection Report ("VIR").

18 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

19 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

20 19. Respondent E R's registration is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section  
21 9884.7(a)(1), in that on or about March 3, 2011, Respondent made or authorized statements  
22 which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care he should have known to be untrue or  
23 misleading by generating an untrue or misleading VIR by entering "pass" into the EIS for the  
24 visual inspection when, in fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual inspection due to the  
25 non-functional MIL. In addition, Respondent Gudino entered "fail" into the EIS for the  
26 functional portion of the test for the EGR when, in fact, that test did not apply to this vehicle.

27 <sup>1</sup> The EGR functional test only applies to 1995 model-year and older vehicles undergoing  
28 a two-speed idle test when equipped with EGR.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

**(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

20. Respondent E R's station license is subject to discipline pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2(a), in that on or about March 3, 2011, Respondent failed to comply with Health and Safety Code section 44012(f), by failing to perform an emission control inspection on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

**THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

**(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

21. Respondent E R's station license is subject to discipline pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2(c), in that on or about March 3, 2011, Respondent failed to comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42, by failing to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

**FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

**(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

22. Respondent Gudino's technician license is subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2(a), in that on or about March 3, 2011, regarding the 1998 Ford Explorer, he failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

- a. **Section 44012(f):** Respondent Gudino failed to properly perform a smog inspection of the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
- b. **Section 44032:** Respondent Gudino failed to properly perform a smog inspection of the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code.

**FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

**(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

23. Respondent Gudino's technician license is subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2(c), in that on or about March 3, 2011, regarding the 1998 Ford Explorer, he failed to comply with the following sections of the California Code of Regulations, title 16:

///

1 a. **Section 3340.30(a):** Respondent Gudino failed to inspect and test the vehicle in  
2 accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of  
3 Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

4 b. **Section 3340.41(c):** Respondent Gudino entered false information into the EIS by  
5 entering "pass" for the visual portion of the smog inspection when, in fact, the vehicle could not  
6 have passed the visual portion of the inspection because the vehicle's MIL bulb and socket were  
7 missing. In addition, Respondent entered "fail" for the EGR functional test when, in fact, that test  
8 did not apply to this vehicle.

9 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Gudino failed to properly conduct the required smog  
10 tests and inspections on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

11 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION NO. 2: 1990 PLYMOUTH SUNDANCE**

12 24. On or about September 9, 2011, an undercover Bureau operator ("operator") drove  
13 a Bureau documented 1990 Plymouth Sundance to Respondent E R's facility and requested a  
14 smog inspection. The vehicle was capable of passing all portions of both California Emissions  
15 Inspection Tests. The operator signed a work order and received a copy. Respondent Gudino  
16 performed the smog inspection. Respondent Gudino entered "defective" into the EIS when, in  
17 fact, it was not, and the vehicle was capable of passing all portions of both California Emissions  
18 Inspection Tests. The operator paid Respondent E R \$39.75. The operator received a copy of  
19 Invoice No. 004152 and VIR.

20 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

22 25. Respondent E R's registration is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section  
23 9884.7(a)(1), in that on or about September 9, 2011, Respondent made or authorized statements  
24 which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care he should have known to be untrue or  
25 misleading by generating an untrue or misleading VIR by entering "defective" into the EIS for the  
26 functional inspection when, in fact, it was not, and the vehicle was capable of passing all portions  
27 of both California Emissions Inspection Tests.

28 //

1 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Fraud)**

3 26. Respondent E R's registration is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section  
4 9884.7(a)(4), in that on or about September 9, 2011, Respondent committed acts which constitute  
5 fraud, in that Respondent obtained payment for a bona fide smog inspection, represented that he  
6 had performed a bona fide inspection when, in fact, he had not. Respondent ER failed the vehicle  
7 for the functional inspection when, in fact, the vehicle was capable of passing all portions of both  
8 California Emissions Inspection Tests.

9 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

10 **(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

11 27. Respondent E R's station license is subject to discipline pursuant to Health &  
12 Safety Code section 44072.2(a), in that on or about September 9, 2011, Respondent failed to  
13 comply with the following sections of that Code:

14 a. **44012, subdivision (f):** Respondent failed to perform emission control tests on  
15 the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

16 b. **44015, subdivision (b):** Respondent failed to issue a certificate of compliance to  
17 a vehicle that was capable of passing all portions of both California Emissions Inspection Tests.

