1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		RE THE ONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/14-132
13	FRANCISCO J. LOPEZ 6320 Gifford Street # E	
14	Bell, CA 90201	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
15	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 635394	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16		
17	Respondent.	
18		
19		<u>S OF FACT</u>
20	1. On or about May 7, 2014, Complaine	ant Patrick Dorais, in his official capacity as the
21 _	<u>Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Depa</u>	
22	No. 79/14-132 against Francisco J. Lopez (Respo	ondent) before the Director of Consumer Affairs.
23	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
24	2. On or about April 10, 2013, the Bure	au of Automotive Repair (Bureau) issued Smog
25		oondent. The Smog Check Inspector License was
26	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	charges brought in Accusation No. 79/14-132
27	and will expire on May 31, 2015, unless renewed	I
28		· · · ·
		1

1	3. On or about May 13, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail
2	copies of the Accusation No. 79/14-132, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request
3	for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and
4	11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
5	section 136, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of
6	record was and is: 6320 Gifford Street # E, Bell, CA 90201.
7	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
8	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
9	124.
.10	5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
11	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
12	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
13	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
14	6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him
15	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.
16	79/14-132.
17	7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
18	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
19	or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to
20	respondent.
-21	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director after
22	having reviewed the proof of service dated May 13, 2014, signed by L M Robinson, finds
23	Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on
24	Accusation, No. 79/14-132, proof of service and on the Affidavit of Bureau Representative
25	Patrick Lutfi, finds that the allegations in Accusation are true.
26	
27	
28	
	2

]]

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

yraan (		
•		
1	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
2	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Francisco J. Lopez has subjected	
3	his Smog Check Inspector License (No. EO 635394) to discipline.	
4	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
5	3. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Smog Check	
· . 6	Inspector License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are	
7	supported by the evidence contained in the affidavit of Bureau Representative Patrick Lutfi in this	
. 8	case:	
9	a. Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a) (Violations of the Motor	
	Vehicle Inspection Program.)	
11	b. Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c) (Violations of Regulations	
12	Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.)	
13	c. Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d) (Dishonesty, Fraud or	
14	Deceit.)	
15	<u>ORDER</u>	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED that Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 635394, heretofore	
17	issued to Respondent Francisco J. Lopez, is revoked.	
18	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
19	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
20	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The motion should be sent to the	
21	Bureau of Automotive Repair, ATTN: William D. Thomas, 10949 North-Mather Blvd., Rancho	
22	111	
23	111	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	

1	Cordova, CA 95670. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing		
2	on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
3	This Decision shall become effective on August 20, 2014.		
4	It is so ORDERED July 30, 3014		
5			
6	A Car		
7	DONALD CHANG Assistant Chief Counsel		
. 8	Department of Consumer Affairs		
9			
10	51559336.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2014511349		
11	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
.17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
20			
28			
20	4		
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER		

# Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Korren D. Hanner	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
2	GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	THOMAS L. RINALDI Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 206911 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2541	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant	
7		RETHE
8	DEPARTMENT OF O	CONSUMER AFFAIRS AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
9		CALIFORNIA
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/14-132
12	IN & OUT TEST ONLY, INC.; VICTOR	
13	DOMINGUEZ, PRESIDENT, SECRETARY, TREASURER	ACCUSATION
14	2172 W. Florence Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90047	(SMOG CHECK)
15	MAILING ADDRESS	
16	902 Venice Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90015	
17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration	
18	No. ARD 269945 Smog Check, Test Only Station License	×
19	No. TC 269945,	
20	and	
21	FRANCISCO J. LOPEZ 6320 Gifford Street # E	
22	Bell, CA 90201	
23	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 635394	
24	Respondent.	
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	]
26	Complainant alleges:	
27		
28		
		1
		Accus

1	PARTIES	
2	1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as	
3	the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
4	2. On or about August 14, 2012, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive	
5	Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 269945 to In & Out Test Only Inc.; Victor Dominguez,	
6	President, Secretary, Treasurer (Respondent In & Out). The Automotive Repair Dealer	
7	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and	
8	will expire on August 31, 2014, unless renewed.	
9	3. On or about October 9, 2012, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check,	
10	Test Only Station License Number TC 269945 to Respondent In & Out. The Smog Check Test	
11	Only Station License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
12	herein and will expire on August 31, 2014, unless renewed.	
13	4. On or about April 10, 2013, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Smog Check	
14	Inspector License Number EO 635394 to Francisco J. Lopez (Respondent Lopez). The Smog	
15	Check Inspector License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
16	herein and will expire on May 31, 2015, unless renewed.	
17	JURISDICTION	
18	5. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the	
19	Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.	
20	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
21	6. Section 9884.7 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) states, in pertinent part:	
22	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the	
23	registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done	
24	by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.	
25	(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any	
26.	statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.	
27	(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.	
28		
	2	
	Accusation	