18 **NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

19 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

20 28. Respondent E R's station license is subject to discipline pursuant to Health &  
21 Safety Code section 44072.2(c), in that on or about September 9, 2011, Respondent failed to  
22 comply with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42, in that Respondent failed  
23 to conduct the required smog tests on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

24 **TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

26 29. Respondent E R's station license is subject to discipline under Health & Safety Code  
27 section 44072.2(d), in that on or about September 9, 2011, Respondent committed dishonest,  
28 fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another was injured, in that Respondent obtained payment

1 for a bona fide smog inspection, represented that he had performed a bona fide inspection when,  
2 in fact, he had not. In addition, Respondent failed the vehicle for the functional inspection when  
3 the vehicle was capable of passing all portions of both California Emissions Inspection Tests.

4 **ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

5 **(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

6 30. Respondent Gudino's technician license is subject to discipline under Health and  
7 Safety Code section 44072.2(a), in that on or about September 9, 2011, regarding the 1990  
8 Plymouth Sundance, Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

9 a. **Section 44012(f):** Respondent Gudino failed to properly perform a smog inspection  
10 of the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

11 b. **Section 44032:** Respondent Gudino failed to properly perform a smog inspection of  
12 the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code.

13 **TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

14 **(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

15 31. Respondent Gudino's technician license is subject to discipline under Health and  
16 Safety Code section 44072.2(c), in that on or about September 9, 2011, regarding the 1990  
17 Plymouth Sundance, Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of the California  
18 Code of Regulations, title 16:

19 a. **Section 3340.30(a):** Respondent Gudino failed to inspect and test the vehicle in  
20 accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of  
21 Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.

22 b. **Section 3340.41(c):** Respondent Gudino entered false information into the EIS by  
23 entering "defective" for the functional inspection when, in fact, the vehicle was capable of  
24 passing all portions of both California Emissions Inspection Tests.

25 c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent Gudino failed to properly conduct the required smog  
26 tests and inspections on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

27 //

28 //

1 **THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

3 32. Respondent Gudino's technician license is subject to discipline under Health & Safety  
4 Code section 44072.2(d), in that on or about September 9, 2011, he committed dishonest,  
5 fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another was injured by representing that he had performed a  
6 bona fide smog inspection when, in fact, he did not. In addition, Respondent Gudino failed the  
7 vehicle for the functional inspection when the vehicle was capable of passing all portions of both  
8 California Emissions Inspection Tests.

9 **UNDERCOVER OPERATION NO. 3: 2006 CHEVROLET SILVERADO**

10 33. On or about September 28, 2011, an undercover Bureau operator ("operator")  
11 drove a Bureau documented 2006 Chevrolet Silverado to Respondent E R's facility and requested  
12 a smog inspection. The vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because  
13 the vehicle's EGR system was missing. The operator signed a work order and received a copy.  
14 A licensed Advanced Emission Specialist Technician, Roman Derebenskiy, performed the smog  
15 inspection and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. OG777317C, certifying that he  
16 had tested and inspected the vehicle and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws  
17 and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog  
18 inspection because the vehicle's EGR system was missing. The operator paid Respondent E R  
19 \$88.00 and received a copy of Invoice No. 004348 and a VIR.

20 **FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Untrue or Misleading Statements)**

22 34. Respondent E R's registration is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section  
23 9884.7(a)(1), in that on or about September 28, 2011, Respondent made or authorized statements  
24 which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care he should have known to be untrue or  
25 misleading by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. OG777317C for the 2006  
26 Chevrolet Silverado, certifying that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and  
27 regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection  
28 because the vehicle's EGR system was missing.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

**(Fraud)**

35. Respondent E R's registration is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 9884.7(a)(4), in that on or about September 28, 2011, Respondent committed acts which constitute fraud by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance No. OG777317C for the 2006 Chevrolet Silverado without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

**SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

**(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)**

36. Respondent E R's station license is subject to discipline pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2(a), in that on or about September 28, 2011, regarding the 2006 Chevrolet Silverado, Respondent failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

a. **Section 44012, subdivision (a):** Respondent E R failed to determine that all emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning correctly in accordance with test procedures.

b. **Section 44012, subdivision (f):** Respondent E R failed to perform emission control tests on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

c. **Section 44015, subdivision (b):** Respondent E R issued electronic Certificate of Compliance No. OG777317C for the vehicle without properly testing and inspecting the vehicle to determine if it was in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 44012.

**SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

**(Failure to Comply with Regulations)**

37. Respondent E R's station license is subject to discipline pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.2(c), in that on or about September 28, 2011, regarding the 2006 Chevrolet Silverado, Respondent failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

1 a. **Section 3340.35, subdivision (c):** Respondent E R issued electronic Certificate of  
2 Compliance No. OG777317C for the vehicle even though the vehicle had not been inspected in  
3 accordance with section 3340.42.

4 b. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent E R failed to conduct the required smog tests on the  
5 vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

6 **EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

7 **(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)**

8 38. Respondent E R's station license is subject to discipline pursuant to Health &  
9 Safety Code section 44072.2(d), in that on or about September 28, 2011, Respondent committed  
10 dishonest, fraudulent or deceitful acts whereby another is injured by issuing electronic Certificate  
11 of Compliance No. OG777317C for the 2006 Chevrolet Silverado without performing a bona fide  
12 inspection of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicle, thereby depriving the  
13 People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection  
14 Program.

15 **NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

16 **(Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)**

17 39. Respondent E R's brake and lamp station licenses are subject to discipline pursuant  
18 to Code section 9889.3(d), in that between March 3, 2011, and September 28, 2011, Respondent  
19 committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another was injured, as more  
20 particularly set forth above in paragraphs 26, 29, 35, and 38.

21 **PRIOR CITATIONS**

22 40. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, Complainant alleges the following:

23 a. On or about January 3, 2007, the Bureau issued Citation No. C07-0430 against  
24 Respondent E R's registration and station licenses for violations of Health and Safety Code  
25 section 44012(f) (failure to perform a visual/functional check of emission control devices  
26 according to procedures prescribed by the department), and California Code of Regulations, title  
27 16, section 3340.35(c) (issuing a certificate of compliance to a vehicle that was improperly  
28 tested), for issuing a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle that was missing an

1 air injection system reed valve. The Bureau assessed civil penalties totaling \$500 against  
2 Respondent for the violations.

3 b. On or about March 17, 2011, the Bureau issued Citation No. C2011-1087  
4 against Respondent E R's registration and station licenses for violations of Health and Safety  
5 Code section 44012(f) (failure to perform a visual/functional check of emission control devices  
6 according to procedures prescribed by the department), and California Code of Regulations, title  
7 16, section 3340.42 (failure to follow Smog Check emissions test methods and standards), for  
8 failing to perform a proper inspection on the Bureau undercover vehicle, in that it had a non-  
9 functional Malfunction Indicator Lamp system. The Bureau assessed civil penalties totaling  
10 \$1000 against Respondent for the violations.

#### 11 OTHER MATTERS

12 41. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7(c), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on  
13 probation the registrations for all places of business operated in this state by Edward Derebenskiy,  
14 doing business as E R Smogs, upon a finding that he has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated  
15 and willful violation of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

16 42. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Station  
17 License Number RC 241005, issued to Edward Derebenskiy, doing business as E R Smogs, is  
18 revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of said  
19 licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

20 43. Pursuant to Code section 9889.9, if Lamp Station License Number LS 241005,  
21 issued to Edward Derebenskiy, doing business as E R Smogs, is revoked or suspended, any  
22 additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of Chapter 20.3 of the Business and Professions  
23 Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

24 44. Pursuant to Code section 9889.9, if Brake Station License Number BS 241005,  
25 issued to Edward Derebenskiy, doing business as E R Smogs, is revoked or suspended, any  
26 additional license issued under Articles 5 and 6 of Chapter 20.3 of the Business and Professions  
27 Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

28



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

11. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: May 16, 2012



John Wallauch  
Chief  
Bureau of Automotive Repair  
Department of Consumer Affairs  
State of California  
*Complainant*

SA2011103916  
10837559.doc