(b) Except as provided for in subdivision (c), if an automotive repair 1 dealer operates more than one place of business in this state, the director pursuant to subdivision (a) shall only suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of 2 the specific place of business which has violated any of the provisions of this chapter. This violation, or action by the director, shall not affect in any manner the right of the 3 automotive repair dealer to operate his or her other places of business. Δ (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by 5 an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it. 6 7. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid 7 registration shall not deprive the director or chief of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary 8 proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision invalidating a registration 9 temporarily or permanently. 10 8. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" includes "bureau," 11 "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and 12 "agency." "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or 13 profession regulated by the Code. 14 9. Section 44002 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the 15 Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act for enforcing 16 the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 17 Section 44072.2 of the Health and Safety Code states, in pertinent part: 10. 18 19 The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or 20director thereof, does any of the following: 21 (a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and Saf. Code, § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted 22 pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities. 23 (c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter. 24 (d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby 25 another is injured. Section 44072.6 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that the 11. 26expiration or suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director 27 28 3

1	of Consumer Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive	
2	the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action.	
3	12. Section 44072.8 of the Health and Safety Code states:	
4	When a license has been revoked or suspended following a hearing under	
5	this article, any additional license issued under this chapter in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.	
6	13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.28, subdivision (e), states that	
7	"[u]pon renewal of an unexpired Basic Area Technician license or an Advanced Emission	
8 <sup>.</sup>	Specialist Technician license issued prior to the effective date of this regulation, the licensee may	
9	apply to renew as a Smog Check Inspector, Smog Check Repair Technician, or both.	
10	COST RECOVERY	
11	14. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the	
12	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of	
13	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
14	enforcement of the case.	
15	SURVEILLANCE OPERATIONS	
16	15. On or around March 20, 2013, a routine undercover operation was initiated by Bureau	
17	representatives that concerned the illegal purchase of smog inspection certificates issued for	
18	vehicles that were never tested. The investigation initially concerned W M Smog Test Only	
19	(ARD No. 264976), which the Bureau confirmed was indeed selling smog inspection certificates	
20	of compliance for vehicles that were not in fact tested by purchasing several such certificates	
21	from the facility. On or around July 18, 2013, Bureau representatives continued the undercover	
22	operation by approaching the technician of W M Smog (hereinafter referred to as "Lee") to	
23	purchase additional certificates. Lee was provided with fictitious vehicle registrations which he	
24	reviewed and noted that they were Star directed vehicles. <sup>1</sup> Lee stated that he was no longer	
25		
26	<sup>1</sup> Under the BAR's STAR Program, each Smog Check station that intends to inspect directed vehicles must apply to BAR to determine whether the station meets the STAR	
27	performance measures. If it is determined that the station meets the standard for each performance measure, BAR will issue a certification to the station making it eligible to inspect	
28	directed vehicles	
	4	

Accusation

inspecting Star directed vehicles but that he knew someone who would be willing to perform the inspections for \$250 each.

16. Over the course of two subsequent transactions, representatives negotiated the 3 purchase of three smog inspection certificates of compliance for \$250 each and provided Lee with 4 all necessary information needed to perform the smog inspections. Bureau representatives were 5 subsequently provided with vehicle inspection reports for all three vehicles representing that they 6 had passed inspection. Review of the vehicle inspection reports revealed that they had been 7 performed by Respondent In & Out Smog through the use of Respondent Lopez's Smog 8 Inspector license and access code. At all times relevant to the undercover operation, the 9 following vehicles purportedly inspected by Respondent In & Out were maintained in the BAR's 10 11 documentation lab storage facility. The vehicles could not have been inspected at In & Out Smog and should not have received Smog Certificates of Compliance.

## Table 1

Date and Test Times	Vehicle in EIS Data (License Plate #)	Vehicle Tested (License Plate #)	Certificate Issued
7-19-2013	1994 Honda Accord	Undetermined	
1022-1048		· .	
8-8-2013	1989 Honda Accord	Undetermined	
1042-1052			
8-10-2013	1991 Honda Accord	Undetermined	
0852-0906			

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Misleading Statements)

17. Respondent In & Out has subjected its registration to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that on July 19, and August 8 and 10, 2013, it made statements which it knew or which by exercise of reasonable care it should have known were untrue or misleading when it issued electronic certificates of compliance for the vehicles set forth in Table

5

Accusation

12 13 14

15

16

1

2

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	1 above, certifying that those vehicles were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations
2	when, in fact, the vehicles had been clean piped. <sup>2</sup>
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Fraud)
5	18. Respondent In & Out has subjected its registration to discipline under Code section
6	9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that on July 19, and August 8 and 10, 2013, it committed acts which
7	constitute fraud by issuing electronic certificates of compliance for the vehicles set forth in Table
8	1 above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on
9	those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded
10	by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.
11	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Violation of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
13	19. Respondent In & Out has subjected its station license to discipline under Health and
14	Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on July 19, and August 8 and 10, 2013,
15	regarding the vehicles set forth in Table 1 above, it violated sections of that Code, as follows:
16 <sup>°</sup>	a. Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent In & Out failed to determine that all
17	emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning correctly in
18	accordance with test procedures.
19	b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent In & Out failed to perform emission
20	control tests on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
21	c. Section 44015, subdivision (b): Respondent In & Out issued electronic certificates
22	of compliance without properly testing and inspecting the vehicles to determine if they were in
23	compliance with section 44012 of that Code.
24	
25	
26	
27 28	<sup>2</sup> Clean-piping is a method used to fraudulently certify vehicles that will not pass a smog inspection on their own, or in some instances, are not even present during the time the test is performed.
	6
	Accusation

1	d. Section 44059: Respondent In & Out willfully made false entries for the electronic
2	certificates of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when,
3	in fact, they had not.
4	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
6	20. Respondent In & Out has subjected its station license to discipline under Health and
7	Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on July 19, and August 8 and 10, 2013,
8	regarding the vehicles set forth in Table 1, above, it violated sections of the California Code of
9	Regulations, title 16, as follows:
10	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent In & Out falsely or fraudulently
11	issued electronic certificates of compliance without performing bona fide inspections of the
12	emission control devices and systems on those vehicles as required by Health and Safety Code
13	section 44012.
14	b. Section 3340.35, subdivision (c): Respondent In & Out issued electronic certificates
15	of compliance even though those vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section
16	3340.42 of that Code.
17	c. Section 3340.42: Respondent In & Out failed to conduct the required smog tests and
18	inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.
19	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
Ż0	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)
21	21. Respondent In & Out subjected its station license to discipline under Health and
22	Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on July 19, and August 8 and 10, 2013,
23	regarding the vehicles set forth in Table 1, above, it committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or
24	deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic certificates of compliance for those
25	vehicles without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on
26	those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded
27	by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

:	
1	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
3	22. Respondent Lopez has subjected his inspector license to discipline under Health and
4	Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that on July 19, and August 8 and 10, 2013,
5	regarding the vehicles set forth in Table 1, he violated sections of that Code, as follows:
6	a. Section 44012, subdivision (a): Respondent Lopez failed to determine that all
7	emission control devices and systems required by law were installed and functioning correctly in
8	accordance with test procedures.
9	b. Section 44012, subdivision (f): Respondent Lopez failed to perform emission
10	control tests on those vehicles in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.
11 ·	c. Section 44032: Respondent Lopez failed to perform tests of the emission control
12	devices and systems on those vehicles in accordance with section 44012 of that Code, in that
13	those vehicles had been clean piped.
14	d. Section 44059: Respondent Lopez willfully made false entries for the electronic
15	certificates of compliance by certifying that those vehicles had been inspected as required when,
16	in fact, they had not.
17	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
18	(Violations of Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)
19	23. Respondent Lopez has subjected his inspector license to discipline under Health and
20	Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that on July 19, and August 8 and 10, 2013,
21	regarding the vehicles set forth in Table 1, he violated sections of the California Code of
.22	Regulations, title 16, as follows:
23	a. Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent Lopez falsely or fraudulently issued
24	electronic certificates of compliance without performing bona fide inspections of the emission
25	control devices and systems on those vehicles as required by Health and Safety Code section
26	44012.
27	b. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Lopez failed to inspect and test those
28	vehicles in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012.
	8
]	Accusation

c. Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Lopez entered false information into the Emission Inspection System ("EIS") for the electronic certificates of compliance by entering vehicle emission control information for vehicles other than the vehicles being certified.

d. Section 3340.42: Respondent Lopez failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on those vehicles in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

### EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

8 24. Respondent Lopez has subjected his inspector license to discipline under Health and
9 Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that on July 19, and August 8 and 10, 2013, he
10 committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing
11 electronic certificates of compliance for the vehicles set forth in Table 1, above, without
12 performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on those vehicles,
13 thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor
14 Vehicle Inspection Program.

## **OTHER MATTERS**

16 25. Under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the director may invalidate temporarily
17 or permanently or refuse to validate, the registrations for all places of business operated in this
18 state by In & Out Test Only Inc., including, but not limited to In & Out Test Only Inc. (ARD No.
19 268325) upon a finding that it has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of
20 the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.

26. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Station License Number TC
269945, issued to In & Out Test Only Inc. is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued
under this chapter in the name of said licensee, including, but not limited to In & Out Test Only
Inc. (Smog Check Test Only Station License No. TC 268325) may be likewise revoked or
suspended by the director.

26 27. Under Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Respondent Lopez's Smog Check
27 Inspector License (Number EO 635394) is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	under this chapter in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the
2	director.
3	PRAYER
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
5	and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:
6	1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
7	269945, issued to Respondent In & Out;
8	2. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD
9	268325, issued to In & Out Test Only Inc.;
10	3. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Test Only Station License Number TC 269945,
11	issued to Respondent In & Out;
12	4. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Test Only Station License Number TC 268325,
13	issued to In & Out Smog Test Only Inc.;
14	5. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License Number EO 635394, issued
15	to Respondent Lopez;
16	6. Ordering Respondents In & Out and Lopez to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair
17	the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and
18	Professions Code section 125.3;
19	7. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
20	M Ham Still
21	DATED: May 7, 2014 Tatuck Drains PATRICK DORAIS
22	Chief Bureau of Automotive Repair
23	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
24	Complainant
25	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
26	LA2014511349 51497739.doc
27	
28	
	10 Accusation